

ON THE  $KK$ -THEORY OF STRONGLY SELF-ABSORBING  
 $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

MARIUS DADARLAT AND WILHELM WINTER

ABSTRACT. Let  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $A$  be unital and separable  $C^*$ -algebras; let  $\mathcal{D}$  be strongly self-absorbing. It is known that any two unital  $*$ -homomorphisms from  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $A \otimes \mathcal{D}$  are approximately unitarily equivalent. We show that, if  $\mathcal{D}$  is also  $K_1$ -injective, they are even asymptotically unitarily equivalent. This in particular implies that any unital endomorphism of  $\mathcal{D}$  is asymptotically inner. Moreover, the space of automorphisms of  $\mathcal{D}$  is compactly-contractible (in the point-norm topology) in the sense that for any compact Hausdorff space  $X$ , the set of homotopy classes  $[X, \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})]$  reduces to a point. The respective statement holds for the space of unital endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{D}$ . As an application, we give a description of the Kasparov group  $KK(\mathcal{D}, A \otimes \mathcal{D})$  in terms of  $*$ -homomorphisms and asymptotic unitary equivalence. Along the way, we show that the Kasparov group  $KK(\mathcal{D}, A \otimes \mathcal{D})$  is isomorphic to  $K_0(A \otimes \mathcal{D})$ .

0. INTRODUCTION

A unital and separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{D} \neq \mathbb{C}$  is strongly self-absorbing if there is an isomorphism  $\mathcal{D} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$  which is approximately unitarily equivalent to the inclusion map  $\mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$ ,  $d \mapsto d \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$  ([14]). Strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras are known to be simple and nuclear; moreover, they are either purely infinite or stably finite. The only known examples of strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras are the UHF algebras of infinite type (i.e., every prime number that occurs in the respective supernatural number occurs with infinite multiplicity), the Cuntz algebras  $\mathcal{O}_2$  and  $\mathcal{O}_{\infty}$ , the Jiang–Su algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$  and tensor products of  $\mathcal{O}_{\infty}$  with UHF algebras of infinite type, see [14]. All these examples are  $K_1$ -injective, i.e., the canonical map  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D})/\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{D}) \rightarrow K_1(\mathcal{D})$  is injective.

It was observed in [14] that any two unital  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\sigma, \gamma : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{D}$  are approximately unitarily equivalent, were  $A$  is another unital and separable  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $K_1$ -injective, the unitaries implementing the equivalence may even be chosen to

---

*Date:* February 1, 2008.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L05, 47L40.

*Key words and phrases.* Strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras,  $KK$ -theory, asymptotic unitary equivalence, continuous fields of  $C^*$ -algebras.

*Supported by:* The first named author was partially supported by NSF grant #DMS-0500693.

The second named author was supported by the DFG (SFB 478).

be homotopic to the unit. When  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $\mathcal{O}_2$ ,  $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ , it was known that  $\sigma$  and  $\gamma$  are even asymptotically unitarily equivalent – i.e., they can be intertwined by a continuous path of unitaries, parametrized by a half-open interval. Up to this point, it was not clear whether the respective statement holds for the Jiang–Su algebra  $\mathcal{Z}$ . Theorem 2.2 below provides an affirmative answer to this problem. Even more, we show that the path intertwining  $\sigma$  and  $\gamma$  may be chosen in the component of the unit.

We believe this result, albeit technical, is interesting in its own right, and that it will be a useful ingredient for the systematic further use of strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras in Elliott’s program to classify nuclear  $C^*$ -algebras by  $K$ -theory data. In fact, this point of view is our main motivation for the study of strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras; see [8], [10], [16], [17], [18] and [15] for already existing results in this direction.

For the time being, we use Theorem 2.2 to derive some consequences for the Kasparov groups of the form  $KK(\mathcal{D}, A \otimes \mathcal{D})$ . More precisely, we show that all the elements of the Kasparov group  $KK(\mathcal{D}, A \otimes \mathcal{D})$  are of the form  $[\varphi] - n[\iota]$  where  $\varphi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes A \otimes \mathcal{D}$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism and  $\iota : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{D}$  is the inclusion  $\iota(d) = \mathbf{1}_A \otimes d$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Moreover, two non-zero  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\varphi, \psi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes A \otimes \mathcal{D}$  with  $\varphi(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) = \psi(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) = e$  have the same  $KK$ -theory class if and only if there is a unitary-valued continuous map  $u : [0, 1] \rightarrow e(\mathcal{K} \otimes A \otimes \mathcal{D})e$ ,  $t \mapsto u_t$  such that  $u_0 = e$  and  $\lim_{t \rightarrow 1} \|u_t \varphi(d) u_t^* - \psi(d)\| = 0$  for all  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ . In addition, we show that  $KK_i(\mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D} \otimes A) \cong K_i(\mathcal{D} \otimes A)$ ,  $i = 0, 1$ .

One may note the similarity to the descriptions of  $KK(\mathcal{O}_\infty, \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes A)$  ([8],[10]) and  $KK(\mathbb{C}, \mathbb{C} \otimes A)$ . However, we do not require that  $\mathcal{D}$  satisfies the universal coefficient theorem (UCT) in  $KK$ -theory. In the same spirit, we characterize  $\mathcal{O}_2$  and the universal UHF algebra  $\mathcal{Q}$  using  $K$ -theoretic conditions, but without involving the UCT.

As another application of Theorem 2.2 (and the results of [7]), we prove in [4] an automatic trivialization result for continuous fields with strongly self-absorbing fibres over finite dimensional spaces.

The second named author would like to thank Eberhard Kirchberg for an inspiring conversation on the problem of proving Theorem 2.2.

## 1. STRONGLY SELF-ABSORBING $C^*$ -ALGEBRAS

In this section we recall the notion of strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras and some facts from [14].

**1.1 DEFINITION:** *Let  $A, B$  be  $C^*$ -algebras and  $\sigma, \gamma : A \rightarrow B$  be  $*$ -homomorphisms. Suppose that  $B$  is unital.*

- (i) We say that  $\sigma$  and  $\gamma$  are approximately unitarily equivalent,  $\sigma \approx_u \gamma$ , if there is a sequence  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of unitaries in  $B$  such that

$$\|u_n \sigma(a) u_n^* - \gamma(a)\| \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

for every  $a \in A$ . If all  $u_n$  can be chosen to be in  $\mathcal{U}_0(B)$ , the connected component of  $\mathbf{1}_B$  of the unitary group  $\mathcal{U}(B)$ , then we say that  $\sigma$  and  $\gamma$  are strongly approximately unitarily equivalent, written  $\sigma \approx_{\text{su}} \gamma$ .

- (ii) We say that  $\sigma$  and  $\gamma$  are asymptotically unitarily equivalent,  $\sigma \approx_{\text{uh}} \gamma$ , if there is a norm-continuous path  $(u_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$  of unitaries in  $B$  such that

$$\|u_t \sigma(a) u_t^* - \gamma(a)\| \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

for every  $a \in A$ . If one can arrange that  $u_0 = \mathbf{1}_B$  and hence  $(u_t \in \mathcal{U}_0(B)$  for all  $t$ ), then we say that  $\sigma$  and  $\gamma$  are strongly asymptotically unitarily equivalent, written  $\sigma \approx_{\text{sub}} \gamma$ .

1.2 The concept of strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras was formally introduced in [14, Definition 1.3]:

DEFINITION: A separable unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{D}$  is strongly self-absorbing, if  $\mathcal{D} \neq \mathbb{C}$  and there is an isomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$  such that  $\varphi \approx_u \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}$ .

1.3 Recall [14, Corollary 1.12]:

PROPOSITION: Let  $A$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras, with  $\mathcal{D}$  strongly self-absorbing. Then, any two unital  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\sigma, \gamma : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{D}$  are approximately unitarily equivalent. In particular, any two unital endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{D}$  are approximately unitarily equivalent.

We note that the assumption that  $A$  is separable which appears in the original statement of [14, Corollary 1.12] is not necessary and was not used in the proof.

1.4 LEMMA: Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebra. Then there is a sequence of unitaries  $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  in the commutator subgroup of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D})$  such that for all  $d \in \mathcal{D}$   $\|w_n(d \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) w_n^* - \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes d\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ .

PROOF: Let  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{D}$  be a finite normalized set and let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . By [14, Prop. 1.5] there is a unitary  $u \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D})$  such that  $\|u(d \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) u^* - \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes d\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $d \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let  $\theta : \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$  be a  $*$ -isomorphism. Then  $\|(\theta(u^*) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) u(d \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) u^* (\theta(u) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) - \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes d\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $d \in \mathcal{F}$ . By Proposition 1.3  $\theta \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} \approx_u \text{id}_{\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}}$  and so there is a unitary  $v \in \mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D})$  such that  $\|(\theta(u^*) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) - v u^* v^*\| < \varepsilon$  and hence  $\|(\theta(u^*) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) u - v u^* v^* u\| < \varepsilon$ . Setting  $w = v u^* v^* u$  we deduce that  $\|w(d \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) w^* - \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes d\| < 3\varepsilon$  for all  $d \in \mathcal{F}$ .  $\blacksquare$

1.5 REMARK: In the situation of Proposition 1.3, suppose that the commutator subgroup of  $\mathcal{U}(\mathcal{D})$  is contained in  $\mathcal{U}_0(\mathcal{D})$ . This will happen for instance if  $\mathcal{D}$  is assumed to be  $K_1$ -injective. Then one may choose the unitaries  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  which implement the approximate

unitary equivalence between  $\sigma$  and  $\gamma$  to lie in  $\mathcal{U}_0(A \otimes \mathcal{D})$ . This follows from [14, (the proof of) Corollary 1.12], since the unitaries  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  are essentially images of the unitaries  $(w_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$  of Lemma 1.4 under suitable unital  $*$ -homomorphisms.

## 2. ASYMPTOTIC VS. APPROXIMATE UNITARY EQUIVALENCE

It is the aim of this section to establish a continuous version of Proposition 1.3.

**2.1 LEMMA:** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be separable unital strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebra. For any finite subset  $\mathcal{F} \subset \mathcal{D}$  and  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are a finite subset  $\mathcal{G} \subset \mathcal{D}$  and  $\delta > 0$  such that the following holds:*

*If  $A$  is another unital  $C^*$ -algebra and  $\sigma : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{D}$  is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism, and if  $w \in \mathcal{U}_0(A \otimes \mathcal{D})$  is a unitary satisfying*

$$\|[w, \sigma(d)]\| < \delta$$

*for all  $d \in \mathcal{G}$ , then there is a continuous path  $(w_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$  of unitaries in  $\mathcal{U}_0(A \otimes \mathcal{D})$  such that  $w_0 = w$ ,  $w_1 = \mathbf{1}_{A \otimes \mathcal{D}}$  and*

$$\|[w_t, \sigma(d)]\| < \varepsilon$$

*for all  $d \in \mathcal{F}$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ .*

**PROOF:** We may clearly assume that the elements of  $\mathcal{F}$  are normalized and that  $\varepsilon < 1$ . Let  $u \in \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$  be a unitary satisfying

$$(1) \quad \|u(d \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}})u^* - \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes d\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{20}$$

for all  $d \in \mathcal{F}$ . There exist  $k \in \mathbb{N}$  and elements  $s_1, \dots, s_k, t_1, \dots, t_k \in \mathcal{D}$  of norm at most one such that

$$(2) \quad \|u - \sum_{i=1}^k s_i \otimes t_i\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{20}.$$

Set

$$(3) \quad \delta := \frac{\varepsilon}{k \cdot 10}$$

and

$$(4) \quad \mathcal{G} := \{s_1, \dots, s_k\} \subset \mathcal{D}.$$

Now let  $w \in \mathcal{U}_0(A \otimes \mathcal{D})$  be a unitary as in the assertion of the lemma, i.e.,  $w$  satisfies

$$(5) \quad \|[w, \sigma(s_i)]\| < \delta$$

for all  $i = 1, \dots, k$ . We proceed to construct the path  $(w_t)_{t \in [0,1]}$ .

By [14, Remark 2.7] there is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism

$$\varphi : A \otimes \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D} \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{D}$$

such that

$$(6) \quad \|\varphi(a \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) - a\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{20}$$

for all  $a \in \sigma(\mathcal{F}) \cup \{w\}$ .

Since  $w \in \mathcal{U}_0(A \otimes \mathcal{D})$ , there is a path  $(\bar{w}_t)_{t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]}$  of unitaries in  $A \otimes \mathcal{D}$  such that

$$(7) \quad \bar{w}_{\frac{1}{2}} = w \text{ and } \bar{w}_1 = \mathbf{1}_{A \otimes \mathcal{D}}.$$

For  $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  define

$$(8) \quad w_t := \varphi((\sigma \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}})(u)^*(\bar{w}_t \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}})(\sigma \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}})(u)) \in \mathcal{U}(A \otimes \mathcal{D});$$

then  $(w_t)_{t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]}$  is a continuous path of unitaries in  $A \otimes \mathcal{D}$ . For  $t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]$  and  $d \in \mathcal{F}$  we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \| [w_t, \sigma(d)] \| \\
&= \| w_t \sigma(d) w_t^* - \sigma(d) \| \\
&\stackrel{(6)}{<} \| w_t \varphi(\sigma(d) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) w_t^* - \varphi(\sigma(d) \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) \| + 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{20} \\
&\stackrel{(8)}{\leq} \| ((\sigma \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}})(u))^*(\bar{w}_t \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}})((\sigma \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}})(u(d \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}})u^*))(\bar{w}_t^* \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) \\
&\quad \cdot ((\sigma \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}})(u)) - ((\sigma \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}})(d \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}})) \| + \frac{\varepsilon}{10} \\
&\stackrel{(1)}{<} \| ((\sigma \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}})(u))^*(\bar{w}_t \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}})((\sigma \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}})(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes d))(\bar{w}_t^* \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) \\
&\quad \cdot ((\sigma \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}})(u)) - ((\sigma \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}})(d \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}})) \| + \frac{\varepsilon}{10} + \frac{\varepsilon}{20} \\
&= \| (\sigma \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}})(u^*(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes d)u - d \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) \| + \frac{\varepsilon}{10} + \frac{\varepsilon}{20} \\
&< \frac{\varepsilon}{20} + \frac{\varepsilon}{10} + \frac{\varepsilon}{20} \\
(9) \quad &< \frac{\varepsilon}{3},
\end{aligned}$$

where for the last equality we have used that the  $\bar{w}_t$  are unitaries and that  $\sigma$  is a unital \*-homomorphism. Furthermore, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \|w_{\frac{1}{2}} - w\| \\
& \stackrel{(7),(8)}{=} \|\varphi(((\sigma \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}})(u))^*(w \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}})((\sigma \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}})(u))) - w\| \\
& \stackrel{(2)}{<} \|\varphi(((\sigma \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}})(u))^*(w \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}})(\sum_{i=1}^k \sigma(s_i) \otimes t_i)) - w\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{20} \\
& \leq \|\varphi(((\sigma \otimes \text{id}_{\mathcal{D}})(u))^*(\sum_{i=1}^k \sigma(s_i) \otimes t_i)(w \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}})) - w\| \\
& \quad + \sum_{i=1}^k \|[w, \sigma(s_i)]\| \cdot \|t_i\| + \frac{\varepsilon}{20} \\
& \stackrel{(5),(4),(2)}{<} \|\varphi(w \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}) - w\| + k \cdot \delta + 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{20} \\
& \stackrel{(6),(3)}{<} \frac{\varepsilon}{20} + \frac{\varepsilon}{10} + 2 \cdot \frac{\varepsilon}{20} \\
& < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}.
\end{aligned}$$

The above estimate allows us to extend the path  $(w_t)_{t \in [\frac{1}{2}, 1]}$  to the whole interval  $[0, 1]$  in the desired way: We have  $\|w_{\frac{1}{2}} w^* - \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3} < 2$ , whence  $-1$  is not in the spectrum of  $w_{\frac{1}{2}} w^*$ . By functional calculus, there is  $a = a^* \in A \otimes \mathcal{D}$  with  $\|a\| < 1$  such that  $w_{\frac{1}{2}} w^* = \exp(\pi i a)$ . For  $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$  we may therefore define a continuous path of unitaries

$$w_t := (\exp(2\pi i t a))w \in \mathcal{U}(A \otimes \mathcal{D}).$$

It is clear that  $w_0 = w$  and  $w_t \rightarrow w_{\frac{1}{2}}$  as  $t \rightarrow (\frac{1}{2})_-$ , whence  $(w_t)_{t \in [0, 1]}$  is a continuous path of unitaries in  $A$  satisfying  $w_0 = w$  and  $w_1 = \mathbf{1}_A \otimes \mathcal{D}$ . Moreover, it is easy to see that

$$\|w_t - w\| \leq \|w_{\frac{1}{2}} - w\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{3}$$

for all  $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ , whence

$$\|[w_t, \sigma(d)]\| < \|[w_{\frac{1}{2}}, \sigma(d)]\| + \frac{2}{3} \varepsilon \stackrel{(9)}{<} \varepsilon$$

for  $t \in [0, \frac{1}{2})$ ,  $d \in \mathcal{F}$ .

We have now constructed a path  $(w_t)_{t \in [0, 1]} \subset \mathcal{U}(A)$  with the desired properties. ■

**2.2 THEOREM:** *Let  $A$  and  $\mathcal{D}$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras, with  $\mathcal{D}$  separable, strongly self-absorbing and  $K_1$ -injective. Then, any two unital \*-homomorphisms  $\sigma, \gamma : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{D}$  are strongly asymptotically unitarily equivalent. In particular, any two unital endomorphisms of  $\mathcal{D}$  are strongly asymptotically unitarily equivalent.*

PROOF: Note that the second statement follows from the first one with  $A = \mathcal{D}$ , since  $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{D}$  by assumption.

Let  $A$  be a unital  $C^*$ -algebra such that  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{D}$  and let  $\sigma, \gamma : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow A$  be unital  $*$ -homomorphisms. We shall prove that  $\sigma$  and  $\gamma$  are strongly asymptotically unitarily equivalent. Choose an increasing sequence

$$\mathcal{F}_0 \subset \mathcal{F}_1 \subset \dots$$

of finite subsets of  $\mathcal{D}$  such that  $\bigcup \mathcal{F}_n$  is a dense subset of  $\mathcal{D}$ . Let  $1 > \varepsilon_0 > \varepsilon_1 > \dots$  be a decreasing sequence of strictly positive numbers converging to 0.

For each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ , employ Lemma 2.1 (with  $\mathcal{F}_n$  and  $\varepsilon_n$  in place of  $\mathcal{F}$  and  $\varepsilon$ ) to obtain a finite subset  $\mathcal{G}_n \subset \mathcal{D}$  and  $\delta_n > 0$ . We may clearly assume that

$$(10) \quad \mathcal{F}_n \subset \mathcal{G}_n \subset \mathcal{G}_{n+1} \text{ and that } \delta_{n+1} < \delta_n < \varepsilon_n$$

for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

Since  $\sigma$  and  $\gamma$  are strongly approximately unitarily equivalent by Proposition 1.3 and Remark 1.5, there is a sequence of unitaries  $(u_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{U}_0(A)$  such that

$$(11) \quad \|u_n \sigma(d) u_n^* - \gamma(d)\| < \frac{\delta_n}{2}$$

for all  $d \in \mathcal{G}_n$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Let us set

$$w_n := u_{n+1}^* u_n, \quad n \in \mathbb{N}.$$

Then  $w_n \in \mathcal{U}_0(A)$  and

$$\begin{aligned} & \| [w_n, \sigma(d)] \| \\ &= \| w_n \sigma(d) w_n^* - \sigma(d) \| \\ &\leq \| u_{n+1}^* u_n \sigma(d) u_n^* u_{n+1} - u_{n+1}^* \gamma(d) u_{n+1} \| \\ &\quad + \| u_{n+1}^* \gamma(d) u_{n+1} - \sigma(d) \| \\ &< \frac{\delta_n}{2} + \frac{\delta_{n+1}}{2} \\ &< \delta_n \end{aligned}$$

for  $d \in \mathcal{G}_n$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Now by Lemma 2.1 (and the choice of the  $\mathcal{G}_n$  and  $\delta_n$ ), for each  $n$  there is a continuous path  $(w_{n,t})_{t \in [0,1]}$  of unitaries in  $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$  such that  $w_{n,0} = w_n$ ,  $w_{n,1} = \mathbf{1}_A$  and

$$(12) \quad \| [w_{n,t}, \sigma(d)] \| < \varepsilon_n$$

for all  $d \in \mathcal{F}_n$ ,  $t \in [0, 1]$ .

Next, define a path  $(\bar{u}_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$  of unitaries in  $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$  by

$$\bar{u}_t := u_{n+1} w_{n,t-n} \text{ if } t \in [n, n+1).$$

We have that

$$(13) \quad \bar{u}_n = u_{n+1}w_n = u_n$$

and that

$$\bar{u}_t \rightarrow u_{n+1}$$

as  $t \rightarrow n+1$  from below, which implies that the path  $(\bar{u}_t)_{t \in [0, \infty)}$  is continuous in  $\mathcal{U}_0(A)$ . Furthermore, for  $t \in [n, n+1)$  and  $d \in \mathcal{F}_n$  we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \| \bar{u}_t \sigma(d) \bar{u}_t^* - \gamma(d) \| \\ &= \| u_{n+1} w_{n, t-n} \sigma(d) w_{n, t-n}^* u_{n+1} - \gamma(d) \| \\ &\stackrel{(12)}{<} \| u_{n+1} \sigma(d) u_{n+1}^* - \gamma(d) \| + \varepsilon_n \\ &\stackrel{(11), (10)}{<} \frac{\delta_{n+1}}{2} + \varepsilon_n \\ &\stackrel{(10)}{<} 2\varepsilon_n. \end{aligned}$$

Since the  $\mathcal{F}_n$  are nested and the  $\varepsilon_n$  converge to 0, we have

$$(14) \quad \| \bar{u}_t \sigma(d) \bar{u}_t^* - \gamma(d) \| \xrightarrow{t \rightarrow \infty} 0$$

for all  $d \in \bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$ ; by continuity and since  $\bigcup_{n=0}^{\infty} \mathcal{F}_n$  is dense in  $\mathcal{D}$ , we have (14) for all  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ . Since  $\bar{u}_0 \in \mathcal{U}_0(A)$  we may arrange that  $\bar{u}_0 = \mathbf{1}_A$ .  $\blacksquare$

### 3. THE GROUP $KK(\mathcal{D}, A \otimes \mathcal{D})$ AND SOME APPLICATIONS

3.1 For a separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $\mathcal{D}$  we endow the group of automorphisms  $\text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$  with the point-norm topology.

**COROLLARY:** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a separable, unital, strongly self-absorbing and  $K_1$ -injective  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $[X, \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})]$  reduces to a point for any compact Hausdorff space  $X$ .*

**PROOF:** Let  $\varphi, \psi : X \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$  be continuous maps. We identify  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  with unital  $*$ -homomorphisms  $\varphi, \psi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}(X) \otimes \mathcal{D}$ . By Theorem 2.2,  $\varphi$  is strongly asymptotically unitarily equivalent to  $\psi$ . This gives a homotopy between the two maps  $\varphi, \psi : X \rightarrow \text{Aut}(\mathcal{D})$ .  $\blacksquare$

3.2 **REMARK:** The conclusion of Corollary 3.1 was known before for  $\mathcal{D}$  a UHF algebra of infinite type and  $X$  a CW complex by [13], for  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{O}_2$  by [8] and [10], and for  $\mathcal{D} = \mathcal{O}_\infty$  by [2]. It is new for the Jiang–Su algebra.

3.3 For unital  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $B$  we denote by  $[\mathcal{D}, B]$  the set of homotopy classes of unital  $*$ -homomorphisms from  $\mathcal{D}$  to  $B$ . By a similar argument as above we also have the following corollary.



COROLLARY: *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $A$  be unital  $C^*$ -algebras. If  $\mathcal{D}$  is separable, strongly self-absorbing and  $K_1$ -injective, then  $[\mathcal{D}, A \otimes \mathcal{D}]$  reduces to a singleton.*

3.4 For separable unital  $C^*$ -algebras  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $B$ , let  $\chi_i : KK_i(\mathcal{D}, B) \rightarrow KK_i(\mathbb{C}, B) \cong K_i(B)$ ,  $i = 0, 1$  be the morphism of groups induced by the unital inclusion  $\nu : \mathbb{C} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ .

THEOREM: *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a unital, separable and strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebra. Then for any separable  $C^*$ -algebra  $A$ , the map  $\chi_i : KK_i(\mathcal{D}, A \otimes \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow K_i(A \otimes \mathcal{D})$  is bijective, for  $i = 0, 1$ . In particular both groups  $KK_i(\mathcal{D}, A \otimes \mathcal{D})$  are countable and discrete with respect to their natural topology.*

PROOF: Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $KK$ -equivalent to  $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$ , we may assume that  $\mathcal{D}$  is purely infinite and in particular  $K_1$ -injective by [11, Prop. 4.1.4]. Let  $C_\nu \mathcal{D}$  denote the mapping cone  $C^*$ -algebra of  $\nu$ . By [3, Cor. 3.10], there is a bijection  $[\mathcal{D}, A \otimes \mathcal{D}] \rightarrow KK(C_\nu \mathcal{D}, SA \otimes \mathcal{D})$  and hence  $KK(C_\nu \mathcal{D}, SA \otimes \mathcal{D}) = 0$  for all separable and unital  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  as a consequence of Corollary 3.3. Since  $KK(C_\nu \mathcal{D}, A \otimes \mathcal{D})$  is isomorphic to  $KK(C_\nu \mathcal{D}, S^2 A \otimes \mathcal{D})$  by Bott periodicity and the latter group injects in  $KK(C_\nu \mathcal{D}, SC(\mathbb{T}) \otimes A \otimes \mathcal{D}) = 0$ , we have that  $KK_i(C_\nu \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D} \otimes A) = 0$  for all unital and separable  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$  and  $i = 0, 1$ . Since  $KK_i(C_\nu \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D} \otimes A)$  is a subgroup of  $KK_i(C_\nu \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D} \otimes \tilde{A}) = 0$  (where  $\tilde{A}$  is the unitization of  $A$ ) we see that  $KK_i(C_\nu \mathcal{D}, \mathcal{D} \otimes A) = 0$  for all separable  $C^*$ -algebras  $A$ . Using the Puppe exact sequence, where  $\chi_i = \nu^*$ ,

$$KK_{i+1}(C_\nu \mathcal{D}, A \otimes \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow KK_i(\mathcal{D}, A \otimes \mathcal{D}) \xrightarrow{\chi_i} KK_i(\mathbb{C}, A \otimes \mathcal{D}) \rightarrow KK_i(C_\nu \mathcal{D}, A \otimes \mathcal{D})$$

we conclude that  $\chi_i$  is an isomorphism,  $i = 0, 1$ . The map  $\chi_i = \nu^*$  is continuous since it is given by the Kasparov product with a fixed element (we refer the reader to [12], [9] or [1] for a background on the topology of the Kasparov groups). Since the topology of  $K_i$  is discrete and  $\chi_i$  is injective, it follows that the topology of  $KK_i(\mathcal{D}, A \otimes \mathcal{D})$  is also discrete. The countability of  $KK_i(\mathcal{D}, A \otimes \mathcal{D})$  follows from that of  $K_i(A \otimes \mathcal{D})$ , as  $A \otimes \mathcal{D}$  is separable. ▮

3.5 REMARK: In contrast to Theorem 3.4, if  $\mathcal{D}$  is the universal UHF algebra, then  $KK(\mathcal{D}, \mathbb{C}) \cong \text{Ext}(\mathbb{Q}, \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Q}^{\mathbb{N}}$  has the power of the continuum [6, p. 221].

3.6 Let  $\mathcal{D}$  and  $A$  be as in Theorem 3.4 and assume in addition that  $\mathcal{D}$  is  $K_1$ -injective and  $A$  is unital. Let  $\iota : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow A \otimes \mathcal{D}$  be defined by  $\iota(d) = \mathbf{1}_A \otimes d$ .

COROLLARY: *If  $e \in \mathcal{K} \otimes A \otimes \mathcal{D}$  is a projection, and  $\varphi, \psi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow e(\mathcal{K} \otimes A \otimes \mathcal{D})e$  are two unital  $*$ -homomorphisms, then  $\varphi \approx_{\text{suh}} \psi$  and hence  $[\varphi] = [\psi] \in KK(\mathcal{D}, A \otimes \mathcal{D})$ . Moreover:*

$$KK(\mathcal{D}, A \otimes \mathcal{D}) = \{[\varphi] - n[\iota] \mid \varphi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow \mathcal{K} \otimes A \otimes \mathcal{D} \text{ is a } * \text{-homomorphism, } n \in \mathbb{N}\}.$$

PROOF: Let  $\varphi$ ,  $\psi$  and  $e$  be as in the first part of the statement. By [14, Cor. 3.1], the unital  $C^*$ -algebra  $e(\mathcal{K} \otimes A \otimes \mathcal{D})e$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -stable, being a hereditary subalgebra of a  $\mathcal{D}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebra. Therefore  $\varphi \approx_{\text{sub}} \psi$  by Theorem 2.2.

Now for the second part of the statement, let  $x \in KK(\mathcal{D}, A \otimes \mathcal{D})$  be an arbitrary element. Then  $\chi_0(x) = [e] - n[\mathbf{1}_{A \otimes \mathcal{D}}]$  for some projection  $e \in \mathcal{K} \otimes A \otimes \mathcal{D}$  and  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Since  $e(\mathcal{K} \otimes A \otimes \mathcal{D})e$  is  $\mathcal{D}$ -stable, there is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\varphi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow e(\mathcal{K} \otimes A \otimes \mathcal{D})e$ . Then

$$\chi_0([\varphi] - n[\iota]) = [\varphi(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}})] - n[\iota(\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}})] = [e] - n[\mathbf{1}_{A \otimes \mathcal{D}}] = \chi_0(x),$$

and hence  $[\varphi] - n[\iota] = x$  since  $\chi_0$  is injective by Theorem 3.4.  $\blacksquare$

In the remainder of the paper we give characterizations for the Cuntz algebra  $\mathcal{O}_2$  and for the universal UHF-algebra which do not require the UCT. The latter result is a variation of a theorem of Effros and Rosenberg [5].

**3.7 PROPOSITION:** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a separable unital strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebra. If  $[\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}] = 0$  in  $K_0(\mathcal{D})$ , then  $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathcal{O}_2$ .*

PROOF: Since  $\mathcal{D}$  must be nuclear (see [14]),  $\mathcal{D}$  embeds unitaly in  $\mathcal{O}_2$  by Kirchberg's theorem.  $\mathcal{D}$  is not stably finite since  $[\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}] = 0$ . By the dichotomy of [14, Thm. 1.7]  $\mathcal{D}$  must be purely infinite. Since  $[\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}] = 0$  in  $K_0(\mathcal{D})$ , there is a unital embedding  $\mathcal{O}_2 \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ , see [11, Prop. 4.2.3]. We conclude that  $\mathcal{D}$  is isomorphic to  $\mathcal{O}_2$  by [14, Prop. 5.12].  $\blacksquare$

**3.8 PROPOSITION:** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $A$  be separable, unital, strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras. Suppose that for any finite subset  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is a u.c.p. map  $\varphi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow A$  such that  $\|\varphi(cd) - \varphi(c)\varphi(d)\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $c, d \in \mathcal{F}$ . Then  $A \cong A \otimes \mathcal{D}$ .*

PROOF: By [14, Thm. 2.2] it suffices to show that for any given finite subsets  $\mathcal{F}$  of  $\mathcal{D}$ ,  $\mathcal{G}$  of  $A$  and any  $\varepsilon > 0$  there is u.c.p. map  $\Phi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow A$  such that (i)  $\|\Phi(cd) - \Phi(c)\Phi(d)\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $c, d \in \mathcal{F}$  and (ii)  $\|[\Phi(d), a]\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $d \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{G}$ . We may assume that  $\|d\| \leq 1$  for all  $d \in \mathcal{F}$ . Since  $A$  is strongly self-absorbing, by [14, Prop. 1.10] there is a unital  $*$ -homomorphism  $\gamma : A \otimes A \rightarrow A$  such that  $\|\gamma(a \otimes \mathbf{1}_A) - a\| < \varepsilon/2$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{G}$ . On the other hand, by assumption there is a u.c.p. map  $\varphi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow A$  such that  $\|\varphi(cd) - \varphi(c)\varphi(d)\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $c, d \in \mathcal{F}$ . Let us define a u.c.p. map  $\Phi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow A$  by  $\Phi(d) = \gamma(\mathbf{1}_A \otimes \varphi(d))$ . It is clear that  $\Phi$  satisfies (i) since  $\gamma$  is a  $*$ -homomorphism. To conclude the proof we check now that  $\Phi$  also satisfies (ii). Let  $d \in \mathcal{F}$  and  $a \in \mathcal{G}$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \|[\Phi(d), a]\| \\ & \leq \|[\Phi(d), a - \gamma(a \otimes \mathbf{1}_A)]\| + \|[\Phi(d), \gamma(a \otimes \mathbf{1}_A)]\| \\ & \leq 2\|\Phi(d)\| \|a - \gamma(a \otimes \mathbf{1}_A)\| + \|[\gamma(\mathbf{1}_A \otimes \varphi(d)), \gamma(a \otimes \mathbf{1}_A)]\| \\ & < 2\varepsilon/2 + 0 = \varepsilon. \end{aligned}$$

**3.9 PROPOSITION:** *Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a separable, unital, strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebra. Suppose that  $\mathcal{D}$  is quasidiagonal, it has cancellation of projections and that  $[\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}] \in nK_0(\mathcal{D})^+$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $\mathcal{D}$  is isomorphic to the universal UHF algebra  $\mathcal{Q}$  with  $K_0(\mathcal{Q}) \cong \mathbb{Q}$ .*

**PROOF:** Since  $\mathcal{D}$  is separable unital and quasidiagonal, there is a unital  $*$ -representation  $\pi : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow B(H)$  on a separable Hilbert space  $H$  and a sequence of nonzero projections  $p_n \in B(H)$  of finite rank  $k(n)$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|[p_n, \pi(d)]\| = 0$  for all  $d \in \mathcal{D}$ . Then the sequence of u.c.p. maps  $\varphi_n : \mathcal{D} \rightarrow p_n B(H) p_n \cong M_{k(n)}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{Q}$  is asymptotically multiplicative, i.e  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\varphi_n(cd) - \varphi_n(c)\varphi_n(d)\| = 0$  for all  $c, d \in \mathcal{D}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{Q} \cong \mathcal{Q} \otimes \mathcal{D}$  by Proposition 3.8.

In the second part of the proof we show that  $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{Q}$ . Let  $E_n : \mathcal{Q} \rightarrow M_{n!}(\mathbb{C}) \subset \mathcal{Q}$  be a conditional expectation onto  $M_{n!}(\mathbb{C})$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|E_n(a) - a\| = 0$  for all  $a \in \mathcal{Q}$ .

By assumption, for each  $n$  there is a projection  $e$  in  $\mathcal{D} \otimes M_m(\mathbb{C})$  (for some  $m$ ) such that  $n![e] = [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}]$  in  $K_0(\mathcal{D})$ . Let  $\varphi : M_{n!}(\mathbb{C}) \rightarrow M_{n!}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes e(\mathcal{D} \otimes M_m(\mathbb{C}))e$  be defined by  $\varphi(b) = b \otimes e$ . Since  $\mathcal{D}$  has cancellation of projections and since  $n![e] = [\mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}}]$ , there is a partial isometry  $v \in M_{n!}(\mathbb{C}) \otimes \mathcal{D} \otimes M_m(\mathbb{C})$  such that  $v^*v = \mathbf{1}_{M_{n!}(\mathbb{C})} \otimes e$  and  $vv^* = e_{11} \otimes \mathbf{1}_{\mathcal{D}} \otimes e_{11}$ . Therefore  $b \mapsto v\varphi(b)v^*$  gives a unital embedding of  $M_{n!}(\mathbb{C})$  into  $\mathcal{D}$ . Finally,  $\psi_n(a) = v(\varphi \circ E_n(a))v^*$  defines a sequence of asymptotically multiplicative u.c.p. maps  $\mathcal{Q} \rightarrow \mathcal{D}$ . Therefore  $\mathcal{D} \cong \mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{Q}$  by Proposition 3.8. ▀

**3.10 REMARK:** Let  $\mathcal{D}$  be a separable, unital, strongly self-absorbing and quasidiagonal  $C^*$ -algebra. Then  $\mathcal{D} \otimes \mathcal{Q} \cong \mathcal{Q}$  by the first part of the proof of Proposition 3.9. In particular  $K_1(\mathcal{D}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} = 0$  and  $K_0(\mathcal{D}) \otimes \mathbb{Q} \cong \mathbb{Q}$  by the Künneth formula (or by writing  $\mathcal{Q}$  as an inductive limit of matrices).

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Dadarlat. *On the topology of the Kasparov groups and its applications.*, J. Funct. Anal. **228** (2005), 394–418.
- [2] M. Dadarlat. *Continuous fields of  $C^*$ -algebras over finite dimensional spaces*, arXiv preprint math.OA/0611405 (2006).
- [3] M. Dadarlat. *The homotopy groups of the automorphism group of Kirchberg algebras*, J. Noncomm. Geom. **1** (2007), 113–139.
- [4] M. Dadarlat and W. Winter. *Trivialization of  $\mathcal{C}(X)$ -algebras with strongly self-absorbing fibres*, preprint (2007).
- [5] E. G. Effros and J. Rosenberg.  *$C^*$ -algebras with approximately inner flip*, Pacific J. Math. **77** (1978), 417–443.
- [6] L. Fuchs. *Infinite abelian groups*, vol. 1, Academic Press, New York and London, 1970.
- [7] I. Hirshberg, M. Rørdam and W. Winter.  *$\mathcal{C}_0(X)$ -algebras, stability and strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras*, arXiv preprint math.OA/0610344 (2006). To appear in Math. Ann.
- [8] E. Kirchberg. *The classification of purely infinite  $C^*$ -algebras using Kasparov's theory*, preprint (1994).

- [9] M. V. Pimsner. *A topology on the Kasparov groups*, draft.
- [10] N. C. Phillips. *A classification theorem for nuclear purely infinite simple  $C^*$ -algebras*, Documenta Math. **5** (2000), 49–114.
- [11] M. Rørdam. *Classification of Nuclear  $C^*$ -Algebras*, Encyclopaedia Math. Sci., vol. 126, Springer, Berlin, 2002.
- [12] C. Schochet. *The fine structure of the Kasparov groups I. Continuity of the  $KK$ -pairing*, J. Funct. Anal. **186** (2001), 25–61.
- [13] K. Thomsen. *The homotopy type of the group of automorphisms of a UHF-algebra*, J. Funct. Anal. **72** (1987), 182–207.
- [14] A. Toms and W. Winter. *Strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras*, arXiv preprint math.OA/0502211 (2005). To appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.
- [15] A. Toms and W. Winter.  *$\mathcal{Z}$ -stable ASH algebras*, arXiv preprint math.OA/0508218 (2005). To appear in Can. J. Math.
- [16] W. Winter. *On the classification of simple  $\mathcal{Z}$ -stable  $C^*$ -algebras with real rank zero and finite decomposition rank*, J. London Math. Soc. **74** (2006), 167–183.
- [17] W. Winter. *Simple  $C^*$ -algebras with locally finite decomposition rank*, J. Funct. Anal. **243** (2007), 394–425.
- [18] W. Winter. *Localizing the Elliott conjecture*, in preparation.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, WEST LAFAYETTE,, IN 47907, USA

*E-mail address:* mdd@math.purdue.edu

MATHEMATISCHES INSTITUT DER UNIVERSITÄT MÜNSTER, EINSTEINSTR. 62, D-48149 MÜNSTER, GERMANY

*E-mail address:* wwinter@math.uni-muenster.de