

# SIMPLE NUCLEAR C\*-ALGEBRAS NOT EQUIVARIANTLY ISOMORPHIC TO THEIR OPPOSITES

MARIUS DADARLAT, ILAN HIRSHBERG, AND N. CHRISTOPHER PHILLIPS

ABSTRACT. We exhibit examples of simple separable nuclear C\*-algebras, along with actions of the circle group and outer actions of the integers, which are not equivariantly isomorphic to their opposite algebras. In fact, the fixed point subalgebras are not isomorphic to their opposites. The C\*-algebras we exhibit are well behaved from the perspective of structure and classification of nuclear C\*-algebras: they are unital C\*-algebras in the UCT class, with finite nuclear dimension. One is an AH-algebra with unique tracial state and absorbs the CAR algebra tensorially. The other is a Kirchberg algebra.

Let  $A$  be a C\*-algebra. We denote by  $A^{\text{op}}$  the opposite algebra: the same Banach space with the same involution, but with reversed multiplication. The question of constructing operator algebras not isomorphic to their opposites goes back to [3], which constructs factors not isomorphic to their opposites. Separable simple C\*-algebras not isomorphic to their opposites were constructed in [19, 20], but those examples are not nuclear. In the nuclear setting, there are nonsimple C\*-algebras not isomorphic to their opposites ([18]; see also [23] for a related discussion). The question of whether there are simple nuclear C\*-algebras not isomorphic to their opposites is an important and difficult open question, particularly due to its connection with the Elliott classification program. The Elliott invariant, as well as the Cuntz semigroup, cannot distinguish a C\*-algebra from its opposite. Thus, existence of a simple separable nuclear C\*-algebra not isomorphic to its opposite would reveal an entirely new phenomenon.

In this paper, we address the equivariant situation. We exhibit examples of simple separable unital nuclear C\*-algebras  $A$  along with outer actions of  $\mathbb{Z}$  and with actions of the circle group  $\mathbb{T}$  which are not *equivariantly* isomorphic to their opposites. The C\*-algebras  $A$  are well behaved from the perspective of structure and classification of C\*-algebras. In one set of examples,  $A$  is AH with no dimension growth, has a unique tracial state, and tensorially absorbs the CAR algebra. In the other,  $A$  is a Kirchberg algebra satisfying the Universal Coefficient Theorem.

---

*Date:* 14 February 2016.

*2000 Mathematics Subject Classification.* 46L35, 46L55.

This research was supported in part by the US-Israel Binational Science Foundation. This material is based upon work supported by the US National Science Foundation under Grant DMS-1101742 and Grant DMS-1362824.

In fact, in our examples the fixed point algebras are not isomorphic to their opposite algebras. In particular, we give outer actions of  $\mathbb{Z}$  on a simple separable unital nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra with tracial rank zero and on a unital Kirchberg algebra, both satisfying the Universal Coefficient Theorem, such that the fixed point algebras are not isomorphic to their opposites.

These examples illustrate some of the difficulties one would encounter if one wished to extend the current classification results to the equivariant setting, for actions of both  $\mathbb{Z}$  and  $\mathbb{T}$ .

In the rest of the introduction, we recall a few general facts about opposite algebras. Section 1 contains some preparatory lemmas, and Section 2 contains the construction of our examples. In Section 3 we collect several remarks on our construction, outline a shorter construction which gives examples with some of the properties of our main examples, and state some open questions.

If  $A$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra, we denote by  $A^\#$  its conjugate algebra. As a real  $C^*$ -algebra it is the same as  $A$ , but it has the reverse complex structure. That is, if we denote its scalar multiplication by  $(\lambda, a) \mapsto \lambda \bullet_\# a$ , then  $\lambda \bullet_\# a = \bar{\lambda}a$  for any  $a \in A$  and any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$ . We recall the following easy fact.

**Lemma 0.1.** Let  $A$  be a  $C^*$ -algebra. Then the map  $a \mapsto a^*$  is an isomorphism  $A^{\text{op}} \rightarrow A^\#$ .

In this paper, we often find it more convenient to use  $A^\#$ .

Let  $G$  be a locally compact Hausdorff group, and let  $\alpha: G \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$  be a point-norm continuous action. For  $g \in G$ , the same map  $\alpha_g$ , viewed as a map from  $A^\#$  to itself, is also an automorphism. To see that, we note that it is clearly a real  $C^*$ -algebra automorphism, and for each  $\lambda \in \mathbb{C}$  and  $a \in A$ , we have

$$\alpha_g(\lambda \bullet_\# a) = \alpha_g(\bar{\lambda}a) = \bar{\lambda}\alpha_g(a) = \lambda \bullet_\# \alpha_g(a).$$

Thus, the same map gives us an action  $\alpha^\#$  of  $G$  on  $A^\#$ , which we call the *conjugate action*. The definition of the crossed product shows that  $(A \rtimes_\alpha G)^\# \cong A^\# \rtimes_{\alpha^\#} G$ : they are identical as real  $C^*$ -algebras, and the complex structure on  $A \rtimes_\alpha G$  comes from the complex structure on  $A$ . The same map also gives an action  $\alpha^{\text{op}}$  of  $G$  on  $A^{\text{op}}$ , which we call the *opposite action*. The map from Lemma 0.1 intertwines  $\alpha^{\text{op}}$  with  $\alpha^\#$  and hence  $(G, A^{\text{op}}, \alpha^{\text{op}}) \cong (G, A^\#, \alpha^\#)$ . The identification of the crossed product, however, is less direct.

If  $(G, A, \alpha)$  and  $(G, B, \beta)$  are  $G$ - $C^*$ -algebras and are  $G$ -equivariantly isomorphic, then  $A \rtimes_\alpha G \cong B \rtimes_\beta G$ . Thus, an equivariant version of the problem of whether  $C^*$ -algebras are isomorphic to their opposites is whether  $(G, A, \alpha)$  is isomorphic to  $(G, A^\#, \alpha^\#)$ .

## 1. PREPARATORY LEMMAS

Our construction requires two lemmas from cohomology, some properties of a particular finite group, a result on quasidiagonality of crossed products

of integer actions on section algebras of continuous fields, and a lemma concerning tracial states on crossed products by an automorphism with finite Rokhlin dimension.

The following lemma generalizes Lemma 3.6 of [18], which is part of an example of a topological space with specific properties originally suggested by Greg Kuperberg.

**Lemma 1.1.** Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , let  $M$  be a connected compact orientable manifold of dimension  $4n$  and with no boundary, and let  $h: M \rightarrow M$  be a continuous function such that  $h_*: H_*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(M; \mathbb{Z})$  is an isomorphism. Suppose that the signature of  $M$  is nonzero. Then  $h$  is orientation preserving.

*Proof.* We recall the definition of the signature, starting with the bilinear form  $\omega: H^{2n}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \times H^{2n}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  defined as follows. Let  $e_0 \in H_0(M; \mathbb{Z})$  be the standard generator. Thus there is an isomorphism  $\nu: H_0(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\nu(ke_0) = k$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Further let  $c \in H_{4n}(M; \mathbb{Z})$  be the generator corresponding to the orientation of  $M$  (the fundamental class). Also recall the cup product  $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \alpha \smile \beta$  from  $H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \times H^l(M; \mathbb{Z})$  to  $H^{k+l}(M; \mathbb{Z})$  and the cap product  $(\alpha, \beta) \mapsto \alpha \frown \beta$  from  $H^k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \times H_l(M; \mathbb{Z})$  to  $H_{l-k}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ . Then  $\omega$  is given by

$$\omega(\alpha, \beta) = \nu([\alpha \smile \beta] \frown c)$$

for  $\alpha, \beta \in H^{2n}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ . The signature of the form gotten by tensoring with  $\mathbb{R}$  is, by definition, the signature of  $M$ .

The Universal Coefficient Theorem (in [10] see Theorem 3.2 and page 198) and the Five Lemma imply that  $h^*: H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$  is an isomorphism. In particular,  $h^*: H^{2n}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^{2n}(M; \mathbb{Z})$  is an isomorphism. Therefore the bilinear form  $\rho$  on  $H^{2n}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ , given by

$$\rho(\alpha, \beta) = \omega(h^*(\alpha), h^*(\beta)) = \nu([h^*(\alpha) \smile h^*(\beta)] \frown c)$$

for  $\alpha, \beta \in H^{2n}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ , is equivalent to  $\omega$ . In particular,  $\rho$  has the same signature as  $\omega$ .

Now define a bilinear form  $\omega_0$  on  $H^{2n}(M; \mathbb{Z})$  by

$$\omega_0(\alpha, \beta) = \nu([h^*(\alpha) \smile h^*(\beta)] \frown (h_*)^{-1}(c))$$

for  $\alpha, \beta \in H^{2n}(M; \mathbb{Z})$ . The formula for  $\omega_0$  differs from the formula for  $\rho$  only in that  $c$  has been replaced by  $(h_*)^{-1}(c)$ . The maps  $\nu \circ h_*$  and  $\nu$  agree on  $H_0(M; \mathbb{Z})$ . (This is true for any continuous map  $h: M \rightarrow M$ .) Naturality of the cup and cap products therefore implies that  $\omega = \omega_0$ . If  $(h_*)^{-1}(c) = -c$ , then  $\omega_0 = -\rho$ , so  $\omega_0$  and  $\rho$  have opposite signatures. Since  $\omega_0 = \omega$  and  $\rho$  have the same signature by the previous paragraph, we find that the signature of  $\omega$  is zero. This contradiction shows that  $(h_*)^{-1}(c) \neq -c$ .

Since  $h_*$  is an isomorphism and  $H_{4n}(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , it follows that  $h_*(c) = \pm c$ . The previous paragraph rules out  $h_*(c) = -c$ , so  $h_*(c) = c$ .  $\square$

**Lemma 1.2.** Let  $m \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and let  $M$  be a connected compact orientable manifold of dimension  $m$ . Let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  satisfy  $n > m$ , and let  $h: S^n \times M \rightarrow S^n \times M$  be a continuous function. Let  $y_0 \in S^n$ , let  $i: M \rightarrow S^n \times M$  be  $i(x) = (y_0, x)$  for  $x \in M$ , and let  $p: S^n \times M \rightarrow M$  be the projection on the second factor. Then:

- (1) If  $h_*: H_*(S^n \times M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(S^n \times M; \mathbb{Z})$  is an isomorphism then  $(p \circ h \circ i)_*: H_*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(M; \mathbb{Z})$  is an isomorphism.
- (2) If  $h_*: \pi_1(S^n \times M) \rightarrow \pi_1(S^n \times M)$  is an isomorphism then  $(p \circ h \circ i)_*: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$  is an isomorphism. (We omit the choice of basepoints in  $\pi_1$ , since the spaces in question are path connected.)

*Proof.* We prove (1). Let  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ ; we show that

$$(p \circ h \circ i)_*: H_k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_k(M; \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism. For  $k > m$ ,  $H_k(M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ , so this is immediate. Accordingly, we may assume that  $0 \leq k \leq m$ .

Let  $e_0$  be the usual generator of  $H_0(S^n; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$  and let  $e_n$  be a generator of  $H_n(S^n; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $H_*(S^n; \mathbb{Z})$  is free, the Künneth formula ([10, Theorem 3B.6]) implies that the standard pairing  $(\eta, \mu) \mapsto \eta \times \mu$  yields a graded isomorphism

$$\omega: H_*(S^n; \mathbb{Z}) \otimes H_*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(S^n \times M; \mathbb{Z}).$$

Since  $H_l(M; \mathbb{Z}) = 0$  for  $l \geq n$ , it follows that  $\mu \mapsto \omega(e_0 \otimes \mu)$  defines an isomorphism  $\beta: H_k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_k(S^n \times M; \mathbb{Z})$  (and, similarly,  $\mu \mapsto e_n \times \mu$  defines an isomorphism  $H_k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_{n+k}(S^n \times M; \mathbb{Z})$ ). Moreover,  $\beta = i_*$ .

Let  $p_0: S^n \rightarrow \{y_0\}$  be the unique map from  $S^n$  to  $\{y_0\}$ . Since  $(p_0)_*(e_0)$  is a generator of  $H_0(\{y_0\}; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}$ , naturality in the Künneth formula implies that  $p_*(e_0 \times \mu) = \mu$  for  $\mu \in H_k(M; \mathbb{Z})$ . (By contrast,  $p_*(e_n \times \mu) = 0$ .) Thus

$$p_*: H_k(S^n \times M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_k(M; \mathbb{Z})$$

is an isomorphism. (In fact,  $p_* = \beta^{-1}$ .)

We factor  $(p \circ h \circ i)_*: H_k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_k(M; \mathbb{Z})$  as

$$H_k(M; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{i_*} H_k(S^n \times M; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{h_*} H_k(S^n \times M; \mathbb{Z}) \xrightarrow{p_*} H_k(M; \mathbb{Z}).$$

We have just shown that the first and last maps are isomorphisms, and the middle map is an isomorphism by hypothesis. So  $(p \circ h \circ i)_*$  is an isomorphism.

Part (2) follows immediately as soon as we know that  $p_*$  and  $i_*$  are isomorphisms. This fact follows from [10, Proposition 1.12].  $\square$

We will start our constructions with the manifold  $M$  used in [18, Example 3.5], with  $\pi_1(M) \cong \langle a, b \mid a^3 = b^7 = 1, aba^{-1} = b^2 \rangle$ . There is a gap in the proof for [18, Example 3.5]; we need to know that there is no automorphism of  $\pi_1(M)$  which sends the image of  $a$  in the abelianization to the image of  $a^2$ . We prove that here; for convenience of the reader and to establish notation in the proof, we prove all the properties of  $G$  from scratch.

**Lemma 1.3.** Let  $G$  be the group with presentation in terms of generators and relations given by  $G = \langle a, b \mid a^3 = b^7 = 1, aba^{-1} = b^2 \rangle$ . Then  $G$  is a finite group with 21 elements, its abelianization is isomorphic to  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  and is generated by the image of  $a$ , and every automorphism of  $G$  induces the identity automorphism on its abelianization.

*Proof.* Rewrite the last relation as  $ab = b^2a$ . It follows that for all  $r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  there is  $t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$  such that  $a^r b^s = b^t a^r$ . Since  $a$  and  $b$  have finite order, we therefore have

$$(1.1) \quad G = \{b^t a^r : r, t \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}\}.$$

Since  $a^3 = b^7 = 1$ , it follows that  $G$  has at most 21 elements.

Write  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, 2\}$  and  $\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z} = \{0, 1, \dots, 6\}$ . One checks that there is an automorphism  $\gamma$  of  $\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\gamma(1) = 2$ , and that  $\gamma^3 = \text{id}_{\mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z}}$ . Thus, there is a semidirect product group  $S = \mathbb{Z}/7\mathbb{Z} \rtimes_{\gamma} \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ . Moreover, the elements  $(0, 1)$  and  $(1, 0)$  satisfy the relations defining  $G$ . Therefore there is a surjective homomorphism  $\psi: G \rightarrow S$  such that  $\psi(a) = (1, 0)$  and  $\psi(b) = (0, 1)$ . So  $G$  has exactly 21 elements and the subgroup  $\langle b \rangle \subset G$  is normal and has order 7.

Let  $H$  be the abelianization of  $G$  and let  $\pi: G \rightarrow H$  be the associated map. The relations for  $G$  show that there is a surjective homomorphism  $\kappa: G \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\kappa(a) = 1$  and  $\kappa(b) = 0$ . Therefore  $\mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$  is a quotient of  $H$ . Since  $\text{card}(G)/\text{card}(H)$  is prime and  $G$  is not abelian, we get  $H \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , generated by  $\pi(a)$ . Moreover,  $\pi(b)$  is the identity element of  $H$ .

Now let  $\varphi: G \rightarrow G$  be an automorphism, and let  $\bar{\varphi}: H \rightarrow H$  be the induced automorphism of  $H$ . To show that  $\bar{\varphi} = \text{id}_H$ , we must rule out  $\bar{\varphi}(\pi(a)) = \pi(a^2)$ . So assume  $\bar{\varphi}(\pi(a)) = \pi(a^2)$ . Use (1.1),  $a^3 = b^7 = 1$ , and  $\pi(b) = 1$  to find  $r \in \{0, 1, \dots, 6\}$  such that  $\varphi(a) = b^r a^2$ . Since  $\langle b \rangle$  is a normal Sylow 7-subgroup, all elements of  $G$  of order 7 are contained in  $\langle b \rangle$ , so there is  $s \in \{1, 2, \dots, 6\}$  such that  $\varphi(b) = b^s$ . Apply  $\varphi$  to the relation  $aba^{-1} = b^2$  to get

$$(1.2) \quad b^r a^2 b^s a^{-2} b^{-r} = b^{2s}.$$

The relation  $aba^{-1} = b^2$  also implies that  $ab^s a^{-1} = b^{2s}$ , so  $a^2 b^s a^{-2} = b^{4s}$ . Substituting in (1.2) gives  $b^{4s} = b^{2s}$ . Thus  $(b^s)^2 = 1$ . Since  $\langle b \rangle$  is cyclic of odd order, we get  $b^s = 1$ , so  $\varphi(b) = 1$ , a contradiction.  $\square$

The proof of the following lemma is motivated by ideas from the proof of Theorem 9 in [21].

**Lemma 1.4.** Let  $A$  be a separable continuous trace  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ . Set  $X = \text{Prim}(A)$ , let  $h: X \rightarrow X$  be homeomorphism induced by  $\alpha$  (so that if  $P \subset A$  is a primitive ideal, then  $h(P) = \alpha(P)$ ), and assume that  $X$  is an infinite compact metrizable space and that  $h$  is minimal. Then  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  is simple and quasidiagonal.

*Proof.* Simplicity of  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  follows from the corollary to Theorem 1 in [1].

We claim that there is a nonzero homomorphism

$$\varphi: A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow C_b(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, K)/C_0(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, K).$$

Since  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  is simple, it will then follow that  $\varphi$  is injective. Since  $A$  is nuclear, so is  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore we can lift  $\varphi$  to a completely positive contraction  $T: A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow C_b(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, K)$ . We thus get a sequence  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$  of completely positive contractions  $T_n: A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow K$  such that

$$(1.3) \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n(ab) - T_n(a)T_n(b)\| = 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_n(a)\| = \|a\|$$

for all  $a, b \in A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ . We can pick a sequence  $(p_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$  in  $K$  consisting of finite rank projections such that, if for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  we replace  $T_n$  by  $a \mapsto p_n T_n(x) p_n$ , the resulting sequence of maps still satisfies (1.3). Thus, we may assume that there is a sequence  $(l(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , we actually have a completely positive contraction  $T_n: A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow M_{l(n)}$ ; moreover, the sequence  $(T_n)_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$  satisfies (1.3). Thus  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  is quasidiagonal.

It remains to prove the claim. It suffices to prove the claim for  $A \otimes K$  and  $\alpha \otimes \text{id}_K$  in place of  $A$  and  $\alpha$ . By Proposition 5.59 in [22], we may therefore assume that  $A$  is the section algebra of a locally trivial continuous field  $E$  over  $X$  with fiber  $K$ .

Fix a point  $x_0 \in X$ . Choose a closed neighborhood  $S$  of  $x_0$  such that  $E|_S$  is trivial, and let  $\kappa: A \rightarrow C(S, K)$  be the composition of the quotient map  $A \rightarrow \Gamma(E|_S)$  and a trivialization  $\Gamma(E|_S) \rightarrow C(S, K)$ . For  $x \in S$  let  $\text{ev}_x: C(S, K) \rightarrow K$  be evaluation at  $x$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  define  $\sigma_n = \text{ev}_{x_0} \circ \kappa \circ \alpha^n: A \rightarrow K$ , a surjective homomorphism with kernel  $h^{-n}(x_0) \in X = \text{Prim}(A)$ .

Since  $h$  is minimal, there is a sequence  $(k(n))_{n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}}$  in  $\mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k(n) = \infty \quad \text{and} \quad \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h^{-k(n)}(x_0) = x_0.$$

Without loss of generality  $h^{-k(n)}(x_0) \in S$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , the homomorphisms  $\sigma_{k(n)}$  and  $\text{ev}_{h^{-k(n)}(x_0)} \circ \kappa$  are surjective homomorphisms from  $A$  to  $K$  with the same kernel (namely  $h^{-k(n)}(x_0) \in X = \text{Prim}(A)$ ), so they are unitarily equivalent irreducible representations. That is, there is a unitary  $v_n \in M(K) = L(l^2)$  such that

$$v_n \sigma_{k(n)}(a) v_n^{-1} = (\text{ev}_{h^{-k(n)}(x_0)} \circ \kappa)(a)$$

for all  $a \in A$ . Choose  $c_n \in M(K)_{\text{sa}}$  with  $\|c_n\| \leq \pi$  such that  $v_n = \exp(ic_n)$ , and set  $w_n = \exp(ik(n)^{-1}c_n)$ . Then

$$\|w_n - 1\| \leq \frac{\pi}{k(n)} \quad \text{and} \quad w_n^{k(n)} = v_n.$$

Define  $\rho_n: A \rightarrow M_{k(n)}(K)$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \rho_n(a) = & \text{diag}(\sigma_0(a), w_n \sigma_1(a) w_n^{-1}, w_n^2 \sigma_2(a) w_n^{-2}, \\ & \dots, w_n^{k(n)-1} \sigma_{k(n)-1}(a) w_n^{-(k(n)-1)}) \end{aligned}$$

for  $a \in A$ . Further define the permutation unitary  $u_n \in M(M_{k(n)}(K))$  by

$$u_n = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & \ddots & \vdots \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \ddots & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 1 \\ 1 & 0 & \cdots & \cdots & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$

We want to show that for all  $a \in A$  we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|\rho_n(\alpha(a)) - u_n \rho_n(a) u_n^*\| = 0$ . To do this, let  $a \in A$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned} & \rho_n(\alpha(a)) \\ &= \text{diag}(\sigma_1(a), w_n \sigma_2(a) w_n^{-1}, w_n^2 \sigma_3(a) w_n^{-2}, \dots, w_n^{k(n)-1} \sigma_{k(n)}(a) w_n^{-(k(n)-1)}) \\ &= u_n \text{diag}(w_n^{k(n)-1} \sigma_{k(n)}(a) w_n^{-(k(n)-1)}, \sigma_1(a), \\ & \quad w_n \sigma_2(a) w_n^{-1}, \dots, w_n^{k(n)-2} \sigma_{k(n)-1}(a) w_n^{-(k(n)-2)}) u_n^*. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore

$$\begin{aligned} & \|\rho_n(\alpha(a)) - u_n \rho_n(a) u_n^*\| \\ &= \|\rho_n(a) - u_n^* \rho_n(\alpha(a)) u_n\| \\ &= \max \left( \|\sigma_0(a) - w_n^{k(n)-1} \sigma_{k(n)}(a) w_n^{-(k(n)-1)}\|, \right. \\ & \quad \left\| w_n \sigma_1(a) w_n^{-1} - \sigma_1(a) \right\|, \left\| w_n^2 \sigma_2(a) w_n^{-2} - w_n \sigma_2(a) w_n^{-1} \right\|, \\ & \quad \dots, \left\| w_n^{k(n)-1} \sigma_{k(n)-1}(a) w_n^{-(k(n)-1)} - w_n^{k(n)-2} \sigma_{k(n)-1}(a) w_n^{-(k(n)-2)} \right\| \right). \end{aligned}$$

Every term except the first on the right hand side of this estimate is dominated by

$$2\|w_n - 1\| \|a\| \leq \frac{2\pi \|a\|}{k(n)}.$$

The first term is estimated as follows:

$$\begin{aligned} & \left\| \sigma_0(a) - w_n^{k(n)-1} \sigma_{k(n)}(a) w_n^{-(k(n)-1)} \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| \sigma_0(a) - v_n \sigma_{k(n)}(a) v_n^* \right\| \\ & \quad + \left\| w_n^{k(n)} \sigma_{k(n)}(a) w_n^{-k(n)} - w_n^{k(n)-1} \sigma_{k(n)}(a) w_n^{-(k(n)-1)} \right\| \\ & \leq \left\| (\text{ev}_{x_0} \circ \kappa)(a) - (\text{ev}_{h^{-k(n)}(x_0)} \circ \kappa)(a) \right\| + 2\|w_n - 1\| \|a\| \\ & \leq \left\| (\text{ev}_{x_0} \circ \kappa)(a) - (\text{ev}_{h^{-k(n)}(x_0)} \circ \kappa)(a) \right\| + \frac{2\pi \|a\|}{k(n)}. \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Since  $\kappa(a) \in C(S, K)$  is continuous and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} h^{-k(n)}(x_0) = x_0$ , there is  $N_1 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that for all  $n \geq N_1$  we have

$$\left\| (\text{ev}_{x_0} \circ \kappa)(a) - (\text{ev}_{h^{-k(n)}(x_0)} \circ \kappa)(a) \right\| < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k(n) = \infty$ , there is  $N_2 \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  such that for all  $n \geq N_2$  we have

$$\frac{2\pi\|a\|}{k(n)} < \frac{\varepsilon}{2}.$$

For  $n \geq \max(N_1, N_2)$ , we then have  $\|\rho_n(\alpha(a)) - u_n \rho_n(a) u_n^*\| < \varepsilon$ , as desired.

For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  choose an isomorphism  $\psi_n: M_{k(n)}(K) \rightarrow K$ , and use the same symbol for the induced isomorphism  $M_{k(n)}(M(K)) \rightarrow M(K)$ . Let  $u \in M(C_b(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, K)/C_0(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, K))$  be the image there of

$$(\psi_1(u_1), \psi_2(u_2), \dots) \in C_b(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, M(K)),$$

and for  $a \in A$  let  $\psi(a) \in C_b(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, K)/C_0(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, K)$  be the image there of

$$((\psi_1 \circ \rho_1)(a), (\psi_2 \circ \rho_2)(a), \dots) \in C_b(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, K).$$

Then  $u\psi(a)u^* = \psi(\alpha(a))$  for all  $a \in A$ , so  $u$  and  $\psi$  together define a homomorphism

$$\varphi: A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow C_b(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, K)/C_0(\mathbb{Z}_{>0}, K).$$

This homomorphism is nonzero because if we choose  $c \in K \setminus \{0\}$  then there is  $a \in A$  such that  $\kappa(a)$  is the constant function with value  $c$ , and  $\|\psi(a)\|$  is easily checked to be  $\|c\|$ . This completes the proof of the claim, and thus of the lemma.  $\square$

To show that the crossed product is quasidiagonal, it isn't actually necessary that  $h$  be minimal. It suffices to assume that every point of  $X$  is chain recurrent. The basic idea is the same, but the notation gets messier.

The next lemma shows that for crossed products by automorphisms with finite Rokhlin dimension, any tracial state on the crossed product arises from an invariant tracial state on the original algebra. We refer to [11] for a discussion of finite Rokhlin dimension in the nonunital setting. (See Definition 1.21 of [11].)

**Lemma 1.5.** Let  $A$  be a separable  $C^*$ -algebra, and let  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$  be an automorphism with finite Rokhlin dimension. Let  $P: A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A$  be the canonical conditional expectation. Then for any tracial state  $\tau$  on  $A \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$  there is an  $\alpha$ -invariant tracial state  $\rho$  on  $A$  such that  $\tau = \rho \circ P$ .

*Proof.* Let  $d \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  be the Rokhlin dimension of  $\alpha$ . Apply the proof of [12, Proposition 2.8] and [12, Remark 2.9] to Definition 1.21 of [11], to get the following single tower version of Rokhlin dimension, in which  $d$  is replaced by  $2d + 1$ . For any finite set  $F \subset A$ , any  $p > 0$ , and any  $\varepsilon > 0$ , there are positive contractions  $f_0^{(l)}, f_1^{(l)}, \dots, f_{p-1}^{(l)} \in A$  for  $l = 0, 1, \dots, 2d + 1$ , such that:

- (1)  $\|f_j^{(l)} f_k^{(l)} b\| < \varepsilon$  for  $l = 0, 1, \dots, 2d + 1$ ,  $j, k = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$  with  $j \neq k$ , and all  $b \in F$ .
- (2)  $\left\| \left( \sum_{l=0}^{2d+1} \sum_{j=0}^{p-1} f_j^{(l)} \right) b - b \right\| < \varepsilon$  for all  $b \in F$ .
- (3)  $\|[f_j^{(l)}, b]\| < \varepsilon$  for  $l = 0, 1, \dots, 2d + 1$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, p - 1$ , and all  $b \in F$ .

- (4)  $\|(\alpha(f_j^{(l)}) - f_{j+1}^{(l)})b\| < \varepsilon$  for  $l = 0, 1, \dots, 2d+1$ ,  $j = 0, 1, \dots, p-2$ ,  
and all  $b \in F$ .
- (5)  $\|(\alpha(f_{p-1}^{(l)}) - f_0^{(l)})b\| < \varepsilon$  for  $l = 0, 1, \dots, 2d+1$  and all  $b \in F$ .

The argument of Remark 1.18 of [11] shows that we can replace (1) by the stronger condition:

- (6)  $f_k^{(l)} f_j^{(l)} = 0$  for  $l = 0, 1, \dots, 2d+1$  and  $j, k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$  with  $j \neq k$ .

Let  $u$  be the canonical unitary in  $M(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z})$ . Since  $A$  contains an approximate identity for  $A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ , the restriction  $\tau|_A$  has norm 1. Therefore  $\tau|_A$  is an  $\alpha$ -invariant tracial state. Thus, it suffices to show that  $\tau(au^n) = 0$  for all  $a \in A$  and for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ . We may assume that  $\|a\| \leq 1$ . Since  $au^{-n} = (u^n a^*)^* = [\alpha^n(a^*)u^n]^*$ , it suffices to treat the case  $n > 0$ . Fix  $\varepsilon > 0$ ; we prove that  $|\tau(au^n)| < \varepsilon$ .

Define

$$\varepsilon_0 = \frac{\varepsilon}{2nd + n + 1}.$$

Then  $\varepsilon_0 > 0$ . An argument using polynomial approximations to the function  $\lambda \mapsto \lambda^{1/2}$  on  $[0, \infty)$  provides  $\delta > 0$  such that  $\delta \leq \varepsilon_0$  and whenever  $C$  is a  $C^*$ -algebra and  $b, c, x \in C$  satisfy

$$\|b\| \leq 1, \quad \|c\| \leq 1, \quad \|x\| \leq 1, \quad b \geq 0, \quad c \geq 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \|bx - xc\| < \delta,$$

then  $\|b^{1/2}x - xc^{1/2}\| < \varepsilon_0$ . Set  $\delta_0 = \delta/(n+1)$ .

Apply the single tower property above with (6) in place of (1), with  $p = n+1$ , with

$$F = \{a, a^*, \alpha^{-1}(a^*), \alpha^{-2}(a^*), \dots, \alpha^{-(n-1)}(a^*)\},$$

and with  $\delta_0$  in place of  $\varepsilon$ , getting positive contractions  $f_0^{(l)}, f_1^{(l)}, \dots, f_n^{(l)} \in A$  as above. In particular, whenever  $j \neq k$  we have  $f_j^{(l)} f_k^{(l)} = 0$ , so  $(f_j^{(l)})^{1/2} (f_k^{(l)})^{1/2} = 0$ .

In the following estimates, we interpret all subscripts in expressions  $f_k^{(l)}$  as elements of  $\{0, 1, \dots, n\}$  by reduction modulo  $n+1$ . For  $l = 0, 1, \dots, 2d+1$ , for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, p-1$ , and for  $b \in A$ , we have

$$\|(\alpha^n(f_{k-n}^{(l)}) - f_k^{(l)})b\| \leq \sum_{m=1}^n \|\alpha^{n-m}((\alpha(f_{k-n+m-1}^{(l)}) - f_{k-n+m}^{(l)})\alpha^{m-n}(b))\|.$$

Putting  $b = a^*$ , and using (4), (5), and the definition of  $F$ , it follows that

$$\|(\alpha^n(f_{k-n}^{(l)}) - f_k^{(l)})a^*\| < n\delta_0.$$

Using  $u^n b u^{-n} = \alpha^n(b)$  for  $b \in A$  and taking adjoints, we get

$$\|a(f_k^{(l)} - u^n f_{k-n}^{(l)} u^{-n})\| < n\delta_0.$$

Therefore, also using (3),

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_k^{(l)} au^n - au^n f_{k-n}^{(l)}\| \\ & \leq \|f_k^{(l)} a - a f_k^{(l)}\| \|u^n\| + \|a(f_k^{(l)} - u^n f_{k-n}^{(l)} u^{-n})\| \|u^n\| \\ & < \delta_0 + n\delta_0 = \delta. \end{aligned}$$

Using the choice of  $\delta$  and  $\|f_k^{(l)}\| \leq 1$  at the second step, we now get

$$\begin{aligned} & \|f_k^{(l)} au^n - (f_k^{(l)})^{1/2} au^n (f_k^{(l)})^{1/2}\| \\ & \leq \|(f_k^{(l)})^{1/2}\| \cdot \|(f_k^{(l)})^{1/2} au^n - au^n (f_k^{(l)})^{1/2}\| < \varepsilon_0. \end{aligned}$$

Using the trace property at the second step and, at the third step, the fact that  $k$  and  $k - n$  are not equal modulo  $n + 1$ , we now get

$$\begin{aligned} |\tau(f_k^{(l)} au^n)| & < |\tau((f_k^{(l)})^{1/2} au^n (f_{k-n}^{(l)})^{1/2})| + \varepsilon_0 \\ & = |\tau((f_{k-n}^{(l)})^{1/2} (f_k^{(l)})^{1/2} au^n)| + \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon_0. \end{aligned}$$

Using (2) and  $\delta_0 \leq \varepsilon_0$ , we therefore get

$$|\tau(au^n)| < \left| \tau \left( \sum_{l=0}^{2d+1} \sum_{j=0}^n f_j^{(l)} au^n \right) \right| + \delta_0 < (2d+1)n\varepsilon_0 + \varepsilon_0 = \varepsilon.$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

## 2. CONSTRUCTING THE EXAMPLES

In this section, we construct our examples.

**Theorem 2.1.** There exist a simple unital separable AH-algebra  $A$  with a unique tracial state and satisfying  $A \cong A \otimes M_{2^\infty}$ , and a continuous action  $\gamma: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$ , with the following properties:

- (1) The fixed point subalgebra  $A^\gamma$  is not isomorphic to its opposite.
- (2) The crossed product  $A \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{T}$  is not isomorphic to its opposite.
- (3) The C\*-algebra  $A$  is not  $\mathbb{T}$ -equivariantly isomorphic to its opposite.

**Theorem 2.2.** There exist a unital Kirchberg algebra  $B$  satisfying the Universal Coefficient Theorem, and a continuous action  $\gamma: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(B)$ , with the following properties:

- (1) The fixed point subalgebra  $B^\gamma$  is not isomorphic to its opposite.
- (2) The crossed product  $B \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{T}$  is not isomorphic to its opposite.
- (3) The C\*-algebra  $B$  is not  $\mathbb{T}$ -equivariantly isomorphic to its opposite.

The proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 are the same until nearly the end, so we prove them together.

*Proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2.* We start with the compact connected manifold  $M$  used in [18, Example 3.5], whose fundamental group can be identified with the group  $G$  of Lemma 1.3 and whose signature is

nonzero. It follows from [10, Theorem 2A.1] that  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ , generated by the image of  $a$  under the map  $\pi_1(M) \rightarrow H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$ , so Poincaré duality ([10, Theorem 3.30]) gives  $H^3(M; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ .

Let  $\eta \in H^3(M; \mathbb{Z})$  be a generator. We claim that if  $h: M \rightarrow M$  is a continuous map such that the induced maps  $h_*: H_*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(M; \mathbb{Z})$  and  $h_*: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$  are isomorphisms, then  $h^*(\eta) = \eta$ .

To prove the claim, note first that the Universal Coefficient Theorem and the Five Lemma imply that  $h^*: H^*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^*(M; \mathbb{Z})$  is an isomorphism as well. By Lemma 1.1,  $h$  is orientation preserving. Since  $h_*: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$  is an automorphism, and there is no automorphism of  $\pi_1(M)$  which sends  $a$  to  $a^{-1}$ , it follows by naturality of the Hurweicz map that  $h_*: H_1(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_1(M; \mathbb{Z})$  is the identity. Since  $h_*$  fixes the orientation class, it follows by naturality in Poincaré duality that  $h^*$  is also the identity on  $H^3(M; \mathbb{Z})$ , as required.

To proceed, we would have liked to have a minimal homeomorphism of  $M$ . In Remark 3.1 below, we explain why no such homeomorphism exists. We remedy this situation by giving ourselves more space, as follows.

Choose an odd integer  $n \geq 5$ . Let  $\eta_0 \in H^3(S^n \times M; \mathbb{Z})$  be the product of the standard generator of  $H^0(S^n; \mathbb{Z})$  and  $\eta$ . Let  $h: S^n \times M \rightarrow S^n \times M$  be a continuous function such that the induced maps

$$h_*: H_*(S^n \times M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(S^n \times M; \mathbb{Z})$$

and

$$h_*: \pi_1(S^n \times M) \rightarrow \pi_1(S^n \times M)$$

are isomorphisms. Applying Lemma 1.2 and following the notation there, the induced maps

$$(p \circ h \circ i)_*: H_*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H_*(M; \mathbb{Z}) \quad \text{and} \quad (p \circ h \circ i)_*: \pi_1(M) \rightarrow \pi_1(M)$$

are isomorphisms. By the claim above,  $(p \circ h \circ i)^*(\eta) = \eta$ . It follows from the definitions of the maps and of  $\eta_0$  that  $h^*(\eta_0) = \eta_0$ .

By [9, Corollary 1.7], there exist  $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  and a locally trivial continuous field  $E$  over  $S^n \times M$  with fiber  $M_N$  whose section algebra  $\Gamma(E)$  has Dixmier-Douady invariant  $\eta_0$ . We identify  $\text{Prim}(\Gamma(E))$  with  $S^n \times M$  in the obvious way. Since  $\Gamma(E)^{\text{op}}$  has Dixmier-Douady invariant  $-\eta_0$ , an isomorphism from  $\Gamma(E) \otimes K$  to  $\Gamma(E)^{\text{op}} \otimes K$  would induce a homeomorphism from  $\text{Prim}(\Gamma(E))$  to itself whose induced action on  $H^3(S^n \times M)$  sends  $\eta_0$  to  $-\eta_0$ . Since no such homeomorphism exists, it follows that  $\Gamma(E)$  is not stably isomorphic to its opposite algebra.

Since  $S^n$  admits a free action of  $\mathbb{T}$ , so does  $S^n \times M$ . By [8, Theorem 1 and Theorem 4], there exists a uniquely ergodic minimal diffeomorphism  $h: S^n \times M \rightarrow S^n \times M$  which is homotopic to the identity. Thus  $h^*(E) \cong E$ . Therefore there exists an automorphism  $\alpha: \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$  which induces  $h$  on  $\text{Prim}(\Gamma(E))$ . Set  $A_0 = \Gamma(E) \rtimes_{\alpha} \mathbb{Z}$ . Then  $A_0$  is a separable unital nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra satisfying the Universal Coefficient Theorem. The algebra  $A_0$  is simple and quasidiagonal by Lemma 1.4.

We claim that  $A_0$  has finite nuclear dimension and a unique tracial state. To prove the claim, use [15, Corollary 3.10] to see that the decomposition rank of  $\Gamma(E)$  is  $\dim(S^n \times M)$ , hence finite. Therefore  $\Gamma(E)$  has finite nuclear dimension. Since the center of  $\Gamma(E)$  is isomorphic to  $C(S^n \times M)$ , it follows that  $\alpha|_{Z(\Gamma(E))}$  is an automorphism of  $C(S^n \times M)$  arising from a minimal homeomorphism. Therefore, by [12, Theorem 6.1] or [25, Corollary 2.6],  $\alpha|_{Z(\Gamma(E))}$  has finite Rokhlin dimension. It follows immediately from the definition of finite Rokhlin dimension that if  $\alpha$  is an automorphism of a  $C^*$ -algebra  $C$  and the restriction of  $\alpha$  to the center of  $C$  has finite Rokhlin dimension, then  $\alpha$  has finite Rokhlin dimension as well (with commuting towers). Therefore  $A_0$  has finite nuclear dimension by [12, Theorem 4.1]. Since  $h$  uniquely ergodic,  $\Gamma(E)$  admits a unique invariant tracial state, and thus, by Lemma 1.5,  $A_0$  has a unique tracial state.

The fixed point subalgebra of the dual action  $\gamma$  of  $\mathbb{T}$  on  $A_0$  is isomorphic to  $\Gamma(E)$ . Therefore it is not isomorphic to its opposite algebra. By Takai duality, the crossed product of  $A_0$  by the dual action is stably isomorphic to  $\Gamma(E)$ , and therefore also not isomorphic to its opposite. In general, if two  $C^*$ -algebras with a  $G$ -action are equivariantly isomorphic, it follows immediately that their fixed point subalgebras are isomorphic. Thus,  $(\mathbb{T}, A_0, \gamma)$  is not equivariantly isomorphic to its opposite  $(\mathbb{T}, A_0^{\text{op}}, \gamma^{\text{op}})$ .

The remainder of the proof consists of showing that the same properties remain after we tensor everything with  $\mathcal{O}_\infty$  (for Theorem 2.2) or with  $M_{2^\infty}$  (for Theorem 2.1).

For any continuous field  $F$  over  $X$  and any nuclear  $C^*$ -algebra  $D$ , denote by  $F \otimes D$  the continuous field whose fiber over  $x \in X$  is  $F_x \otimes D$ . (This is in fact a continuous field by [14, Theorem 4.5].) Suppose that  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are two continuous fields over  $X$  with fibers  $M_N$ , and that  $\Gamma(F_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K) \cong \Gamma(F_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K)$ . Since the fibers of these fields are simple, it follows that there is a homeomorphism  $g: X \rightarrow X$  such that  $g^*(F_2 \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K) \cong F_1 \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K$ . Apply [4, Corollary 4.9], noting that  $\mathbb{C}$  is included among the strongly selfabsorbing  $C^*$ -algebras there. (See the beginning of [4, Section 2.1].) We conclude that  $g^*(F_2) \otimes K \cong F_1 \otimes K$ , so  $\Gamma(F_2) \otimes K \cong \Gamma(F_1) \otimes K$ . Taking  $F_1 = E$  and  $F_2 = E^\#$  (the fiberwise conjugate field, with fibers  $(E^\#)_x = (E_x)^\#$ ), the fact that  $\Gamma(E)$  is not stably isomorphic to its opposite algebra now gives the second step of the following calculation, while  $(\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K)^\# \cong \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K$  gives the third step:

$$(2.1) \quad \begin{aligned} \Gamma(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K &\cong \Gamma(E \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K) \\ &\not\cong \Gamma(E^\# \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K) \\ &\cong \Gamma(E^\# \otimes (\mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K)^\#) \cong (\Gamma(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K)^\#. \end{aligned}$$

Set  $B = A_0 \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty$  and let  $\gamma: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(B)$  be the tensor product of the dual action on  $A_0$  and the trivial action on  $\mathcal{O}_\infty$ . Then

$$B \rtimes_\gamma \mathbb{T} \cong \Gamma(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K, \quad B^\# \rtimes_{\gamma^\#} \mathbb{T} \cong (\Gamma(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty \otimes K)^\#,$$

$$B^\gamma \cong \Gamma(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty, \quad \text{and} \quad (B^\#)^\gamma \cong (\Gamma(E) \otimes \mathcal{O}_\infty)^\#.$$

So parts (1) and (2) of Theorem 2.2 follow from (2.1) and Lemma 0.1. Part (3) is now immediate. Since  $B$  is a unital Kirchberg algebra which satisfies the Universal Coefficient Theorem, we have proved Theorem 2.2.

Next we are going to show that if we tensor  $E$  fiberwise with the CAR algebra  $M_{2^\infty}$ , the section algebra will still fail to be stably isomorphic to its opposite algebra.

Set  $D = M_{2^\infty}$  and let  $\overline{E}_D^*(X)$  be the (reduced) cohomology theory which arises as in [4, Corollary 3.9] from the infinite loop structure of the classifying space of  $\text{Aut}_0(D \otimes K)$ , the component of the identity of the automorphism group of  $D \otimes K$ . As in [4, Corollary 3.9], locally trivial bundles with fiber  $D \otimes K$  and structure group  $\text{Aut}_0(D \otimes K)$  over a finite connected CW complex  $X$  are classified by the group  $\overline{E}_D^1(X)$ .

As in the proof of Corollary 4.8 in [4], the unital map  $\mathbb{C} \rightarrow D$  induces a morphism  $\text{Aut}_0(K) \rightarrow \text{Aut}_0(D \otimes K)$  and a natural transformation of cohomology theories  $T: \overline{E}_\mathbb{C}^*(X) \rightarrow \overline{E}_D^*(X)$ . Let  $t: H^3(X; \mathbb{Z}) \rightarrow H^3(X; \mathbb{Z}[1/2])$  be the coefficient map induced by

$$\mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{\cong} \pi_2(\text{Aut}_0(K)) \longrightarrow \pi_2(\text{Aut}_0(D \otimes K)) \xrightarrow{\cong} K_0(D) \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathbb{Z}[1/2].$$

Using the naturality of the Atiyah-Hirzebruch spectral sequence, it was furthermore shown in the proof of Corollary 4.8 in [4] that there is a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \overline{E}_\mathbb{C}^1(X) & \xrightarrow{T} & \overline{E}_D^1(X) \\ \delta_1 \downarrow & & \downarrow \delta_1 \\ H^3(X; \mathbb{Z}) & \xrightarrow{t} & H^3(X; \mathbb{Z}[1/2]) \end{array}$$

in which the vertical maps are the edge homomorphisms. The first vertical map is an isomorphism and it can be identified with the Dixmier-Douady map. In particular,  $T$  is injective whenever  $t$  is injective.

In the case of  $X = S^n \times M$  with  $M$  and  $n$  as above,  $H^3(X; \mathbb{Z}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/3\mathbb{Z}$ . Since  $X$  is a compact manifold, its integral cohomology is finitely generated. It therefore follows from the cohomology Universal Coefficient Theorem given for chain complexes in Theorem 10 in Section 5 of Chapter 5 of [24] that  $t$  is bijective. Hence the map  $T: \overline{E}_\mathbb{C}^1(X) \rightarrow \overline{E}_D^1(X)$  is injective.

Now suppose that  $F_1$  and  $F_2$  are two continuous fields over  $X$  with fibers  $M_N$ , and that  $\Gamma(F_1 \otimes D \otimes K) \cong \Gamma(F_2 \otimes D \otimes K)$ . As in the argument above for the case  $D = \mathcal{O}_\infty$ , there is a homeomorphism  $h: X \rightarrow X$  such that  $h^*(F_2 \otimes D \otimes K) \cong F_1 \otimes D \otimes K$ . Since  $\overline{E}_\mathbb{C}^1(X) \rightarrow \overline{E}_D^1(X)$  is injective, it follows that  $h^*(F_2 \otimes K) \cong F_1 \otimes K$ . Taking  $F_1 = E$  and  $F_2 = E^\#$  as before, we deduce as before that

$$\Gamma(E) \otimes D \otimes K \not\cong (\Gamma(E) \otimes D \otimes K)^\#.$$

Now define  $A = A_0 \otimes D$  and let  $\gamma: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$  be the tensor product of the dual action on  $A_0$  and the trivial action on  $D$ . Proceed as before to deduce parts (1), (2), and (3) of Theorem 2.1.

Since  $A = A_0 \otimes M_{2^\infty}$ , it follows from [17, Theorem 6.1] that  $A$  is tracially AF and from [17, Corollary 6.1] that  $A$  is isomorphic to an AH-algebra with real rank zero and no dimension growth. This concludes the proof of Theorem 2.1.  $\square$

**Corollary 2.3.** There exist a simple unital separable AH-algebra  $A$  with a unique tracial state and satisfying  $A \cong A \otimes M_{2^\infty}$ , and an automorphism  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$  such that  $\alpha^n$  is outer for all  $n \neq 0$ , with the following properties:

- (1) The fixed point subalgebra  $A^\alpha$  is not isomorphic to its opposite.
- (2) The C\*-algebra  $A$  is not  $\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariantly isomorphic to its opposite.

**Corollary 2.4.** There exist a unital Kirchberg algebra  $B$  satisfying the Universal Coefficient Theorem and an automorphism  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(B)$  such that  $\alpha^n$  is outer for all  $n \neq 0$ , with the following properties:

- (1) The fixed point subalgebra  $B^\alpha$  is not isomorphic to its opposite.
- (2) The C\*-algebra  $B$  is not  $\mathbb{Z}$ -equivariantly isomorphic to its opposite.

*Proofs of Corollary 2.3 and Corollary 2.4.* The proofs of both corollaries are the same. Let  $\gamma: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A)$  or  $\gamma: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(B)$  be the circle action from Theorem 2.1 or Theorem 2.2 as appropriate. Let  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$  be an irrational angle, so that  $\mathbb{Z} \cdot \zeta$  is dense in  $\mathbb{T}$ . Set  $\alpha = \gamma_\zeta$ . Then  $A^\gamma = A^\alpha$  or  $B^\gamma = B^\alpha$ . If  $\alpha$  is chosen suitably, then  $\alpha^n$  will be outer for all  $n \neq 0$ . Such choices exist by Lemma 2.5.  $\square$

In these corollaries, we do not claim that the crossed products are not isomorphic. In particular, for the actions used in the proof of Corollary 2.4, we will show that the crossed products actually are at least sometimes isomorphic; probably this is true in general. We need a lemma, which states in greater generality than we need.

**Lemma 2.5.** Let  $A$  be a separable unital C\*-algebra. Let  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(A)$ . Suppose  $A$  has a faithful invariant tracial state  $\tau$ . Let  $\gamma: \mathbb{T} \rightarrow \text{Aut}(A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z})$  be the dual action on the crossed product. Then for all but countably many  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ , the automorphism  $\gamma_\lambda$  is outer.

*Proof.* Let  $\pi: A \rightarrow L(H)$  be the Gelfand-Naimark-Segal representation associated with  $\tau$ , and let  $\xi \in H$  be the associated cyclic vector. Using the  $\alpha$ -invariance of  $\tau$ , we find that

$$\langle \pi(\alpha(a))\xi, \pi(\alpha(b))\xi \rangle = \langle \pi(a)\xi, \pi(b)\xi \rangle$$

for all  $a, b \in A$ , from which it follows that there is a unique isometry  $s \in L(H)$  such that  $s\pi(a)\xi = \pi(\alpha(a))\xi$  for all  $a \in A$ . Applying the same argument with  $\alpha^{-1}$  in place of  $\alpha$ , we find that  $s$  is unitary.

Let  $\lambda \in \mathbb{T}$ . We claim that if  $\gamma_\lambda$  is inner, then  $\bar{\lambda}$  is an eigenvalue of  $s$ . Since  $H$  is separable,  $s$  has at most countably many eigenvalues, and the lemma will follow.

To prove the claim, suppose there is  $v \in A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $\gamma_\lambda(b) = vbv^*$  for all  $b \in A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $Q: A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow A$  be the standard conditional expectation. Let  $u \in A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$  be the canonical unitary of the crossed product, so that  $uau^* = \alpha(a)$  for all  $a \in A$ . Then

$$(2.2) \quad u^*vu = u^*(vuv^*)v = u^*(\lambda u)v = \lambda v.$$

For  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  let  $a_n = Q(vu^{-n}) \in A$  be the  $n$ -th coefficient of  $v$  in the crossed product, so that (see [6, Theorem 8.2.2])  $v$  is given by the limit of the Cesàro means:

$$v = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n}\right) a_n u^n.$$

Applying (2.2) and  $u^*a_nu = \alpha^{-1}(a_n)$ , we get

$$\lambda v = u^*vu = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sum_{k=-n}^n \left(1 - \frac{|k|}{n}\right) \alpha^{-1}(a_n) u^n.$$

It follows that for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  we have

$$\lambda a_n = Q(\lambda v u^{-n}) = \alpha^{-1}(a_n).$$

Choose  $n \in \mathbb{Z}$  such that  $a_n \neq 0$ . We have  $\langle \pi(a_n)\xi, \pi(a_n)\xi \rangle = \tau(a_n^*a_n)$ , which is nonzero because  $\tau$  is faithful. Therefore  $\pi(a_n)\xi \in H$  is nonzero and satisfies  $s^*\pi(a_n)\xi = \pi(\alpha^{-1}(a_n))\xi = \lambda\pi(a_n)\xi$ .  $\square$

Lemma 2.5 applies to our setting as follows. Let  $X$  be a compact metric space, let  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ , let  $E$  be a locally trivial bundle over  $X$  with fiber  $M_n$ , let  $h: X \rightarrow X$  be a minimal homeomorphism, and let  $\alpha \in \text{Aut}(\Gamma(E))$  be an automorphism which induces the map  $h$  on  $\text{Prim}(A)$ . Set  $A = \Gamma(E)$  and  $B = A \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $\mu$  be an  $h$ -invariant Borel probability measure on  $X$ . For  $x \in X$ , since  $E_x \cong M_n$ , we can let  $\text{tr}_x: E_x \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  be the normalized trace. Then there is a conditional expectation  $P: A \rightarrow C(X)$  such that  $P(a)(x) = \text{tr}(a(x))$  for all  $x \in X$ . Define  $\tau: A \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$  by  $\tau(a) = \int_X P(a) d\mu$  for  $a \in A$ . Then  $\tau$  is an  $\alpha$ -invariant tracial state. Since  $h$  is minimal,  $\mu$  has full support. Therefore  $\tau$  is faithful. It follows from Lemma 2.5 that for all but countably many choices of  $\zeta \in \mathbb{T}$  in the proof of Corollary 2.4, the automorphism  $\gamma_\zeta$  used there is outer.

We use [26, Theorem 1] to see that the tensor product of any automorphism with an outer automorphism is outer. If  $B$  is a unital Kirchberg algebra satisfying the Universal Coefficient Theorem, and  $\alpha^n$  is outer for all  $n \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$ , then the crossed product  $B \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$  is also a Kirchberg algebra. (Pure infiniteness follows from [13, Corollary 4.4].) By the Five Lemma,  $B \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$  and  $B^{\text{op}} \rtimes_\alpha \mathbb{Z}$  have the same  $K$ -theory, so they are isomorphic.

It seems very likely that suitable generalizations of Theorem 12 in Section V of [7] and Theorem 11 in Section VI of [7] will show that, in the

proof of Corollary 2.4, the automorphism  $\gamma_\zeta$  is outer for all  $\zeta \notin \exp(2\pi i\mathbb{Q})$ . The results of [7] are stated for automorphisms of  $C(X)$  for connected compact spaces  $X$ , and one would need to generalize them to automorphisms of section algebras of locally trivial  $M_n$ -bundles over such spaces.

### 3. REMARKS AND QUESTIONS

We collect here several remarks: we show that the manifold  $M$  used in the proofs above does not itself admit any minimal homeomorphisms, and we describe a shorter construction of examples, with the disadvantages that it does not give unital algebras and that we don't have proofs of some of the extra properties of the examples. We finish with several open questions.

**Remark 3.1.** We explain here why the manifold  $M$  we started with in the proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 does not admit minimal homeomorphisms.

We first make the following purely algebraic claim: if  $a \in M_n(\mathbb{R})$ , then there exists  $k \in \{1, 2, \dots, n+1\}$  such that  $\text{Tr}(a^k) \geq 0$ . We are indebted to Ilya Tyomkin for providing us with the argument. Assume for contradiction that  $\text{Tr}(a^k) < 0$  for  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n+1$ . Define polynomials  $e_m(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  and  $p_m(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$  of  $n$  variables  $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$  as follows. For  $m = 0, 1, \dots, n$ , take  $e_m$  be the  $m$ -th elementary symmetric function ([16, page 19]; the formulas in [16] are actually written in terms of formal infinite linear combinations of monomials in infinitely many variables, and we use the result of setting  $t_{n+1} = t_{n+2} = \dots = 0$ ). For  $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$ , set  $p_m(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = \sum_{k=1}^n t_k^m$  ([16, page 23]). Newton's formula (equation (2.11') on page 23 of [16]) states that

$$(3.1) \quad me_m(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = \sum_{r=1}^m (-1)^{r-1} p_r(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) e_{m-r}(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$$

for  $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$ .

Now let  $\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n$  be the eigenvalues of  $a$ , counting multiplicity. Then  $\text{Tr}(a^k) = p_k(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n)$  and the characteristic polynomial of  $a$  is

$$q(x) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k e_k(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) x^{n-k}.$$

Our assumption implies that  $p_k(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) < 0$  for  $m = 1, 2, \dots, n$ . An induction argument using (3.1) shows that  $(-1)^k e_k(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) > 0$  for  $k = 0, 1, \dots, n$ . Therefore

$$\text{Tr}(aq(a)) = \sum_{k=0}^n (-1)^k e_k(\lambda_1, \lambda_2, \dots, \lambda_n) \text{Tr}(a^{n-k+1}) < 0.$$

But the Cayley-Hamilton theorem implies that  $q(a) = 0$ , so  $\text{Tr}(aq(a)) = 0$ , a contradiction. This proves the claim.

Now let  $h: M \rightarrow M$  be a homeomorphism. We claim that  $h$  has a periodic point, and therefore cannot be minimal. The groups  $H_1(M; \mathbb{Q})$  and

$H_3(M; \mathbb{Q})$  are trivial. Since  $M$  has nonzero signature, Lemma 1.1 implies that  $h$  is orientation preserving. So  $h$  acts as the identity on  $H_0(M; \mathbb{Q})$  and  $H_4(M; \mathbb{Q})$ . Now  $H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$  is a finite dimensional vector space over  $\mathbb{Q}$ . Therefore, by the claim above, there exists some  $k > 0$  such that the map  $h_*: H_2(M; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H_2(M; \mathbb{Q})$  satisfies  $\text{Tr}((h_*)^k) \geq 0$ . It now follows from the Lefschetz fixed point theorem that  $h^k$  has a fixed point, as claimed.

**Remark 3.2.** We describe a different method to construct an example as in Theorem 2.1. The argument is shorter and does not rely on the existence theorem of [8] to produce a minimal homeomorphism, but has the disadvantage that the resulting algebra is not unital. In particular, we do not get the detailed properties given in Theorem 2.1, because the results needed to get them are not known in the nonunital case.

Fix  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$  with  $n \geq 15$ . Set  $X = \mathbb{T}^n$ . Choose a uniquely ergodic minimal homeomorphism  $h: X \rightarrow X$  which is homotopic to  $\text{id}_X$ . (For example, choose  $\theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n \in \mathbb{R}$  such that  $1, \theta_1, \theta_2, \dots, \theta_n$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{Q}$ , and define

$$h(\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n) = (e^{2\pi i \theta_1} \zeta_1, e^{2\pi i \theta_2} \zeta_2, \dots, e^{2\pi i \theta_n} \zeta_n)$$

for  $\zeta_1, \zeta_2, \dots, \zeta_n \in \mathbb{T}$ .

Let  $D = M_{\mathbb{Q}}$  be the universal UHF algebra. As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, let  $\overline{E}_D^*(-)$  be the (reduced) cohomology theory which arises as in [4, Corollary 3.9] from the infinite loop structure of the classifying space of  $\text{Aut}_0(D \otimes K)$ . By statement (ii) at the beginning of the proof of [4, Corollary 4.5],  $\overline{E}_D^1(X) \cong \bigoplus_{k \geq 1} H^{2k+1}(X; \mathbb{Q})$ . Let  $F$  be a locally trivial continuous field of  $C^*$ -algebras over  $X$  with fibers isomorphic to  $M_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes K$  and structure group  $\text{Aut}_0(M_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes K)$ . As in [4, Corollary 3.9],  $F$  is determined up to isomorphism of bundles by its class in  $[F] \in \overline{E}_D^1(X)$ :

$$[F] = (\delta_1(F), \delta_2(F), \delta_3(F), \dots) \in H^3(X; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^5(X; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus H^7(X; \mathbb{Q}) \oplus \dots$$

By [5, Theorem 3.4], the opposite bundle  $F^{\text{op}}$  satisfies  $\delta_k(F^{\text{op}}) = (-1)^k \delta_k(F)$  for  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . Therefore the class of  $F^{\text{op}}$  is given by

$$[F^{\text{op}}] = (-\delta_1(F), \delta_2(F), -\delta_3(F), \dots).$$

Let  $\xi \in H^1(\mathbb{T}; \mathbb{Q})$  be the standard generator. For  $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$  let  $p_k: X \rightarrow \mathbb{T}$  be the projection on the  $k$ -th coordinate, and define  $\xi_k = p_k^*(\xi) \in H^1(X; \mathbb{Q})$ . It is known that  $H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \cong \bigwedge^*(\mathbb{Q}^n)$  as graded rings, with  $\xi_1, \xi_2, \dots, \xi_n$  forming a basis of  $H^1(X; \mathbb{Q})$ . Define  $\eta_1 \in H^3(X; \mathbb{Q})$ ,  $\eta_2 \in H^5(X; \mathbb{Q})$ , and  $\eta_3 \in H^7(X; \mathbb{Q})$  to be the cup products

$$\eta_1 = \xi_1 \smile \xi_2 \smile \xi_3, \quad \eta_2 = \xi_4 \smile \xi_5 \smile \dots \smile \xi_8,$$

and

$$\eta_3 = \xi_9 \smile \xi_{10} \smile \dots \smile \xi_{15}.$$

Then  $\eta_3 \smile \eta_5 \smile \eta_7 \neq 0$ . Using the correspondence above, choose a locally trivial continuous field  $E$  over  $X$  with fiber  $M_{\mathbb{Q}} \otimes K$  such that

$$\delta_1(E) = \eta_1, \quad \delta_2(E) = \eta_2, \quad \delta_3(E) = \eta_3, \quad \delta_7(E) = \eta_1 \smile \eta_2 \smile \eta_3,$$

and  $\delta_k(E) = 0$  for all other values of  $k$ . Then

$$\delta_1(E^{\text{op}}) = -\eta_1, \quad \delta_2(E^{\text{op}}) = \eta_2, \quad \delta_3(E^{\text{op}}) = -\eta_3, \quad \delta_7(E^{\text{op}}) = -\eta_1 \smile \eta_2 \smile \eta_3,$$

and  $\delta_k(E^{\text{op}}) = 0$  for all other values of  $k$ .

Suppose  $\Gamma(E^{\text{op}}) \cong \Gamma(E)$ . Then, by reasoning analogous to that in the proofs of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2, there must be a homeomorphism  $g: X \rightarrow X$  such that  $g^*(\delta_k(E^{\text{op}})) = \delta_k(E)$  for all  $k \in \mathbb{Z}_{>0}$ . But  $g^*$  is a morphism of graded rings  $g^*: H^*(X; \mathbb{Q}) \rightarrow H^*(X; \mathbb{Q})$ . Thus, if

$$g^*(-\eta_1) = \eta_1, \quad g^*(\eta_2) = \eta_1, \quad \text{and} \quad g^*(-\eta_3) = \eta_3,$$

then

$$g^*(-\eta_1 \smile \eta_2 \smile \eta_3) = -\eta_1 \smile \eta_2 \smile \eta_3 \neq \eta_1 \smile \eta_2 \smile \eta_3.$$

So  $\Gamma(E^{\text{op}}) \not\cong \Gamma(E)$ .

Presumably  $\Gamma(E)$  has no tracial states. If we want to use  $\Gamma(E)$  in place of  $A_0$  in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we need nonunital analogs of the theorems cited in that proof, many of which are not known.

One may also use the space  $X = S^3 \times S^5 \times S^7$ , taking  $\eta_1 \in H^3(X; \mathbb{Q})$ ,  $\eta_2 \in H^5(X; \mathbb{Q})$ , and  $\eta_3 \in H^7(X; \mathbb{Q})$  to be the classes coming from generators of  $H^3(S^3; \mathbb{Q})$ ,  $H^5(S^5; \mathbb{Q})$ , and  $H^7(S^7; \mathbb{Q})$  except that for the existence of minimal homeomorphisms one appeals to [8] as in the proof of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2.

We conclude with a few natural questions, which we have not seriously investigated.

### Question 3.3.

- (1) Are the actions in Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2  $KK^{\mathbb{T}}$ -equivalent to their opposite actions?
- (2) Is there any circle action on an algebra as in Theorem 2.1 or Theorem 2.2 which is not  $KK^{\mathbb{T}}$ -equivalent to its opposite action?
- (3) What happens to the Bantmann-Meyer invariant ([2]) of an action of  $\mathbb{T}$  when one passes to the opposite algebra but keeps the same formula for the action?

**Question 3.4.** What happens when we restrict the actions of Theorem 2.1 and Theorem 2.2 to finite subgroups of  $\mathbb{T}$ ? What happens if we consider these actions as actions of  $\mathbb{T}$  but with the discrete topology?

## REFERENCES

- [1] R. J. Archbold and J. S. Spielberg. Topologically free actions and ideals in discrete  $C^*$ -dynamical systems. *Proc. Edinburgh Math. Soc. (2)*, 37(1):119–124, 1994. 5
- [2] M. Bantmann, Rasmus and R. Meyer. A more general method to classify up to equivariant  $KK$ -equivalence. arXiv:1405.6512, 2014. 18

- [3] A. Connes. A factor not anti-isomorphic to itself. *Ann. Math. (2)*, 101:536–554, 1975. [1](#)
- [4] M. Dadarlat and U. Pennig. A Dixmier-Douady theory for strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras. to appear in *J. Reine Angew. Math.*, arXiv:1302.4468, 2013. [12](#), [13](#), [17](#)
- [5] M. Dadarlat and U. Pennig. A Dixmier-Douady theory for strongly self-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras II: the Brauer group. *J. Noncommut. Geom.*, 9(4):1137–1154, 2015. [17](#)
- [6] K. R. Davidson.  *$C^*$ -algebras by Example*. Fields Institute Monographs. Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, R.I., 1996. [15](#)
- [7] R. Exel. The soft torus and applications to almost commuting matrices. *Pacific J. Math.*, 160(2):207–217, 1993. [15](#), [16](#)
- [8] A. Fathi and M. R. Herman. Existence de difféomorphismes minimaux. In *Dynamical systems, Vol. I—Warsaw*, pages 37–59. Astérisque, No. 49. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1977. [11](#), [17](#), [18](#)
- [9] A. Grothendieck. Le groupe de Brauer. I. Algèbres d’Azumaya et interprétations diverses [MR0244269 (39 #5586a)]. In *Séminaire Bourbaki, Vol. 9*, pages Exp. No. 290, 199–219. Soc. Math. France, Paris, 1995. [11](#)
- [10] A. Hatcher. *Algebraic topology*. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. [3](#), [4](#), [11](#)
- [11] I. Hirshberg and N. C. Phillips. Rokhlin dimension: obstructions and permanence properties. *Doc. Math.*, 20:199–236, 2015. [8](#), [9](#)
- [12] I. Hirshberg, W. Winter, and J. Zacharias. Rokhlin dimension and  $C^*$ -dynamics. *Comm. Math. Phys.*, 335(2):637–670, 2015. [8](#), [12](#)
- [13] J. A. Jeong and H. Osaka. Extremally rich  $C^*$ -crossed products and the cancellation property. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, 64(3):285–301, 1998. [15](#)
- [14] E. Kirchberg and S. Wassermann. Operations on continuous bundles of  $C^*$ -algebras. *Math. Ann.*, 303(4):677–697, 1995. [12](#)
- [15] E. Kirchberg and W. Winter. Covering dimension and quasidiagonality. *Internat. J. Math.*, 15(1):63–85, 2004. [12](#)
- [16] I. G. Macdonald. *Symmetric functions and Hall polynomials*. Oxford Mathematical Monographs. The Clarendon Press, Oxford University Press, New York, second edition, 1995. With contributions by A. Zelevinsky, Oxford Science Publications. [16](#)
- [17] H. Matui and Y. Sato. Decomposition rank of UHF-absorbing  $C^*$ -algebras. *Duke Math. J.*, 163(14):2687–2708, 2014. [14](#)
- [18] N. C. Phillips. Continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebras not isomorphic to their opposite algebras. *Internat. J. Math.*, 12(3):263–275, 2001. [1](#), [3](#), [4](#), [10](#)
- [19] N. C. Phillips. A simple separable  $C^*$ -algebra not isomorphic to its opposite algebra. *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.*, 132(10):2997–3005 (electronic), 2004. [1](#)
- [20] N. C. Phillips and M. G. Viola. A simple separable exact  $C^*$ -algebra not anti-isomorphic to itself. *Math. Ann.*, 355(2):783–799, 2013. [1](#)
- [21] M. V. Pimsner. Embedding some transformation group  $C^*$ -algebras into AF-algebras. *Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems*, 3(4):613–626, 1983. [5](#)
- [22] I. Raeburn and D. P. Williams. *Morita equivalence and continuous-trace  $C^*$ -algebras*, volume 60 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 1998. [6](#)
- [23] J. Rosenberg. Continuous-trace algebras from the bundle theoretic point of view. *J. Austral. Math. Soc. Ser. A*, 47(3):368–381, 1989. [1](#)
- [24] E. H. Spanier. *Algebraic topology*. McGraw-Hill Book Co., New York, 1966. [13](#)
- [25] G. Szabó. The Rokhlin dimension of topological  $\mathbb{Z}^m$ -actions. *Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3)*, 110(3):673–694, 2015. [12](#)
- [26] S. Wassermann. Tensor products of  $*$ -automorphisms of  $C^*$ -algebras. *Bull. London Math. Soc.*, 7:65–70, 1975. [15](#)

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, PURDUE UNIVERSITY, 150 N. UNIVERSITY STREET,  
WEST LAFAYETTE IN 47907-2067, USA.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BEN-GURION UNIVERSITY OF THE NEGEV, BE'ER  
SHEVA 8410501, ISRAEL.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF OREGON, EUGENE OR 97403-1222,  
USA.