

ARTIN–MAZUR FORMAL GROUPS AND MILNE DUALITY VIA UNIPOTENT SPECTRA

SHUBHODIP MONDAL, TASOS MOULINOS, AND LUCY YANG

ABSTRACT. We introduce and develop the notion of “unipotent spectra”. This is defined to be the stabilization of the category of affine stacks due to Toën, and is related to recent work of Mondal–Reinecke. Unipotent spectra give rise to unipotent stable homotopy groups and unipotent homology, which are new invariants for schemes valued in unipotent group schemes. As applications, we recover the formal groups associated to schemes constructed by Artin–Mazur without any vanishing assumptions. Further, we extend Milne’s work on arithmetic duality theorems to the realm of perfect unipotent spectra.

CONTENTS

1. Introduction	2
1.1. Motivation & context	2
1.2. Main theorems	4
1.3. Notation & conventions	7
1.4. Outline	8
1.5. Acknowledgements	9
2. Unipotent spectra	10
2.1. Generalities on unipotent spectra	10
2.2. Symmetric monoidal structure on unipotent spectra	12
2.3. Unipotent homology and a profiniteness theorem	17
2.4. Recognition theorem for unipotent spectra	25
3. Coniveau filtration on unipotent homology	29
3.1. Graded pieces of the coniveau filtration and unipotent local homology	29
3.2. Reformulation in terms of Beilinson t -structures	31
3.3. Cohomology of Cohen–Macaulay schemes	32
4. Artin–Mazur formal groups	35
5. Perfect unipotent spectra and duality theorems	38
5.1. Preliminaries on quasi-finite type perfect group schemes	38
5.2. Perfect affine stacks and perfect unipotent spectra	41
5.3. Recognition theorem for perfect unipotent spectra	45
5.4. Duality for perfect unipotent spectra	46
5.5. Representability and duality for mod p syntomic cohomology	49
5.6. Duality in unipotent \mathbb{Z} -modules	52
5.7. A p -complete version	54
References	56

1. INTRODUCTION

1.1. Motivation & context. In [AM77], Artin and Mazur attached certain formal groups to algebraic varieties. More precisely, for a smooth proper scheme X over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$, they attached certain formal groups $\Phi^r(X)$ for $r \in \mathbb{N}$. In [AM77, Qn. (a)], they raised the question of constructing an object in some *derived category*, which would be finer than the collection of $\Phi^r(X)$ for $r \in \mathbb{N}$. As they pointed out, the construction of such an object would be quite subtle as one would have to extend (or bypass) the work of Cartier on the theory of formal groups, on which their work was based.

In a different vein, in [Mil76], Milne extended Poincaré duality from étale to syntomic cohomology of smooth proper schemes over a perfect field k of characteristic p . The key insight was that both finite groups and vector spaces over the ground field appear in cohomology, so that any such duality should simultaneously incorporate Pontrjagin duality for finite groups and linear duality over the base field k . By upgrading syntomic cohomology to a functor landing in perfect unipotent group schemes, Milne was able to establish such a setup.

In [MR23], a notion of unipotent homotopy group schemes were used to reconstruct the Artin–Mazur formal groups under certain strong vanishing assumptions. However, the general situation was not addressed in [MR23]. The notion of unipotent homotopy type in [MR23] of a scheme is based on Toën’s work on affine stacks. In view of the representability results for affine stacks in [Toë06], it is also natural to wonder whether syntomic cohomology of smooth proper k -schemes can be studied using this formalism. In this paper, both of these questions will be addressed by developing a framework of unipotent stable homotopy theory.

With the above motivations in mind, we introduce the stable ∞ -category of *unipotent spectra*, which we propose as the categorical home for both Artin–Mazur formal groups and Milne’s duality results. Our key definition is the following:

Definition 1.1.1 (Unipotent spectra). Let AffSt_{A*} denote the ∞ -category of pointed affine stacks over a commutative ring A . Note that AffSt_{A*} is naturally equipped with an endofunctor Ω determined by sending $X \mapsto * \times_X *$. We define $\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}}$ to be the inverse limit of the tower of \mathbb{Z} -indexed ∞ -categories

$$\dots \rightarrow \mathrm{AffSt}_{A*} \xrightarrow{\Omega} \mathrm{AffSt}_{A*} \rightarrow \dots$$

We call the stable ∞ -category $\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}}$ the category of unipotent spectra over A .

Remark 1.1.2. Our terminology is motivated by [MR23], where Mondal–Reinecke developed the notion of unipotent homotopy theory: to every scheme X over k , the authors attach an affine stack $\mathbb{U}(X)$ which is called the unipotent homotopy type of X . When X is pointed and cohomologically connected, this allows one to consider $\pi_n(\mathbb{U}(X))$, which is called the unipotent homotopy group schemes and denoted by $\pi_n^{\mathrm{U}}(X)$. Under our assumptions, $\pi_n^{\mathrm{U}}(X)$ is a unipotent affine group scheme (possibly of infinite type).

Remark 1.1.3 (Unipotent stable homotopy type). Any stack Y over k has a unipotent stable homotopy type $\Sigma_+^{\infty} Y$ (see Definition 2.3.1), which is a unipotent spectrum. For

$n \in \mathbb{Z}$, the object $\pi_n(\Sigma_+^\infty Y)$ is representable by a unipotent group scheme, which we will call the n th unipotent stable homotopy group scheme.

We give some examples of unipotent spectra below.

Example 1.1.4. Given any spectrum $E \in \mathrm{Sp}$ in the usual sense, there is a canonical way to attach a unipotent spectrum $E^{\mathrm{U}} \in \mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}}$, which can be regarded as a “unipotent completion” of E . See Remark 2.1.8.

Example 1.1.5 (Example 2.1.15). Let G be a commutative unipotent affine group scheme over a field k . Then there is an Eilenberg–MacLane unipotent spectrum $G \in \mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}}$ over k associated to G ; its n th space is the affine stack $B^n G := K(G, n)$.

Example 1.1.6. As a particular example of the above, let \mathbb{H} be the fixed points of the Frobenius endomorphism on the p -typical Witt vector ring scheme W . This is a unipotent group scheme, which arises as the Cartier dual to the multiplicative formal group $\widehat{\mathbb{G}}_m$. The classifying stack $B\mathbb{H}$ arises as the generic fiber of the filtered circle studied in [MRT22] and is an affine stack. The sequence of affine stacks obtained by taking further deloopings $\{B^n \mathbb{H}\}_{n \geq 0}$ defines a unipotent spectrum over k , denoted by \mathbb{H} , which plays the role of the unipotent completion of the Eilenberg–MacLane spectrum $H\mathbb{Z}$.

From a homotopy theoretic point of view, the role played by unipotent spectra can be summarized by the following table, explaining the analogy with usual spectra in a broader context.

Usual homotopy theory	Unipotent homotopy theory
Spaces	Affine stacks
(Homotopy) groups	Unipotent (homotopy) group schemes
Spectra	Unipotent spectra
Chain complexes	\mathbb{Z} -modules in unipotent spectra

The notion of unipotent spectra also has other applications in the context of p -adic cohomology theories of varieties over positive characteristic, as we indicate below.

Remark 1.1.7 (Constructible sheaves and unipotent spectra). Let $X = \mathrm{Spec} A$ for a regular \mathbb{F}_p -algebra A . Using a form of the Riemann–Hilbert correspondence, one can show that the derived category of constructible \mathbb{F}_p -vector spaces $D_{\mathrm{cons}}^b(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$ embeds inside \mathbb{F}_p -modules in $\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}}$. However, the latter is much larger than $D_{\mathrm{cons}}^b(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$. For example, \mathbb{G}_a (or its perfection $\mathbb{G}_a^{\mathrm{perf}}$) viewed as \mathbb{F}_p -module in $\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}}$ does not lie in the essential image of the embedding of $D_{\mathrm{cons}}^b(X_{\mathrm{\acute{e}t}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$.

Let us return for a moment to our goal of addressing [AM77, Qn. (a)] due to Artin–Mazur, and mention some recent developments related to this story for additional context. In [BO21], Bragg–Olsson proved that a suitable sheafification of the Artin–Mazur formal groups are always pro-representable, which we denote by $\Phi^n(X)^{\mathrm{fl}}$ and

call the *flat* Artin–Mazur formal groups. In the context of Artin–Mazur formal groups Mondal–Reinecke prove the following result:

Theorem 1.1.8 ([MR23, Thm. 1.0.9]). *Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer. Let X be a pointed proper scheme over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$ satisfying*

$$(1.1.9) \quad H^0(X, \mathcal{O}) \simeq k, \quad H^i(X, \mathcal{O}) = 0 \text{ for all } 0 < i < n, \quad \text{and} \quad H^{n+1}(X, \mathcal{O}) = 0.$$

Let $\Phi^n(X)$ denote the n -th Artin–Mazur formal group defined in this context. Then if $n > 1$, $\Phi^n(X)$ is naturally isomorphic to the Cartier dual $\pi_n^U(X)^\vee$ of the n -th unipotent homotopy group scheme of X . If $n = 1$, $\Phi^n(X)$ is naturally isomorphic to $(\pi_1^U(X)^{\text{ab}})^\vee$.

The work in our paper is partly inspired by the above homotopy theoretic reconstruction of Artin–Mazur formal groups. In view of Theorem 1.1.8, the authors in [MR23] proposed the heuristic that the theory of Artin–Mazur formal groups could be viewed as a notion of *homology* theory for unipotent homotopy theory. In our paper, we will make this precise and work within the framework of unipotent spectra to reconstruct the flat Artin–Mazur formal groups in general without any cohomology vanishing assumptions such as (1.1.9) above. As we will see, this requires a significant amount of additional work and the idea of using the “coniveau filtration.”

1.2. Main theorems. Our first aim is to develop the foundations of unipotent spectra and establish several general results that closely reflect the category of usual spectra. Namely, we prove the following results.

Theorem 1.2.1. *Let k be a field.*

- (1) *The category of bounded below unipotent spectra over k admits a natural t -structure whose heart is equivalent to the abelian category of commutative affine unipotent group schemes over k (Corollary 2.1.12).*
- (2) *The ∞ -category of ind-unipotent spectra is equipped with a natural symmetric monoidal structure that preserves small colimits separately in each variable (see Corollary 2.2.23).*
- (3) *The ∞ -category of bounded below unipotent spectra over k embeds fully faithfully in the category of modules over a certain \mathbb{E}_1 -algebra in spectra given by the endomorphism spectrum of \mathbb{G}_a (see Proposition 2.4.1).*
- (4) *Let X be a pointed stack over k . The homotopy group schemes of the unipotent spectrum $\Sigma^\infty X$ recover the unipotent stable homotopy groups that can be defined using by the Freudenthal suspension theorem for affine stacks [MR23, Prop. 3.4.10] (see Proposition 2.3.4). Namely, we have*

$$\pi_i(\Sigma^\infty X) \simeq \varinjlim_k \pi_{i+k}((\mathbb{U}\Sigma)^k(X)).$$

With a view towards application towards Artin–Mazur formal groups, we study the \mathbb{Z} -linearization of unipotent spectra, leading to the notion of unipotent homology.

Definition 1.2.2 (Unipotent homology). Let k be a field and X a stack over k . We define the *unipotent homology* $H_*^U(Y) := \Sigma_+^\infty Y \otimes \mathbb{Z}$ of X to be the \mathbb{Z} -linearization of $\Sigma_+^\infty X$. Let Y be a finite-dimensional scheme over k and $y \in Y$ be a point. In Definition 2.3.29, we introduce a *local variant of unipotent homology* which we denote by $H_{*,y}^U(Y_y)$. We denote $\pi_i(H_*^U(Y))$ (resp. $\pi_i(H_{*,y}^U(Y_y))$) by $H_*^U(Y)$ (resp. $H_{i,y}^U(Y_y)$).

We prove the following profiniteness result for unipotent homology group schemes, which can be viewed as an analogue of [MR23, Thm. 1.0.5].

Theorem 1.2.3. *Let X be a stack over a field k of characteristic p such that $H^i(X, \mathcal{O})$ is a torsion $k_\sigma[F]$ -module for each $i \geq 0$. Then $H_i^U(X)$ is a profinite unipotent commutative group scheme for each $i \geq 0$ (see Proposition 2.3.27).*

Next, we equip the unipotent homology $H_*^U(X)$ of a scheme X with a coniveau filtration—which we denote by $F^*H_*^U(X)$ —following work of Toën (see Definition 3.1.1). We show that the graded pieces of this filtration can be described as

$$(1.2.4) \quad \mathrm{gr}^i H_*^U(X) \simeq \prod_{x \in X^{(i)}} H_{*,x}^U(X_x),$$

where $X^{(i)}$ denotes the set of points of X of codimension i . Now the coniveau filtration gives rise to the following “coniveau spectral sequence” (see (1.2.4))

$$E_1^{i,j} = \prod_{x \in X^{(i)}} H_{i+j,x}^U(X_x) \implies H_{i+j}^U(X)$$

whose E_1 -page consists of unipotent group schemes. We prove the following result generalizing the work of Toën for smooth schemes which relies on certain purity results.

Theorem 1.2.5 (Proposition 3.2.4). *Let X be a finite-dimensional Cohen–Macaulay scheme over k . Then its unipotent homology $H_*^U(X)$, equipped with the coniveau filtration lies in the connective part of the Beilinson t -structure in the stable ∞ -category of \mathbb{Z} -module objects in unipotent spectra over k .*

Definition 1.2.6. One can define $J_*^U(X) := \tau_{\geq 0}^B(F^*H_*^U(X))$, which has the natural structure of a chain complex of commutative unipotent group schemes, since it lies in the heart of the Beilinson t -structure. See Notation 3.2.7.

Using $J_*^U(X)$, we prove the following result regarding cohomology with coefficients in a unipotent group scheme, which generalizes a result of Toën in the smooth case [Toë23, Proposition 3.7].

Theorem 1.2.7 (cf. Proposition 3.3.1). *Let X be a Cohen–Macaulay scheme over a field k . For any commutative unipotent group scheme G over k , we have an isomorphism*

$$R\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathrm{Uni})}(J_*^U(X), G) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(X, G).$$

Here, $D(\mathrm{Uni})$ denotes the derived category of the abelian category of unipotent commutative group schemes over k .

Now, let X be a smooth proper scheme over k . Let $(\Phi_X^n)^\mathrm{fl}$ denote the sheafification of the functor Φ_X^n defined by Artin–Mazur (see Definition 4.0.1) for the fppf topology on $\mathrm{Art}_k^\mathrm{op}$. Then Bragg–Olsson proved that $(\Phi_X^n)^\mathrm{fl}$ is pro-representable for every n . The following result generalizes Theorem 1.1.8 without any vanishing assumptions and recover the Artin–Mazur formal groups in general; this addresses [AM77, Qn. (a)] due to Artin–Mazur.

Theorem A (Theorem 4.0.4). Let X be a smooth proper scheme over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Then for all $i \geq 0$, the Cartier dual of the flat Artin–Mazur formal group $(\Phi_X^i)^{\text{fl}}$ is canonically isomorphic to the unipotent group scheme $E_2^{i,0}$, arising in the second page of the coniveau spectral sequence.

One may compare Theorem A to Bloch and Ogus’s description of the E_2 -page of the coniveau spectral sequence in certain cohomology theories [BO74]. This also raises the following question which is not pursued in our paper.

Question 1.2.1. Is there a classical description of the unipotent group schemes $E_2^{i,j}$ for $j > 0$ arising from the coniveau spectral sequence on unipotent homology of a smooth proper scheme?

Let us now return to our other primary motivation: providing a natural framework for Milne’s duality theorems. In order to do this, in Section 5, we introduce the notion of perfect unipotent spectrum over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$; this is defined to be a spectrum object in the category of perfect affine stacks (see Definition 5.2.16). In view of the equivalence between affine stacks and coconnective derived rings, the category of perfect affine stacks corresponds to the subcategory of coconnective derived rings on which the Frobenius map is an isomorphism. This implies that for a unipotent spectrum to be perfect is a property, as opposed to any additional structure.

Now, similarly to Example 1.1.5, any perfect, unipotent, commutative affine group scheme can be viewed as an perfect unipotent spectrum. In Definition 5.4.1, we isolate a class of perfect unipotent spectra whose homotopy group schemes are perfect, unipotent group schemes of quasi-finite type (see Section 5.1); such objects are called quasi-finite type perfect unipotent spectra. We show that there is a good theory of duality for such objects, which extends Milne’s duality [Mil76].

Theorem B. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p .

- (1) (Theorem 5.4.8) Let $(\mathbb{F}_p - \text{Mod}_k^{\text{U,perf,ft}})^{\text{bd}}$ denote the category of quasi-finite type perfect unipotent \mathbb{F}_p -modules over k which are bounded with respect to the t -structure on unipotent spectra. Then the functor

$$R\text{Hom}(-, \mathbb{Z}/p) : (\mathbb{F}_p - \text{Mod}(\text{St}_k))^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p - \text{Mod}(\text{St}_k)$$

restricts to an autoduality of $(\mathbb{F}_p - \text{Mod}_k^{\text{U,perf,ft}})^{\text{bd}}$.

- (2) (Theorem 5.6.2) Let $(\mathbb{Z} - \text{Mod}_k^{\text{U,perf,ft}})^{\text{bd}}$ denote the category of quasi-finite type perfect unipotent \mathbb{Z} -modules over k which are bounded with respect to the t -structure on unipotent spectra. Then the functor

$$R\text{Hom}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) : (\mathbb{Z} - \text{Mod}(\text{St}_k))^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} - \text{Mod}(\text{St}_k)$$

restricts to an autoduality of $(\mathbb{Z} - \text{Mod}_k^{\text{U,perf,ft}})^{\text{bd}}$.

Finally, we apply these ideas to syntomic cohomology, c.f. [BMS19, IR83], of proper varieties over k . Namely, we show the following.

Theorem C (see Section 5.5). Let X be a proper lci scheme of dimension d over a perfect field k of characteristic $p > 0$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the functor determined by

$$\text{Sch}_k^{\text{perf}} \ni S \mapsto R\Gamma_{\text{Syn}}(X \times S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(i)) \in D(\mathbb{Z})$$

is represented by a perfect unipotent spectrum over k , which we denote by $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(i)_X^{\text{uni}}$. Further, if X is additionally assumed to be smooth, $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(i)_X^{\text{uni}}$ is of quasi-finite type and there is a natural isomorphism

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^n(i)_X^{\text{uni}} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n(d-i)_X^{\text{uni}})^{\vee}[-2d]$$

of perfect unipotent spectra, where the right hand side uses the notion of duality from Theorem B.

Remark 1.2.8. In Section 5.7 we extend the equivalence of Theorem C to the p -complete setting. Namely, we define full subcategory $\mathcal{C}^{\text{pro-qft}}$ of p -complete unipotent \mathbb{Z} -modules consisting of *pro*-quasi-finite objects, together with an involutive equivalence $\mathbb{D} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{pro-qft}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}^{\text{pro-qft}})^{\text{op}}$. By definition, the functor determined by

$$\text{Sch}_k^{\text{perf}} \ni S \mapsto R\Gamma_{\text{Syn}}(X \times S, \mathbb{Z}_p(i)) \in D(\mathbb{Z})$$

is representable in this category, allowing us to extend the equivalence of Theorem C beyond the p^n torsion case.

Our starting point for developing the ∞ -category of perfect unipotent spectra was Breen's results in [Bre06] on the vanishing of higher Ext groups of \mathbb{G}_a in the category of \mathbb{F}_p -module sheaves over the perfect site. Due to this, the study of perfect unipotent ∞ -category of perfect unipotent \mathbb{Z} and \mathbb{F}_p modules becomes much more tractable. Indeed, the Artin-Schreier sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a \xrightarrow{F-1} \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow 0,$$

allows us to control the behavior of the functor $R\text{Hom}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(-, \mathbb{Z}/p)$. Given Milne's work, one may hope that this functor restricts to a duality on some subcategory of perfect unipotent modules. This led us to the notion of a quasi-finite spectrum, which is exactly the conditions needed to get the duality. The latter notion is formulated using perfect quasi-finite type groups schemes, which has antecedents in the literature. Indeed, over an algebraically closed field, an equivalent notion was introduced by Serre in [Ser60] under the name *quasi-algebraic group*. Artin, in [Art74], following ideas of Grothendieck, conjectured a duality in which $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ played the role as a dualizing (ind)-object in some derived category of quasi-algebraic quasi-unipotent groups, in which the flat cohomology of a surface is representable. This was of course realized by Milne's work in [Mil76]; as we show, these phenomena all naturally live in our world of unipotent modules.

1.3. Notation & conventions.

- (1) As in [Toë06] and [Lur17], we work with a certain Grothendieck universe (containing the set of natural numbers); to deal with the size-related aspects of certain constructions, one sometimes needs to choose an enlargement of the Grothendieck universe, which will be kept implicit in our paper similar to [Lur17].
- (2) We freely use the theory of ∞ -categories developed in [Lur17]. We let \mathcal{S} denote the ∞ -category of spaces and Sp denote the ∞ -category of spectra. For any presentable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , we use the notation $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$ to denote the stable ∞ -category of spectrum objects in \mathcal{C} . For $E \in \text{CAlg}(\text{Sp})$, we let $E\text{-Mod}(\mathcal{C})$ denote the stable ∞ -category of E -module objects in $\text{Sp}(\mathcal{C})$. We let Map denote the

mapping space and \mathbf{RHom} denote the mapping spectrum. In the relevant set up, we let $\underline{\mathbf{Map}}$ denote the internal mapping space and $\underline{\mathbf{RHom}}$ denote the internal mapping spectrum. For t -structures, we use the homological convention.

- (3) For a discrete commutative ring A , we let \mathbf{Alg}_A denote the category of A -algebras (in a certain Grothendieck universe). We let \mathbf{St}_A denote the full subcategory of $\mathbf{Fun}(\mathbf{Alg}_A, \mathcal{S})$ that satisfies descent for the fpqc topology, and call it the category of stacks over A . We let \mathbf{AffSt}_A denote the category of affine stacks over A in the sense of [Toë06]. We use $\mathbf{St}_k^{\text{affin}}$ to denote almost finitary stacks over a field k (Definition 2.2.2).
- (4) We let $\mathbf{Sp}_A^{\mathbf{U}}$ denote the category of unipotent spectra in Construction 2.1.3, and $\mathbf{Sp}_A^{\mathbf{U}^-}$ for the category of bounded below unipotent spectra (Notation 2.1.9). We let $\mathbf{Sp}_A^{\mathbf{U}, \text{perf}}$ denote the category of perfect unipotent spectra (Definition 5.2.16). For any \mathbb{E}_∞ ring E , we let $E - \mathbf{Mod}_A^{\mathbf{U}} := E - \mathbf{Mod}(\mathbf{Sp}_A^{\mathbf{U}})$, which is called the category of unipotent E -modules over A (Definition 2.3.9). We let $\mathbf{Sp}_k^{\mathbf{U}, \text{perf}, \text{ft}}$ denote the category of quasi-finite type unipotent spectra over a perfect field k (Definition 5.4.1). We denote by $E - \mathbf{Mod}_A^{\mathbf{U}, \text{perf}}$ and $E - \mathbf{Mod}_A^{\mathbf{U}, \text{perf}, \text{ft}}$ the category of E -module objects in $\mathbf{Sp}_k^{\mathbf{U}, \text{perf}}$ and $\mathbf{Sp}_k^{\mathbf{U}, \text{perf}, \text{ft}}$, respectively.

1.4. Outline. In Section 2, we develop the foundations of unipotent spectra. In Section 2.1, we introduce the definition of unipotent spectra and prove the existence of a certain t -structure. In Section 2.2, we construct and prove the existence of a natural symmetric monoidal structure on the category of ind-unipotent spectra. In Section 2.3, we begin applying our constructions to schemes. Namely, we discuss unipotent stable homotopy types of schemes (Definition 2.3.1) and prove a result (Proposition 2.3.4) relating unipotent stable homotopy groups with the unipotent homotopy group schemes studied in [MR23]. Then we discuss unipotent homology in Definition 2.3.11 and prove the profiniteness theorem (Proposition 2.3.27). We also introduce a local variant of unipotent homology (Definition 2.3.29), which plays an important role in Section 3. In Section 2.4, we prove the recognition theorem for unipotent spectra.

In Section 3, we discuss the coniveau filtration on unipotent homology. In Proposition 3.1.7, we describe the graded pieces of this filtration in terms of unipotent local homology. We use this to deduce a certain purity property for Cohen–Macaulay schemes in Proposition 3.1.10. In Section 3.2, we reformulate the latter result using the language of Beilinson t -structures. In Section 3.3 we apply this to flat cohomology of Cohen–Macaulay schemes with coefficients in unipotent group schemes and prove Proposition 3.3.1.

In Section 4, these tools are then applied to the study of Artin–Mazur formal groups, where we prove Theorem 4.0.4 (Theorem A).

In Section 5 we introduce perfect unipotent spectra and prove Theorem B and Theorem C. In Section 5.1 we introduce some preliminaries on (perfect) quasi-finite type group schemes. In Section 5.2 we define perfect affine stacks and perfect unipotent spectra. In Section 5.3 we prove a recognition theorem for perfect unipotent \mathbb{F}_p -modules and \mathbb{Z} -modules. which plays an important role in the duality theory that we will establish. In Section 5.4 we prove that linear duality on \mathbb{F}_p -modules in stacks restricts to a duality on the full subcategory of perfect quasi-finite type unipotent \mathbb{F}_p -modules.

In Section 5.5 we show that $\text{mod } p$ -syntomic cohomology assembles into a perfect unipotent spectrum and describe how it behaves relative to the aforementioned duality. In Section 5.6 we extend the duality to the full-subcategory perfect quasi-finite type unipotent \mathbb{Z} -modules, and study $\text{mod } p^n$ syntomic cohomology. Finally, in Section 5.7 we study this duality in the p -complete setting.

1.5. Acknowledgements. We would like to thank Ben Antieau, Bhargav Bhatt, Akhil Mathew, Emanuel Reinecke, and Bertrand Toën for helpful conversations about the ideas presented in this work. This project was initiated while the first and second authors were in residence at the Institute for Advanced Study. During the preparation of this paper, S.M. was supported by the University of British Columbia and a start up grant from Purdue University. The authors wish to thank Columbia University for its hospitality.

2. UNIPOTENT SPECTRA

2.1. Generalities on unipotent spectra. Let A be a fixed ordinary commutative ring. We start by recalling the definition of affine stacks due to [Toë06].

Definition 2.1.1. Let $X \in \mathrm{St}_A$. We say X is an affine stack if there is an equivalence of presheaves

$$X(-) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{DAlg}_A}(B, -)$$

for some $B \in \mathrm{DAlg}_A^{\mathrm{ccn}}$. Here $\mathrm{DAlg}_A^{\mathrm{ccn}}$ denotes the ∞ -category of coconnective derived rings, equivalently the underlying ∞ -category of cosimplicial commutative rings by [MM24]. We let AffSt_A denote the category of affine stacks over A .

Remark 2.1.2. Note that AffSt_A is a category with all limits and colimits. Further, the natural functor $\mathrm{AffSt}_A \rightarrow \mathrm{St}_A$ preserves all limits.

For the purposes of this paper, the category AffSt_A should be thought of as the category of unipotent homotopy types over A .

Construction 2.1.3 (Unipotent spectra). We will construct the category of unipotent stable homotopy types. For brevity, we will instead call them the category of unipotent spectra and denote it by $\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}}$. It is constructed as follows:

Let AffSt_{A*} denote the category of pointed affine stacks. We define $\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}}$ to be the inverse limit of the tower of \mathbb{Z} -indexed ∞ -categories

$$\dots \rightarrow \mathrm{AffSt}_{A*} \xrightarrow{\Omega} \mathrm{AffSt}_{A*} \rightarrow \dots$$

By [Lur17, Proposition 1.4.2.25], we may equivalently define $\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}}$ as the ∞ -category of spectrum objects in AffSt_A .

Remark 2.1.4. Given any stable presentable ∞ -category \mathcal{C} , the functor $\Sigma_+^\infty : \mathrm{AffSt}_A \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}}$ induces an equivalence between exact colimit-preserving functors $\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ and colimit-preserving functors $\mathrm{AffSt}_A \rightarrow \mathcal{C}$ [Lur17, Corollary 1.4.4.5].

Remark 2.1.5. Given a map of commutative rings $A \rightarrow B$, the base change functor $(\cdot \otimes_A B) : \mathrm{AffSt}_A \rightarrow \mathrm{AffSt}_B$ preserves limits, whence it induces a functor $\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_B^{\mathrm{U}}$.

Remark 2.1.6. Let \mathcal{X} be an ∞ -topos. Then a group like E_∞ -monoid in \mathcal{X} is also naturally a spectrum object of \mathcal{X} . However, AffSt_{A*} is not an ∞ -topos and this breaks down. For example, the affine stack \mathbb{G}_m can be given the structure of a group like E_∞ -monoid in AffSt_A , but can not be given the structure of a unipotent spectrum by Proposition 2.1.10.

By construction, $\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}}$ is a stable ∞ -category. There is a canonical limit preserving functor

$$\Omega^\infty : \mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow \mathrm{AffSt}_{A*}.$$

It follows from [Lur17, Remark 1.4.2.4] that $\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}}$ is presentable and Ω^∞ is accessible. By the adjoint functor theorem, Ω^∞ admits a left adjoint

$$\Sigma^\infty : \mathrm{AffSt}_{A*} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}}.$$

Note that the canonical limit preserving functor $\Omega^\infty : \mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow \mathrm{AffSt}_A$ also admits a left adjoint, which we will denote by $\Sigma_+^\infty : \mathrm{AffSt}_A \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}}$.

Remark 2.1.7. Let $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_A)$ denote the category of spectrum objects of the ∞ -topos St_A . By construction, we have a fully faithful limit preserving functor

$$\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_A).$$

The essential image is spanned by objects $E \in \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_A)$ such that $\Omega^{\infty-n}E := \Omega^{\infty}(E[n])$ is an affine stack for all $n \geq 0$ (equivalently, for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$).

Remark 2.1.8 (Unipotent completion of ordinary spectra). Note that $\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_A)$ admits a left adjoint $(-)^u: \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_A) \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}}$, which can be regarded as “unipotent completion” of an object of $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_A)$. For any spectrum $G \in \mathrm{Sp}$, we can associate the constant sheaf of spectra $G \in \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_A)$, whose unipotent completion G^{U} is naturally an object of $\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}}$.

Notation 2.1.9. Let $\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}-}$ denote the full subcategory of unipotent spectra over A spanned by objects E such that $\pi_i(E) = 0$ for $i \ll 0$. We will call this the category of bounded below unipotent spectra, which is also a stable ∞ -category with finite limits and finite colimits.

Let us now specialize to the case where $A = k$ is a field. We will show that in that case, $\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}-}$ admits a very well-behaved t -structure. First we note the following:

Proposition 2.1.10. *Let k be a field. A bounded below object $E \in \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_k)$ is a unipotent spectrum if and only if for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\pi_i(E)$ is representable by a unipotent affine commutative group scheme over k .*

Proof. Suppose that $E \in \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_k)$ as in the proposition is a unipotent spectrum. Since E is bounded below, for $n \gg 0$, we can look at $\Omega^{\infty}(\tau_{\geq -n}E)[n] \in \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_k)$, which is a pointed *connected* affine stack. Therefore, its homotopy groups must be representable by commutative unipotent affine group schemes. This implies that $\pi_i(E)$ is representable by commutative unipotent affine group schemes for any $i \geq -n$, so in fact for all i .

Conversely, under our assumptions on E , we need to prove that $\Omega^{\infty-i}E$ is an affine stack for all $i \geq 0$. For $n \gg 0$, we note that $\Omega^{\infty-n}E$, by assumption, is a pointed connected stack whose homotopy sheaves are representable by unipotent affine group schemes. Therefore, for $n \gg 0$, $\Omega^{\infty-n}E$ is an affine stack. Applying the loop construction repeatedly, we see that for $n \gg 0$, $\Omega^{\infty-i}E$ is an affine stack for all $i \leq n$, so in fact, for all i , as desired. \square

Proposition 2.1.11. *Let k be a field. Let $(\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}-})_{\leq 0}$ denote the full subcategory of $(\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}-})$ spanned by $K \in (\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}-})$ such that $\Omega^{\infty}(K[-1])$ is contractible. Then $(\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}-})_{\leq 0}$ determines a t -structure on $(\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}-})$, where the connective objects $(\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}-})_{\geq 0}$ are given by $L \in (\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}-})$ such that $\pi_i(L) = 0$ for $i < 0$. Moreover, this t -structure is left-separated.*

Proof. Note that for the t -structure defined as above, any object $L \in (\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}-})$ such that $\pi_i(L) = 0$ for $i < 0$ is connective. It suffices to prove that if $L \in (\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}-})_{\geq 0}$, then it has the property that $\pi_i(L) = 0$ for $i < 0$. Let n be the integer minimal with respect to the property that $n \geq 1$ and $\pi_{-k}(L) = 0$ for all $k \geq n$. Such an n exists since L is bounded below as an object of $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_k)$. It suffices to show that $n = 1$. Suppose that $n > 1$. By construction, the mapping space $\mathrm{Map}(L, \pi_{-(n-1)}(L)[-n+1])$ must be contractible, since by Proposition 2.1.10, $\pi_{-(n-1)}(L)$ is a unipotent spectra and $n > 1$.

However, $\mathrm{Map}(L, \pi_{-(n-1)}(L)[-n+1]) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(\pi_{-(n-1)}(L), \pi_{-(n-1)}(L))$, so we conclude that $\pi_{-(n-1)}(L) = 0$. But that contradicts the minimality of n , which finishes the proof.

Suppose we are given $P \in (\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-})_{\geq 0}$ which is n -connective for all n . To show that the t -structure is left-separated, it suffices to show that $\Omega^\infty P$ is the trivial pointed affine stack. However, this follows from hypercompleteness of affine stacks (see [MR23, Remark 2.1.14]). \square

Corollary 2.1.12. *Let k be a field. The category of bounded below unipotent spectra $\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-}$ is equipped with a natural t -structure (from Proposition 2.1.11) for which the heart is equivalent to the category of commutative unipotent affine group schemes over k .*

We have seen that an object $Y \in \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_k)_{\geq 0}$ whose underlying stack is an affine stack may not define an unipotent spectra (e.g., one may take $Y = \mathbb{G}_m$). Below, we will show that the only obstruction is due to $\pi_0(Y)$ not being representable by a unipotent affine group scheme.

Proposition 2.1.13. *Let k be a field. Let $Y \in \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_k)$ be such that $\Omega^\infty Y$ is an affine stack. Then $\pi_i(Y)$ is representable by unipotent affine commutative group schemes for $i > 0$.*

Proof. Follows from [Toë23, Lem. 4.3]. \square

Corollary 2.1.14. *Let k be a field. Let $Y \in \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_k)_{\geq 0}$ be such that $\Omega^\infty Y$ is an affine stack and $\pi_0(Y)$ is representable by unipotent affine commutative group scheme. Then Y is a unipotent spectra.*

Proof. Follows from Proposition 2.1.10 and Proposition 2.1.13. \square

Example 2.1.15. Let G be a commutative unipotent group scheme over a field k . Then the Eilenberg–MacLane stacks $B^n G := K(G, n)$ are all affine stacks for $n \geq 1$. Since $\Omega B^n G \simeq B^{n-1} G$, by Corollary 2.1.14 the sequence of affine stacks $\{B^n G\}_{n \geq 0}$ defines a unipotent spectra over k . We will simply denote this by $G \in \mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-}$.

Proposition 2.1.16. *Let k be a field. The category $(\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-})_{\geq 0}$ has all small limits and the inclusion functor $\iota: (\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-})_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_k)_{\geq 0}$ preserves small limits.*

Proof. Let $F: I \rightarrow (\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-})_{\geq 0}$ be a diagram and let Y be the limit of this diagram in $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_k)$. We can think of Y as the data of an infinite loop object (\dots, Y_2, Y_1, Y_0) . By construction, Y_n is an affine stack for all $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, since affine stacks are closed under limits. Note that $Y_n = \Omega^\infty(Y[n])$. By Proposition 2.1.13, it follows that $\pi_i(Y_n)$ is unipotent for $i > 0$. The limit of the diagram F in $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_k)_{\geq 0}$ is given by the infinite loop object $(\dots, \tau_{\geq 2} Y_2, \tau_{\geq 1} Y_1, Y_0)$. It suffices to prove that $\tau_{\geq n} Y_n$ is an affine stack. For $n = 0$ the claim follows directly. For $n \geq 1$, the stack $\tau_{\geq n} Y_n$ is pointed, connected and the homotopy sheaves are unipotent. By Corollary 2.1.14, each $\tau_{\geq n} Y_n$ is affine, which ends the proof. \square

2.2. Symmetric monoidal structure on unipotent spectra. In this section, we discuss the construction of a symmetric monoidal structure on ind-unipotent spectra. We will work over a fixed base field k . Let AffSt_{k*} denote the category of pointed

affine stacks over k . First, we will explain how to equip AffSt_{k*} with the structure of a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category. Next, we show that $\text{Ind}(\text{AffSt}_{k*})$ inherits a symmetric monoidal structure which preserves all small colimits separately in each variable. Finally we show that this endows the stabilization of $\text{Ind}(\text{AffSt}_{k*})$ with a symmetric monoidal structure with the same property.

Note that the left adjoint to the inclusion $\text{AffSt}_{k*} \rightarrow \text{St}_{k*}$ is not very well-behaved; namely, it does not commute with finite products. This causes difficulties in showing that the natural symmetric monoidal structure St_{k*} induces one on AffSt_{k*} . Let us illustrate a related issue in the remark below.

Remark 2.2.1. Let $X, Y \in \text{St}_k$, such that Y is affine. Then it is not necessarily true that the mapping stacks $\underline{\text{Map}}(X, Y)$ and $\underline{\text{Map}}(\text{U}(X), Y)$ are isomorphic. The issue originates from the fact that $\text{U}(X \times \text{Spec } A)$ is not in general isomorphic to $\text{U}(X) \times \text{Spec } A$; this can be seen by taking X to be an infinite disjoint union of $\text{Spec } k$.

Below, we will impose a certain general condition on X to resolve the issue in Remark 2.2.1.

Definition 2.2.2. We call an object $X \in \text{St}_k$ *almost finitary* if for all n , $\tau_{\leq n} X$ is generated by affine schemes under finite colimits in the category $\tau_{\leq n} \text{St}_k$. Let us denote the full subcategory of St_k spanned by almost finitary objects to be $\text{St}_k^{\text{afin}}$.

Proposition 2.2.3. *The category $\text{St}_k^{\text{afin}}$ has finite colimits.*

Proof. This follows from the definition since the functor $\tau_{\leq n} : \text{St}_k \rightarrow \tau_{\leq n} \text{St}_k$ preserves colimits (being a left adjoint). \square

Proposition 2.2.4. *The category $\text{St}_k^{\text{afin}}$ is stable under finite products.*

Proof. Let $X, Y \in \text{St}_k^{\text{afin}}$. Since $\tau_{\leq n}(X \times Y) \simeq \tau_{\leq n} X \times \tau_{\leq n} Y$, it suffices to show that the full subcategory of $\tau_{\leq n} \text{St}_k$ generated under finite colimits by affine schemes, which we define by \mathcal{C} , is closed under products. This essentially follows because colimits in a topos are universal and products of affine schemes are affine.

To this end, first we claim that if $Z \in \mathcal{C}$, then $Z \times \text{Spec } A \in \mathcal{C}$. Note that the full category \mathcal{C}_A of $\tau_{\leq n} \text{St}_k$ spanned by $Z_0 \in \tau_{\leq n} \text{St}_k$ such that $Z_0 \times \text{Spec } A \in \mathcal{C}$ contains all affine schemes and is stable under finite colimits (taken in $\tau_{\leq n} \text{St}_k$). By definition of \mathcal{C} , this implies that there is a natural embedding $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_A$, which implies the claim.

Now we claim that for $U, V \in \mathcal{C}$, we have $U \times V \in \mathcal{C}$. Note that the full category \mathcal{C}_V of $\tau_{\leq n} \text{St}_k$ spanned by Z_0 such that $Z_0 \times V \in \mathcal{C}$ contains all affine schemes (by the previous paragraph) and is stable under finite colimits. By definition of \mathcal{C} , this implies that there is a natural embedding $\mathcal{C} \subseteq \mathcal{C}_V$, which implies the claim. This finishes the proof. \square

Note that the category St_{k*} has a natural symmetric monoidal structure given by the smash product $X \wedge Y$, defined as a pushout

$$\begin{array}{ccc} X \times Y & \longrightarrow & X \wedge Y \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ X \vee Y & \longrightarrow & * \end{array}$$

where $X, Y \in \mathrm{St}_{k*}$.

Proposition 2.2.5. *If $X, Y \in \mathrm{St}_{k*}^{\mathrm{afin}}$, then $X \wedge Y \in \mathrm{St}_{k*}^{\mathrm{afin}}$. In particular, the smash product equips $\mathrm{St}_{k*}^{\mathrm{afin}}$ with the structure of a symmetric monoidal ∞ -category.*

Proof. The first part follows from Proposition 2.2.3 and Proposition 2.2.4. The second part follows from the first part and the fact that the unit of St_{k*} is in $\mathrm{St}_{k*}^{\mathrm{afin}}$ [Lur17, Remark 2.2.1.2]. \square

Remark 2.2.6. Note that the category $\mathrm{St}_{k*}^{\mathrm{afin}}$ is not closed under taking mapping stacks in $\mathrm{St}_{k*}^{\mathrm{afin}}$. The mapping stack $\underline{\mathrm{Map}}(\mathbb{A}_k^1, \mathbb{A}_k^1)$ can be identified with the ind-(affine) scheme \mathbb{A}^∞ which is not almost finitary.

Proposition 2.2.7. *An affine stack $X \in \mathrm{AffSt}_k$ is almost finitary.*

Proof. By the proof of [Toë06, Thm 2.2.9], there exists a simplicial scheme $X_\bullet = \mathrm{Spec} A_\bullet$ so that $\mathrm{colim}_{\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}} X_\bullet \simeq X$. Now for each n , $\tau_{\leq n}(\mathrm{colim}_{\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}} X_\bullet) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}} \tau_{\leq n} X_\bullet$ is equivalent to a finite colimit. \square

Lemma 2.2.8. *Let $X \in \mathrm{St}_k$ and $n \geq 0$ be an integer. Then we have a natural isomorphism*

$$(2.2.9) \quad \tau_{\geq 0}(R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O})[n]) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k}(\tau_{\leq n}X, K(\mathbb{G}_a, n)).$$

Proof. Note that we have $\tau_{\geq 0}(R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O})[n]) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{St}_k}(X, K(\mathbb{G}_a, n))$. The lemma now follows because $K(\mathbb{G}_a, n)$ is n -truncated. \square

Remark 2.2.10. As a corollary, we obtain a natural isomorphism $\tau_{\geq 0}(R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O})[n]) \simeq \tau_{\geq 0}(R\Gamma(\tau_{\leq n}X, \mathcal{O})[n])$.

Lemma 2.2.11. *Let $X \in \mathrm{St}_k$. We have a natural isomorphism*

$$\varinjlim R\Gamma(\tau_{\leq n}X, \mathcal{O}) \simeq R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}).$$

Proof. By Remark 2.2.10, $\varinjlim \mathrm{cofib}(R\Gamma(\tau_{\leq n}X, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O})) \simeq 0$, which yields the claim. \square

Proposition 2.2.12. *Let $X \in \mathrm{St}_k^{\mathrm{afin}}$ and let $\mathrm{Spec} A$ be any affine scheme. Then we have a natural isomorphism $R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_k A \simeq R\Gamma(X \times_k \mathrm{Spec} A, \mathcal{O})$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} denote the full subcategory of $\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k$ generated under finite colimits by affine schemes. We claim the following:

- Let $Y \in \mathcal{C}$. Then

$$(2.2.13) \quad \tau_{\geq -n}R\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_k A \simeq \tau_{\geq -n}R\Gamma(Y \times_k \mathrm{Spec} A, \mathcal{O}).$$

Let \mathcal{C}_A denote the full subcategory spanned by objects Y of $\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k$ for which (2.2.13) holds. Then \mathcal{C}_A contains all affine schemes. Thus to prove our claim, it suffices to prove that \mathcal{C}_A is stable under finite colimits (taken in $\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k$). Let $\mathcal{Y} : I \rightarrow \mathcal{C}_A$ denote a finite colimit diagram. For $i \in \mathrm{ob}(I)$, we use Y_i to denote $\mathcal{Y}(i) \in \mathcal{C}_A$. Let $Y := \mathrm{colim}_I \mathcal{Y} \in \tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k$. We wish to prove that $Y \in \mathcal{C}_A$. By Lemma 2.2.8, we have

$$(2.2.14) \quad \tau_{\geq 0}(R\Gamma(Y \times_k \mathrm{Spec} A, \mathcal{O})[n]) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k}(Y \times_k \mathrm{Spec} A, K(\mathbb{G}_a, n)).$$

Using that colimits are universal in $\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k$, we have

$$(2.2.15) \quad \mathrm{Map}_{\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k}(Y \times_k \mathrm{Spec} A, K(\mathbb{G}_a, n)) \simeq \lim_{i \in I} \mathrm{Map}_{\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k}(Y_i \times_k \mathrm{Spec} A, K(\mathbb{G}_a, n)).$$

Now we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \in I} \mathrm{Map}_{\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k}(Y_i \times_k \mathrm{Spec} A, K(\mathbb{G}_a, n)) &\simeq \lim_{i \in I} \tau_{\geq 0}((R\Gamma(Y_i, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_k A)[n]) \\ &\simeq \lim_{i \in I} \tau_{\geq 0} R\Gamma((Y_i, \mathcal{O})[n]) \otimes_k A \\ &\simeq \left(\lim_{i \in I} \mathrm{Map}_{\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k}(Y_i, K(\mathbb{G}_a, n)) \right) \otimes_k A \\ &\simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k}(Y, K(\mathbb{G}_a, n)) \otimes_k A \\ &\simeq \tau_{\geq 0}(R\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O})[n]) \otimes_k A. \end{aligned}$$

In the above, the first isomorphism uses the hypothesis that $Y_i \in \mathcal{C}_A$, the second one uses that the functor $(\cdot) \otimes_k A$ is essentially a filtered colimit (since we are working over a field) and filtered colimits commute with truncation and finite limits, the third and fifth one uses Lemma 2.2.8 and finally the fourth one simply uses that $Y \simeq \mathrm{colim}_{i \in I} \mathcal{Y}_i$ in $\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k$.

Combining the above chain of isomorphisms with (2.2.18) and (2.2.19) we see that Y satisfies (2.2.13), i.e., $Y \in \mathcal{C}_A$. This proves our claim that if $Y \in \mathcal{C}$, then Y satisfies (2.2.13).

Now, for $X \in \mathrm{St}_k^{\mathrm{afin}}$, note that by Lemma 2.2.11, we have $R\Gamma(X \times_k \mathrm{Spec} A, \mathcal{O}) \simeq \varinjlim_n R\Gamma(\tau_{\leq n} X \times_k \mathrm{Spec} A, \mathcal{O})$, which is naturally isomorphic to $\varinjlim_n \tau_{\geq -n} R\Gamma(\tau_{\leq n} X \times_k \mathrm{Spec} A, \mathcal{O})$. By the claim we proved above, since $\tau_{\leq n} X \in \mathcal{C}$, we have

$$\tau_{\geq -n} R\Gamma(\tau_{\leq n} X \times_k \mathrm{Spec} A, \mathcal{O}) \simeq \tau_{\geq -n} R\Gamma(\tau_{\leq n} X, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_k A.$$

By taking filtered colimit over n and using Lemma 2.2.11 again, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} R\Gamma(X \times_k \mathrm{Spec} A, \mathcal{O}) &\simeq \varinjlim_n \tau_{\geq -n} R\Gamma(\tau_{\leq n} X \times_k \mathrm{Spec} A, \mathcal{O}) \\ &\simeq \varinjlim_n \tau_{\geq -n} R\Gamma(\tau_{\leq n} X, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_k A \\ &\simeq R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_k A. \end{aligned}$$

This proves the proposition. \square

Proposition 2.2.16 (Künneth formula). *Let $X, X' \in \mathrm{St}_k^{\mathrm{afin}}$. Then we have a natural isomorphism $R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_k R\Gamma(X', \mathcal{O}) \simeq R\Gamma(X \times_k X', \mathcal{O})$.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{C} denote the full subcategory of $\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k$ generated under finite colimits by affine schemes. Let $X \in \mathrm{St}_k^{\mathrm{afin}}$ be fixed as in the proposition. We claim the following:

- Let $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ and $A := R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O})$. Then the natural map

$$(2.2.17) \quad \tau_{\geq -n}(\tau_{\geq -n} R\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_k \tau_{\geq -n} A) \rightarrow \tau_{\geq -n} R\Gamma(Y \times_k X, \mathcal{O}).$$

is an isomorphism.

Let \mathcal{C}_X denote the full subcategory spanned by those objects Y of $\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k$ for which the natural map (2.2.17) is an equivalence. By Proposition 2.2.12, \mathcal{C}_X contains all affine schemes. Thus to prove our claim, it suffices to prove that \mathcal{C}_X is stable under finite

colimits (taken in $\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k$). Let $Y := \mathrm{colim}_I Y_i \in \tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k$, where $Y_i \in \mathcal{C}_X$. We wish to prove that $Y \in \mathcal{C}_X$. By Lemma 2.2.8, we have

$$(2.2.18) \quad \tau_{\geq 0}(R\Gamma(Y \times_k X, \mathcal{O})[n]) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_{\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k}(Y \times_k X, K(\mathbb{G}_a, n)).$$

Using that colimits are universal in $\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k$, we have

$$(2.2.19) \quad \mathrm{Map}_{\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k}(Y \times_k X, K(\mathbb{G}_a, n)) \simeq \lim_{i \in I} \mathrm{Map}_{\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k}(Y_i \times_k X, K(\mathbb{G}_a, n)).$$

Now we note that

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{i \in I} \mathrm{Map}_{\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k}(Y_i \times_k X, K(\mathbb{G}_a, n)) &\simeq \lim_{i \in I} \tau_{\geq 0}((\tau_{\geq -n} R\Gamma(Y_i, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_k \tau_{\geq -n} A)[n]) \\ &\simeq \tau_{\geq 0} \left(R \lim_{i \in I} (\tau_{\geq -n} R\Gamma(Y_i, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_k (\tau_{\geq -n} A)[n]) \right) \\ &\simeq \tau_{\geq 0} \left(\left(R \lim_{i \in I} \tau_{\geq -n} R\Gamma(Y_i, \mathcal{O})[n] \right) \otimes_k \tau_{\geq -n} A \right) \\ &\simeq \tau_{\geq 0} \left(\left(\lim_{i \in I} \tau_{\geq -n} R\Gamma(Y_i, \mathcal{O})[n] \right) \otimes_k \tau_{\geq -n} A \right) \\ &\simeq \tau_{\geq 0} \left(\lim_{i \in I} \left(\mathrm{Map}_{\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k}(Y_i, K(\mathbb{G}_a, n)) \right) \otimes_k \tau_{\geq -n} A \right) \\ &\simeq \tau_{\geq 0} \left(\mathrm{Map}_{\tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k}(Y, K(\mathbb{G}_a, n)) \otimes_k \tau_{\geq -n} A \right) \\ &\simeq \tau_{\geq 0}(\tau_{\geq 0}(R\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O})[n]) \otimes_k \tau_{\geq -n} A) \\ &\simeq \tau_{\geq 0}((\tau_{\geq -n} R\Gamma(Y, \mathcal{O}) \otimes_k \tau_{\geq -n} A)[n]), \end{aligned}$$

where the first isomorphism follows from our hypothesis that $Y_i \in \mathcal{C}_X$, the second isomorphism uses that connective cover is a right adjoint ($R\lim$ denotes limits in spectra), the third isomorphism uses that finite limits in spectra commutes with tensor products, the fourth isomorphism uses that tensor product of coconnective objects are coconnective (since we are working over a field), the fifth and seventh isomorphism follows from Lemma 2.2.8, the sixth isomorphism uses the hypothesis that $Y := \mathrm{colim}_I Y_i \in \tau_{\leq n}\mathrm{St}_k$, and the last one is clear. This proves that the natural map (2.2.17) is an equivalence. Now the proposition follows in a way entirely similar to the last paragraph in the proof of Proposition 2.2.12 by noting that $\tau_{\leq n} X' \in \mathcal{C}_X$. This finishes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.2.20. *Let $X, X' \in \mathrm{St}_k^{\mathrm{afin}}$. Then the canonical map $\mathrm{U}(X \times_k X') \rightarrow \mathrm{U}(X) \times_k \mathrm{U}(X')$ is an equivalence which is moreover natural in X and X' .*

Proof. Follows from Proposition 2.2.16. \square

Proposition 2.2.21. *Let $L : \mathrm{St}_{k*}^{\mathrm{afin}} \rightarrow \mathrm{AffSt}_{k*}$ denote the left adjoint to the obvious inclusion functor. Then for $X, Y \in \mathrm{St}_{k*}^{\mathrm{afin}}$, we have a natural isomorphism*

$$L(X \wedge Y) \simeq L(X \wedge L(Y)).$$

Proof. By adjunction it suffices to check that for any $Z \in \mathrm{AffSt}_{k*}$, the map

$$\mathrm{Map}(X \wedge Y, Z) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}(X \wedge L(Y), Z)$$

induced by the counit $L(Y) \rightarrow Y$ is an equivalence, where the mapping spaces can be taken in St_{k*} , which naturally contains $\mathrm{St}_{k*}^{\mathrm{afin}}$. Note that by construction, the monoidal structure on $\mathrm{St}_{k*}^{\mathrm{afin}}$ is compatible with the (closed) monoidal structure on St_{k*} . Therefore, we have

$$\mathrm{Map}(X \wedge Y, Z) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(X, \underline{\mathrm{Map}}(Y, Z)).$$

By Proposition 2.2.20, $L(Y) \rightarrow Y$ induces an equivalence

$$\mathrm{Map}(X, \underline{\mathrm{Map}}(Y, Z)) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(X, \underline{\mathrm{Map}}(L(Y), Z)).$$

However, the right hand side is naturally equivalent to $\mathrm{Map}(X \wedge L(Y), Z)$. This finishes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.2.22. *There is a natural symmetric monoidal structure on AffSt_{k*} such that the left adjoint functor $L : \mathrm{St}_{k*}^{\mathrm{afin}} \rightarrow \mathrm{AffSt}_{k*}$ is symmetric monoidal, where the symmetric monoidal structure on the former is from Proposition 2.2.5. In particular, the symmetric monoidal structure on AffSt_{k*} preserves finite colimits separately in each variable.*

Proof. Let L' denote the composite functor $\mathrm{St}_{k*}^{\mathrm{afin}} \xrightarrow{L} \mathrm{AffSt}_{k*} \rightarrow \mathrm{St}_{k*}^{\mathrm{afin}}$. Then L' is a localization functor in the sense of [HA, Ex. 4.8.2.3]. The claim now follows from Proposition 2.2.21, [HA Prop. 2.2.1.9]; see [HA Ex. 2.2.1.7] for a simplification of the “compatibility” condition when \mathcal{O}^{\otimes} is the \mathbb{E}_{∞} operad. \square

Corollary 2.2.23. *Let k be a field. Then*

- (1) *the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Ind}(\mathrm{AffSt}_{k*})$ has a symmetric monoidal structure which preserves small colimits separately in each variable.*
- (2) *the stable ∞ -category $\mathrm{Sp} \mathrm{Ind}(\mathrm{AffSt}_{k*})$ has a symmetric monoidal structure which preserves small colimits separately in each variable.*

Proof. The first point follows from Proposition 2.2.22 and [Lur17, Corollary 4.8.1.14(2')]. The latter point follows from the former and [Lur17, Propositions 4.8.2.7 & 4.8.2.18]. \square

2.3. Unipotent homology and a profiniteness theorem. Note that the functor $\Omega^{\infty} : \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_k)_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathrm{St}_k$ preserves limits, so by Proposition 2.1.16, the composite functor

$$(\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-})_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathrm{St}_k$$

also preserves limits. Therefore, there is a left adjoint

$$\Sigma_+^{\infty} : \mathrm{St}_k \rightarrow (\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-})_{\geq 0}.$$

Similarly, there is a left adjoint

$$\Sigma^{\infty} : \mathrm{St}_{k*} \rightarrow (\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-})_{\geq 0}.$$

Definition 2.3.1 (Unipotent stable homotopy type). Let $Y \in \mathrm{St}_k$. Then we call $\Sigma_+^{\infty} Y$ the unipotent *stable* homotopy type of Y .

Definition 2.3.2 (Unipotent stable homotopy groups). Let $Y \in \mathrm{St}_k$. By Proposition 2.1.10, $\pi_i(\Sigma_+^{\infty} Y)$ is a commutative unipotent affine group scheme for all $i \geq 0$. We call these the unipotent *stable* homotopy group of Y .

Remark 2.3.3. Let $Y \in \mathrm{St}_k$. Let $\mathrm{U}(Y)$ denote the unipotent homotopy type of Y . Then we have a canonical isomorphism $(\Sigma_+^\infty Y)^u \simeq \Sigma_+^\infty \mathrm{U}(Y)$. This holds because the diagram of right adjoints

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_k) & \xrightarrow{\Omega^\infty} & \mathrm{St}_k \\ \uparrow & & \uparrow \\ (\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-})_{\geq 0} & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{AffSt}_k \end{array}$$

commutes.

Now, let $Y \in \mathrm{St}_{k*}$ be a pointed n -connected stack for $n \geq 0$. Then by the Freudenthal suspension theorem for affine stacks (see the first part of the proof of [MR23, 3.4.10]), it follows that for $i \leq 2n$, the natural map $Y \rightarrow \Omega \mathrm{U}(\Sigma Y)$ induces isomorphism

$$\pi_i(Y) \rightarrow \pi_{i+1}(\mathrm{U}(\Sigma Y)).$$

Therefore, for any $Y \in \mathrm{St}_{k*}$ and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the direct system of homotopy group schemes $\{\pi_{i+k}((\mathrm{U}\Sigma)^k Y)\}_k$ is constant for $k \geq \max\{i+2, 0\}$. Therefore, one may define

$$\pi_i^{\mathrm{st}, \mathrm{U}}(Y) := \varinjlim_k \pi_{i+k}((\mathrm{U}\Sigma)^k(Y)).$$

By definition, it follows that $\pi_i^{\mathrm{st}, \mathrm{U}}(Y) = 0$ for $i < 0$.

Proposition 2.3.4. *For any pointed stack Y over a field k , we have a natural isomorphism of unipotent group schemes*

$$\pi_i^{\mathrm{st}, \mathrm{U}}(Y) \simeq \pi_i(\Sigma^\infty Y).$$

Proof. By Remark 2.3.3, we may without loss of generality assume that Y is an affine stack. Let $u : \mathrm{Spec} A \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$ be a map of affine schemes. Note that by the adjoint functor theorem, the category of unipotent spectra $\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}}$ can be equivalently described as the colimit of the following \mathbb{Z} -indexed diagram

$$(2.3.5) \quad \dots \rightarrow \mathrm{AffSt}_{A*} \xrightarrow{\mathrm{U}\Sigma} \mathrm{AffSt}_{A*} \rightarrow \dots$$

Let $'\Sigma^\infty : \mathrm{AffSt}_{k*} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}}$ denote the functor that sends a pointed affine stack to the zeroth level of the above diagram. By construction, it follows that $'\Sigma^\infty$ admits a right adjoint which is given by $\Omega^\infty : \mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow \mathrm{AffSt}_{k*}$. Further, we claim that there is a commutative diagram of the following form:

$$(2.3.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{AffSt}_{A*} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{U}\Sigma} & \mathrm{AffSt}_{A*} \\ (\cdot) \times \mathrm{Spec} A \uparrow & & \uparrow (\cdot) \times \mathrm{Spec} A \\ \mathrm{AffSt}_{k*} & \xrightarrow{\mathrm{U}\Sigma} & \mathrm{AffSt}_{k*} \end{array}$$

To this end, note that for any pointed stack Y , we have $\Sigma(Y \times \mathrm{Spec} A) \simeq (\Sigma Y) \times \mathrm{Spec} A$. Further, if Y is an affine stack, by Proposition 2.2.3, ΣY is a pointed *almost finitary* stack. Therefore, by Proposition 2.2.20, we have $\mathrm{U}((\Sigma Y) \times \mathrm{Spec} A) \simeq (\mathrm{U}\Sigma Y) \times \mathrm{Spec} A$. This checks the existence of the above commutative diagram. The above diagram induces a functor

$$u^* : \mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}}$$

which corresponds to taking the pullback when we view unipotent spectra as certain sheaf of spectra on the sites Aff_A and Aff_k respectively. Our discussion implies that

$$(2.3.7) \quad u^*(\Sigma^\infty Y) \simeq (\Sigma^\infty(Y \times \text{Spec } A)).$$

Let us denote $\mathcal{F} := \Sigma^\infty Y$. We will show that $\pi_i^{\text{st}, \text{U}}(Y) \simeq \pi_i(\mathcal{F})$. To this end, note that $\pi_i(\mathcal{F})$ is the sheafification of the group valued presheaf on Aff_k that sends

$$\text{Spec } A \mapsto \pi_0 \text{Map}_{\text{Sp}_A^{\text{U}}}(\mathbb{S}^{\text{U}}[i], u^*\mathcal{F}),$$

where \mathbb{S}^{U} denotes the unipotent completion of the sphere spectrum (see Remark 2.1.8). By (2.3.7), we have

$$\pi_0 \text{Map}_{\text{Sp}_A^{\text{U}}}(\mathbb{S}^{\text{U}}[i], u^*\mathcal{F}) \simeq \pi_0 \text{Map}_{\text{Sp}_A^{\text{U}}}(\mathbb{S}^{\text{U}}[i], \Sigma^\infty(Y \times \text{Spec } A)).$$

By the description of the category Sp_A^{U} from (2.3.5), the right hand side above is equivalent to

$$\varinjlim_k \pi_0 \text{Map}_{\text{AffSt}_{A*}}(\mathbb{U}(S^{i+k}), (\mathbb{U}\Sigma)^k(Y \times \text{Spec } A)).$$

By (2.3.6) and adjunction, the above is equivalent to

$$\varinjlim_k \pi_0 \text{Map}_{\text{St}_{A*}}(S^{i+k}, (\mathbb{U}\Sigma)^k(Y) \times \text{Spec } A).$$

Since sheafification is a left adjoint, it follows that $\pi_i(\mathcal{F})$ is equivalent to the following direct limit (in the category of sheaves)

$$\varinjlim_k \pi_{i+k}((\mathbb{U}\Sigma)^k(Y)).$$

However, by the discussion before Proposition 2.3.4, the above direct system is ind-constant; further the direct limit is naturally isomorphic to $\pi_i^{\text{st}, \text{U}}(Y)$. This shows that $\pi_i^{\text{st}, \text{U}}(Y) \simeq \pi_i(\mathcal{F})$, as desired. Finally, the latter isomorphism implies that $\pi_i(\Sigma^\infty Y) = 0$ for $i < 0$, i.e., $\Sigma^\infty Y$ is connective for the t -structure in Proposition 2.1.11. By property of adjunction, it follows that $\Sigma^\infty Y \simeq \Sigma^\infty Y$. This gives $\pi_i^{\text{st}, \text{U}}(Y) \simeq \pi_i(\Sigma^\infty Y)$, which finishes the proof. \square

Remark 2.3.8. Let $L : \text{Sp}(\text{St}_k)_{\geq 0} \rightarrow (\text{Sp}_k^{\text{U}-})_{\geq 0}$ denote the left adjoint of the functor in Proposition 2.1.16. Let G be a commutative affine group scheme over a field k viewed as an object of $\text{Sp}(\text{St}_k)_{\geq 0}$. Then $L(G) \simeq G^{\text{uni}}$, where G^{uni} denotes the universal unipotent, commutative group scheme that receives a map from G . We sketch the argument. By considering the kernel of the (surjective) map $G \rightarrow G^{\text{uni}}$, one can without loss of generality assume that G is such that $G^{\text{uni}} = 0$. It would suffice to prove that $L(G) \simeq 0$. By regarding the spectrum G as an infinite loop object (\dots, B^2G, BG, G) , it would suffice to show that $\mathbb{U}(B^n G) \simeq \text{Spec } k$ for $n \geq 1$. This amounts to showing that $R\Gamma(B^n G, \mathcal{O}) \simeq k$ for $n \geq 1$. Applying descent along $* \rightarrow B^n G$, we reduce checking the latter claim to $n = 1$. Moreover, by base change, we can assume that the field k is algebraically closed. In that case, the group scheme G must be multiplicative which allows us to further reduce to the cases when $G = \mathbb{G}_m$ or $G = \mu_n$ for $n \in \mathbb{N}$. In these cases, $\text{QCoh}(BG)$ identifies with \mathbb{Z} or $\mathbb{Z}/n\mathbb{Z}$ graded k -vector spaces, which implies that the global section functor is exact. This shows that $R\Gamma(BG, \mathcal{O}) \simeq k$, which finishes the argument.

Definition 2.3.9. The category $\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}}$ is a presentable stable ∞ -category. In particular, for any \mathbb{E}_∞ -ring spectrum E , one can talk about the category of (left) E -modules in $\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}}$ ([Lur17, Definition 4.2.1.13 & Remark 4.8.2.20]). We will denote this category by $E\text{-Mod}_A^{\mathrm{U}}$, and call it the category of *unipotent E -modules* (over A).

In what follows, we will be most interested in the case when $E = \mathbb{Z}$ or $E = \mathbb{Z}/p$, and when A is a field k . Note that there is a natural limit-preserving functor

$$\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}}.$$

Define the full subcategory of $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U}}$ denoted by $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U}-}$ which is spanned by objects whose underlying unipotent spectra is bounded below. Define the full subcategory of $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U}-}$ denoted by $(\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U}-})_{\geq 0}$ which is spanned by objects whose underlying unipotent spectrum is connective. Similarly, define the full subcategory of $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U}}$ denoted by $(\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U}-})_{\leq 0}$ which is spanned by objects whose underlying unipotent spectra is coconnective.

Proposition 2.3.10. *The pair of categories $((\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U}-})_{\geq 0}, (\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U}-})_{\leq 0})$ define a t -structure on $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U}-}$.*

Proof. Similar to Proposition 2.1.11. □

There is a natural limit preserving functor

$$(\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U}-})_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathrm{St}_k,$$

whose left adjoint will be denoted by $H_*^{\mathrm{U}}(\cdot)$.

Definition 2.3.11 (Unipotent homology). Let $Y \in \mathrm{St}_k$. We will call

$$H_*^{\mathrm{U}}(Y) \in (\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U}-})_{\geq 0}$$

the unipotent homology of Y . If (Y, y) is a pointed stack over k , then the *reduced* unipotent homology of Y is the cofiber $\widetilde{H}_*^{\mathrm{U}}(Y) := \mathrm{cofib}(H_*^{\mathrm{U}}(\{y\}) \rightarrow H_*^{\mathrm{U}}(Y))$.

For each $i \geq 0$, the unipotent group scheme $\pi_i(H_*^{\mathrm{U}}(Y))$ will be denoted by $H_i^{\mathrm{U}}(Y)$ and will be called the i -th unipotent homology group scheme of Y .

Since $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U}}$ is a (\mathbb{Z} -linear) stable ∞ -category, for $M, N \in \mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U}}$, there is a natural mapping (\mathbb{Z} -module) spectra that we denote by $R\mathrm{Hom}(M, N)$.

Proposition 2.3.12. *Let $Y \in \mathrm{St}_k$. Let G be a commutative unipotent group scheme over k , which we regard as a unipotent spectrum via Example 2.1.15. Then we have a natural isomorphism*

$$R\mathrm{Hom}(H_*^{\mathrm{U}}(Y), G) \simeq R\Gamma_{fl}(Y, G).$$

Proof. For $n \geq 0$, we have

$$\mathrm{Map}_{\mathrm{St}_k}(Y, K(G, n)) \simeq \tau_{\geq 0}(R\Gamma_{fl}(Y, G)[n]) \simeq \Omega^{\infty-n} R\Gamma_{fl}(Y, G).$$

By adjunction, we have

$$\mathrm{Map}(H_*^{\mathrm{U}}(Y), G[n]) \simeq \Omega^{\infty-n} R\Gamma_{fl}(Y, G).$$

This implies that $R\mathrm{Hom}(H_*^{\mathrm{U}}(Y), G) \simeq R\Gamma_{fl}(Y, G)$, as desired. □

Remark 2.3.13. Using Proposition 2.3.12 and the Postnikov filtration on $H_*^U(Y)$, one can obtain a new filtration on $R\Gamma_{fl}(Y, G)$, which we call the “homology filtration”. This gives a (cohomological) spectral sequence

$$(2.3.14) \quad E_2^{p,q} := \text{Ext}^p(H_q^U(Y), G) \implies H_{fl}^{p+q}(Y, G).$$

Remark 2.3.15. Let $Y = \text{Spec } k \in \text{St}_k$, where k is a field of characteristic $p > 0$. By universal properties, it follows that $H_*^U(\text{Spec } k) \simeq L(\mathbb{Z}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\text{uni}} \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$ (see Lemma 2.3.26). Here, \mathbb{Z}_p is thought of as the profinite group scheme $\varprojlim \mathbb{Z}/p^k \mathbb{Z}$. In particular, we see that $\text{Ext}^i(\mathbb{Z}_p, \mathbb{G}_a) \simeq H^i(\text{Spec } k, \mathcal{O})$, which is zero for $i > 0$. If k is assumed to be of characteristic zero, then $H_*^U(\text{Spec } k) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\text{uni}} \simeq \mathbb{G}_a$.

Remark 2.3.16. Let k be a field of characteristic p . Let $Y \in \text{St}_k$ be such that $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}) = k$, i.e., Y is cohomologically connected. Then by the spectral sequence (2.3.14), we have $\varinjlim \text{Hom}(H_0^U(Y), W_n) \simeq \varinjlim H^0(Y, W_n)$. Note that $H^0(Y, W_n) \simeq \text{Hom}(Y, W_n)$. By universal property of mapping to affine schemes, any map $Y \rightarrow W_n$ factors uniquely through $\text{Spec } H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow W_n$. Thus, by our assumption that $H^0(Y, \mathcal{O}) = k$, it follows that the Dieudonné module of $H_0^U(Y)$ is given by $\varinjlim W_n(k)$. This implies that $H_0^U(Y) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p$.

Remark 2.3.17. If k is a field of arbitrary characteristic, a similar argument (by replacing W_n with an arbitrary commutative unipotent group scheme G) shows that for any pointed, cohomologically connected stack Y , we have an isomorphism $H_0^U(*) \simeq H_0^U(Y)$. Therefore, one has $\tilde{H}_0^U(Y) = 0$.

Proposition 2.3.18. *Let k be a field. Let Y be a pointed, cohomologically connected stack. Then we have a natural isomorphism*

$$H_1^U(Y) \simeq \pi_1^U(X)^{\text{ab}}$$

of unipotent group schemes over k .

Proof. Let G be an arbitrary commutative unipotent group scheme over k ; regard G as a unipotent \mathbb{Z} -module via Example 2.1.15. Since Y is cohomologically connected, by [MR23, Lem. 3.1.6], we have equivalences

$$\text{Map}_{\text{St}_{k*}}(Y, BG) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{St}_{k*}}(B\pi_1^U(Y), BG) \simeq \text{Hom}(\pi_1^U(Y)^{\text{ab}}, G),$$

where the latter Hom denotes maps of unipotent group schemes over k . On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Map}_{\text{St}_{k*}}(Y, BG) &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\geq 0}(\text{Sp}_k^U)}(\tilde{H}_*^U(Y), G[1]) \\ &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\geq 1}(\text{Sp}_k^U)}(\tilde{H}_*^U(Y), G[1]) \\ &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\geq 1}(\text{Sp}_k^U)}(\tilde{H}_1^U(Y)[1], G[1]) \end{aligned}$$

where the second equivalence follows from Remark 2.3.17. Now the category of 1-connective, 1-truncated unipotent \mathbb{Z} -modules over k is equivalent to the category of *commutative* unipotent group schemes over k by Proposition 2.3.10, so

$$\text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\geq 1}(\text{Sp}_k^U)}(\tilde{H}_1^U(Y)[1], G[1]) \simeq \text{Map}(H_1^U(Y), G).$$

Therefore, there is a natural isomorphism $H_1^{\mathbb{U}}(Y) \simeq \pi_1^{\mathbb{U}}(Y)^{\text{ab}}$. \square

By universal properties, for any pointed $X \in \text{St}_k$, there is a natural map

$$\mathbb{U}(X) \rightarrow H_*^{\mathbb{U}}(X),$$

where the target is regarded as a stack via the functor

$$(\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{\mathbb{U}^-})_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \text{St}_k.$$

This induces natural maps

$$\pi_n^{\mathbb{U}}(X) \rightarrow H_n^{\mathbb{U}}(X),$$

which we call the Hurewicz map.

Proposition 2.3.19 (Hurewicz theorem). *Let Y be a pointed and cohomologically connected stack over a field k . Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer such that $\mathbb{U}(Y)$ is n -connected. Then $H_i^{\mathbb{U}}(Y) = 0$ for $0 < i < n + 1$ and the Hurewicz map*

$$\pi_{n+1}^{\mathbb{U}}(X) \rightarrow H_{n+1}^{\mathbb{U}}(X)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Let $0 < i < n + 1$ be an integer. By [MR23, Prop. 3.2.11], it follows that $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}) = 0$. By the spectral sequence in Remark 2.3.13, it (inductively) follows that $\text{Hom}(H_i^{\mathbb{U}}(Y), \mathbb{G}_a) = 0$. Since $H_i^{\mathbb{U}}(Y)$ is unipotent, we must have $H_i^{\mathbb{U}}(Y) = 0$ for $0 < i < n + 1$. For the second part of the proposition, let G be an arbitrary commutative unipotent group scheme over k . Similar to the proof of Proposition 2.3.18, it follows that

$$\text{Map}_{\text{St}_{k*}}(Y, B^{n+1}G) \simeq \text{Map}_{\text{St}_{k*}}(\tau_{\leq n+1}\mathbb{U}(Y), B^{n+1}G) \simeq \text{Hom}(\pi_{n+1}^{\mathbb{U}}(Y), G).$$

On the other hand, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Map}_{\text{St}_{k*}}(Y, B^{n+1}G) &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\geq 0}(\text{Sp}_k^{\mathbb{U}})}(\tilde{H}_*^{\mathbb{U}}(Y), G[n+1]) \\ &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\geq n+1}(\text{Sp}_k^{\mathbb{U}})}(\tilde{H}_*^{\mathbb{U}}(Y), G[n+1]) \\ &\simeq \text{Map}_{\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}^{\geq 1}(\text{Sp}_k^{\mathbb{U}})}(\tilde{H}_{n+1}^{\mathbb{U}}(Y)[n+1], G[n+1]) \\ &\simeq \text{Hom}(\tilde{H}_{n+1}^{\mathbb{U}}(Y), G). \end{aligned}$$

This proves the desired claim. \square

Corollary 2.3.20. *Let $Y \in \text{St}_k$ be cohomologically connected and pointed. Let $n \geq 1$ be an integer such that $H^i(Y, \mathcal{O}) = 0$ for $0 < i < n + 1$. Then $H_i^{\mathbb{U}}(Y) = 0$ for $0 < i < n + 1$ and there is a natural isomorphism*

$$\text{Hom}(H_{n+1}^{\mathbb{U}}(Y), \mathbb{G}_a) \simeq H^{n+1}(Y, \mathcal{O}).$$

Proof. Follows from [MR23, Prop. 3.2.11] and Proposition 2.3.19. \square

Lemma 2.3.21. *Let M_j be an inverse system of commutative affine group schemes over k . Then for all $i \geq 0$, we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\varinjlim_j \text{Ext}^i(M_j, \mathbb{G}_a) \simeq \text{Ext}^i(\varprojlim_j M_j, \mathbb{G}_a).$$

Proof. Let $M := \varprojlim_j M_j$. Since M is affine, by the Breen–Deligne resolution, $R\mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{G}_a)$ is naturally isomorphic to a complex

$$(2.3.22) \quad \mathcal{O}(M) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}(M)^{\otimes 2} \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \bigoplus_{j=1}^{n_i} \mathcal{O}(M)^{\otimes r_{i,j}} \rightarrow \dots$$

Since $\mathcal{O}(M) \simeq \varinjlim_j \mathcal{O}(M_j)$, the functoriality of the Breen–Deligne resolution shows that

$$\varinjlim_j R\mathrm{Hom}(M_j, \mathbb{G}_a) \simeq R\mathrm{Hom}(M, \mathbb{G}_a).$$

Taking cohomology yields the desired result. \square

Lemma 2.3.23. *Let M_j be an inverse system of commutative affine group schemes over k . Let G be a finite type commutative unipotent group scheme over k . Then for all $i \geq 0$, we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\varinjlim_j \mathrm{Ext}^i(M_j, G) \simeq \mathrm{Ext}^i(\varprojlim_j M_j, G).$$

Proof. We have a natural map

$$\varinjlim_j R\mathrm{Hom}(M_j, G) \simeq R\mathrm{Hom}(M, G).$$

Since G is finite type, $V_G^n = 0$ for some n . To prove that the above natural map is an isomorphism, by using the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow VG \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/VG \rightarrow 0$, one may reduce to the case when $V_G = 0$. In that case one may write G as

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \prod_I \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \prod_J \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow 0,$$

where I and J are finite sets. To obtain such an exact sequence one may use the classification of finite type unipotent group schemes in terms of $k_\sigma[F]$ -modules (see [DG, IV, § 3, Cor. 6.7] and [soon, Lem. 4.2.32]). Using this exact sequence, one may further reduce to $G = \mathbb{G}_a$, which follows from Lemma 2.3.21. \square

Lemma 2.3.24. *Let M_j be an inverse system in $(\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-})_{\geq 0}$, whose inverse limit in $(\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-})_{\geq 0}$ is denoted by $\varprojlim_j M_j$. Let G be a finite type commutative unipotent group scheme over k . Then we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\varinjlim_j R\mathrm{Hom}(M_j, G) \simeq R\mathrm{Hom}(\varprojlim_j M_j, G).$$

Proof. The case when $G = \mathbb{G}_a$ follows in a way similar to Lemma 2.3.21 by applying the Breen–Deligne resolution in an animated form. The case of a general finite type unipotent group scheme G is deduced in a way similar to the proof of Lemma 2.3.23. \square

Lemma 2.3.25. *Let M be a finite group scheme over k . Then for all $i \geq 0$, the k -vector space $\mathrm{Ext}^i(M, \mathbb{G}_a)$ is finite dimensional.*

Proof. By the Breen–Deligne resolution, $\mathrm{Ext}^i(M, \mathbb{G}_a)$ is the i -th cohomology of the complex (2.3.22). Since $\mathcal{O}(M)$ is a finite dimensional k -algebra, we obtain the desired claim. \square

Lemma 2.3.26. *Let M be a profinite commutative unipotent group scheme over k . Then $\mathrm{Ext}^i(M, \mathbb{G}_a)$ is a torsion $k_\sigma[F]$ -module for each $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. Follows from Lemma 2.3.21 and Lemma 2.3.25. \square

Proposition 2.3.27 (Profiniteness). *Let X be a stack over k such that $H^i(X, \mathcal{O})$ is a torsion $k_\sigma[F]$ -module for each $i \geq 0$. Then $H_i^U(X)$ is a profinite unipotent commutative group scheme for each $i \geq 0$.*

Proof. We use (2.3.14) when $G = \mathbb{G}_a$. Since $H^i(X, \mathcal{O})$ is a torsion $k_\sigma[F]$ -module (and the filtration is compatible with the Frobenius), it follows that $E_\infty^{0,i}$ is naturally a torsion $k_\sigma[F]$ -module. Our goal is to prove that $E_2^{0,i} = \text{Hom}(H_i^U(X), \mathbb{G}_a)$ is a torsion $k_\sigma[F]$ -module. The claim is clear from the spectral sequence (2.3.14) when $i = 0$. We will prove by descending induction on r and ascending induction on i that $E_r^{0,i}$ is a torsion $k_\sigma[F]$ -module for $r \geq 2, i \geq 0$. For a fixed $i > 0$, note that $E_{i+2}^{0,i} = E_\infty^{0,i}$, and therefore, is a torsion $k_\sigma[F]$ -module. Note that we have an exact sequence

$$(2.3.28) \quad 0 \rightarrow E_{r+1}^{0,i} \rightarrow E_r^{0,i} \rightarrow E_r^{r,i-r+1}$$

for all $r \geq 2$. Since $i - r + 1 < i$, by induction, $E_2^{0,i-r+1}$ is a torsion $k_\sigma[F]$ -module, or equivalently, $H_{i-r+1}^U(X)$ is a profinite group scheme. By Remark 2.3.8, $E_2^{r,i-r+1} = \text{Ext}^r(H_{i-r+1}^U(X), \mathbb{G}_a)$ is a torsion $k_\sigma[F]$ -module. Therefore, $E_r^{r,i-r+1}$ is also a torsion $k_\sigma[F]$ -module. By descending induction on r , we can suppose that $E_{r+1}^{0,i}$ is a torsion $k_\sigma[F]$ -module. The exact sequence (2.3.28) therefore implies that $E_r^{0,i}$ is a torsion $k_\sigma[F]$ -module. Therefore, by induction, we obtain the desired claim that $E_2^{0,i}$ is a torsion $k_\sigma[F]$ -module. This finishes the proof. \square

Definition 2.3.29 (Unipotent local homology). Let X be a scheme over k . Let Y be a closed subscheme of X and let $U := X - Y$. We define

$$H_{*,Y}^U(X) := \text{cofib}(H_*^U(U) \rightarrow H_*^U(X)),$$

where the cofiber is taken in the stable ∞ -category $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^U$. It follows that $H_{*,Y}^U(X) \in (\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^U)_{\geq 0}$; we will call this object unipotent local homology.

The following definition is classical.

Definition 2.3.30 (Local cohomology). Let X be a scheme over k . Let Y be a closed subscheme of X and let $U := X - Y$. Let G be a commutative unipotent group scheme over k . One defines

$$R\Gamma_Y(X, G) := \text{fib}(R\Gamma(X, G) \rightarrow R\Gamma(U, G)).$$

Proposition 2.3.31. *Let X be a scheme over k . Let Y be a closed subscheme of X and let $U := X - Y$. Let G be a commutative unipotent group scheme over k . Then we have a natural isomorphism*

$$R\text{Hom}(H_{*,Y}^U(X), G) \simeq R\Gamma_Y(X, G).$$

Proof. Follows from Proposition 2.3.12. \square

Remark 2.3.32. Using Proposition 2.3.31 and the Postnikov filtration on $H_{*,Y}^U(X)$, one can obtain a new filtration on $R\Gamma_Y(X, G)$, which we call the “local homology filtration”. This gives a (cohomological) spectral sequence

$$(2.3.33) \quad E_2^{p,q} := \text{Ext}^p(H_{q,Y}^U(X), G) \implies H_Y^{p+q}(X, G).$$

To prove certain standard properties about unipotent local homology, the following results will be useful.

Lemma 2.3.34. *Let $f : P \rightarrow Q$ be a morphism in $(\mathbb{Z} - \text{Mod}_k^{\text{U}})_{\geq 0}$. Suppose that for every commutative unipotent group scheme G , the induced map*

$$R\text{Hom}(Q, G) \rightarrow R\text{Hom}(P, G)$$

is an isomorphism. Then f is an isomorphism.

Proof. By passing to the cofiber of $P \rightarrow Q$, we can without loss of generality assume that $P = 0$. Then by hypothesis, $R\text{Hom}(Q, G) = 0$ for every unipotent group scheme G . Note that $\Omega^\infty Q$, being an affine stack, is hypercomplete. Note that $\pi_i(Q)$ is representable by a commutative unipotent affine group scheme for all $i \geq 0$. Since $\text{Map}(Q, G) = 0$, setting $G = \pi_0(Q)$ shows that $Q[-1] \in (\mathbb{Z} - \text{Mod}_k^{\text{U}})_{\geq 0}$. Repeating this argument with $Q' = Q[-1]$ shows that $Q[-2] \in (\mathbb{Z} - \text{Mod}_k^{\text{U}})_{\geq 0}$. Inductively, we obtain that $Q[-n]$ is connective for all n ; or in other words, Q is ∞ -connective. Since the t -structure on $\mathbb{Z} - \text{Mod}_k^{\text{U}}$ is left-separated by Proposition 2.1.11, it follows that $Q \simeq 0$. This finishes the proof. \square

Proposition 2.3.35. *Let $f : P \rightarrow Q$ be a morphism in $(\mathbb{Z} - \text{Mod}_k^{\text{U}})_{\geq 0}$. Suppose that the induced map*

$$R\text{Hom}(Q, \mathbb{G}_a) \rightarrow R\text{Hom}(P, \mathbb{G}_a)$$

is an isomorphism. Then f is an isomorphism.

Proof. By Lemma 2.3.34, it is enough to show that for every commutative unipotent group scheme G , the induced map

$$R\text{Hom}(Q, G) \rightarrow R\text{Hom}(P, G)$$

is an isomorphism. By our hypothesis, the above map is an isomorphism when $G = \mathbb{G}_a^I$, where I is some index set. If the Verschiebung V_G on G is zero, then there is a fiber sequence $G \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a^I \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a^J$. Thus the map is an isomorphism when $V_G = 0$. Using induction and arguing using the filtration induced by V_G , the map is an isomorphism for G/V_G^n . Since G is unipotent, $G \simeq \varprojlim_n G/V_G^n$. Thus the map is an isomorphism for any commutative unipotent group scheme G . This finishes the proof. \square

2.4. Recognition theorem for unipotent spectra. Let k be a field. In [Toë23, §4.2], Toën shows that the category of \mathbb{Z} -modules in unipotent spectra over k is equivalent to modules over the endomorphism ring spectrum of \mathbb{G}_a ; this may be regarded as a variation on Dieudonné theory for unipotent group schemes (see *loc. cit.* for subtleties). Let $\text{Sp}_k^{\text{U}-}$ denote the category of bounded below unipotent spectra over k , which is a stable ∞ -category. Consider the object $\mathbb{G}_a \in \text{Sp}_k^{\text{U}-}$. Then the endomorphism spectrum

$$R := \text{End}_{\text{Sp}_k^{\text{U}-}}(\mathbb{G}_a)$$

can naturally be viewed as an \mathbb{E}_1 -ring. For any $E \in \text{Sp}_k^{\text{U}-}$, the mapping spectrum denoted by $R\text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{G}_a)$ can naturally be viewed as a right module over R . The assignment $E \mapsto R\text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{G}_a)$ promotes to a functor

$$M : \text{Sp}_k^{\text{U}-} \rightarrow \text{RMod}_R^{\text{op}}.$$

Our goal in this subsection is to prove the following.

Proposition 2.4.1. *The functor $M : \mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-} \rightarrow \mathrm{RMod}_R^{\mathrm{op}}$ constructed above is fully faithful.*

In order to prove the above proposition, we will need some preparations.

Lemma 2.4.2. *Let $E \in \mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-}$ be connective. Then E is generated under limits by $\mathbb{G}_a[n]$ for $n \geq 0$ in $\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-}$.*

Proof. By writing $E \simeq \varprojlim \tau_{\leq n} E$, we may assume that E is connective and bounded. Moreover, by devissage, we can assume that E lies in the heart of $\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-}$. By Corollary 2.1.12, we can assume that E is a commutative unipotent group scheme over k . Since one can write $E \simeq \varprojlim_n E/V_E^n$, where V_E denotes the Verschiebung on E , we may further assume by devissage that E is killed by V_E . In that case, there is a short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow \prod_I \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \prod_J \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow 0,$$

where I and J are (possibly infinite) index sets. This finishes the proof. \square

We will additionally need the following lemma, which can be thought of as a spectral refinement of the Breen–Deligne resolution.

Lemma 2.4.3 (Spectral Breen–Deligne resolution). *There exists a sequence of functors $F_i : \mathrm{Sp}_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{\geq 0}$ for $i \geq -1$ with natural transformations*

$$0 = F_{-1} \rightarrow F_0 \rightarrow F_1 \rightarrow \dots$$

such that we have

- (1) F_i/F_{i-1} is naturally isomorphic to a finite direct sum of functors of the form $\Sigma_+^i \Sigma_+^\infty \prod_{t=1}^{n_{d,i}} \Omega^\infty(\cdot)$ for some fixed $n_{d,i} \in \mathbb{N}$.
- (2) $\varinjlim F_i \simeq \mathrm{id}$ as endofunctors of $\mathrm{Sp}_{\geq 0}$.

Proof. We will freely use the results from [CMM21]. Let $\mathcal{C} := \mathrm{Sp}_{\geq 0}$, the category of connective spectra. Let $F : \mathcal{C} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_{\geq 0}$ be the identity functor. Define \mathcal{D} to be the full subcategory of \mathcal{C} spanned by suspension spectrum of finite sets. By Prop. 4.7 loc. cit. we would be done if we can prove that F is \mathcal{D} -pseudocoherent, which we do below.

Since \mathcal{D} is closed under finite products, by Prop. 4.10, loc. cit. it is enough to show that $\Sigma_+^\infty \Omega^\infty F$ is \mathcal{D} -pseudocoherent. To this end, by Def. 4.4, loc. cit. it is enough to prove that $\Sigma_+^\infty \Omega^\infty F$ is \mathcal{D} -perfect. This is however clear from the definition, as

$$\Sigma_+^\infty \Omega^\infty F(\cdot) \simeq \Sigma_+^\infty \mathrm{Map}_{\mathcal{C}}(\mathbb{S}, \cdot),$$

where $\mathbb{S} = \Sigma_+^\infty \{*\}$, the sphere spectrum, which is in \mathcal{D} by construction. \square

Proposition 2.4.4. *Fix an integer $n \geq 0$. Then $\mathbb{G}_a[n]$ is cocompact as an object of $(\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-})_{\geq 0}$.*

Proof. Suppose that E is a connective unipotent spectra. By functoriality of the spectral Breen–Deligne resolution, we obtain a direct system

$$0 = F_{-1}(E) \rightarrow F_0(E) \rightarrow F_1(E) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow F_j(E) \rightarrow \dots$$

of sheaf (on $\mathrm{Alg}_k^{\mathrm{op}}$) of connective spectra such that $\varinjlim F_j(E) \simeq E$ and $F_r(E)/F_{r-1}(E)$ is naturally isomorphic to a finite direct sum of objects of the form $\Sigma^r \Sigma_+^\infty \prod_{t=1}^{n_{d,r}} \Omega^\infty E$.

We claim that

$$(2.4.5) \quad \mathrm{Map}(E, \mathbb{G}_a[n]) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(F_{n+1}(E), \mathbb{G}_a[n]),$$

where the mapping spaces are taken in sheaf of connective spectra. To this end, note that

$$(2.4.6) \quad \mathrm{Map}(E, \mathbb{G}_a[n]) \simeq \varprojlim \mathrm{Map}(F_j(E), \mathbb{G}_a[n]);$$

therefore, it suffices to show that the maps

$$(2.4.7) \quad \mathrm{Map}(F_{j+1}(E), \mathbb{G}_a[n]) \rightarrow \mathrm{Map}(F_j(E), \mathbb{G}_a[n])$$

are isomorphisms for $j \geq n+1$. However, this follows the description of $F_i(E)/F_{i-1}(E)$ and the fact that the mapping spectrum

$$R\mathrm{Hom}(\Sigma^j \Sigma_+^\infty \prod_{t=1}^{n_{d,j}} \Omega^\infty E, \mathbb{G}_a[n])$$

has a vanishing π_{-1} for $j \geq n+2$. This proves the claim (2.4.5).

Further, we claim that if $G \simeq \lim_i G_i$ is a cofiltered limit diagram of connective unipotent spectra and $m \geq 0$, then for any fixed $j \geq 0$, we have

$$(2.4.8) \quad \varinjlim_i \mathrm{Map}(F_j(G_i), \mathbb{G}_a[m]) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(F_j(G), \mathbb{G}_a[m]).$$

We will prove this claim by induction on j . When $j = 0$, the claim follows from the fact that $F_0(E)$ is naturally isomorphic to a finite direct sum of objects of the form $\Sigma_+^\infty \prod_{t=1}^{n_{d,0}} \Omega^\infty E$. Indeed,

$$\varinjlim_i \mathrm{Map} \left(\Sigma_+^\infty \prod_{t=1}^{n_{d,0}} \Omega^\infty G_i, \mathbb{G}_a[m] \right) \simeq \varinjlim_i \mathrm{Map} \left(\prod_{t=1}^{n_{d,0}} \Omega^\infty G_i, K(\mathbb{G}_a, m) \right),$$

where the latter mapping space can be considered in the category of affine stacks, as $\Omega^\infty G_i$ is an affine stack. However, $K(\mathbb{G}_a, m)$ is a cocompact object in the category of affine stacks. Therefore, we have

$$\varinjlim_i \mathrm{Map} \left(\prod_{t=1}^{n_{d,0}} \Omega^\infty G_i, K(\mathbb{G}_a, m) \right) \simeq \mathrm{Map} \left(\prod_{t=1}^{n_{d,0}} \Omega^\infty G, K(\mathbb{G}_a, m) \right).$$

The latter is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Map}(F_0(G), \mathbb{G}_a[m])$ by adjunction, which proves the case when $j = 0$.

Now we suppose the claim in (2.4.8) holds for a fixed $j \geq 0$; we will check that it holds for $j+1$. Let $\mathrm{gr}_r(E) := F_r(E)/F_{r-1}(E)$. By arguing in a manner similar to the above paragraph, we obtain

$$(2.4.9) \quad \varinjlim_i \mathrm{Map}(\mathrm{gr}_r(G_i), \mathbb{G}_a[m]) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(\mathrm{gr}_r(G), \mathbb{G}_a[m])$$

for all $r, m \geq 0$. Note that we have a map of fiber sequences

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
\varinjlim_i R\mathrm{Hom}(F_j(G_i), \mathbb{G}_a) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_i R\mathrm{Hom}(F_{j+1}(G_i), \mathbb{G}_a) & \longrightarrow & \varinjlim_i R\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{gr}_{j+1}(G_i), \mathbb{G}_a) \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
R\mathrm{Hom}(F_j(G), \mathbb{G}_a) & \longrightarrow & R\mathrm{Hom}(F_{j+1}(G), \mathbb{G}_a) & \longrightarrow & R\mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{gr}_{j+1}(G), \mathbb{G}_a)
\end{array}$$

The left vertical map is an isomorphism by our inductive hypothesis. The right vertical map is an isomorphism by (2.4.9). Therefore the middle vertical map is also an isomorphism, implying our claim in (2.4.8) for $j + 1$. This completes the induction and proves the claim (2.4.8) for all $j \geq 0$.

Now we can deduce the cocompactness of $\mathbb{G}_a[n]$. Indeed, given a cofiltered limit diagram of connective unipotent spectra $G \simeq \varprojlim_i G_i$,

$$\begin{aligned}
\varinjlim_i \mathrm{Map}(G_i, \mathbb{G}_a[n]) &\simeq \varinjlim_i \mathrm{Map}(F_{n+1}(G_i), \mathbb{G}_a[n]) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{Map}(F_{n+1}(G), \mathbb{G}_a[n]) \\
&\simeq \mathrm{Map}(G, \mathbb{G}_a[n]),
\end{aligned}$$

where the first and the third isomorphism follow from (2.4.5); the second one follows from (2.4.8). This finishes the proof. \square

Corollary 2.4.10. *Let $E \simeq \varprojlim_i E_i$ be a cofiltered limit diagram in $\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-}$ where E, E_i are all connective. Then the natural map*

$$\varinjlim_i R\mathrm{Hom}(E_i, \mathbb{G}_a) \simeq R\mathrm{Hom}(E, \mathbb{G}_a)$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 2.4.4. \square

Proof of Proposition 2.4.1. There is a natural colimit preserving embedding $(\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-})_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-}$, where the source denotes the category of connective unipotent spectra. It suffices to prove that the restricted functor

$$M' : (\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-})_{\geq 0} \rightarrow \mathrm{RMod}_R^{\mathrm{op}}$$

is fully faithful. Since both of the categories involved above admits small colimits and M' preserves them, by the adjoint functor it follows that M' has a right adjoint

$$D : \mathrm{RMod}_R^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow (\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-})_{\geq 0}.$$

To prove that M' is fully faithful, it would be enough to prove that the unit map $\mathrm{id} \rightarrow D \circ M'$ is an equivalence. By Lemma 2.4.2, Corollary 2.4.10, and the fact that D preserves small limits, it suffices to show that the natural map $\mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow D(M'(\mathbb{G}_a))$ is an isomorphism. To this end, note that for any $Z \in (\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U}^-})_{\geq 0}$, we have

$$\mathrm{Map}(Z, D(M'(\mathbb{G}_a))) \simeq \mathrm{Map}_R(R, M'(Z)) \simeq \mathrm{Map}(Z, \mathbb{G}_a),$$

where the first isomorphism follows from adjunction and the second one follows from the construction of M' . This shows that the desired map $\mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow D(M'(\mathbb{G}_a))$ is an isomorphism, finishing the proof. \square

3. CONIVEAU FILTRATION ON UNIPOTENT HOMOLOGY

3.1. Graded pieces of the coniveau filtration and unipotent local homology.

Let k be a field and Sch_k denote the category of k -schemes. For any scheme X of dimension n , we will discuss a filtration on $H_*^U(X)$ constructed by Toën [Toë23, Definition 3.1]. We explain how the filtration on $H_*^U(X)$ is related to the coniveau filtration (which leads to “cousin complex”) on cohomology. This perspective is then used to directly deduce certain desired properties of the filtration on $H_*^U(X)$ from well-known properties of the coniveau filtration and local cohomology.

Definition 3.1.1 (Coniveau filtration). Let Z_i be the set of closed subschemes of X of codimension $\geq i$. We say $C_1 \leq C_2$ for $C_1, C_2 \in Z_i$ if $C_1 \subseteq C_2$. We will equip Z_i with this partial order and view it as a category. First, define

$$T_i := \lim_{C \in Z_i^{\text{op}}} H_*^U(X - C),$$

where the limit is taken in $(\mathbb{Z} - \text{Mod}_k^U)_{\geq 0}$. Note that $T_0 \simeq 0$ and $T_{n+1} \simeq H_*^U(X)$. Now let

$$F_i := T_{i+1}.$$

Then F_i defines a finite, increasing filtration on $H_*^U(X)$, which we denote as $F^* H_*^U(X)$.

The main claim is about the calculation of $\text{gr}^i H_*^U(X)$. Before delving into that, we discuss how the filtration constructed above is related to the coniveau filtration on cohomology. Note that

$$T_i^* := R\text{Hom}(T_i, \mathbb{G}_a) \simeq \text{colim}_{C \in Z_i} R\Gamma(X - C, \mathcal{O}).$$

There is a natural map $R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow T_i^*$. Let

$$R_i := \text{colim}_{C \in Z_i} R\Gamma_C(X, \mathcal{O}),$$

where the latter denotes local cohomology. Note that R_i equips $R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O})$ with a decreasing finite filtration denoted as $F_{\text{con}}^* R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O})$, which one classically calls the *coniveau filtration*. Note that $R_{n+1} \simeq 0$ and $R_0 \simeq R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O})$. The fiber sequence

$$R\Gamma_C(X, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow R\Gamma(X - C, \mathcal{O})$$

then induces a fiber sequence

$$(3.1.2) \quad R_i \rightarrow R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}) \rightarrow T_i^*.$$

Proposition 3.1.3. *In the above notations,*

$$R_i/R_{i+1} \simeq \text{gr}_{\text{con}}^i R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}) \simeq \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(i)}} R\Gamma_x(X_x, \mathcal{O}),$$

where $X^{(i)}$ denotes the set of points of X codimension i .

Proof. Classical (e.g., see [BO74]). □

The diagram below (where the horizontal arrows are fiber sequences; see (3.1.2))

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
R_{i+1} & \longrightarrow & R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}) & \longrightarrow & T_{i+1}^* \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow & & \downarrow \\
R_i & \longrightarrow & R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O}) & \longrightarrow & T_i^*
\end{array}$$

induces a fiber sequence

$$(3.1.4) \quad R_i/R_{i+1} \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow T_i^*/T_{i+1}^*.$$

Further, we have a fiber sequence $F_{i-1} \rightarrow F_i \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^i H_*^{\mathrm{U}}(X)$. This gives a fiber sequence (we recall that $F_i = T_{i+1}$)

$$(3.1.5) \quad \mathrm{RHom}(\mathrm{gr}^i H_*^{\mathrm{U}}(X), \mathbb{G}_a) \rightarrow T_{i+1}^* \rightarrow T_i^*.$$

Combining (3.1.4) and (3.1.5), we have

$$(3.1.6) \quad \mathrm{RHom}(\mathrm{gr}^i H_*^{\mathrm{U}}(X), \mathbb{G}_a) \simeq R_i/R_{i+1}.$$

Now we are ready to prove the following.

Proposition 3.1.7. *Let X be a scheme over k of dimension n . Then the associated graded of the coniveau filtration on the unipotent homology of X (Definition 3.1.1) may be identified as*

$$\mathrm{gr}^i H_*^{\mathrm{U}}(X) \simeq \prod_{x \in X^{(i)}} H_{*,x}^{\mathrm{U}}(X_x),$$

where $X^{(i)}$ denotes the set of points of X of codimension i .

Proof. We will first construct a natural map from the right hand side to the left hand side; this part follows the same reasoning as in Proposition 3.2 of [Toë23]. Let $D \subseteq C$ be two closed subsets of X such that $\mathrm{codim}_X(C) \geq i$ and $\mathrm{codim}_X(D) \geq i+1$. Let $x \in (C-D)$ be such that $x \in X^{(i)}$; we will use $(C-D)^{(i)}$ to denote the set of such points. For an $x \in (C-D)^{(i)}$, it follows that $X_x - \{x\} \subseteq X - C$. This gives the following commutative diagram in Sch_k :

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\coprod_{x \in (C-D)^{(i)}} X_x - \{x\} & \longrightarrow & X - C \\
\downarrow & & \downarrow \\
\coprod_{x \in (C-D)^{(i)}} X_x & \longrightarrow & X - D.
\end{array}$$

Since $(C-D)^{(i)}$ is finite by *loc. cit.*, on applying unipotent homology and taking cofibers, we obtain a map

$$\prod_{x \in (C-D)^{(i)}} H_{*,x}^{\mathrm{U}}(X_x) \rightarrow H_*^{\mathrm{U}}(X-D)/H_*^{\mathrm{U}}(X-C).$$

Taking limits over pairs $D \subseteq C$ such that $C \in Z_i$ and $D \in Z_{i+1}$, we obtain the desired map

$$\prod_{x \in X^{(i)}} H_{*,x}^{\mathrm{U}}(X_x) \rightarrow \mathrm{gr}^i H_*^{\mathrm{U}}(X).$$

We need to check that the above map is an isomorphism. However, that follows from Lemma 2.3.24, Proposition 2.3.35, (3.1.6) and Proposition 3.1.3. \square

Remark 3.1.8. Let X be a scheme of dimension n . As a consequence of Proposition 3.1.7, we obtain the following (homological) spectral sequence converging to unipotent homology:

$$(3.1.9) \quad E_1^{p,q} = \prod_{x \in X^{(p)}} H_{p+q,x}^U(X_x) \implies H_{p+q}^U(X).$$

Proposition 3.1.10 (Purity). *Let X be a Cohen–Macaulay scheme, i.e., for every $x \in X$, the local ring $\mathcal{O}_{X,x}$ is Cohen–Macaulay. Then for any $x \in X^{(i)}$, the object $H_{*,x}^U(X_x) \in (\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^U)_{\geq 0}$ is i -connective.*

Proof. Using Remark 2.3.32, we have the following spectral sequence

$$E_2^{p,q} := \text{Ext}^p(H_{q,x}^U(X_x), \mathbb{G}_a) \implies H_x^{p+q}(X_x, \mathcal{O}).$$

Since X is Cohen–Macaulay and $x \in X$ is of codimension i , it follows that

$$H_x^n(X_x, \mathcal{O}) = 0$$

for $n < i$. Using the above spectral sequence and induction on q , we obtain

$$\text{Hom}(H_{q,x}^U(X_x), \mathbb{G}_a) = 0$$

for $q < i$. Since $H_{q,x}^U(X_x)$ is unipotent, we have $H_{q,x}^U(X_x) = 0$ for $q < i$, as desired. \square

3.2. Reformulation in terms of Beilinson t -structures. Proposition 3.1.10 above admits a slick reformulation in the language of Beilinson t -structure on filtered stable ∞ -categories, which we recall below.

Notation 3.2.1. Let \mathcal{C} be a stable ∞ -category equipped with a t -structure. Let $F^*\mathcal{C} := \text{Fun}(\mathbb{Z}, \mathcal{C})$. We think of $F^*\mathcal{C}$ as the category of increasing \mathbb{Z} -indexed filtered objects.

Definition 3.2.2. Define $(F^*\mathcal{C})_{\geq 0}$ to be the full subcategory of $F^*\mathcal{C}$ spanned by objects U such that for all i , $\text{gr}^i U \in \mathcal{C}$ is i -connective. Define $(F^*\mathcal{C})_{\leq 0}$ to be the full subcategory of $F^*\mathcal{C}$ spanned by objects U such that for all i , $\text{gr}^i U \in \mathcal{C}$ is i -coconnective. Then the pair $((F^*\mathcal{C})_{\geq 0}, (F^*\mathcal{C})_{\leq 0})$ defines a t -structure on $F^*\mathcal{C}$, which we refer to as Beilinson t -structure on $F^*\mathcal{C}$.

Notation 3.2.3. The truncation functors with respect to the Beilinson t -structure will be denoted as $\tau_{\geq n}^B$ and $\tau_{\leq n}^B$. The functor $\tau_{\leq n}^B \tau_{\geq n}^B$ will be denoted by π_n^B .

We will apply this construction to $\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{U-}$ equipped with the t -structure from Proposition 2.3.10.

Proposition 3.2.4. *Let X be a Cohen–Macaulay scheme. Then*

$$F^*H_*^U(X) \in F^*\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{U-}$$

is connective with respect to the Beilinson t -structure.

Proof. Follows from Proposition 3.1.7 and Proposition 3.1.10. \square

Remark 3.2.5. Note that for a scheme X of dimension n , the filtered object $\pi_0^B(F^*H_*^U(X))$ can be identified as a chain complex of unipotent group schemes, equipped with its naive increasing filtration (see [Sta25, Tag 12.15 (2)]). This identifies with the chain complex $E_1^{\bullet,0}$ from Remark 3.1.8. Concretely, the complex is the following

$$(3.2.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow \prod_{x \in X^{(n)}} H_{n,x}^U(X_x) \rightarrow \prod_{x \in X^{(n-1)}} H_{n-1,x}^U(X_x) \rightarrow \dots \rightarrow \prod_{x \in X^{(0)}} H_{0,x}^U(X_x) \rightarrow 0.$$

Notation 3.2.7. The chain complex in (3.2.6) will be denoted by $J_*^U(X)$. It can be viewed as a filtered object by equipping it with the naive filtration, which we will denote by $F^*J_*^U(X)$.

In the situation when X is Cohen–Macaulay, since $F^*H_*^U(X)$ is connective, we obtain a map of filtered objects

$$(3.2.8) \quad F^*H_*^U(X) \rightarrow F^*J_*^U(X).$$

Now let G be a unipotent group scheme over k . The identification $R\Gamma(X, G) \simeq R\mathrm{Hom}(H_*^U(X), G)$ and the filtration $F^*H_*^U(X)$ allow us to endow $R\Gamma(X, G)$ with a decreasing filtration. Composing with (3.2.8), we obtain a map of filtered objects

$$R\mathrm{Hom}(F^*J_*^U(X), G) \rightarrow R\mathrm{Hom}(F^*H_*^U(X), G).$$

Let $D(\mathrm{Uni})$ denote the derived category of the abelian category of unipotent commutative group schemes over k . We have a natural map of filtered objects

$$R\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathrm{Uni})}(F^*J_*^U(X), G) \rightarrow R\mathrm{Hom}(F^*J_*^U(X), G).$$

This induces a map of filtered objects

$$(3.2.9) \quad R\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathrm{Uni})}(F^*J_*^U(X), G) \rightarrow R\mathrm{Hom}(F^*H_*^U(X), G).$$

3.3. Cohomology of Cohen–Macaulay schemes. We will prove that at the level of underlying objects, (3.2.9) induces an isomorphism. More precisely,

Proposition 3.3.1. *Let X be a Cohen–Macaulay scheme over k . For any commutative unipotent group scheme G over k , we have an isomorphism (induced by (3.2.9))*

$$(3.3.2) \quad R\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathrm{Uni})}(J_*^U(X), G) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\mathrm{Hom}(H_*^U(X), G) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(X, G)$$

Only the left isomorphism needs to be proven since the other one follows from Proposition 2.3.12. We first note the following lemmas.

Lemma 3.3.3. *Let X be a Cohen–Macaulay scheme over k . Then for any $i \geq 0$, $x \in X^{(i)}$ and any unipotent group scheme G over k , we have*

$$H_x^i(X_x, G) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}(H_{i,x}^U(X_x), G)$$

and $H_x^t(X_x, G) = 0$ for $t < i$.

Proof. By Proposition 2.3.31, we have $R\mathrm{Hom}(H_{*,x}^U(X_x), G) \simeq R\Gamma_x(X_x, G)$. The claim now follows directly from Proposition 3.1.10. \square

Proposition 3.3.4. *Let X be a Cohen–Macaulay scheme over k . Then for any $i \geq 0$, $x \in X^{(i)}$ and any unipotent group scheme G over k , we have*

$$H_x^{i+j}(X_x, G) = 0$$

for $j \geq 2$.

Proof. First we prove the lemma when G satisfies the property that $V_G = 0$. Then there exists a short exact sequence

$$(3.3.5) \quad 0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \prod_I \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \prod_J \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow 0,$$

where I and J are possibly infinite index sets. Note that

$$H_x^{i+j}(X_x, \prod_I \mathbb{G}_a) \simeq \prod_I H_x^{i+j}(X_x, \mathbb{G}_a) = 0$$

for $j \geq 1$ (and similarly for J). The desired claim now follows from a long exact sequence chase.

Suppose now that G satisfies $V_G^k = 0$ for some k . The claim in this case follows from using induction on k and chasing the long exact sequence on cohomology associated to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow VG \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/VG \rightarrow 0$.

Now for a general unipotent group scheme G , we have $G \simeq \varprojlim G/V^k G$. By the previous paragraph, for $j \geq 2$, we have $H_x^{i+j}(X_x, G/V^k G) = 0$ for all k . Note that for $j \geq 2$, the induced maps

$$H_x^{i+j-1}(X_x, G/V^k G) \rightarrow H_x^{i+j-1}(X_x, G/V^{k-1} G)$$

are surjective, since $H_x^{i+j}(X_x, V^{k-1} G/V^k G) = 0$. In particular, $R^1 \varprojlim H_x^{i+j-1}(X_x, G/V^k G) = 0$. The claim in the lemma now follows from using Milnor sequences. \square

Proposition 3.3.6. *Let X be a Cohen–Macaulay scheme over k . Then for any $i \geq 0$, $x \in X^{(i)}$ and any unipotent group scheme G over k , we have a natural isomorphism*

$$H_x^{i+1}(X_x, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Ext}^1(H_{i,x}^U(X_x), G).$$

Proof. By Proposition 2.3.31, we have $\text{RHom}(H_{*,x}^U(X_x), G) \simeq R\Gamma_x(X_x, G)$. By Proposition 3.1.10, $H_{*,x}^U(X_x)$ is i -connective. This gives a natural truncation map $H_{*,x}^U(X_x) \rightarrow H_{i,x}^U(X_x)[i]$. Thus, we have a natural map

$$(3.3.7) \quad \text{RHom}(H_{i,x}^U(X_x), G[-i]) \rightarrow \text{RHom}(H_{*,x}^U(X_x), G).$$

This induces natural maps

$$\theta_j : \text{Ext}^j(H_{i,x}^U(X_x), G) \rightarrow H_x^{i+j}(X_x, G).$$

By Lemma 3.3.3, the map θ_0 is an isomorphism. We would like to show that θ_1 is an isomorphism. Note that by construction and a long exact sequence chase, it follows that θ_1 is injective. It is thus an isomorphism when $G = \mathbb{G}_a$, since the target of θ_1 vanishes in this case. It follows that θ_1 is also an isomorphism when $G = \prod_I \mathbb{G}_a$, where I is a possibly infinite index set. In particular, $\text{Ext}^1(H_{i,x}^U(X_x), \prod_I \mathbb{G}_a) = 0$. Now we pause to prove the following lemma.

Lemma 3.3.8. *Let X be a Cohen–Macaulay scheme over k . Then for any $i \geq 0$, $x \in X^{(i)}$ and any unipotent group scheme G over k , we have*

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{D(\mathrm{Uni})}^j(H_{i,x}^{\mathrm{U}}(X_x), G) = 0$$

for $j \geq 2$.

Proof. Since $H_{i,x}^{\mathrm{U}}(X_x)$ is unipotent, by a general result (add ref), $\mathrm{Ext}^j(H_{i,x}^{\mathrm{U}}(X_x), \mathbb{G}_a) = 0$ for $j \geq 2$. It follows that for any index set I , we have $\mathrm{Ext}^j(H_{i,x}^{\mathrm{U}}(X_x), \prod_I \mathbb{G}_a) = 0$ for $j \geq 2$. If G is such that $V_G = 0$, then a long exact sequence chase using the short exact sequence (3.3.5) and the vanishing $\mathrm{Ext}^1(H_{i,x}^{\mathrm{U}}(X_x), \prod_I \mathbb{G}_a) = 0$, we obtain the desired claim of the lemma. Suppose now that G satisfies $V_G^k = 0$ for some k . Then again, we obtain the desired vanishing follows inductively from the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow VG \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/VG \rightarrow 0$. For a general unipotent group scheme G , we have $G \simeq \varprojlim G/V^k G$. Since we have proven the statement of the lemma for unipotent group schemes killed by a power of V , it follows from a long exact sequence chase that the maps

$$\mathrm{Ext}^{j-1}(H_{i,x}^{\mathrm{U}}(X_x), G/V^k) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{j-1}(H_{i,x}^{\mathrm{U}}(X_x), G/V^{k-1})$$

are surjective for $j \geq 2$. Similar to Proposition 3.3.4, by a Milnor sequence argument, we obtain the desired vanishing in general. \square

Back to proof of Proposition 3.3.6, proceeding in a manner similar to the proof of Proposition 3.3.4 using (3.3.5) shows that θ_1 is an isomorphism when G has the property $V_G = 0$. Suppose now that G satisfies $V_G^k = 0$ for some k . The long exact sequences associated to the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow VG \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/VG \rightarrow 0$ along with Lemma 3.3.8 (which implies that the map $\mathrm{Ext}^1(H_{i,x}^{\mathrm{U}}(X_x), G) \rightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^1(H_{i,x}^{\mathrm{U}}(X_x), G/VG)$ is surjective) and five lemma implies that θ_1 is an isomorphism in that case. The case of a general unipotent group scheme follows from the fact that $G \simeq \varprojlim G/V^k G$ and using Milnor sequences along with the five lemma. \square

Lemma 3.3.9. *Let X be a Cohen–Macaulay scheme over k . Then for any $i \geq 0$ and any finite type unipotent group scheme G over k , we have*

$$\bigoplus_{x \in X^{(i)}} H_x^i(X_x, G) \simeq \mathrm{Hom}\left(\prod_{x \in X^{(i)}} H_{i,x}^{\mathrm{U}}(X_x), G\right).$$

Proof. Follows from Lemma 2.3.23 and Lemma 3.3.3. \square

Lemma 3.3.10. *Let X be a Cohen–Macaulay scheme over k . Then for any $i \geq 0$ and any finite type unipotent group scheme G over k , we have a natural isomorphism*

$$\bigoplus_{x \in X^{(i)}} H_x^{i+1}(X_x, G) \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathrm{Ext}^1\left(\prod_{x \in X^{(i)}} H_{i,x}^{\mathrm{U}}(X_x), G\right).$$

Proof. Follows from Lemma 2.3.23 and Proposition 3.3.6. \square

Lemma 3.3.11. *Let X be a Cohen–Macaulay scheme over k . Then for any $i \geq 0$ and any finite type unipotent group scheme G over k , we have*

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{D(\mathrm{Uni})}^j\left(\prod_{x \in X^{(i)}} H_{i,x}^{\mathrm{U}}(X_x), G\right) = 0$$

for $j \geq 2$.

Proof. Since $\prod_{x \in X^{(i)}} H_{i,x}^U(X_x)$ is unipotent, by [DG70, Prop. V-1 5.1 and 5.2],

$$\mathrm{Ext}^j \left(\prod_{x \in X^{(i)}} H_{i,x}^U(X_x), \mathbb{G}_a \right) = 0$$

for $j \geq 2$. Suppose now that $V_G = 0$. Since G is finite type, we have an exact sequence of the form

$$0 \rightarrow G \rightarrow \prod_I \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow \prod_J \mathbb{G}_a \rightarrow 0,$$

where I, J are finite sets. By Lemma 3.3.10, we have $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\prod_{x \in X^{(i)}} H_{i,x}^U(X_x), \mathbb{G}_a) = 0$. Thus, by a long exact sequence chase we obtain the desired claim when $V_G = 0$. Since G is finite type, $V_G^n = 0$ for some n . Thus, to prove the claim in general, by using the short exact sequence $0 \rightarrow VG \rightarrow G \rightarrow G/VG \rightarrow 0$, one may reduce to the case when $V_G = 0$. This finishes the proof. \square

Finally, we are ready to give a proof of Proposition 3.3.1.

Proof of Proposition 3.3.1. As discussed before the statement of Proposition 3.3.1, there is a map of filtered objects

$$R\mathrm{Hom}_{D(\mathrm{Uni})}(F^* J_*^U(X), G) \rightarrow R\mathrm{Hom}(F^* H_*^U(X), G).$$

Note that the filtered object on the left induces a convergent spectral sequence

$$'E_1^{i,j} = \mathrm{Ext}_{D(\mathrm{Uni})}^j \left(\prod_{x \in X^{(i)}} H_{i,x}^U(X_x), G \right) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}_{D(\mathrm{Uni})}^{i+j}(J_*^U(X), G).$$

The filtered object on the right induces a convergent spectral sequence

$$E_1^{i,j} = \mathrm{Ext}^{i+j}(\mathrm{gr}^i H_*^U(X), G) \Longrightarrow \mathrm{Ext}^{i+j}(H_*^U(X), G).$$

The map of filtered objects induces natural morphisms between the above two spectral sequences, and to prove the proposition it suffices to prove that the natural maps $'E_1^{i,j} \rightarrow E_1^{i,j}$ are isomorphisms. Using Lemma 2.3.24 and Proposition 3.1.7, it follows that we need to prove that the natural maps

$$\mathrm{Ext}_{D(\mathrm{Uni})}^j \left(\prod_{x \in X^{(i)}} H_{i,x}^U(X_x), G \right) \rightarrow \bigoplus_{x \in X^{(i)}} H_x^{i+j}(X_x, G)$$

are isomorphisms.

To this end, note that for $j \leq 0$, the map is an isomorphism by Lemma 3.3.3. For $j = 1$, the isomorphism follows from Lemma 3.3.10, and for $j \geq 2$, it follows from the vanishings from Proposition 3.3.4 and Lemma 3.3.11. \square

4. ARTIN–MAZUR FORMAL GROUPS

In [MR23], the authors explained how to recover Artin–Mazur formal groups from the unipotent homotopy group schemes introduced in loc. cit. under certain hypothesis on vanishing of cohomology groups. In this section, we will explain how to recover these Artin–Mazur formal groups in general from unipotent homology groups studied in this paper, with *no such vanishing assumptions*. To this end, let us recall their definition.

Definition 4.0.1 ([AM77]). Let k be a field and X be a smooth proper scheme over k . Let Art_k be the category of Artinian k -algebras. Define an abelian group valued functor $\Phi_X^n: (\text{Art}/k)^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Ab}$ as

$$A \mapsto \text{Ker}(H_{\text{ét}}^n(X_A, \mathbb{G}_m) \rightarrow H_{\text{ét}}^n(X, \mathbb{G}_m)).$$

Note that when $n = 1$, Φ_X^n is the formal completion of the Picard scheme of X . When $n = 2$, it is the formal Brauer group. In general, the above functor is not pro-representable. Artin and Mazur gave certain conditions regarding pro-representability for this functor. Recently, Bragg–Olsson proved the following result [BO21, Thm. 10.8].

Theorem 4.0.2 (Bragg–Olsson). *Let X be a smooth proper scheme over k . Let $(\Phi_X^n)^{\text{fl}}$ denote the sheafification of Φ_X^n for the fppf topology on Art_k^{op} . Then $(\Phi_X^n)^{\text{fl}}$ is pro-representable for every n .*

Following Bragg–Olsson, $(\Phi_X^n)^{\text{fl}}$ will be called the n -th flat Artin–Mazur formal group. We will actually recover the *flat* Artin–Mazur formal groups from unipotent homology. Recall that (Remark 3.1.8) for a scheme X , we have the following (homological) spectral sequence (arising from the coniveau filtration) converging to unipotent homology:

$$(4.0.3) \quad E_1^{p,q} = \prod_{x \in X^{(p)}} H_{p+q,x}^{\text{U}}(X_x) \implies H_{p+q}^{\text{U}}(X).$$

We will prove the following.

Theorem 4.0.4. *Let X be a smooth proper scheme over k . Then the Cartier dual of the flat Artin–Mazur formal group $(\Phi_X^p)^{\text{fl}}$ is canonically isomorphic to the unipotent group scheme $E_2^{p,0}$, arising from the spectral sequence (4.0.3).*

Proof. Note that $E_2^{p,0}$, by definition, is the p -th homology of the chain complex $E_1^{\bullet,0}$ of unipotent group schemes, which we denoted by $J_*^{\text{U}}(X)$. We will begin by computing the Dieudonné module of $E_2^{p,0}$, which is given by $\varinjlim \text{Hom}(E_2^{p,0}, W_n)$. By Proposition 3.3.1, we have

$$R\text{Hom}_{D(\text{Uni})}(J_*^{\text{U}}(X), W_n) \xrightarrow{\sim} R\Gamma(X, W_n).$$

This yields a spectral sequence where we may identify the E_2 -page:

$$\text{Ext}_{D(\text{Uni})}^q(E_2^{p,0}, W_n) \implies H^{q+p}(X, W_n).$$

Since $\text{Ext}_{D(\text{Uni})}^q(E_2^{p,0}, W_n) = 0$ for $q \geq 2$, the spectral sequence degenerates on the second page, and we obtain exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Ext}^1(E_2^{p-1,0}, W_n) \rightarrow H^p(X, W_n) \rightarrow \text{Hom}(E_2^{p,0}, W_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

The compatibility for varying n implies that we have an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \varinjlim_n \text{Ext}^1(E_2^{p-1,0}, W_n) \rightarrow \varinjlim_n H^p(X, W_n) \rightarrow \varinjlim_n \text{Hom}(E_2^{p,0}, W_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

By Lemma 4.0.5, we obtain

$$\varinjlim_n H^p(X, W_n) \simeq \varinjlim_n \text{Hom}(E_2^{p,0}, W_n).$$

Note that the flat Artin–Mazur formal group $(\Phi_X^p)^{\text{fl}}$ is connected, so its Cartier dual $((\Phi_X^p)^{\text{fl}})^{\vee}$ is a commutative unipotent group scheme. Further, by Thm 12.1 of Bragg–Olsson, we have

$$\varinjlim_n \text{Hom}(((\Phi_X^p)^{\text{fl}})^{\vee}, W_n) \simeq \varinjlim_n H^p(X, W_n).$$

Therefore, by Dieudonné theory, we conclude that

$$((\Phi_X^p)^{\text{fl}})^{\vee} \simeq E_2^{p,0},$$

which finishes the proof. \square

The following lemma was used in the above proof.

Lemma 4.0.5. *Let G be a commutative unipotent group scheme over a perfect field k . Then*

$$\varinjlim_n \text{Ext}^1(G, W_n) = 0.$$

Proof. Suppose that $\gamma \in \text{Ext}^1(G, W_t)$ for some $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Suppose that t is classified by an extension

$$(4.0.6) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_t \rightarrow H \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0.$$

Note that (add reference to Demazure), on the category of commutative unipotent group schemes, the functor $\varinjlim_n \text{Hom}(\cdot, W_n)$ is exact. Applying this to (4.0.6), we obtain an exact sequence

$$(4.0.7) \quad 0 \rightarrow \varinjlim_n \text{Hom}(G, W_n) \rightarrow \varinjlim_n \text{Hom}(H, W_n) \rightarrow \varinjlim_n \text{Hom}(W_t, W_n) \rightarrow 0.$$

The exactness implies that there exists a map $v : H \rightarrow W_s$ for some $s > t$ such that the composition $W_t \rightarrow H \rightarrow W_s$ is the canonical map. The class γ induces a class in $\text{Ext}^1(G, W_s)$ which can be described as an exact sequence

$$(4.0.8) \quad 0 \rightarrow W_s \rightarrow H' \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0,$$

where H' is the pushout of $W_t \rightarrow H$ along the canonical map $W_t \rightarrow W_s$. Using this pushout description, and the map $v : H \rightarrow W_s$, we can now construct a map $H' \rightarrow W_s$ that splits the exact sequence (4.0.8). This proves that $\varinjlim_n \text{Ext}^1(G, W_n) = 0$, as desired. \square

5. PERFECT UNIPOTENT SPECTRA AND DUALITY THEOREMS

In this section, we fix a field k of positive characteristic and study perfect unipotent spectra over k . In Section 5.1, we study a certain finiteness condition on perfect group schemes, leading to the notion of quasi-finite type perfect group schemes. We define perfect unipotent spectra in Section 5.2 and record a recognition theorem in Section 5.3, in parallel with the results of Section 2.4. In Section 5.4, we use the finiteness condition described in Section 5.1, and prove that a certain full subcategory \mathbb{Z}/p -modules in perfect unipotent spectra admits a good theory of duality (Theorem 5.4.8). In Section 5.5, we show that the weight i syntomic cohomology (modulo p) of a proper lci scheme X admits a canonical enhancement $\mathbb{Z}/p(i)_X$ to perfect unipotent spectra over k for each i ; if moreover X is smooth, the unipotent spectrum $\mathbb{Z}/p(i)_X$ has good finiteness properties. Finally, we show that when X is a smooth proper k -scheme of dimension d , there is an equivalence of unipotent spectra $\mathbb{Z}/p(i)_X^{\text{uni}} \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p(d-i)_X^{\text{uni}})^\vee[-2d]$ (under the duality from Theorem 5.4.8) refining Milne's duality theorem [Mil76, Theorem 1.9].

5.1. Preliminaries on quasi-finite type perfect group schemes. In this section, we discuss the foundations on quasi-finite type perfect group schemes, which will be used later in the context of perfect unipotent spectra.

Definition 5.1.1. Let G be an affine group scheme over a perfect field k of positive characteristic. The *perfection* of G is defined to be $G^{\text{perf}} := \varprojlim_{\varphi} G$; it is a perfect affine group scheme over k .

Definition 5.1.2 (Quasi-finite type perfect group schemes). A perfect affine group scheme G over k is called *quasi-finite type* if G is the perfection of some finite type group scheme over k .

Remark 5.1.3. Note that the category of commutative group schemes over k is an abelian category. The full subcategory of perfect commutative group schemes over k forms an abelian subcategory of the former. As we will prove in Proposition 5.1.14, the full subcategory of commutative group schemes spanned by perfect commutative quasi-finite group schemes also naturally forms an abelian category.

The following proposition will give an intrinsic reformulation of Definition 5.1.2.

Proposition 5.1.4. A perfect affine group scheme G over k is quasi-finite type if and only if G is a cocompact object in the category of perfect affine group schemes over k .

Proof. Let $H \simeq H_0^{\text{perf}}$ where H_0 is finite type. We will show that H is a cocompact object in the category of perfect affine group schemes. Let $G \simeq \varprojlim G_i$ in the category of perfect group schemes. By adjunction, we have $\text{Hom}(G, H) \simeq \text{Hom}(G, H_0)$. Since H_0 is finite type, we have $\text{Hom}(G, H_0) \simeq \varinjlim_i \text{Hom}(G_i, H_0) \simeq \varinjlim_i \text{Hom}(G_i, H)$, as desired.

Conversely, we will show that a cocompact object G is quasi-finite type. One can write $G \simeq \varprojlim G_i^0$, where G_i^0 is a finite type quotient of G . By passing to perfection, we may write $\bar{G} \simeq \varprojlim \bar{G}_i$ where $G \rightarrow \bar{G}_i$ is a surjection of perfect group schemes for each i . Since G is cocompact, we have

$$\text{Hom}(G, G) \simeq \varinjlim_i \text{Hom}(G_i, G).$$

This implies that there exists a map $G_i \xrightarrow{f} G$ such that the composition $G \rightarrow G_i \xrightarrow{f} G$ is the identity map. This implies that the surjection $G \rightarrow G_i$ must also be an injection. Thus $G \simeq G_i \simeq (G_i^0)^{\text{perf}}$, which finishes the proof since G_i^0 was finite type by choice. \square

Corollary 5.1.5. *A perfect affine group scheme G over a field k is quasi-finite type if and only if G is the perfection of a finite type quotient of G .*

Proof. Follows from Proposition 5.1.4 and its proof. \square

Remark 5.1.6. Note that a cocompact object in the category of perfect, affine, commutative group schemes over k can be equivalently regarded as a perfect, affine, quasi-finite type group scheme over k that also happens to be commutative. This follows in a manner similar to the proof of Proposition 5.1.4. Further, by Corollary 5.1.5, any such group scheme G is isomorphic to perfection of a finite type quotient of G , which is necessarily commutative.

Remark 5.1.7. Let G and H be two perfect quasi-finite group schemes over k . Suppose that $G \simeq G_0^{\text{perf}}$ and $H \simeq H_0^{\text{perf}}$. Then it follows that

$$(5.1.8) \quad \text{Hom}(G, H) \simeq \text{Hom}(G, H_0) \simeq \varinjlim_{\varphi} \text{Hom}(G_0, H_0).$$

In other words, for every $f : G \rightarrow H$, there is a $k \geq 0$ such that $f\varphi^k$ is induced from $f_0 : G_0 \rightarrow H_0$ via perfection.

Example 5.1.9. The perfection of \mathbb{G}_a (resp. \mathbb{G}_m) is a group scheme denoted by $\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}$, whose underlying scheme is isomorphic to $\text{Spec } k[x^{1/p^\infty}]$ (resp. $\text{Spec } k[x^{\pm 1/p^\infty}]$). By definition, $\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}$ (resp. $\mathbb{G}_m^{\text{perf}}$) is a perfect quasi-finite type group scheme.

Example 5.1.10. The profinite group scheme $\underline{\mathbb{Z}}_p := \varprojlim_n \mathbb{Z}/p^n$ is a perfect group scheme, but not of quasi-finite type.

Example 5.1.11. Let $\mu_n := \mathbb{G}_m[n]$. If n is a power of p , it follows that $(\mu_n)^{\text{perf}} \simeq *$. If n is coprime to p , then $(\mu_n)^{\text{perf}} \simeq \mu_n$.

Proposition 5.1.12. *Let G be a perfect affine group scheme over a perfect field k . Then G is unipotent and quasi-finite type (resp. commutative) if and only if G is the perfection of some unipotent and finite type (resp. commutative) group scheme.*

Proof. Follows from Corollary 5.1.5 and Remark 5.1.6 since the category of unipotent group schemes are closed under inverse limits and quotients. \square

Lemma 5.1.13. *Let G be a finite type commutative affine group scheme over a perfect field k . Let F_G denotes the Frobenius map on G . Then*

$$R^1 \varprojlim_{F_G} G \simeq 0.$$

Proof. Note that since G is finite type, the image of F_G^k stabilizes for large enough k . Let $G' := \text{Im}(F_G^k)$ for $k \gg 0$. It suffices to prove that $R^1 \varprojlim_{F_{G'}} G' \simeq 0$. However, by construction, $F_{G'}$ is a surjection. This finishes the proof. \square

Proposition 5.1.14. *The category of perfect commutative quasi-finite type group schemes over k is an abelian subcategory of the category of commutative group schemes over k that is closed under extensions.*

Proof. Let G and H be two perfect commutative quasi-finite type group schemes and let $f : G \rightarrow H$ be a map. For the abelian subcategory part, it will suffice to prove that kernel and cokernel of f in the category of commutative affine group schemes is already perfect and of quasi-finite type. The perfectness follows directly. To prove that they are of quasi-finite type, by Remark 5.1.7, we can assume without loss of generality that f is induced from a map $f_0 : G_0 \rightarrow H_0$ of finite type algebraic groups via perfection. Since kernel and cokernel of f_0 are both finite type, our claim follows from Lemma 5.1.13.

Now we will prove the closure under extension property. In what follows, we work in the derived category of fpqc abelian sheaves over k . By Lemma 5.1.13, it follows that $R\varprojlim_{F_T} T \simeq T^{\text{perf}}$ for any finite type commutative affine group scheme T . Let us consider an extension $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ of group schemes, where G, H are perfect and of quasi-finite type. It follows directly that E is also perfect. Our goal now is to prove that E is of quasi-finite type. Suppose that G_0, H_0 are finite type group schemes such that $G \simeq G_0^{\text{perf}}$ and $H \simeq H_0^{\text{perf}}$. It follows that $R\text{Hom}(G, H) \simeq R\varprojlim_{\varphi} R\text{Hom}(G, H_0) \simeq R\text{Hom}(G, H_0)$, where the latter isomorphism follows because G is perfect. Since H_0 is finite type, it further follows that $R\text{Hom}(G, H_0) \simeq \varinjlim R\text{Hom}(G_0, H_0)$. In particular, we have $\text{Ext}^1(G, H) \simeq \varinjlim \text{Ext}^1(G_0, H_0)$. Therefore, without loss of generality, we may assume that the extension $0 \rightarrow H \rightarrow E \rightarrow G \rightarrow 0$ arises as perfection of an extension $0 \rightarrow H_0 \rightarrow E_0 \rightarrow G_0 \rightarrow 0$. Thus $E \simeq E_0^{\text{perf}}$, which finishes the proof since E_0 must be of finite type. \square

Corollary 5.1.15. *The category of perfect commutative quasi-finite type unipotent group schemes over k is an abelian subcategory of the category of commutative group schemes over k that is closed under extensions.*

Proof. Follows from Proposition 5.1.12 and Proposition 5.1.14. \square

Remark 5.1.16. When k is algebraically closed, the category of quasi-finite type perfect group schemes is equivalent to the category of *quasi-algebraic* group schemes due to Serre [Ser60, §1]. This follows from [Ser60, Prop. 2].

Proposition 5.1.17. *Let G be a perfect quasi-finite type commutative unipotent group scheme over a perfect field k . Then G has a finite filtration where the graded pieces are all perfect, quasi-finite type, unipotent, closed subgroup schemes of $\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}$.*

Proof. By Proposition 5.1.12, G is perfection of a finite type, unipotent, commutative, affine group scheme G_0 . Any such G_0 has a filtration where the graded pieces are subgroup schemes of \mathbb{G}_a . The result follows from taking perfection. \square

Corollary 5.1.18. *Let G be a perfect quasi-finite type commutative unipotent group scheme over a perfect field k . Then G is killed by a power of p .*

Proof. Follows from using the filtration in Proposition 5.1.17. \square

Proposition 5.1.19. *Let G be a perfect quasi-finite type commutative unipotent group scheme over an algebraically closed field k of characteristic $p > 0$. Then G has a finite filtration where the graded pieces are isomorphic to either $\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}$ or \mathbb{Z}/p .*

Proof. By Proposition 5.1.12, G is perfection of a finite type, unipotent, commutative, affine group scheme G_0 over k . Since k is algebraically closed, any such G_0 has a filtration where the graded pieces are isomorphic to \mathbb{G}_a , α_p , and \mathbb{Z}/p . The result follows from taking perfection and using Example 5.1.11. \square

Proposition 5.1.20 (Galois descent). *Let G be a perfect affine group scheme over a perfect field k . Let \bar{k} be an algebraic closure of k . Suppose that $G_{\bar{k}} := G \times_{\text{Spec } k} \text{Spec } \bar{k}$ is quasi-finite type over \bar{k} . Then G is quasi-finite type over k .*

Proof. Let us choose an isomorphism $f : G_{\bar{k}} \simeq H^{\text{perf}}$, where H is a finite type group scheme over \bar{k} . By adjunction, f is induced from a canonical map $f' : G_{\bar{k}} \rightarrow H$ of group schemes over \bar{k} . Using the spreading out technique, by possibly replacing k by a finite extension, we can without loss of generality assume that the finite type group scheme H is isomorphic to $H'_{\bar{k}}$ where H' is a finite type group scheme defined over k . In the category of affine group schemes over k ,

$$\text{Hom}(G_{\bar{k}}, H') \simeq \text{Hom}\left(\varprojlim_{[L:k] < \infty} G_L, H'\right) \simeq \varinjlim_{[L:k] < \infty} \text{Hom}(G_L, H'),$$

where the latter isomorphism follows because H' is a cocompact object, since it is a finite type affine group scheme over k . This implies that there exists a finite extension L of k , and a map $f'_0 : G_L \rightarrow H'_L$ such that f' is induced by pullback along $\text{Spec } \bar{k} \rightarrow \text{Spec } L$. Note that since k is perfect, the finite extension L is also perfect and G_L is a perfect group scheme over L . Therefore, we have a map $f_0 : G_L \rightarrow (H'_L)^{\text{perf}} \simeq (H'^{\text{perf}})_L$ which induces an isomorphism when base changed along $\text{Spec } \bar{k} \rightarrow \text{Spec } L$. Therefore, f_0 is an isomorphism. This implies that G_L is a perfect, quasi-finite type group scheme over L .

We will now show that G is a cocompact object in the category of perfect affine group schemes over k , which will imply that it is of quasi-finite type by Proposition 5.1.4. To this end, let $T \simeq \varprojlim T_i$, where $(T_i)_{i \in I}$ is an inverse system of perfect affine group schemes over k . Then we also have $T_L := \varprojlim (T_i)_L$. Note that

$$\text{Hom}_k(T, G) \simeq \text{Hom}(T_L, G_L)^{\text{Gal}(L/k)} \simeq \left(\varinjlim \text{Hom}((T_i)_L, G_L)\right)^{\text{Gal}(L/k)},$$

where the latter isomorphism follows from the fact that G_L is a cocompact object in the category of perfect affine group schemes by the previous paragraph and Proposition 5.1.4. Moreover, since $\text{Gal}(L/k)$ is a finite group, taking fixed points commute with filtered colimits. Therefore, we have

$$\left(\varinjlim \text{Hom}((T_i)_L, G_L)\right)^{\text{Gal}(L/k)} \simeq \varinjlim (\text{Hom}((T_i)_L, G_L))^{\text{Gal}(L/k)} \simeq \varinjlim \text{Hom}(T_i, G_L).$$

This proves the desired cocompactness of G which finishes the proof. \square

5.2. Perfect affine stacks and perfect unipotent spectra. In this section, we restrict our attention to the category of perfect schemes and introduce a notion of perfect affine stacks and perfect unipotent spectra. First we discuss a few relevant preliminaries regarding perfect algebras, and recall their derived analogues (Definition 5.2.2). The starting point here is Breen's theorem on the vanishing of higher ext-groups of the additive group over the site of perfect k -schemes. As a consequence, upon restricting to

the site of perfect schemes, the recognition theorem can be refined to modules over a far less complicated E_1 -algebra.

Definition 5.2.1. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $\text{Alg}_k^{\text{perf}}$ denote the category of perfect k -algebras. The inclusion of categories

$$\text{Alg}_k^{\text{perf}} \hookrightarrow \text{Alg}_k$$

admits a left adjoint, given by $A \mapsto A_{\text{perf}} := \varinjlim_{\varphi} A$, and a right adjoint, given by $A^{\flat} := \varprojlim_{\varphi} A$, where φ is the Frobenius endomorphism of A .

The notion of a perfect algebra also extends to derived commutative rings:

Definition 5.2.2. Note that every object of DAlg_k admits a Frobenius endomorphism (e.g., see [Hol23, Cons. 2.4.1]). Let $\text{DAlg}_k^{\text{perf}} \subseteq \text{DAlg}_k$ be the full subcategory spanned by those objects for which the Frobenius map is an isomorphism of derived rings. We will refer to objects of $\text{DAlg}_k^{\text{perf}}$ as *perfect derived k -algebras*.

Remark 5.2.3. For a derived \mathbb{F}_p -algebra B , the Frobenius map induces the zero map on $\pi_i(B)$ for $i > 0$. This implies that a perfect derived ring is always coconnective.

Definition 5.2.4 (Perfect prestacks). Let $\text{Aff}_k^{\text{perf}}$ denote the category of perfect affine schemes over k . We let $\text{PSt}_k^{\text{perf}} := \text{Fun}(\text{Aff}_k^{\text{perf}}, \mathcal{S})$, and call it the category of perfect prestacks.

Remark 5.2.5. Note that there is a natural restriction functor $u^* : \text{PSt}_k \rightarrow \text{PSt}_k^{\text{perf}}$. Composition along $(\cdot)_{\text{perf}} : \text{Alg}_k \rightarrow \text{Alg}_k^{\text{perf}}$ defines a canonical functor denoted as $u_* : \text{PSt}_k^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \text{PSt}_k$. By construction, u_* is right adjoint to u^* . Similarly, composition along $(\cdot)^{\flat} : \text{Alg}_k \rightarrow \text{Alg}_k^{\text{perf}}$ defines a canonical functor denoted as $u_! : \text{PSt}_k^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \text{PSt}_k$. By construction, $u_!$ is left adjoint to u^* .

Lemma 5.2.6. *The functor $u_!$ is fully faithful and the essential image is given by the full subcategory of PSt_k spanned by $X \in \text{PSt}_k$ such that the natural map $X(A^{\flat}) \rightarrow X(A)$ is an equivalence for every $A \in \text{Alg}_k$. Moreover, for any $B \in \text{Alg}_k^{\text{perf}}$, we have $u_! u^* \text{Spec } B \simeq \text{Spec } B$.*

Proof. Since the natural map $\text{id} \rightarrow u^* u_!$ is an equivalence, the full faithfulness of $u_!$ follows from adjunction. The rest follows from the fact that $(\cdot)^{\flat} : \text{Alg}_k \rightarrow \text{Alg}_k^{\text{perf}}$ is right adjoint to the inclusion functor. \square

Notation 5.2.7. For $B \in \text{Alg}_k^{\text{perf}}$, $u^* \text{Spec } B$ will be simply denoted by $\text{Spec } B \in \text{PSt}_k^{\text{perf}}$.

Construction 5.2.8. Note that left Kan extension of the global section functor $\text{Aff}_k^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \text{DAlg}_k^{\text{op}}$ along $\text{Aff}_k^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \text{PSt}_k^{\text{perf}}$ produces a functor that we denote as

$$R\Gamma'(\cdot, \mathcal{O}) : \text{PSt}_k^{\text{perf}} \rightarrow \text{DAlg}_k^{\text{op}}.$$

Note that for $X \in \text{PSt}_k^{\text{perf}}$, we have $R\Gamma(u_! X, \mathcal{O}) \simeq R\Gamma'(X, \mathcal{O})$. To see this, note that

$$R\Gamma(u_! X, \mathcal{O}) \simeq \lim_{\substack{A \in \text{Alg}_k; \\ (\text{Spec } A \rightarrow u_! X) \in \text{PSt}_k}} A \simeq \lim_{\substack{A \in \text{Alg}_k; \\ (\text{Spec } A^{\flat} \rightarrow X) \in \text{PSt}_k^{\text{perf}}}} A,$$

where the limits are taken in DAlg_k . However, the latter is equivalent to

$$\lim_{\substack{A^\flat \in \mathrm{Alg}_k; \\ (\mathrm{Spec} A^\flat \rightarrow X) \in \mathrm{Pst}_k^{\mathrm{perf}}}} A \simeq \lim_{\substack{B \in \mathrm{Alg}_k^{\mathrm{perf}}; \\ (\mathrm{Spec} B \rightarrow X) \in \mathrm{Pst}_k^{\mathrm{perf}}}} B \simeq R\Gamma'(X, \mathcal{O}).$$

Therefore, for $X \in \mathrm{Pst}_k^{\mathrm{perf}}$, we will simply use $R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O})$ to denote $R\Gamma'(X, \mathcal{O})$.

Definition 5.2.9 (Perfect affine stacks). By the Yoneda embedding, we have a functor

$$(\mathrm{DAlg}_k^{\mathrm{perf}})^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{DAlg}_k^{\mathrm{perf}}, \mathcal{S}).$$

Composing with $\mathrm{Alg}_k^{\mathrm{perf}} \rightarrow \mathrm{DAlg}_k^{\mathrm{perf}}$, we obtain a functor

$$\mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{pf}} : (\mathrm{DAlg}_k^{\mathrm{perf}})^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{PSt}_k^{\mathrm{perf}}.$$

We define the essential image of this functor to be the category of *perfect affine stacks* over k and denote it by $\mathrm{AffSt}_k^{\mathrm{perf}}$.

Remark 5.2.10. Note that we have an adjunction

$$R\Gamma(\cdot, \mathcal{O}) : \mathrm{PSt}_k^{\mathrm{perf}} \rightleftarrows (\mathrm{DAlg}_k^{\mathrm{perf}})^{\mathrm{op}} : \mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{pf}},$$

where the left adjoint $R\Gamma(\cdot, \mathcal{O})$ is as defined in Construction 5.2.8.

Remark 5.2.11. By definition, for $B \in \mathrm{DAlg}_k^{\mathrm{perf}}$, we have $\mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{pf}} B \simeq u^* \mathrm{Spec} B$.

Remark 5.2.12. Let St_k^\wedge (resp. $(\mathrm{St}_k^{\mathrm{perf}})^\wedge$) denote the full subcategory of PSt_k (resp. $\mathrm{PSt}_k^{\mathrm{perf}}$) that satisfies hyperdescent for the fpqc topology on Aff_k (resp. $\mathrm{Aff}_k^{\mathrm{perf}}$). The functor u^* from Remark 5.2.5 restricts to a functor again denoted as $u^* : \mathrm{St}_k^\wedge \rightarrow (\mathrm{St}_k^{\mathrm{perf}})^\wedge$. Note that that functor u_* also restricts to a functor $u_* : (\mathrm{St}_k^{\mathrm{perf}})^\wedge \rightarrow \mathrm{St}_k^\wedge$; this follows from the observation that if $A \rightarrow B$ is faithfully flat map of \mathbb{F}_p -algebras, then $A_{\mathrm{perf}} \rightarrow B_{\mathrm{perf}}$ is also faithfully flat. By Remark 5.2.5, u_* is right adjoint to u^* . Further, u^* also admits a left adjoint, (obtained as hypersheafification of $u_!$) which we denote by $u_!^\sharp$. Similar to Lemma 5.2.6, we have the following.

Lemma 5.2.13. *The functor $u_!^\sharp : \mathrm{St}_k^\wedge \rightarrow (\mathrm{St}_k^{\mathrm{perf}})^\wedge$ is fully faithful. Moreover, for any $B \in \mathrm{DAlg}_k^{\mathrm{perf}}$, we have*

$$B \simeq R\Gamma(u_!^\sharp \mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{pf}} B, \mathcal{O}).$$

Proof. For $S \in \mathrm{Alg}_k^{\mathrm{perf}}$, any faithfully flat map $S \rightarrow T$, where $T \in \mathrm{Alg}_k$, the map $S \rightarrow T_{\mathrm{perf}}$ is also faithfully flat and factors through $S \rightarrow T$. This property implies that the natural map $\mathrm{id} \rightarrow u^* u_!^\sharp$ is an equivalence. Therefore, the full faithfulness of $u_!^\sharp : \mathrm{St}_k^\wedge \rightarrow (\mathrm{St}_k^{\mathrm{perf}})^\wedge$ follows from adjunction.

For the second part, note that there is a natural map $u_!^\sharp \mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{pf}} B \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} B$ by adjunction, which induces a map $B \rightarrow R\Gamma(u_!^\sharp \mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{pf}} B, \mathcal{O})$. We will prove that this is an isomorphism. Since $\mathrm{Spec} B$ is an affine stack, we can write it as a colimit of a simplicial affine scheme $\mathrm{Spec} A_\bullet$ in St_k^\wedge . Since u^* is left adjoint to u_* , it preserves small colimits. Therefore, $\mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{pf}} B$ is a colimit of $u^* \mathrm{Spec} A_\bullet \simeq \mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{pf}} (A_\bullet)_{\mathrm{perf}}$ in $(\mathrm{St}_k^{\mathrm{perf}})^\wedge$. Since $u_!^\sharp$ is left adjoint to u^* , it also preserves small colimits. Therefore, $u_!^\sharp \mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{pf}} B$ is colimit of $u_!^\sharp \mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{pf}} (A_\bullet)_{\mathrm{perf}}$. However, for an $A \in \mathrm{Alg}_k^{\mathrm{perf}}$, by Lemma 5.2.6,

$u_!^\# \mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{Pf}} A \simeq u_! \mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{Pf}} A \simeq \mathrm{Spec} A$. Therefore, the simplicial object $u_!^\# \mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{Pf}}(A_\bullet)_{\mathrm{perf}}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{Spec}(A_\bullet)_{\mathrm{perf}}$. This implies that

$$R\Gamma(u_!^\# \mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{Pf}} B, \mathcal{O}) \simeq \mathrm{Tot}(A_\bullet)_{\mathrm{perf}}.$$

Since filtered colimits commute with totalizations of coconnective objects, it follows that the latter is isomorphic to

$$(\mathrm{Tot} A_\bullet)_{\mathrm{perf}} \simeq B_{\mathrm{perf}} \simeq B,$$

which finishes the proof. \square

Proposition 5.2.14 (Embedding of perfect derived rings). *Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. The functor*

$$\mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{Pf}} : (\mathrm{DAlg}_k^{\mathrm{perf}})^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{PSt}_k^{\mathrm{perf}}$$

is fully faithful.

Proof. By virtue of adjunction from Remark 5.2.10 and Construction 5.2.8, it will be enough to prove that $B \simeq R\Gamma(u_! \mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{Pf}} B, \mathcal{O})$ for $B \in \mathrm{DAlg}_k^{\mathrm{perf}}$. This follows from Lemma 5.2.13, as we have $B \simeq R\Gamma(u_!^\# \mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{Pf}} B, \mathcal{O}) \simeq R\Gamma(u_! \mathrm{Spec}^{\mathrm{Pf}} B, \mathcal{O})$. \square

Corollary 5.2.15. *Let $\mathrm{AffSt}_k^{\mathrm{perf}'}$ denote the full subcategory of AffSt_k spanned by $X \in \mathrm{AffSt}_k$ such that $R\Gamma(X, \mathcal{O})$ is a perfect derived ring. Then the functor u^* induces an equivalence*

$$\mathrm{AffSt}_k^{\mathrm{perf}'} \simeq \mathrm{AffSt}_k^{\mathrm{perf}}$$

Proof. The above functor is the composition of

$$\mathrm{AffSt}_k^{\mathrm{perf}'} \simeq (\mathrm{DAlg}_k^{\mathrm{perf}})^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathrm{AffSt}_k^{\mathrm{perf}}.$$

By Proposition 5.2.14, the latter functor is an equivalence, which finishes the proof. \square

Definition 5.2.16 (Perfect unipotent spectra). Let A be any perfect ring. We define the stable ∞ -category of perfect unipotent spectra to be the ∞ -category $\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U,perf}} := \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{AffSt}_{A*}^{\mathrm{perf}})$ of spectrum objects; that is, it is the inverse limit

$$\dots \rightarrow \mathrm{AffSt}_{A*}^{\mathrm{perf}} \xrightarrow{\Omega} \mathrm{AffSt}_{A*}^{\mathrm{perf}} \rightarrow \dots$$

Remark 5.2.17. The natural fully faithful functor $\mathrm{AffSt}_A^{\mathrm{perf}} \rightarrow \mathrm{AffSt}_A$ produces a fully faithful functor

$$\mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U,perf}} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sp}_A^{\mathrm{U}}.$$

Unwinding the definitions, one sees that a unipotent spectrum E is a perfect unipotent spectrum if and only if $\Omega^{\infty-n}(E)$ is a perfect affine stack for each $n \in \mathbb{Z}$.

Restricting to bounded below unipotent spectra, which we denote by $\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U,perf}-}$ we once again obtain a well-behaved t -structure as above.

Proposition 5.2.18. *Let k be a perfect field of characteristic $p > 0$. Then there is a t -structure on $\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U,perf}-}$ with heart given by the category of perfect unipotent commutative affine group schemes over k .*

Proof. Same arguments as Proposition 2.1.11. \square

5.3. Recognition theorem for perfect unipotent spectra. We will study some recognition theorems for various ∞ -categories of perfect unipotent spectra, similar to Section 2.4. In addition to the techniques in Section 2.4, we will crucially use the following result due to Breen. Before stating it, let us fix some notations for this subsection.

Let $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$, where R is a perfect ring of characteristic $p > 0$. Let $D_{fpqc}(S_{\text{perf}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^k)$ denote the derived category of $D(\mathbb{Z}/p^k)$ -valued fpqc sheaves on $\operatorname{Aff}_S^{\text{perf}}$. Let W denote the p -typical Witt group scheme and W_n denote its n -truncated variant. Let W^{perf} and W_n^{perf} denote their perfections. Let σ denote the Witt vector Frobenius on $W(R)$ as well as $W_n(R)$. Let $W_n(R)_\sigma[F, F^{-1}]$ is the non-commutative Laurent polynomial ring subject to the relation $Fa = \sigma(a)F$.

Theorem 5.3.1 ([Bre78, Thm 0.1]). *Let $S = \operatorname{Spec} R$ as above. There is a natural equivalence*

$$R\operatorname{Hom}_{D_{fpqc}(S_{\text{perf}}, \mathbb{F}_p)}(\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}, \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}) \simeq R_\sigma[F, F^{-1}].$$

Corollary 5.3.2. *There is a natural equivalence*

$$R\operatorname{Hom}_{D_{fpqc}(S_{\text{perf}}, \mathbb{Z})}(\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}, \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}) \simeq R_\sigma[F, F^{-1}] \oplus R_\sigma[F, F^{-1}][-1].$$

Proof. First, note that by adjunction, we have an equivalence

$$R\operatorname{Hom}_{D(S_{\text{perf}}, \mathbb{Z})}(\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}, \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}) \simeq R\operatorname{Hom}_{D(S_{\text{perf}}, \mathbb{F}_p)}(\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}).$$

Thus, using the fiber sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z} \xrightarrow{p} \mathbb{Z} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \rightarrow 0$$

and the fact that $\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}$ is a ring object of characteristic p , we have

$$R\operatorname{Hom}_{D(S_{\text{perf}}, \mathbb{F}_p)}(\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}) \simeq R\operatorname{Hom}_{D(S_{\text{perf}}, \mathbb{F}_p)}(\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}} \oplus \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}[1], \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}).$$

Applying Theorem 5.3.1 now gives the desired computation. By [BM22, Proposition 7.1], there exists a unique E_1 -algebra with these homotopy groups, so this can be promoted to an equivalence of E_1 -algebras. \square

Remark 5.3.3. Note that $\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^k\mathbb{Z}$ for $k \geq 2$ as a \mathbb{Z}/p^k -module (induced from the right factor) is not isomorphic to $\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}} \oplus \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}[1]$.

The recognition theorem now takes the following form. Let k be a perfect field. Note that for any $E \in \mathbb{F}_p - \operatorname{Mod}_k^{\text{perf}, \text{U}^-}$, the mapping spectrum denoted by $R\operatorname{Hom}(E, \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}})$ can naturally be viewed as a right module over $\operatorname{End}(\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}) \simeq k_\sigma[F, F^{-1}]$ (see Theorem 5.3.1). The assignment $E \mapsto R\operatorname{Hom}(E, \mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}})$ promotes to a functor

$$M_{\mathbb{F}_p} : \mathbb{F}_p - \operatorname{Mod}_k^{\text{perf}, \text{U}^-} \rightarrow \operatorname{RMod}_{k_\sigma[F, F^{-1}]}.$$

Similarly, using Corollary 5.3.2, we have a functor

$$M_{\mathbb{Z}} : \mathbb{Z} - \operatorname{Mod}_k^{\text{perf}, \text{U}^-} \rightarrow \operatorname{RMod}_{k_\sigma[F, F^{-1}] \oplus k_\sigma[F, F^{-1}][-1]}.$$

Proposition 5.3.4. *The functors $M_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ and $M_{\mathbb{Z}}$ are fully faithful.*

Proof. The proof follows in the same way as that of Proposition 2.4.1. \square

5.4. Duality for perfect unipotent spectra. In [Mil76], Milne established a duality on the category of perfect unipotent group schemes over a perfect field. He then applied this to studying a duality in the context of flat cohomology of surfaces, thus foreshadowing several duality phenomena in the syntomic cohomology of characteristic p schemes. We show that Milne's duality for perfect unipotent group schemes extends to the ∞ -category of perfect unipotent spectra which are bounded with respect to the t -structure and which satisfy the condition of being *quasi-finite*.

Definition 5.4.1. A perfect unipotent spectrum E over k is said to be of *quasi-finite type* if for all $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, $\pi_i E$ is representable by a quasi-finite type perfect unipotent affine group scheme over k .

We let $\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U,perf,ft}}$ denote the full subcategory of $\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U,perf}}$ spanned by quasi-finite type perfect unipotent spectra. It follows from Proposition 5.1.14 that $\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U,perf,ft}}$ forms a stable subcategory of $\mathrm{Sp}_k^{\mathrm{U,perf}}$.

Remark 5.4.2. We can analogously define the ∞ -category $\mathbb{Z} - \mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U,perf,ft}}$ to be the subcategory of $\mathbb{Z} - \mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U,perf}}$ spanned by the perfect unipotent \mathbb{Z} -modules E for which $\pi_i E$ is representable by a quasi-finite type perfect unipotent affine group scheme. Similarly, one can define $\mathbb{F}_p - \mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U,perf,ft}} \subset \mathbb{F}_p - \mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U,perf,ft}}$ in this way.

Proposition 5.4.3. *Let E be a bounded perfect unipotent \mathbb{F}_p -module spectrum over a field k . Let \bar{k} be an algebraic closure of k and write $i_{\mathbb{F}_p}^*$ for the base change along a fixed embedding $k \rightarrow \bar{k}$ of Remark 2.1.5. Suppose that $i_{\mathbb{F}_p}^*(E)$ is of quasi-finite type. Then E is itself of quasi-finite type.*

Proof. For this we remark that the base-change functor

$$i_{\mathbb{F}_p}^* : \mathbb{F}_p - \mathrm{Mod}(\mathrm{St}_k) \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p - \mathrm{Mod}(\mathrm{St}_{\bar{k}})$$

is t -exact. This follows, for example from [Lur18, Remark 1.3.2.8]. Note moreover, that this t -structure is by construction compatible with the natural t -structure induced on bounded below unipotent \mathbb{F}_p -modules introduced in Proposition 2.3.10. Hence it follows that the induced functor on (perfect) unipotent \mathbb{F}_p -modules is t -exact as well. In each degree we have the cofiber sequence

$$\tau_{\geq(n+1)}(E) \rightarrow \tau_{\geq n}(E) \rightarrow \pi_n E[n]$$

and E will be obtained in finitely many stages in this way from its homotopy sheaves. Now, for each n for which $\pi_n(E) \neq 0$, we have that

$$i_{\mathbb{F}_p}^*(\pi_n(E)[n]) \simeq i_{\mathbb{F}_p}^*(\pi_n(E))[n] \simeq (\pi_n(E) \times_{\mathrm{Spec} k} \mathrm{Spec} \bar{k})[n].$$

Since we assumed that $i^*(E)$ is a quasi-finite type spectrum object, its homotopy sheaves will be unipotent group schemes of quasi-finite type. In particular, $\pi_n(E) \times_{\mathrm{Spec} k} \mathrm{Spec} \bar{k}$ is quasi-finite over \bar{k} . Hence, by Proposition 5.1.20, we see that $\pi_n(E)$ is itself quasi-finite over k . It follows that E is a quasi-finite type perfect unipotent \mathbb{F}_p -module spectrum over k . \square

It is only after restricting to perfect unipotent \mathbb{F}_p -modules of quasi-finite type, that we obtain a duality. First we set up some preliminaries.

Remark 5.4.4. The stable ∞ -category $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_k)$ acquires a closed symmetric monoidal structure. Indeed this category can be written as a tensor product

$$\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_k) \simeq \mathrm{Sp} \otimes^L \mathrm{St}_k$$

in Pr^L , the symmetric monoidal ∞ -category of stable ∞ -categories. From this description, we see that $\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_k)$ is an \mathbb{E}_∞ -algebra in this category. Now for any object $A \in \mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_k)$, the functor $A \otimes -$ commutes with \mathbb{V} -small colimits and thus admits a right adjoint $\underline{\mathrm{hom}}(A, -)$.

Remark 5.4.5. In the same way, by passing to \mathbb{F}_p -module objects, we see that $\mathbb{F}_p - \mathrm{Mod}(\mathrm{St}_k)$ inherits a closed symmetric monoidal structure.

We will see that the desired duality functor can be defined by restricting the linear duality on $\mathbb{F}_p - \mathrm{Mod}(\mathrm{St}_k)$, which we forthwith denote by $R\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(-, \mathbb{Z}/p)$ on to the relevant subcategory of unipotent \mathbb{F}_p -modules. First we recall some basic Ext-computations:

Lemma 5.4.6. *There are equivalences*

$$R\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}_a, \mathbb{Z}/p) \simeq \mathbb{G}_a[-1], \quad R\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{Z}/p) \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p$$

in $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\mathrm{U}, \mathrm{perf}}$.

Proof. We recall an argument given by Breen in [Bre06]. Applying $R\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}_a, -)$ to the Artin-Schreier sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \rightarrow \mathbb{G}_a \xrightarrow{F-1} \mathbb{G}_a,$$

together with the vanishing of higher Ext groups of \mathbb{G}_a , we get the exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow R[F, F^{-1}] \rightarrow R[F, F^{-1}] \xrightarrow{\pi} R \rightarrow 0$$

where

$$\pi \left(\sum_{i=-m}^n a_i F^i \right) = \sum a_i^{-p^i}.$$

Hence, $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathbb{G}_a, \mathbb{Z}/p) = \pi_{-1} R\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}_a, \mathbb{Z}/p) \cong R$, with all other Ext terms vanishing. This globalizes to $R\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{G}_a, \mathbb{Z}/p) \simeq \mathbb{G}_a[-1]$.

For the second identification we use the first equivalence, together with $R\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(-, \mathbb{Z}/p)$ applied to the Artin-Schreier sequence to deduce the cofiber sequence

$$\mathbb{G}_a[-1] \xrightarrow{F-1} \mathbb{G}_a[-1] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p \simeq R\underline{\mathrm{Hom}}(\mathbb{Z}/p, \mathbb{Z}/p),$$

in the category of perfect unipotent \mathbb{F}_p -modules. \square

This gives the following dualizability statement in \mathbb{F}_p -modules in stacks.

Proposition 5.4.7. *The perfect unipotent spectra of quasi-finite type which are moreover bounded with respect to the t -structure are dualizable with respect to the symmetric monoidal structure on $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_k))$.*

Proof. Using the t -structure, any perfect unipotent spectrum of quasi-finite type satisfying the hypothesis above may be built in finitely many steps via extensions from perfect unipotent group schemes of quasi-finite type. Since dualizable objects are closed under extensions, it is enough therefore to show that a unipotent group scheme G of

quasi-finite type is dualizable. Furthermore, since each such G has a finite filtration with associated graded pieces being closed subgroup schemes of $\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}$, it is enough to now assume that G is such a group scheme.

Let us form $R\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/p)$, the internal mapping object. We will show that the natural map

$$G \rightarrow R\text{Hom}(R\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/p), \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

is an equivalence. Since objects in $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\text{St}_k)$ are in particular sheaves of \mathbb{F}_p -module spectra satisfying fpqc descent, it amounts to verify this by pulling back along the cover $\text{Spec } \bar{k} \rightarrow \text{Spec } k$. But then after base-changing, the only choices for G will be $\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}$ or \mathbb{Z}/p , and these are clearly dualizable by the computation in Lemma 5.4.6. \square

We will now use this to show that the ∞ -category of perfect unipotent spectra of quasi-finite type which are moreover bounded with respect to the t-structure inherits a duality, which reduces to the duality of Milne mentioned in the beginning of the section.

Theorem 5.4.8. *Let $(\mathbb{F}_p - \text{Mod}_k^{\text{U,perf,ft}})^{bd}$ denote the category of quasi-finite type perfect unipotent \mathbb{F}_p -modules over k which are bounded with respect to the t-structure on unipotent spectra. Then the functor*

$$R\text{Hom}(-, \mathbb{Z}/p) : (\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{U,bd}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_p}^{\text{U,bd}}$$

defines an autoduality of $(\mathbb{F}_p - \text{Mod}_k^{\text{U,perf,ft}})^{bd}$

Proof. Let E be a perfect unipotent \mathbb{F}_p -module satisfying the hypotheses in the statement. We have shown in Proposition 5.4.7 that E is a dualizable object in $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\text{St}_k)$. Let $R\text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Z}/p)$ denote its dual. We need to show that this is also perfect unipotent of quasi-finite type. Let us first assume that we are working over an algebraically closed field \bar{k} .

By hypotheses on E , there exist integers $-N, M$ for which

$$0 \rightarrow 0 \rightarrow \tau_{\geq M}(E) \rightarrow \tau_{\geq (M-1)}(E) \cdots \rightarrow \tau_{\geq -N}(E) \simeq E = E = \cdots$$

such that in each degree we have cofiber sequences

$$\tau_{\geq (n+1)}(E) \rightarrow \tau_{\geq n}(E) \rightarrow \pi_n E[n].$$

Hence, $E \simeq \tau_{\geq -N}(E)$ is built inductively in finitely many steps out of shifts of perfect unipotent group schemes of quasi-finite type. Applying $R\text{Hom}(-, \mathbb{Z}/p)$ to everything in sight, we obtain analogous cofiber sequences

$$R\text{Hom}(\pi_n E[n], \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow R\text{Hom}(\tau_{\geq n}(E), \mathbb{Z}/p) \rightarrow R\text{Hom}(\pi_n E[n], \mathbb{Z}/p),$$

so that $R\text{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is itself built up in finitely many steps out of objects of the form $R\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/p)$, for G a perfect unipotent group scheme of quasi-finite type. We claim now that for G of this form, that $R\text{Hom}(G, \mathbb{Z}/p)$ is itself a perfect unipotent \mathbb{F}_p -module of quasi-finite type. For this, recall from Proposition 5.1.19 that every perfect unipotent group G of quasi-finite type has a (finite) composition series

$$\cdots G_{i+1} \subset G_i \subset \cdots G_1 \subset G_0 = G$$

where the quotients G_i/G_{i+1} are either $\mathbb{G}_a^{\text{perf}}$ or \mathbb{Z}/p . So it reduces to showing the claim for G being either one of these two groups, and this will be a consequence of the computations in Lemma 5.4.6.

We now let k be an arbitrary characteristic p perfect field, and let E be as in the statement. Then E is dualizable when viewed as an object of the symmetric monoidal ∞ -category $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathrm{St}_k)$. Hence there is an equivalence

$$\iota_{\mathbb{F}_p}^* R\mathrm{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Z}/p) \simeq R\mathrm{Hom}(\iota_{\mathbb{F}_p}^*(E), \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

in $\mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathrm{St}_{\bar{k}})$. By the first part of the proof, since $\iota_{\mathbb{F}_p}^*(E)$ is perfect unipotent of quasi-finite type, so will $R\mathrm{Hom}(\iota_{\mathbb{F}_p}^*(E), \mathbb{Z}/p)$. The result follows now from Proposition 5.4.3. \square

5.5. Representability and duality for mod p syntomic cohomology. We now apply the above duality of perfect unipotent spectra to mod p syntomic cohomology. We first recall the following result originally due to Milne in [Mil76, Thm 1.9] (cf. [Bha23, Cor. 4.5.6]).

Theorem 5.5.1 (Milne). *Let k be a finite field and let X/k be a smooth proper k -scheme of dimension d . For each integer i there is a natural isomorphism*

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{Syn}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p(i)) \simeq R\Gamma_{\mathrm{Syn}}(X, \mathbb{Z}/p(d-i))^{\vee}[-2d-1]$$

in $\mathrm{Perf}(\mathbb{F}_p)$.

We emphasize that the above statement is specific to the case where k is a finite field. If, for instance, $k = \bar{k}$ is algebraically closed, the above statement does not hold. Milne observed that in this case, one can obtain a more uniform duality statement, which needs to be interpreted at the level of sheaves of complexes over the étale site over the base, and not at the level of the derived category of \mathbb{F}_p ; see [Mil76, Thm. 2.4].

In this section, we interpret the latter duality of Milne in its natural context of perfect unipotent spectra. We begin with the following proposition, concerning the relevant object to which the duality shall be applied.

Proposition 5.5.2. *Let X be a smooth proper k -scheme of dimension d and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the functor determined by*

$$\mathrm{Sch}_k^{\mathrm{perf}} \ni S \mapsto R\Gamma_{\mathrm{Syn}}(X \times S, \mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(i))$$

is represented by a quasi-finite type perfect unipotent spectrum over k , which we denote by $\mathbb{Z}/p^{\nu}(i)_{\bar{X}}^{\mathrm{uni}}$.

Proof. By devissage, we immediately reduce to the case of $\nu = 1$. By the mod p reduction of [Bha23, Proposition 4.4.2] and Corollary 5.1.15, it suffices to show that the assignments

$$S \mapsto \mathcal{N}^{\geq i} \phi^* R\Gamma_{\Delta}(X \times S)/p \text{ and } S \mapsto R\Gamma_{\Delta}(X \times S)/p$$

where $\mathcal{N}^{\geq i}$ is the Nygaard filtration are represented by quasi-finite type perfect unipotent spectra over k . Recall that we have equivalences

$$\mathcal{N}^{\geq 0} \phi^* R\Gamma_{\Delta}(X \times S)/p = \phi^* R\Gamma_{\Delta}(X \times S)/p \xrightarrow{\phi^{-1}} R\Gamma_{\Delta}(X \times S)/p \simeq R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HT}}(X \times S)$$

where the latter denotes Hodge–Tate cohomology. Furthermore, for each j there are fiber sequences

$$\mathcal{N}^{\geq j+1} R\Gamma_{\Delta}(X \times S)/p \rightarrow \mathcal{N}^{\geq j} R\Gamma_{\Delta}(X \times S)/p \rightarrow \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^j R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HT}}(X \times S)/p$$

where $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^j R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HT}}(X \times S)$ denotes the conjugate filtration on Hodge–Tate cohomology. Thus it suffices to show that the assignments

$$S \mapsto R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HT}}(X \times S) \text{ and } S \mapsto \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^j R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HT}}(X \times S)$$

are represented by a quasi-finite type perfect unipotent spectrum over k ; the result for $\mathcal{N}^{\geq i} \phi^* R\Gamma_{\Delta}(X \times S)/p$ follows by induction on j . Since S is perfect, $\mathbb{L}_{S/k} \simeq 0$ [Sta25, Tag 0G60], therefore $\mathbb{L}_{X \times S/k} \simeq p_S^* \mathbb{L}_{X/k}$ where $p_S: X \times S \rightarrow S$ is the canonical projection. Now $R\Gamma(X \times S, \mathbb{L}_{X \times S/k}^{\wedge j}) \simeq R\Gamma(X, \Omega_{X/k}^j) \otimes_k S$. Since X is smooth and proper, $R\Gamma(X, \Omega_{X/k}^j)$ is a perfect complex of k -vector space and the assignment $S \mapsto R\Gamma(X \times S, \mathbb{L}_{X \times S/k}^{\wedge j})$ is represented by a finite product of $\mathbb{G}_a^{\mathrm{perf}}[m]$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. Since $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^j R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HT}}(X \times S)$ has a finite filtration with associated graded $R\Gamma(X \times S, \wedge^r \mathbb{L}_{X \times S/k}[-r])$ for $0 \leq r \leq j$ and $\mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^j R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HT}}(X \times S) \simeq R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HT}}(X \times S)$ for large enough j , the desired result follows. \square

One has the following more general result when X is not necessarily assumed to be smooth but only lci. However, the resulting unipotent spectrum is not necessarily quasi-finite in this generality.

Proposition 5.5.3. *Let X be a proper lci k -scheme of dimension d and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then the assignment*

$$S \mapsto R\Gamma_{\mathrm{Syn}}(X \times S, \mathbb{Z}/p^\nu(i))$$

ranging over $S \in \mathrm{Sch}_k^{\mathrm{perf}}$ is represented by a perfect unipotent spectrum over k , which we denote by $\mathbb{Z}/p^\nu(i)_X^{\mathrm{uni}}$.

Proof. The proof is similar to Proposition 5.5.2, but requires some modifications. Once again, by devissage, we immediately reduce to the case of $\nu = 1$. By [BL22, Proposition 7.4.6], it will suffice to show that the assignment

$$S \mapsto \mathcal{N}^{\geq i} \phi^* R\Gamma_{\Delta}(\widehat{X \times S})/p,$$

is represented by a perfect unipotent spectrum, where the latter denotes Nygaard-completed variant of crystalline cohomology. By Nygaard completeness, we have

$$\mathcal{N}^{\geq i} \phi^* R\Gamma_{\Delta}(\widehat{X \times S}) \simeq \varprojlim_s \mathcal{N}^{\geq i} \phi^* R\Gamma_{\Delta}(\widehat{X \times S}) / \mathcal{N}^{\geq i+s} \phi^* R\Gamma_{\Delta}(\widehat{X \times S}).$$

Since the category of unipotent spectra is stable under limits by Proposition 2.1.16, by considering the graded pieces of the Nygaard filtration, it suffices to show that the assignment

$$S \mapsto \mathrm{Fil}_{\mathrm{conj}}^j R\Gamma_{\mathrm{HT}}(X \times S)$$

is representable by a perfect unipotent spectrum over k for each j . For this, by passing to the graded pieces of the conjugate filtration, it suffices to show that the assignment

$$S \mapsto R\Gamma(X \times S, \mathbb{L}_{X \times S/k}^j) \simeq R\Gamma(X, \mathbb{L}_{X/k}^j) \otimes_k S$$

is representable by a perfect unipotent spectrum. However, since $\mathbb{L}_{X/k}$ is a perfect complex with Tor amplitude in homological degrees $[0, 1]$ and X is proper, the above functor is isomorphic to a finite product of $\mathbb{G}_a^{\mathrm{perf}}[m]$ for $m \in \mathbb{Z}$. This finishes the proof. \square

We extract the following corollary, recovering a result of Illusie-Raynaud, cf. [IR83, immediately after Lemme 3.2.2] and extending it to the lci case.

Corollary 5.5.4. *Let k be a field and let X be a proper lci scheme over k . Let $\mathbb{Z}/p^\nu(i)_X^{\text{uni}}$ be as above. Then for each $n, i \geq 0$, the homotopy sheaves $\pi_n(\mathbb{Z}/p^\nu(i)_X^{\text{uni}})$, will be representable by unipotent group schemes over k ; these will be of quasi-finite type if X is smooth.*

Milne's duality statement in the smooth case implies the following statement.

Theorem 5.5.5. *Let X be a smooth proper k -scheme of dimension d and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then there is a natural equivalence*

$$(\mathbb{Z}/p(i)_X^{\text{uni}})^\vee \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p(d-i)_X^{\text{uni}})[2d]$$

of perfect unipotent \mathbb{F}_p -module spectra of quasi-finite type over k , where $(-)^\vee$ denotes the linear duality of Theorem 5.4.8.

Proof. Below we assume $i \geq 0$, since both sides above vanish for $i < 0$. Recall that there exist pairings

$$\mathbb{Z}/p(m) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p(n) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p(m+n)$$

of sheaves on the quasi-syntomic site of k . This can be seen from the equivalence $\mathcal{O}_{\text{Syn}}\{m\} \otimes \mathcal{O}_{\text{Syn}}\{n\} \simeq \mathcal{O}_{\text{Syn}}\{m+n\}$ of invertible objects in F -gauges. This gives rise to a natural pairing

$$\mathbb{Z}/p(m)_X^{\text{uni}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p(n)_X^{\text{uni}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p(m+n)_X^{\text{uni}}$$

of objects in $\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\text{St}_k^{\text{perf}})$. Now if $\pi : X \rightarrow \text{Spec}(k)$ is a proper smooth morphism of relative dimension d , there is a trace map¹

$$\mathbb{Z}/p(d)_X^{\text{uni}} \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p[-2d].$$

This is a consequence of [Mil76, Theorem 2.4]; it can be alternatively viewed as a consequence of Poincaré duality (cf. [Tan24, Bha23]) for the F -gauge $\mathcal{H}_{\text{Syn}}(X)$ and the resulting map

$$\mathcal{H}_{\text{Syn}}(X) \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{\text{Syn}}\{-d\}[-2d]$$

in the category of F -gauges over k . As a consequence of [Mil76, Theorem 2.4], this gives rise to a perfect pairing, for each i ,

$$\mathbb{Z}/p_X^{\text{uni}}(i) \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p_X^{\text{uni}}(d-i) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p_X^{\text{uni}}(d) \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p[-2d],$$

where the latter denotes the constant sheaf on $\text{St}_k^{\text{perf}}$ with value $\mathbb{Z}/p[-2d]$. Hence, we obtain an equivalence

$$\mathbb{Z}/p_X^{\text{uni}}(d-i) \simeq R\text{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/p_X^{\text{uni}}(i), \mathbb{Z}/p)[-2d]$$

which was to be shown. \square

Remark 5.5.6. We remark here that the objects $\mathbb{Z}/p_X^{\text{uni}}(i)$ agree with the objects $\pi_*\nu(i)[-i]$ in the notation Milne uses in [Mil76], when viewed as objects in the same category. These correspond to certain étale sheaves $\nu(i)$ on the perfect site over X push-forwarded to the perfect site over $\text{Spec } k$. By Proposition 5.5.2, these are in

¹Milne's paper has a typo where the map is off by a shift.

fact fpqc sheaves on the perfect site, and are moreover unipotent \mathbb{F}_p -modules in our terminology.

5.6. Duality in unipotent \mathbb{Z} -modules. We now upgrade the duality to the setting of \mathbb{Z} -modules and explain how mod- p^n syntomic cohomology behaves. First we need to establish the duality, for which will use the ind-quasi-finite object $\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$.

Proposition 5.6.1. *Let F be a \mathbb{Z}/p^n -module sheaf on the perfect site over k . Then there is an equivalence*

$$R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}}(F, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$$

of \mathbb{Z} -modules.

Proof. Recall that there exists a right adjoint $u^! : \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n} \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}$ to the forgetful functor given by $M \mapsto R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n, M)$. Letting $M = \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$, and F be as in the statement, and using the adjunction, we get that

$$R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(F, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/p}(F, u^!(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)).$$

Now we identify $u^!(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$ with \mathbb{Z}/p^n . For this note that.

$$u^!(\mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq \mathrm{colim}_m (R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n, \mathbb{Z}/p^m))$$

since \mathbb{Z}/p^n is compact as a \mathbb{Z} -module. The above colimit stabilizes to \mathbb{Z}/p^n , giving the desired identification. \square

Now, for any perfect unipotent \mathbb{Z} -module of quasi-finite type E , we set

$$E^\vee = R\mathrm{Hom}(E, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

We have the following refinement of Milne's duality [Mil76] in the general \mathbb{Z} -linear setting.

Theorem 5.6.2. *Let $(\mathbb{Z} - \mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U,perf,ft}})$ denote the ∞ -category of quasi-finite type perfect unipotent \mathbb{Z} -modules over k which are bounded with respect to the induced t -structure. Then the functor*

$$(-)^\vee = R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) : \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_k)) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{Sp}(\mathrm{St}_k))^{\mathrm{op}}$$

restricts to an autoduality on $(\mathbb{Z} - \mathrm{Mod}_k^{\mathrm{U,perf,ft}})$.

Proof. This will essentially follow formally from Theorem 5.4.8, which holds over \mathbb{F}_p . Let E be an arbitrary perfect unipotent \mathbb{Z} -module of quasi-finite type satisfying the conditions of the statement. We need to show that E^\vee lands in this category, and that $(E^\vee)^\vee \simeq E$. As in the proof of Theorem 5.4.8, we take the Postnikov tower of E , which allows us to reduce to the case $G = \pi_n(E)$, for G a perfect unipotent group scheme of finite type. Now, we use the fact, c.f. Proposition 5.1.17, that G has a finite filtration where the graded pieces are closed unipotent perfect subgroup schemes of $\mathbb{G}_a^{\mathrm{perf}}$. In particular, these associated graded pieces are naturally \mathbb{Z}/p -modules. Let $\mathrm{gr}^i(G)$ denote one of these quotients. Then, via the previous proposition, we have equivalences

$$R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathrm{gr}^i(G), \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}/p}(\mathrm{gr}^i(G), \mathbb{Z}/p)$$

of \mathbb{Z} -module objects. In this case $(\mathrm{gr}^i(G))^\vee$ is itself perfect unipotent of quasi-finite type and

$$((\mathrm{gr}^i(G))^\vee)^\vee \simeq \mathrm{gr}^i(G)$$

by Theorem 5.4.8. By dévissage, we deduce an equivalence $((G)^\vee)^\vee \simeq G$ of unipotent spectra. \square

5.6.1. Duality for mod p^n syntomic cohomology. We now conclude by showing that Theorem 5.5.5 holds more generally with mod p^n coefficients.

Theorem 5.6.3. *Let X be a smooth proper k -scheme of dimension d and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. Then there is a natural equivalence*

$$(\mathbb{Z}/p^n(i)_X^{\mathrm{uni}})^\vee \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n(d-i)_X^{\mathrm{uni}})[2d]$$

of perfect unipotent \mathbb{Z} -module spectra of quasi-finite type over k , where $(-)^\vee$ denotes $R\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathbb{Z}}(-, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$.

Proof. Let $\phi : S \rightarrow \mathrm{Spec} k$ be an arbitrary perfect affine scheme over $\mathrm{Spec} k$. Since X is smooth and proper over $\mathrm{Spec} k$, there is a perfect pairing

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{syn}}(X)\{i\} \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{syn}}(X)\{d-i\}[2d] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{k^{\mathrm{syn}}}.$$

of F -Gauges over k . Applying the symmetric monoidal functor

$$(\phi^{\mathrm{syn}})^* : F - \mathrm{Gauge}(k) \rightarrow F - \mathrm{Gauge}(S)$$

gives a perfect pairing in $F\text{-Gauge}(S)$. We set $\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{syn}}(X \times S) := (\phi^{\mathrm{syn}})^*(\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{syn}}(X))$. Reducing modulo p^n for each n gives a perfect pairing

$$\mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{syn}}(X \times S)\{i\}/p^n \otimes \mathcal{H}_{\mathrm{syn}}(X \times S)\{d-i\}[2d] \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{S^{\mathrm{syn}}}/p^n.$$

Finally we apply the cohomology functor

$$R\Gamma(S^{\mathrm{syn}}, -) : F - \mathrm{Gauge}(S) \rightarrow \mathrm{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n}.$$

to this; note that this cohomology functor is lax monoidal, so that we still have a map

$$R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X \times S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(i)) \otimes R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X \times S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d-i))[2d] \rightarrow R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$$

via the lax monoidal structure maps. Note that there is an equivalence $R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \simeq R\Gamma_{\mathrm{ét}}(S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$ with étale cohomology (which also agrees with fppf cohomology as well).

Observe that for a fixed perfect scheme S , we have described the functor $X \mapsto R\Gamma_{\mathrm{syn}}(X \times S, \mathbb{Z}/p^n)$. Now, letting S vary over perfect schemes we obtain a functor landing in the category of presheaves on the perfect site over $\mathrm{Spec} k$. These are moreover representable by perfect unipotent \mathbb{Z}/p^n -modules via Proposition 5.5.2. In particular we have maps coming from the above perfect pairings,

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^n(i)_X^{\mathrm{uni}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d-i)_X^{\mathrm{uni}}[2d] \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^n$$

of unipotent \mathbb{Z}/p^n -modules for every $n \geq 1$, which we compose with $\mathbb{Z}/p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ to obtain the pairing

$$\mathbb{Z}/p^n(i)_X^{\mathrm{uni}} \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d-i)_X^{\mathrm{uni}}[2d] \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p.$$

We show that this pairing is perfect, namely that the adjoint

$$\alpha : \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d-i)_X^{\mathrm{uni}}[2d] \rightarrow R\mathrm{Hom}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n(i)_X^{\mathrm{uni}}, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$$

is an equivalence. For this, recall first that

$$R\mathcal{H}\mathit{om}_{\mathbb{Z}}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n(i)_X^{\text{uni}}, \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p) \simeq R\mathcal{H}\mathit{om}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n(i)_X^{\text{uni}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n),$$

by Proposition 5.6.1. By a variant of Proposition 5.4.7, $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(i)_X^{\text{uni}}$ will be a dualizable object in \mathbb{Z}/p^n -module valued fpqc sheaves on the perfect site. Hence there will be an equivalence

$$R\mathcal{H}\mathit{om}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n}(\mathbb{Z}/p^n(i)_X^{\text{uni}}, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n} \mathbb{F}_p \simeq R\mathcal{H}\mathit{om}_{\mathbb{F}_p}(\mathbb{Z}/p(i)_X^{\text{uni}}, \mathbb{F}_p),$$

and moreover the mod p reduction of the map α is the map

$$\mathbb{F}_p(d - i)_X^{\text{uni}}[2d] \rightarrow R\mathcal{H}\mathit{om}(\mathbb{F}_p(i)_X^{\text{uni}}, \mathbb{F}_p)$$

which is an equivalence by Theorem 5.5.5. Hence the map α is an equivalence as well be the derived Nakayama lemma, since α is a map between \mathbb{Z}/p^n -modules, and thus a map of p -complete objects. \square

5.7. A p -complete version. In this final section, we describe how to extend the \mathbb{Z}/p^n -linear dualities described above to the general p -complete setting. This would then account for a duality in syntomic cohomology before passing to torsion coefficients. For this we need a notion of p -complete unipotent \mathbb{Z}_p -module.

Definition 5.7.1. Let $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$ denote the stable ∞ -category of p -complete \mathbb{Z}_p -modules. For a $\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})$ -module \mathcal{C} in Pr^{L} , its p -completion is defined as $\mathcal{C}_p^\wedge := \mathcal{C} \otimes_{\mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})} \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge$

Proposition 5.7.2. *There is an equivalence*

$$(\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{\text{U}})_p^\wedge \simeq \lim(\mathbb{Z}/p\text{-Mod}_k^{\text{U}} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^2\text{-Mod}_k^{\text{U}} \leftarrow \cdots)$$

Proof. For this we note that there is an obvious equivalence of presheaf categories given by

$$\text{Fun}(\text{Aff}_k^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z})_p^\wedge) \simeq \lim(\text{Fun}(\text{Aff}_k^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}/p)) \leftarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Aff}_k^{\text{op}}, \mathcal{D}(\mathbb{Z}/p^2)) \leftarrow \cdots)$$

Now, note that we may view the natural map

$$(\mathbb{Z}\text{-Mod}_k^{\text{U}})_p^\wedge \rightarrow \lim(\mathbb{Z}/p\text{-Mod}_k^{\text{U}} \leftarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^2\text{-Mod}_k^{\text{U}} \leftarrow \cdots)$$

as a retract of this equivalence, via Remark 2.1.8. We now conclude the equivalence in the statement, using the fact that equivalences are stable under retracts. \square

Construction 5.7.3. For each n , let $\mathcal{C}_n^{\text{qft}}$ denote the full subcategory of \mathbb{Z}/p^n -modules in perfect unipotent spectra spanned by the quasi-finite and bounded objects. By Theorem 5.6.2, the functors

$$\mathbb{D}_n(-) = R\mathcal{H}\mathit{om}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n}(-, \mathbb{Z}/p^n) : (\text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n}(\text{St}_k))^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n}(\text{St}_k)$$

restrict to an equivalence $\mathbb{D}_n : \mathcal{C}_n^{\text{qft}} \simeq (\mathcal{C}_n^{\text{qft}})^{\text{op}}$. Note that if $E \in \mathcal{C}_n^{\text{qft}}$, then $E \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1} \in \mathcal{C}_{n-1}^{\text{qft}}$. In other words, we have a commutative diagram

$$(5.7.4) \quad \begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{C}_n^{\text{qft}} & \xrightarrow{\quad} & \mathcal{C}_{n-1}^{\text{qft}} \\ \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n}(\text{St}_k) & \xrightarrow[\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n} \mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1}]{} & \text{Mod}_{\mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1}}(\text{St}_k), \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the inclusions of full subcategories.

Definition 5.7.5. We set

$$\mathcal{C}^{\text{pro-qft}} := \lim \mathcal{C}_n^{\text{qft}}$$

to be the limit along the horizontal maps in the diagram (5.7.4). Alternatively, \mathcal{C}^{qft} can be described as the full subcategory of perfect unipotent p -complete modules for E for which $E \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^n \in \mathcal{C}_n^{\text{qft}}$ for every $n > 0$.

We remark that $\mathbb{Z} - \text{Mod}^{\text{U,qft}}$, the ∞ -category of quasi-finite type perfect unipotent spectra sits as a full subcategory of $\mathcal{C}^{\text{qft}} := \lim \mathcal{C}_n^{\text{qft}}$ as defined here.

Taking the limit of the following diagram

$$(5.7.6) \quad \begin{array}{ccccccc} \cdots & \rightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{n+1}^{\text{qft}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_n^{\text{qft}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{C}_{n-1}^{\text{qft}} \rightarrow \cdots \\ & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq & & \downarrow \simeq \\ \cdots & \rightarrow & (\mathcal{C}_{n+1}^{\text{qft}})^{\text{op}} & \xrightarrow{\otimes_{\mathbb{Z}/p^n} \mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1}} & (\mathcal{C}_n^{\text{qft}})^{\text{op}} & \longrightarrow & (\mathcal{C}_{n-1}^{\text{qft}})^{\text{op}} \rightarrow \cdots, \end{array}$$

where the vertical arrows are the dualities over \mathbb{Z}/p^n , we obtain an equivalence which we denote by

$$\mathbb{D} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{qft}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}^{\text{qft}})^{\text{op}}.$$

The following proposition summarizes the above discussion.

Proposition 5.7.7. *Let $\mathcal{C}^{\text{pro-qft}}$ denote the full subcategory of p -complete perfect unipotent \mathbb{Z} -modules spanned by those objects E for which $E \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^n$ is a perfect unipotent \mathbb{Z}/p^n -module of quasi-finite type. Then there exists an involutive equivalence*

$$\mathbb{D} : \mathcal{C}^{\text{pro-qft}} \rightarrow (\mathcal{C}^{\text{pro-qft}})^{\text{op}},$$

which is compatible with the dualities of Theorem 5.6.2.

We conclude with the following description of the behavior of syntomic cohomology as a p -complete unipotent \mathbb{Z} -module. Let k be a perfect field of characteristic p , and let X be a smooth and proper scheme over $\text{Spec } k$. We let $\mathbb{Z}_p(i)_X^{\text{uni}}$ be the presheaf on the perfect site which, for every perfect scheme S , sends

$$S \mapsto R\Gamma_{\text{Syn}}(X \times S, \mathbb{Z}_p(i)) \in D(\mathbb{Z})_p^{\wedge}$$

By Proposition 5.5.2 the above functor is representable by a p -complete perfect unipotent \mathbb{Z} -module, and is an object of $\mathcal{C}^{\text{pro-qft}}$.

Theorem 5.7.8. *Let $\mathbb{Z}_p(i)_X^{\text{uni}}$ be as above. Then there is an equivalence*

$$\mathbb{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p(i)_X^{\text{uni}}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}_p(d-i)_X^{\text{uni}}[2d]$$

of p -complete unipotent \mathbb{Z} -modules.

Proof. The proof will be consequence of Theorems 5.5.5 and 5.6.3. Indeed, for each n , we have an equivalence

$$\mathbb{Z}_p(d-i)_X^{\text{uni}}[2d] \otimes \mathbb{Z}/p^n \simeq \mathbb{Z}/p^n(d-i)_X^{\text{uni}}[2d] \simeq (\mathbb{Z}/p^n(i)_X^{\text{uni}})^{\vee}.$$

Here, the last term on the right denotes the \mathbb{Z}/p^n -linear dual of $\mathbb{Z}/p^n(i)_X^{\text{uni}}$. By construction, these equivalences are all compatible with extension along scalars $\mathbb{Z}/p^n \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}/p^{n-1}$. Taking the limit of these equivalences ranging over all n produces an equivalence

$$\mathbb{Z}_p(d - i)_X^{\text{uni}}[2d] \simeq \mathbb{D}(\mathbb{Z}_p(i)_X^{\text{uni}}),$$

as desired. \square

REFERENCES

- [AM77] Michael Artin and Barry Mazur, *Formal groups arising from algebraic varieties*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **10** (1977), no. 1, 87–131. MR 457458
- [Art74] Michael Artin, *Supersingular K3 surfaces*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **7** (1974), 543–567. MR 371899
- [Bha23] Bhargav Bhatt, *Prismatic F-gauges*, 2023, <https://www.math.ias.edu/~bhatt/teaching/mat549f22/lectures.pdf>.
- [BL22] Bhargav Bhatt and Jacob Lurie, *Absolute prismatic cohomology*, 2022.
- [BM22] Haldun Özgür Bayındır and Tasos Moulinos, *Algebraic K-theory of THH(\mathbb{F}_p)*, Transactions of the American Mathematical Society **375** (2022), no. 6, 4177–4207.
- [BMS19] Bhargav Bhatt, Matthew Morrow, and Peter Scholze, *Topological Hochschild homology and integral p-adic Hodge theory*, Publ. Math. Inst. Hautes Études Sci. **129** (2019), 199–310. MR 3949030
- [BO74] Spencer Bloch and Arthur Ogus, *Gersten’s conjecture and the homology of schemes*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **7** (1974), 181–201. MR 412191
- [BO21] Daniel Bragg and Martin Olsson, *Representability of cohomology of finite flat abelian group schemes*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/2107.11492v1>, 2021, version 1.
- [Bre78] Lawrence Breen, *Extensions du groupe additif*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1978), no. 48, 39–125. MR 516914
- [Bre06] ———, *Extensions du groupe additif sur le site parfait*, Surfaces Algébriques: Séminaire de Géométrie Algébrique d’Orsay 1976–78, Springer, 2006, pp. 238–262.
- [CMM21] Dustin Clausen, Akhil Mathew, and Matthew Morrow, *K-theory and topological cyclic homology of henselian pairs*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **34** (2021), no. 2, 411–473. MR 4280864
- [DG70] Michel Demazure and Pierre Gabriel, *Groupes algébriques*, North-Holland, 1970.
- [Hol23] Adam Holeman, *Derived δ -rings and relative prismatic cohomology*, 2023, Available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2303.17447>.
- [IR83] Luc Illusie and Michel Raynaud, *Les suites spectrales associées au complexe de de Rham-Witt*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1983), no. 57, 73–212. MR 699058
- [Lur17] Jacob Lurie, *Higher Algebra*, available at <https://www.math.ias.edu/~lurie/papers/HA.pdf>, 2017.
- [Lur18] ———, *Spectral algebraic geometry*, February 2018.
- [Mil76] James S. Milne, *Duality in the flat cohomology of a surface*, Ann. Sci. École Norm. Sup. (4) **9** (1976), no. 2, 171–201. MR 460331
- [MM24] Akhil Mathew and Shubhodip Mondal, *Affine stacks and derived rings*, available at <https://www.math.purdue.edu/~mondalsh/papers/affinestacks.pdf>.
- [MR23] Shubhodip Mondal and Emanuel Reinecke, *Unipotent homotopy theory of schemes*, 2023, arXiv:2302.10703.
- [MRT22] Tasos Moulinos, Marco Robalo, and Bertrand Toën, *A universal Hochschild–Kostant–Rosenberg theorem*, Geom. Topol. **26** (2022), no. 2, 777–874. MR 4444269
- [Ser60] Jean-Pierre Serre, *Groupes proalgébriques*, Inst. Hautes Études Sci. Publ. Math. (1960), no. 7, 67. MR 118722
- [Sta25] The Stacks project authors, *The Stacks project*, <https://stacks.math.columbia.edu>, 2025.
- [Tan24] Longke Tang, *Syntomic cycle classes and prismatic Poincaré duality*, Compos. Math. **160** (2024), no. 10, 2322–2365. MR 4804676
- [Toë06] Bertrand Toën, *Champs affines*, Selecta Math. (N.S.) **12** (2006), no. 1, 39–135. MR 2244263

- [Toë23] Bertrand Toën, *Generalized local Jacobians and commutative group stacks*, 2023, available at <https://arxiv.org/abs/2308.01670>.

(Shubhodip Mondal) PURDUE UNIVERSITY

Email address: `mondalsh@purdue.edu`

(Tasos Moulinos) CNRS, UNIVERSITÉ PARIS-SACLAY

Email address: `tasos.moulinos@universite-paris-saclay.fr`

(Lucy Yang) COLUMBIA UNIVERSITY

Email address: `ly2620@columbia.edu`