

Trivial vanishing of Tor and

the graded Tachikawa conjecture

joint w/ S. Lyke and S. Sather-Wagstaff

$(R, \mathfrak{m}, k)$  CM local ring, or,  $R = \bigoplus_{i \geq 0} R_i$  with  $R_0 = k$ .

w/ con. module.

Auslander-Reiten conjecture (1952) [ARC]

Auslander, Ding, Solberg

$\nexists \text{ Ext}_R^i(M, M \oplus R) = 0$  for  $i > 0 \Rightarrow M$  is free

ARC holds for:  $c = \text{edim}(e) - \dim(k)$  "codimension"  
 $e = e(R)$  "multiplicity"

1)  $R$  is a c.i. (Avramov-Buchweitz, '00)

2)  $R$  is Gorenstein (Jorgensen, '99)

3)  $R$  is Gorenstein and  $c \leq 4$  (Sega, '00)

4)  $\mathfrak{m}^3 = 0$  (Hunere-Sega-Vraciu, '04)

5)  $\frac{\text{type}(R)}{e} < 2$  (Dao-Veliche, '08)

other results by Hunere-Leuschke, Nasseh-Sather-Wagstaff, Sega, Christensen-Holm, Lindo, Araya, ...

Theorem (Lyle - M, '19)

- ARC holds if
- 1)  $c \leq 3$
  - 2)  $e \leq \frac{7}{4}c + 1$
  - 3)  $e \leq c + 6$  and  $R$  is Gorenstein

In particular, ARC holds if  $e \leq 8$ , or,  $e \leq 11$  and  $R$  is Gor.

Tachikawa - Conjecture (1973) [TC]

~~Harimaov~~ - Buchweitz - Segura

if  $\text{Ext}_R^i(w, R) = 0, i > 0 \Rightarrow R$  is Gorenstein.

RMH:  $\text{ARC} \xrightarrow{M=W} \text{TC}$

TC also holds for:

- 1)  $\text{type}(R) \leq 2$  (Huneker - Segura - Vraciu, '04)
- 2)  $R$  is generically Gor. (ABS, '05)
- 3)  $R$  is local (ABS, '05)

Theorem (Lyle - M - Sather-Wagstaff) "Graded TC"

if  $R$  is graded and  $\text{char } k \neq 2$ , then TC holds.

- proof (sketch):
- 1) Reduce to Artinian case.
  - 2) If  $\text{Tor}_{i>0}^R(M, M) = 0$ , we are able to compute  $\chi(A^i M)$
  - 3) The condition in TC can be stated as  $\text{Tor}_{i>0}^R(w, w) = 0$ .



4) with  $M=W$  our formula shows  $\hat{W} = 0 \Rightarrow W$  is cyclic.

IV.  $\text{Tor}_{i>0}^R(M, N) = 0 \iff \text{projdim } M < \infty, \text{projdim } N < \infty$   
"fund. vanishing"

Not always:

EX:  $R = k[x, y] / (x^2, y^2), M = R/xR, N = R/yR$

T.P.  $\text{Tor}_{i>0}^R(M, M) = 0 \iff \text{projdim } M < \infty$   
"Tor persistence"

Open Question: Does every ring satisfy T.P.?

T.P. holds for:

1)  $m^4 = 0$  and  $\exists a \in m$  with  $(0 :_R a)$  principle (Sega, 11)

2) If  $\hat{R} \cong \frac{Q}{I}$ ,  $I$  is  $a$ -regular and certain conditions on  $Q$ .

(Avramov - Frangor - Nasseh - Sather-Kangshoff, 20)

Ex Ring not covered by known results above

$$R = \frac{K[x, y]}{(x, y)^2} \otimes_K \frac{K[u, v]}{(u, v)^2}$$

Theorem (Lyle - M - sulfur Wagstoff)

if  $m^3 = 0$ , T.P. holds.