HOMEWORK 4 (DUE MARCH 27)

1. Present and prove the Plücker relations explicitly, following our discussions in Lecture 13.

2. Verify that $\tau = \tau(x_1, x_2, x_3, ...)$ satisfies the first nontrivial equation of the KP hierarchy

$$\left(\left(\partial_{z_1}^4 + 3\partial_{z_2}^2 - 4\partial_{z_1}\partial_{z_3}\right)\tau(x-z)\tau(x+z)\right)_{|z=0} = 0$$

if and only if the function

$$u := 2\partial_x^2 \log \tau(x, y, t, c_4, c_5, \ldots)$$

satisfies the KP equation

$$\frac{3}{4}\partial_y^2 u = \partial_x \left(\partial_t u - \frac{3}{2}u \cdot \partial_x u - \frac{1}{4}\partial_x^3 u \right)$$

3. Show that the action $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n \curvearrowright F^{(m)}$ can be uniquely extended to $\widetilde{\mathfrak{gl}}_n \curvearrowright F^{(m)}$ with $d(\psi_m) = 0$.

4. Establish an isomorphism $\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n \simeq (\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_n \oplus \mathcal{A})/(K_1 - K_2)$, where $K_1 = (K, 0), K_2 = (0, K)$.

5. (a) Prove that $\mathcal{F} = \Lambda^{\frac{\infty}{2}} V$ is an irreducible representation of the Clifford algebra generated by $\{\hat{v}_j, \check{v}_j\}_{j \in \mathbb{Z}}$.

(b) Compute $\operatorname{Tr}_{\mathcal{F}}(q^{\mathbf{d}}z^{\mathbf{m}})$, where **m** is the operator multiplying elements of $\mathcal{F}^{(m)}$ by the number m, while **d** is the operator multiplying homogeneous elements by their degree, defined via:

$$\deg(\psi_0) = 0, \quad \deg(\hat{v}_j) = j, \quad \deg(\check{v}_j) = -j.$$

6. (a) Using the boson-fermion correspondence $\mathcal{F} \simeq \mathcal{B}$, compute the answer to Problem 5(b) in the bosonic realization.

(b) Deduce the Jacobi triple product identity:

$$\prod_{n\geq 0} (1-q^n z)(1-q^{n+1}z^{-1})(1-q^{n+1}) = \sum_{m\in\mathbb{Z}} (-z)^m q^{\frac{m(m-1)}{2}}.$$

(c) Substitute $q = z^3$ to obtain the Euler's pentagonal identity:

$$\prod_{n \ge 1} (1 - z^n) = 1 + \sum_{k \ge 1} (-1)^k \left(z^{\frac{k(3k+1)}{2}} + z^{\frac{k(3k-1)}{2}} \right).$$

7^{*}. This problem (finally) outlines a proof of Theorem 1 from Lecture 5 stating that for a non-degenerate \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and any $n \geq 0$, the restriction

$$(\cdot, \cdot)_{\lambda} \colon M_{\lambda}^{+}[-n] \times M_{-\lambda}^{-}[n] \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}$$
 is nondegenerate for generic $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^{*}$

Identifying $M_{\pm\lambda}^{\pm}[\mp n] \simeq U(\mathfrak{n}_{\mp})[\mp n]$ and choosing some fixed bases of the latter, this reduces to a non-vanishing of the corresponding determinant, denoted $\det(\cdot, \cdot)_{\lambda,n}^{\mathfrak{g}}$. The key idea will be to degenerate \mathfrak{g} to a "generalized Heisenberg algebra" where the proof is more feasible. Step 1 (degeneration process): Consider the \mathbb{Z} -graded Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon} = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_n^{\epsilon}$ with $\mathfrak{g}_n^{\epsilon} = \mathfrak{g}_n$ as vector spaces, and with the Lie bracket defined via

$$[x,y]_{\epsilon} = [x,y] \cdot \epsilon^{1+\delta_{n,0}+\delta_{m,0}-\delta_{n+m,0}} \quad \text{for any} \quad x \in \mathfrak{g}_n^{\epsilon}, y \in \mathfrak{g}_m^{\epsilon}$$

For $\epsilon \neq 0$, show that the following linear map is a Lie algebra isomorphism

 $\varphi_{\epsilon} \colon \mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon} \to \mathfrak{g} \qquad \text{with} \quad x \mapsto \epsilon^{1+\delta_{n,0}} x \text{ for } x \in \mathfrak{g}_{n}^{\epsilon}$

Show that

$$(xv_{\lambda}^{+,\mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon}}, yv_{-\lambda}^{-,\mathfrak{g}^{\epsilon}})_{\lambda} = (\varphi_{\epsilon}(x)v_{\lambda/\epsilon^{2}}^{+,\mathfrak{g}}, \varphi_{\epsilon}(y)v_{-\lambda/\epsilon^{2}}^{-,\mathfrak{g}})_{\lambda/\epsilon^{2}}$$

for any $x \in U(\mathfrak{n}_{-}), y \in U(\mathfrak{n}_{+})$. Restricting to degree $\pm n$ components, deduce:

$$\det(\cdot,\cdot)_{\lambda,n}^{\mathfrak{g}^{\mathfrak{c}}} = \epsilon^{N} \det(\cdot,\cdot)_{\lambda/\epsilon^{2},n}^{\mathfrak{g}}$$

for some $N \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$.

Conclusion: Deduce that the leading term of $\det(\cdot, \cdot)_{\lambda,n}^{\mathfrak{g}} = \det(\cdot, \cdot)_{\lambda,n}^{\mathfrak{g}^1}$ equals $\det(\cdot, \cdot)_{\lambda,n}^{\mathfrak{g}^0}$. Therefore, it suffices to prove the non-vanishing of $\det(\cdot, \cdot)_{\lambda,n}^{\mathfrak{g}^0}$ for generic $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$. Step 2 (degenerated version explicitly): Note that $\mathfrak{g}^0 = \bigoplus_{n \in \mathbb{Z}} \mathfrak{g}_n$ as vector spaces with

$$[x,y]_{\mathfrak{g}^0} = \begin{cases} [x,y] & \text{if } \deg(x) + \deg(y) = 0\\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

for homogeneous elements x, y (hence, we call \mathfrak{g}^0 a "generalized Heisenberg algebra"). Note that $\mathfrak{n}_{\pm} = \bigoplus_{n>0} \mathfrak{g}^0_{\pm n}$ are abelian, so that $U(\mathfrak{n}_{\pm}) \simeq S(\mathfrak{n}_{\pm})$.

Verify that the \mathfrak{g} -invariant form $(\cdot, \cdot)^{\mathfrak{g}^0}_{\lambda} \colon S(\mathfrak{n}_-) \times S(\mathfrak{n}_+) \to \mathbb{C}$ is given by

$$(\star) \qquad (a_1 \dots a_k, b_1 \dots b_l) = \delta_{k,l} \sum_{\sigma \in S(k)} \lambda([a_1, b_{\sigma(1)}]) \dots \lambda([a_k, b_{\sigma(k)}]) \quad \text{with} \quad \lambda_{|\mathfrak{g}_{\neq 0}} = 0$$

Step 3 (verification for \mathfrak{g}^0): Use formula (\star) to show that $\det(\cdot, \cdot)_{\lambda,n}^{\mathfrak{g}^0} \neq 0$ for generic $\lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$.

8. (a) Show that the leading h-power of $det_n(c, h)$ arises only from the diagonal.

(b) Prove the formula of Lecture 15 for the coefficient of the leading power of h in
$$\det_m(c,h)$$
:

$$K_n = \prod_{r,s\geq 1}^{rs\leq n} \left((2r)^s \cdot s! \right)^{m(r,s)}$$

with m(r,s) = p(n-rs) - p(n-r(s+1)), where p(k) is the partition function.