

Lecture #26

1

Last time: Weyl-Kac character formula for $\text{ch}(L_2)$, where $\lambda \in P_+ = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{dominant} \\ \text{integral} \\ \text{weights} \end{array} \right\}$

Recall that W was a subgroup of $GL(P)$, where $P = \mathfrak{h}^* \oplus F$ with $F = \mathbb{C}\alpha_1 \oplus \dots \oplus \mathbb{C}\alpha_n$ generated by simple reflections $\tau_i: \lambda \mapsto \lambda - \lambda(h_i)\alpha_i \quad \forall \lambda \in P$. Even though it's defined as a subgroup of linear maps $P \rightarrow P$ (i.e. can be represented by $2n \times 2n$ matrices), it can be often realized on a smaller dimensional vector space.

For example, as we shall now see W can be realized inside $\text{End}(F)$ if $\mathfrak{g}$ -simple f.d.
Recall: • $F \subseteq P$ is W -invariant, and explicitly $\tau_i(\alpha_j) = \alpha_j - a_{ij}\alpha_i$
 • the resulting action $W \curvearrowright P/F \simeq \mathfrak{h}^*$ is trivial.

Lemma 1: If $\mathfrak{g}$ is a simple f.d.m. Lie algebra, then the restriction $w \mapsto w|_F$ establishes isom. b/w $W \subseteq \text{End}(P)$ and the group generated by $\tau_i|_F$ in $\text{End}(F)$.

Thus, for simple Lie algebras, there is no need to treat P instead of $F = \mathbb{Q} \otimes F$.
 For any $\lambda \in P$, consider a function $f_\lambda: \overset{w \mapsto \lambda - w\lambda}{W} \rightarrow F$. This function clearly satisfies $f_\lambda(g_1 g_2) = f_\lambda(g_1) + g_1 f_\lambda(g_2) \quad \forall g_1, g_2 \in W$. In particular, given $\lambda_1, \lambda_2 \in P$ we note that $f_{\lambda_1} = f_{\lambda_2} \Leftrightarrow f_{\lambda_1}(\tau_i) = f_{\lambda_2}(\tau_i) \quad \forall 1 \leq i \leq n \Leftrightarrow \bar{\lambda}_1 = \bar{\lambda}_2 \in \mathfrak{h}^*$

Proof of Lemma 1

► We just need to verify that if $w \in W$ satisfies $w|_F = \text{id}_F$, then $w = \text{id}_P$.
 But by Lemma 2 of Lecture 22, if $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}(C)$ -simple f.d. (i.e. C -nondeg. _{positively def.}) then $\forall \lambda \in P \exists \lambda_2 \in F$ s.t. $\bar{\lambda}_1 = \bar{\lambda}_2$. But then by the sentence preceding the proof: $f_{\lambda_1} = f_{\lambda_2} \Rightarrow \lambda_1 - w\lambda_1 = \lambda_2 - w(\lambda_2) \overset{\text{as } w|_F = \text{id}_F}{=} 0 \Rightarrow \lambda_1 = w\lambda_1 \quad \forall \lambda \Rightarrow w = \text{id}_P$

Corollary 1: If $\mathfrak{g}$ -simple f.d.m. Lie algebra, then Weyl gp W is finite

► As the set of roots Δ of roots is finite, spans F , and is W -invariant by Lemma 2 of Lecture 25, W is a subgroup of permutations of $\Delta \Rightarrow |W| < \infty$

Exercise: Compute Weyl groups of classical simple Lie algebras (A_n, B_n, C_n, D_n)

By the same reasoning as used in the proof of Lemma 1, we see that for untwisted affine Kac-Moody algebras $\mathfrak{g}(\hat{A})$ that could be realized as $\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathbb{C} \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}d$, see Theorem 1 of Lecture 21, it suffices to treat $\hat{\mathfrak{g}} = \mathbb{C}d \ltimes \hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ instead of adding many more degree elements as in $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ext}}(\hat{A})$ from Lecture 22. In particular, W can be realized as a subgroup of $\text{End}(\mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathbb{C}K \oplus \mathbb{C}d)$, where $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ - Cartan. For this week, we shall primarily need $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$ and $\hat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$. So let's see what W looks like in this case.

Recall: $\alpha_0 = K - d_1$, $(\alpha_1, \alpha_1) = 2$, $(d, K) = (K, d) = 1$, all other pairings = 0.

Let's compute how simple reflections $S_0 = S_{\alpha_0}$, $S_1 = S_{\alpha_1}$ look like. Let $\alpha = \alpha_1$.

$$S_1: \alpha \mapsto \alpha - (\alpha, \alpha)\alpha = -\alpha, \quad K \mapsto K - (\alpha, K)\alpha = K, \quad d \mapsto d - (\alpha, d)\alpha = d$$

$$S_0: \alpha \mapsto \alpha - (K - \alpha, \alpha)(K - \alpha) = \alpha + 2(K - \alpha) = -\alpha + 2K, \quad K \mapsto K, \\ d \mapsto d - (K - \alpha, d)(K - \alpha) = d - K + \alpha$$

so that in the basis α, K, d we get:

$$\begin{cases} S_1: \alpha \mapsto -\alpha, K \mapsto K, d \mapsto d \\ S_0: \alpha \mapsto -\alpha + 2K, K \mapsto K, d \mapsto d - K + \alpha \end{cases}$$

Also we know (and easily checked from above f -basis) that $S_0^2 = S_1^2 = \text{id}$.

Let's compute $t_1 := S_1 S_0: \alpha \mapsto \alpha + 2K, K \mapsto K, d \mapsto d - \alpha - K$

$$t_{-1} := t_1^{-1} = S_0 S_1: \alpha \mapsto \alpha - 2K, K \mapsto K, d \mapsto d + \alpha - K$$

As $S_0^2 = S_1^2 = \text{id}$, any element in W can be written either as

$$\underbrace{\frac{S_1 S_0 S_1 S_0 \dots S_1 S_0}{t_1 \dots t_1}}_{0 \leq k \text{ times}} = t_1^k =: t_k, \quad \underbrace{\frac{S_0 S_1 S_0 S_1 \dots S_0 S_1}{t_{-1} \dots t_{-1}}}_{k \geq 0 \text{ times}} = t_{-1}^k =: t_{-k},$$

$$\text{or } \underbrace{S_0 S_1 S_0 \dots S_1 S_0}_{2k+1, k \geq 0} = S_1 t_{k+1}, \quad \text{or } \underbrace{S_1 S_0 S_1 \dots S_0 S_1}_{2k+1, k \geq 0} = S_1 t_{-k}.$$

UPSHOT: $W = \{t_k, s_1 t_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$ with $\det(S_1) = -1$, $\det(t_k) = 1$.

Lecture #26

Explicitly, evoking the formula for t_k -action, we obtain:

$$\left. \begin{aligned} t_k: \alpha &\mapsto \alpha + 2k \cdot K, \quad K \mapsto K, \quad d \mapsto d - k \cdot \alpha - k^2 \cdot K \\ s_k t_k: \alpha &\mapsto -\alpha + 2k \cdot K, \quad K \mapsto K, \quad d \mapsto d + k \cdot \alpha - k^2 \cdot K \end{aligned} \right\} \quad \forall k \in \mathbb{Z}$$

Remark: In general, the Weyl group of $\hat{\mathfrak{g}}$ will be the semidirect product $W^{\text{fin}} \ltimes Q^\vee$, where W^{fin} is the Weyl group of underlying simple $\mathfrak{g}$, and $Q^\vee \simeq \mathbb{Z}^{\dim(\mathfrak{g})}$ - constant lattice of $\mathfrak{g}$. In the case of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$, this is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \ltimes \mathbb{Z}$ with $(0, k) \leftrightarrow t_k$, $(1, k) \leftrightarrow s_k t_k$.

The main goals for this week are to finally establish two earlier results:

- 1) Unitarity of Vir-modules $L_{h,c}$ at the discrete series, i.e.

$$c = c(m) = 1 - \frac{6}{(m+2)(m+3)}, \quad h = h_{r,s}(m) = \frac{(m+3)r - (m+2)s - 1}{4(m+2)(m+3)}$$

where $r, s, m \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ satisfying $1 \leq s \leq r \leq m+1$, cf. Theorem 3 of Lect. 8.

- 2) Kac's lemma on zeros of $\det_m(c, h)$ from Prop 1 of Lecture 15:

$$\det_{r,s}(c, h_{r,s}(c)) = 0 \quad \forall r, s \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 1}, \text{ where}$$

$$h_{r,s}(c) = \frac{1}{48} \left((13-c)(r^2+s^2) + \sqrt{(c-1)(c-25)}(r^2-s^2) - 24rs - 2 + 2c \right)$$

To this end, we shall utilize the Goddard-Kent-Olive construction of Lecture 19 with $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and modules V', V'' -irreducible unitary highest weight modules of $\mathfrak{sl}_2$ (or $\widehat{\mathfrak{sl}}_2$). Note: the unitarity allows to decompose into the irreducibles, and we shall calculate their multiplicities using the Weyl-Kac formula of Lecture 25 together with explicit description of the Weyl group from p.2.

Recall the Weyl-Kac character formula, written as follows:

$$ch_{L_2} = \frac{\sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{w(\lambda + \rho)}}{\sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{w(\rho)}}$$

Note that while ch_V is a formal expression it basically allows to compute $\text{Tr}_V(e^h) = \sum_{\mu} \dim(V_{\mu}) \cdot e^{\mu(h)} \quad \forall h \in \mathfrak{h}_{\text{ext}}^*$. In the present context, we shall be working with $\mathfrak{H}_2$ instead of $\mathfrak{g}$, as noted on page 2.

Recall: $W = \{t_k, s_k t_k \mid k \in \mathbb{Z}\}$, $\mathfrak{H} \simeq \mathfrak{H}^*$ has a basis α, K, d

- fundamental weights w_0, w_1 given by $(w_i | h_j) = \delta_{ij}$ are $\begin{cases} w_1 = \frac{\alpha}{2} + d \\ w_0 = d \end{cases}$
- finally, ρ can be chosen as $\rho = w_0 + w_1 = \frac{1}{2}\alpha + 2d$.

Let's evaluate both numerator and denominator of above ratio evaluated on general element $h \in \mathfrak{H}$ which we shall present as

$$h = 2\pi i \left(\frac{z}{2} \alpha - \tau \cdot d + u \cdot K \right), \quad z, \tau, u \in \mathbb{C}$$

Goal: Evaluate $\sum_{w \in W} \det(w) e^{(w(\mu), h)}$ for any $\mu = m d + \frac{n}{2} \alpha + \tau K$

- if $w = t_k \Rightarrow \det(w) = 1$ and $w(\mu) = m(d - k\alpha - k^2 K) + \frac{n}{2}(\alpha + 2k \cdot K) + \tau K$
 $= \alpha \cdot (\frac{n}{2} - m k) + d \cdot m + K(\tau + kn - k^2 m)$

$$\Rightarrow (w(\mu), h) = 2\pi i \left(z \left(\frac{n}{2} - m k \right) + m u - \tau (\tau + kn - k^2 m) \right)$$

$$\text{So: } \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \det(t_k) e^{(t_k(\mu), h)} = \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i \left(z \left(\frac{n}{2} - m k \right) + m u - \tau (\tau + kn - k^2 m) \right)}$$

- if $w = s_k t_k \Rightarrow \det(w) = -1$ and $w(\mu) = \alpha \left(-\frac{n}{2} + m k \right) + d \cdot m + K \cdot (\tau + kn - k^2 m)$

$$\text{So: } \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \det(s_k t_k) e^{(s_k t_k(\mu), h)} = - \sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i \left(-z \left(\frac{n}{2} - m k \right) + m u - \tau (\tau + kn - k^2 m) \right)}$$

We shall now rewrite these via theta functions:

Def 1: For any $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, $m \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$, the Jacobi-Riemann theta f-n is

$$\Theta_{n,m}(\tau, z, u) := e^{2\pi i m \cdot u} \sum_{k \in \frac{n}{2m} + \mathbb{Z}} e^{2\pi i m (k^2 \tau + k z)}$$

← absolutely converges $\forall z, u \text{ s.t. } \text{Im}(\tau) > 0$

Lecture #26

5

• In the case of $w = t_k$ from previous page, replace $k \mapsto \frac{n}{2m} - k$ to get:

$$z(\frac{n}{2} - mk) + mu - \tau(\tau + k - k^2 m) \mapsto z \cdot mk + m \cdot u + \tau((k^2 - \frac{n}{m}k + \frac{n^2}{4m^2})m - (\frac{n}{2m} - k)n - \tau)$$

$$= mkz + mu + mk^2\tau - \tau(\tau + \frac{n^2}{4m})$$
 , so that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{def}(t_k) e^{(t_k(\mu), h)} = \Theta_{n,m}(\tau, z, u) \cdot e^{2\pi i \tau (-\tau - \frac{n^2}{4m})}$$

• In the case of $w = srt_k$ from previous page, replace $k \mapsto \frac{n}{2m} + k$ to get:

$$-z(\frac{n}{2} - mk) + mu - \tau(\tau + k - k^2 m) \mapsto z \cdot mk + m \cdot u + \tau((k^2 + \frac{n}{m}k + \frac{n^2}{4m^2})m - (\frac{n}{2m} + k)n - \tau)$$

$$= mkz + mu + mk^2\tau - \tau(\tau + \frac{n^2}{4m})$$
 , so that

$$\sum_{k \in \mathbb{Z}} \text{def}(srt_k) e^{(srt_k(\mu), h)} = -\Theta_{-n,m}(\tau, z, u) \cdot e^{2\pi i \tau (-\tau - \frac{n^2}{4m})}$$

Combining the above two boxed formulas and using $q := e^{2\pi i \tau}$, we get:

$$\sum_{w \in W} \text{def}(w) e^{(w\mu, h)} = q^{-\tau - \frac{n^2}{4m}} (\Theta_{n,m}(\tau, z, u) - \Theta_{-n,m}(\tau, z, u))$$

Evoking $\rho = 2d + \frac{\alpha}{2}$, the Weyl-Kac character formula implies:

Proposition 1: For any $\lambda = m\alpha + \frac{n}{2}\alpha + \tau K \in \mathcal{P}_+$ and $h = 2\pi i(\frac{n}{2}\alpha - \tau d + uK)$:

$$\text{ch}_{L_\lambda}(e^h) = \frac{\Theta_{n+1, u+2}(\tau, z, u) - \Theta_{-n-1, u+2}(\tau, z, u)}{\Theta_{1,2}(\tau, z, u) - \Theta_{-1,2}(\tau, z, u)} \cdot q^{-s_\lambda}$$

where $q = e^{2\pi i \tau}$, $s_\lambda = \tau + \frac{(n+1)^2}{4(u+2)} - \frac{1}{8}$.

Note: $\lambda = m\alpha + \frac{n}{2}\alpha + \tau K \in \mathcal{P}_+ \Leftrightarrow n, u-n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$

in which case $\lambda = (m-n)\omega_0 + n\omega_1 + \tau K$.

We also note that K acts on L_λ via $(\lambda, K) = m \cdot d \Rightarrow L_\lambda$ has level m .

Finally: L_λ -unitary iff $n, u-n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ and $\tau \in \mathbb{R}$ (see [Lecture 17, Prop 3])