

Lecture #13

Last time: Solvable & Nilpotent Lie Algebras

Lemma 1: \mathfrak{g} -solvable $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ chain of Lie subalgebras $\mathfrak{g} = I^0 \supseteq I^1 \supseteq I^2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I^n = 0$
s.t. I^{k+1} -ideal of I^k and I^k/I^{k+1} - abelian $\forall k$.

- \Rightarrow : take $I^k = D^k \mathfrak{g} \forall k$
- \Leftarrow : argue by induction that $D^k \mathfrak{g} \subseteq I^k \forall k$: base $k=0$ is clear, while step of induction is $D^{k+1} \mathfrak{g} = [D^k \mathfrak{g}, D^k \mathfrak{g}] \subseteq [I^k, I^k] \subseteq I^{k+1}$ b/c I^k/I^{k+1} -abelian
- $\underline{\text{So}}$: $D^n \mathfrak{g} \subseteq I^n = 0 \Rightarrow D^n \mathfrak{g} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ -solvable

Lemma 2: \mathfrak{g} -nilpotent $\Leftrightarrow \exists$ chain of ideals $\mathfrak{g} = I_0 \supseteq I_1 \supseteq I_2 \supseteq \dots \supseteq I_n = 0$
s.t. $[\mathfrak{g}, I_k] \subseteq I_{k+1} \forall k$

- \Rightarrow : take $I_k = D_k \mathfrak{g}$
- \Leftarrow : argue by induction on k that $D_k \mathfrak{g} \subseteq I_k \forall k \Rightarrow D_n \mathfrak{g} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ -nilpotent

As we shall also often use the last Exercise from previous lecture, namely its parts a) & b), let's prove it:

Lemma 3: a) If \mathfrak{g} -solvable, then any subalgebra $\mathfrak{h} \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ -solvable, any quotient \mathfrak{g}/I -solvable too
b) If ideal I of \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g}/I are both solvable $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ -solvable

- a) $D^k \mathfrak{h} \subseteq D^k \mathfrak{g}$, so if $D^n \mathfrak{g} = 0 \Rightarrow D^n \mathfrak{h} = 0$
 $D^k \mathfrak{g} \twoheadrightarrow D^k(\mathfrak{g}/I)$, so if $D^n \mathfrak{g} = 0 \Rightarrow D^n(\mathfrak{g}/I) = 0$
- b) I -solvable, \mathfrak{g}/I -solvable $\Rightarrow D^n(I) = 0, D^m(\mathfrak{g}/I) = 0$ for some n, m .
As $D^k(\mathfrak{g}/I) = (D^k(\mathfrak{g}) + I)/I \forall k$ (check this!) $\Rightarrow D^m(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq I$
Then $\frac{D^k(D^m(\mathfrak{g}))}{D^{m+k}(\mathfrak{g})} \subseteq D^k(I) \forall k \Rightarrow D^{n+m} \mathfrak{g} = 0 \Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ -solvable

Lecture #13

Last time we saw two prototypical examples:

1) $\mathfrak{h} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * \\ 0 & \ddots & * \\ & & * \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n} \right\} = \{ \text{upper-}\Delta \text{ } n \times n \text{ matrices} \} - \text{solvable Lie alg.}$

2) $\mathfrak{n} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * & \\ & 0 & * \\ & & \ddots & \\ & & & 0 \end{pmatrix}_{n \times n} \right\} = \{ \text{strictly upper-}\Delta \text{ } n \times n \text{ matrices} \} - \text{nilpotent Lie alg.}$

Now we are ready to state the key results about solvable & nilpotent Lie algebras

Theorem 1 (Lie's Theorem): Let $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ be a f.d. dim. representation of a solvable Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , over an algebraically closed field \mathbb{k} of char=0. Then there is a basis of V , with respect to which all $\{\rho(x) \mid x \in \mathfrak{g}\}$ are upper-triangular matrices, i.e. $\rho(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \begin{pmatrix} * & & \\ * & \ddots & \\ 0 & & * \end{pmatrix}$

Exercise: Find a counterexample for char $\mathbb{k} > 0$.

Theorem 2 (Engel's Theorem): A Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} is nilpotent if and only if $\forall x \in \mathfrak{g}$ operator $\text{ad}(x): \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ - nilpotent.

Remarks: 1) Theorem 2 is valid over all fields, in contrast to Theorem 1.
2) While Theorem 1 is about any f.d. dim. \mathfrak{g} -module, Theorem 2 is only about the adjoint module of $\overset{\text{ad}}{\mathfrak{g}}$.

Corollary 1: a) Any irreducible f.d. module of solvable \mathfrak{g} is 1-dimensional (assume $\mathbb{k} = \mathbb{k}$, char $\mathbb{k} = 0$)
b) If \mathfrak{g} -solvable $\Rightarrow \exists$ ideals $0 \subset I_1 \subset I_2 \subset \dots \subset I_N = \mathfrak{g}$ s.t. I_{k+1}/I_k - 1-dimensional $\forall k$.
c) \mathfrak{g} -solvable $\Leftrightarrow [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$ - nilpotent

Lecture #13

Proof of Corollary 1

a) Apply Lie's Theorem to $\mathfrak{g} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}$. Then there is a basis v_1, \dots, v_n of \mathfrak{g} s.t. $\text{ad}(x)(v_k) \in \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \forall x \in \mathfrak{g} \forall 1 \leq k \leq n$. In particular, the 1-dim subspace $\mathbb{k} \cdot v_1$ is $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g})$ -invariant \Rightarrow submodule $\Rightarrow V = \mathbb{k}v_1$ as V -simple.

b) As $I \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ -ideal iff I is a submodule of the adjoint module, we get:

- \mathfrak{g} -solvable \Rightarrow take $I_k = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \forall k$ in the notations of a)

- given ideals $I_1 \subset I_2 \subset \dots \subset I_n = \mathfrak{g}$ with $\dim(I_{k+1}) = \dim(I_k) + 1$, pick a basis of \mathfrak{g} so that $I_k = \text{span}\{v_1, \dots, v_k\} \forall k$. Then $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq$ upper- Δ matrices \Downarrow \mathfrak{g} -solvable

c) \Leftarrow : $\left. \begin{array}{l} \mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \text{-nilpotent} \Rightarrow [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \text{-solvable} \\ \mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \text{-abelian} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \text{-solvable} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \text{-solvable by Lemma 3.}$

\Rightarrow : Apply Lie's Theorem to $\text{ad}: \mathfrak{g} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g}$ to get $\text{ad}(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathfrak{h} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{upper-}\Delta \\ \text{matrices} \end{array} \right\}$, hence, $\text{ad}([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]) \subseteq [\mathfrak{h}, \mathfrak{h}] = \mathfrak{n} = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{strictly upper-}\Delta \\ \text{matrices} \end{array} \right\}$. This proves

that $\text{ad}([\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]) = [\text{ad}\mathfrak{g}, \text{ad}\mathfrak{g}]$ is nilpotent, hence $\exists n > 0$ s.t.

$$\text{ad}[x_1, [x_2, \dots, [x_{n-1}, x_n] \dots]] = 0 \quad \forall x_i \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \Rightarrow [x_0, [x_1, \dots, [x_{n-1}, x_n] \dots]] = 0$$

$\forall x_0, x_1, \dots, x_n \in [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$

The proof of both theorems relies on the following two results:

Proposition 1: Let $\rho: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{gl}(V)$ be a fin. dim. representation of a solvable Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} , over an alg. closed field \mathbb{k} of char $\mathbb{k} = 0$. Then $\exists v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ - common eigenvector of all $\{\rho(x)\}_{x \in \mathfrak{g}}$.

Proposition 2: Let V be a fin. dim. vector space over any field \mathbb{k} , and $\mathfrak{g} \subseteq \text{gl}(V)$ be a Lie subalgebra consisting of nilpotent operators. Then $\exists v \in V \setminus \{0\}$ s.t. $\mathfrak{g}(v) = 0$, i.e. v -common eigenvector w/ eigenvalue 0.

Lecture #13

- Note that Prop 1 \Rightarrow Thm 1 by induction on $\dim V$. Indeed, pick $V_1 = V$ as in Prop 1 and consider $\bar{V} := V/kv_1$. By induction hypothesis, there is a basis $\bar{v}_2, \dots, \bar{v}_n$ of \bar{V} in which ρ acts by upper- Δ matrices. Pick any lifts v_2, \dots, v_n (of $\bar{v}_2, \dots, \bar{v}_n$) in V : then in basis $\{v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n\}$ of V ρ acts by upper- Δ matrices \Rightarrow Theorem 1.
 - completely similar argument shows that Prop 2 \Rightarrow Thm 2.
- We shall now prove Proposition 1 (postponing Prop 2 to the next class)

Proof of Proposition 1

- Proceed by induction on $\dim \mathfrak{g}$. Base case $\dim \mathfrak{g} = 1$ is clear!
- Step 1: \mathfrak{g} -solvable $\Rightarrow [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \neq \mathfrak{g}$. Pick any vector subspace $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}' \neq \mathfrak{g}$ so that $\dim(\mathfrak{g}') = \dim(\mathfrak{g}) - 1$. Then $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subseteq [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] \subseteq \mathfrak{g}' \Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$ -ideal of \mathfrak{g} . Moreover \mathfrak{g} -solvable $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{g}'$ -solvable Lie algebra (of smaller dimension). Hence by induction hypothesis applied to $\mathfrak{g}' \curvearrowright V$:

$$\boxed{\exists \sigma \in V \setminus \{0\} \text{ s.t. } \rho(h')\sigma = \alpha(h') \cdot \sigma \quad \forall h' \in \mathfrak{g}', \alpha \in \mathfrak{g}'^*} \quad (*)$$

- Step 2: Pick any $x \in \mathfrak{g} \setminus \mathfrak{g}'$ so that $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{g}' \oplus kx$ as vector spaces. Consider

$$\boxed{W := \text{span} \{v_0 = v, v_1 = \rho(x)v, v_2 = \rho(x)v_1 = \rho(x)^2 v, \dots\}}$$

$$\text{Claim 1: } \forall h' \in \mathfrak{g}', \forall k \geq 0: \rho(h')v_k = \alpha(h')v_k + \sum_{l < k} \# \cdot v_l, \quad \# - \text{some coeffs}$$

- Induction on k . Base $k=0$ is clear by $(*)$. For induct. step:

$$\rho(h')v_{k+1} = \rho(h')\rho(x)v_k = \rho(x)(\rho(h')v_k) + \rho([h', x])v_k = \alpha(h')v_k + \sum_{l < k} \# \cdot v_l$$
 By inductive hypothesis:

$$\rho(h')v_k = \alpha(h')v_k + \sum_{l < k} \# \cdot v_l$$

$$\rho([h', x])v_k = \alpha([h', x]) \cdot v_k + \sum_{l < k} \tilde{\#} \cdot v_l$$

(Continuation of Proof)

Step 3: As $\dim W \leq \dim V < \infty$ $\exists n$ s.t. v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n lin. indep, but v_{n+1} is in span $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$. As $v_{k+1} = p(x)v_k$, we then see that actually $\{v_0, v_1, \dots, v_n\}$ - basis of W .

By Claim 1 above: $\text{tr}_W p(h') = (n+1) \cdot \alpha(h')$
 On the other hand if $[g'h] = [h, x]$ with $h \in g'$, then $\Rightarrow \alpha([g', x]) = 0$.
 $\text{tr}_W p(h') = \text{tr}_W ([p(h), p(x)]) = 0$

But then: evoking the proof of Claim 1, we actually get:

$$\boxed{p(h')v_k = \alpha(h')v_k \quad \forall h' \in g' \quad \forall k}$$

Step 4: Pick any eigenvector $w \in W \setminus \{0\}$ of the operator $p(x): W \rightarrow W$.

By above w is also an eigenvector of $p(g')$, hence, of all $p(g)$ as $g = g' \oplus kx$

Remark: We note that while in the end of Step 3 we concluded that $p(g')$ acts by scalar operators on W , this wasn't immediately clear: we first proved it acts by upper- Δ matrices (Claim 1).