

Lectures #17-18

• Finish the proof of $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}$ for semisimple \mathfrak{g} from last time

* Extensions of \mathfrak{g} -modules and Whitehead's theorem

Given any Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and its modules V, W a natural question is to classify all extensions of W by V , i.e. \mathfrak{g} -modules U fitting into

$$0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow U \xrightarrow{p} W \rightarrow 0$$
 - short exact sequence of \mathfrak{g} -mod

To do this, pick any splitting of this sequence as vector spaces, i.e. pick an injective linear map $\iota: W \rightarrow U$ such that $p \circ \iota = \text{id}_W$. This gives rise to

$$\text{v.space isom. } V \oplus W \xrightarrow{\sim} U, (v, w) \mapsto v + \iota(w)$$

Thus, action of \mathfrak{g} on U can be viewed as \mathfrak{g} on $V \oplus W$. Thus:

$$p(x)(v, w) = (p_V(x)v + a(x)w, p_W(x)w) \text{ with } a(x) \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(W, V)$$

As p is linear, we see that $a: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow E = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(W, V)$ is a linear map.

In particular, we note that if $a \equiv 0$, then resulting \mathfrak{g} -module is just $V \oplus W$.

Let's now see which conditions on a are equivalent to p being a module:

• $p([x, y])(v, w) = (p_V([x, y])v + a([x, y])w, p_W([x, y])w) \quad \forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g} \quad \forall v \in V, w \in W$
which can be written as $([x, y] \cdot v + a([x, y])w, [x, y] \cdot w)$ for brevity

• $p(x)p(y)(v, w) = p(x)(p_V(y)v + a(y)w, p_W(y)w) = (p_V(x)p_V(y)v + p_V(x)a(y)w + a(x)p_W(y)w, p_W(x)p_W(y)w)$

\Downarrow
 $[p(x), p(y)](v, w) = (p(x)p(y) - p(y)p(x))(v, w) =$
 $= (p_V(x)p_V(y) - p_V(y)p_V(x))v + p_V(x)a(y)w - a(y)p_W(x)w + a(x)p_W(y)w - p_W(y)a(x)w$
 $\quad \quad \quad (p_W(x)p_W(y) - p_W(y)p_W(x))w$

$$= ([p_V(x), p_V(y)]v + (p_V(x)a(y) - a(y)p_W(x))w + (a(x)p_W(y) - p_W(y)a(x))w, [p_W(x), p_W(y)]w)$$

which can be written for brevity as

$$([x, y] \cdot v + [x, a(y)]w + [a(x), y]w, [x, y] \cdot w)$$

Lectures #17-18

Comparing the two boxed formulas from p.1, we see that ρ defines an action of \mathfrak{g} on $V \oplus W$ iff $\forall x, y \in \mathfrak{g}$:

$$a([x, y]) = (\rho_V(x)a(y) - a(y)\rho_W(x)) - (\rho_V(y)a(x) - a(x)\rho_W(y))$$

Evaluating \mathfrak{g} -action on $E = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(W, V)$, we see that

$$\rho_V(x)a(y) - a(y)\rho_W(x) = x \cdot a(y), \quad \rho_V(y)a(x) - a(x)\rho_W(y) = x \cdot \rho_W(y)$$

and so above equality reads:

$$a([x, y]) = x \cdot a(y) - y \cdot a(x)$$

This brings us to the following general definition:

Def 1: For any Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g} -module E , all linear maps $a: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow E$ s.t. $a([x, y]) = x \cdot a(y) - y \cdot a(x)$ are called 1-cocycles of \mathfrak{g} with values in E . Let $Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, E) = \left\{ \begin{array}{l} \text{all} \\ \text{such } a \end{array} \right\}$

- Examples:
- a) $E = \text{trivial module } \mathbb{K} \Rightarrow Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathbb{K}) = (\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}])^*$
 - b) $E = \mathfrak{g}$ w.r.t. $\text{ad}: \mathfrak{g} \curvearrowright \mathfrak{g} \Rightarrow Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}) = \text{Der}(\mathfrak{g})$

Upshot of above: Every $a \in Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(W, V))$ defines an extension U_a of W by V . But we note that above construction depended on a choice of $\iota: W \hookrightarrow U$. Thus, we actually want to classify our extensions up to isom. of short exact sequences:

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 0 & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & U_a & \longrightarrow & W \longrightarrow 0 \\
 & & \downarrow \text{id}_V & & \downarrow f & & \downarrow \text{id}_W \\
 0 & \longrightarrow & V & \longrightarrow & U_b & \longrightarrow & W \longrightarrow 0
 \end{array}$$

i.e. f is an isomorphism s.t. with respect to 2-step filtration $\text{gr}_* f = \text{id}_{V \oplus W}$.

This forces f to be of the form

$$f(v, w) = (v + Aw, w) \text{ with } A: W \rightarrow V \text{ - linear map}$$

Lectures #17-18

We shall now see which conditions on A guarantee that f intertwines \mathfrak{g} -actions

$$\rho_{u_0}(x) f(v, w) = x \cdot f(v, w) = x \cdot (v + Aw, w) = (\rho_v(x)v + \rho_v(x)Aw + b(x)w, \rho_w(x)w)$$

$$\begin{aligned} f(\rho_{u_0}(x)(v, w)) &= f(x \cdot (v, w)) = f(\rho_v(x)v + a(x)w, \rho_w(x)w) \\ &= (\rho_v(x)v + a(x)w + A\rho_w(x)w, \rho_w(x)w) \end{aligned}$$

and so above expressions coincide iff

$$\boxed{\rho_v(x)A + b(x) = A\rho_w(x) + a(x)}$$

Thinking of $A \in \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(W, V)$ and noting $\rho_v(x)A - A\rho_w(x) = x \cdot A$, the above is

$$\boxed{a(x) - b(x) = x \cdot A}$$

This brings us to the following general definition:

Def 2: For any Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and \mathfrak{g} -module E , a linear map $a: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow E$ given by $x \mapsto x \cdot v$ for some fixed $v \in E$ is called 1-coboundary of v , denoted $a = dv$. Let $B^1(\mathfrak{g}, E) = \{ \text{all such } a \}$

Note that each 1-coboundary is a 1-cocycle because E is \mathfrak{g} -module.

Thus $B^1(\mathfrak{g}, E) \subseteq \underset{\text{subspace}}{Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, E)}$.

Def 3: The quotient $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, E) = Z^1(\mathfrak{g}, E) / B^1(\mathfrak{g}, E)$ is called the 1st cohomology of \mathfrak{g} with coefficients in E

Upshot: Two 1-cocycles $a, b: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow E = \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(W, V)$ determine isomorphic \mathfrak{g} -module extensions (in above sense) iff $a - b \in B^1(\mathfrak{g}, E)$. Thus, we obtain a bijection between all extensions of W by V and $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(W, V))$, i.e. extensions are parametrized by

$$\boxed{\text{Ext}^1(W, V) = H^1(\mathfrak{g}, \text{Hom}_{\mathbb{K}}(W, V))}$$

Lectures #17-18

Key result for today is:

Theorem 1 (Whitehead Theorem): If $\text{char}(k)=0$, \mathfrak{g} -semisimple, then $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V) = 0$ for any finite dimensional \mathfrak{g} -module V

- Examples:
- a) For $V=k$ -trivial, this recovers $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = \mathfrak{g}$ for semisimple \mathfrak{g}
 - b) For $V = \mathfrak{g}$ -adjoint repr, this recovers $\text{Der}(\mathfrak{g}) = \mathfrak{g}$.

We start with the following simple observation:

Lemma 1: Let \mathfrak{g} -Lie algebra, E - fin. dim. \mathfrak{g} -module, and $C \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ - a central element s.t. $C|_k = 0$, $C|_E = \lambda \cdot \text{Id}_E$. Then $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, E) = 0$.

Following discussion of pp. 1-3, this is equivalent to splitting extension $0 \rightarrow E \rightarrow \mathcal{U} \xrightarrow{P} k \rightarrow 0$.

Pick any $\tilde{v} \in \mathcal{U}$ s.t. $p(\tilde{v}) = 1$. As C -central, get $p(C\tilde{v}) = C(p(\tilde{v})) = 0 \xRightarrow{\text{exactness}} C\tilde{v} \in E \Rightarrow C^2\tilde{v} = \lambda \cdot C\tilde{v}$. Hence, $v := \tilde{v} - \frac{1}{\lambda} C\tilde{v}$ satisfies $p(v) = 1, C(v) = 0$.

This v defines a splitting $k \hookrightarrow \mathcal{U}$. Indeed, since C acts on \mathcal{U} with two eigenvalues $\lambda, 0$ and generalized eigenspace $\mathcal{U}(C) = \{w : C^{\gg 1}(w) = 0\}$ is idempotent, we see that $x(v) \in kv$ as $Cxv \stackrel{C\text{-central}}{=} xCv = 0$. Hence kv is a \mathfrak{g} -submodule and $v \notin E$ as $Cv = 0, C|_E = \lambda \text{Id}_E$, so that $kv \cong_{\mathfrak{g}\text{-mod}} k$ -trivial. □

To apply this lemma, we shall need a way to produce central elements in $\mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$. Assume $(\cdot, \cdot) : \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow k$ is a nondegenerate invariant bilinear form. Pick any basis $\{x_i\}_{i=1}^{N=\dim \mathfrak{g}}$ of \mathfrak{g} and let $\{x^i\}_{i=1}^N$ be the dual basis w.r.t. (\cdot, \cdot) , i.e. $(x_i, x^j) = \delta_{ij}$.

Def 4: The Casimir element determined by (\cdot, \cdot) is $C = \sum_{i=1}^{N=\dim \mathfrak{g}} x_i x^i \in \mathcal{U}(\mathfrak{g})$

Example: For $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{sl}_2$ and $(\cdot, \cdot) = \text{trace form}$ get old Casimir $= ef + fe + h^2/2$.

Lectures #17-18

Lemma 2: C does not depend on the choice of $\{x_i\}$, and C is central

Using (\cdot, \cdot) we can identify $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \simeq \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g}^* \simeq \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$. Then, $\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}} \in \text{End}(\mathfrak{g})$ exactly corresponds to the Casimir tensor $\Omega = \sum_{i=1}^N x_i \otimes x^i$, hence basis-independent.

Moreover, since $\text{Id}_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is an intertwiner of the adjoint module, and the above isom. are \mathfrak{g} -module isomorphisms $\Rightarrow \Omega$ is adjoint-invariant.

Finally, as $\mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow[\mathfrak{m}]{\text{product}} \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ is a \mathfrak{g} -module morphism, we get $C = \mathfrak{m}(\Omega)$ is central in $\mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$

Lemma 3: Let \mathfrak{g} -semisimple Lie algebra ($\text{char}(\mathbb{K})=0$) and V -nontrivial simple fin. dim \mathfrak{g} -module. Then there is a central element $C \in \mathfrak{U}(\mathfrak{g})$ s.t. $C|_{\mathbb{K}} = 0$, $C|_V = \lambda \text{Id}_V$ with $\lambda \neq 0$

Consider the invariant form $B_V: \mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathbb{K}$ from last lectures:

$$B_V(x, y) = \text{tr}_V(\rho(x)\rho(y))$$

Case 1: B_V -nondegenerate

Let C be corresponding Casimir. By Lemma 2 it's central, hence by Schur's lemma it acts on V by $\cdot \lambda$. But one gets explicit value of λ by evaluating $\text{tr}_V(C)$ in two ways:

$$\lambda \dim V = \text{tr}_V(C) = \sum_i \text{tr}_V(\rho(x_i)\rho(x^i)) = \sum_i B_V(x_i, x^i) = \dim \mathfrak{g}$$

$$\Rightarrow \lambda = \frac{\dim \mathfrak{g}}{\dim V} \neq 0$$

Case 2: B_V is degenerate, and let $I = \text{Ker}(B_V) \subseteq_{\text{ideal}} \mathfrak{g}$

First, we note that $I \neq \mathfrak{g}$ as otherwise $\rho(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathfrak{gl}(V)$ would be solvable by Cartan's criteria $\xrightarrow{\mathfrak{g}\text{-s.s.}} \rho(\mathfrak{g}) \equiv 0 \Rightarrow V$ -trivial \mathfrak{g} -module $\Rightarrow \Downarrow$

As \mathfrak{g} -semisimple, we can decompose it into $\mathfrak{g} = I \oplus \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$, where $\tilde{\mathfrak{g}}$ is also semisimple. By construction $B_V|_{\tilde{\mathfrak{g}} \times \tilde{\mathfrak{g}}}$ is nondegenerate.

Lectures #17-18

(Continuation)

Let $\tilde{C} \in U\mathfrak{g}$ be the Casimir elt w.r.t. $B(\mathfrak{g} \times \mathfrak{g})$. Because $[\tilde{C}, I] = 0$, we also get $[\tilde{C}, I] = 0 \Rightarrow \tilde{C}$ -central element of $U\mathfrak{g}$. $\xrightarrow{\text{Schur}}$ acts on V by $\cdot \lambda$.

Evaluating $\text{tr}(\tilde{C})$ as in Case 1, we find $\lambda = \frac{\dim \mathfrak{g}}{\dim V} \neq 0$.

Corollary 1: For any simple f.d. module V of semisimple \mathfrak{g} : $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V) = 0$

If $V \neq K$, then the result follows from Lemmas 1 & 3.

If $V \cong K$, then our extension looks as $0 \rightarrow K \rightarrow U \rightarrow K \rightarrow 0$, so that $\rho_U(x) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & * \\ 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix}$ -nilpotent $\Rightarrow \rho(\mathfrak{g})$ -solvable & semisimple $\Rightarrow \rho(\mathfrak{g}) = 0$. (alternatively, could argue $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, K) = (\mathfrak{g}/[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}])^* = 0$)

Exercise: For any lie algebra \mathfrak{g} and a short exact sequence of \mathfrak{g} -modules $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow U \rightarrow W$, show that $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V) \rightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{g}, U) \rightarrow H^1(\mathfrak{g}, W)$ is exact in the middle term.

Proof of Whitehead Theorem

By Corollary 1: $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V) = 0$ if V -simple

For general f.d. \mathfrak{g} -module V , pick a Jordan-Hölder filtration of V by \mathfrak{g} -submodules $0 = F_0 V \subset F_1 V \subset F_2 V \subset \dots \subset F_n V$ so that $F_{k+1} V / F_k V$ -simple.

Arguing by induction and using Exercise above, get $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, F_k V) = 0 \forall k$, in particular, $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V = F_n V) = 0$

Theorem 2: Any f.d. module U over semisimple \mathfrak{g} is completely reducible

If U -simple, the claim is tautological. Otherwise it has a submodule V . Then $0 \rightarrow V \rightarrow U \rightarrow U/V \rightarrow 0 \Rightarrow U \cong V \oplus U/V$ by Theorem 1. Continue process for V and U/V

Lectures #17-18

We also obtain a proof of result stated in the end of Lecture 14:

Corollary 2: In $\text{char}(k)=0$, a reductive Lie algebra \mathfrak{g} admits a unique splitting as direct sum of abelian and semisimple Lie algebras.

Consider $\text{ad}: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. Note that $z(\mathfrak{g})$ is its kernel and so we get:
 $\text{ad}: \mathfrak{g}/z(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g}$. But $\mathfrak{g}_{ss} = \mathfrak{g}/z(\mathfrak{g})$ is semisimple. Hence, the short exact sequence of \mathfrak{g}_{ss} -modules splits:

$$0 \rightarrow z(\mathfrak{g}) \rightarrow \mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\cong} \mathfrak{g}_{ss} \rightarrow 0$$

Thus, $\mathfrak{g} = z(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{ss}$.

Uniqueness follows from observation that if $\mathfrak{g} = z(\mathfrak{g}) \oplus \mathfrak{a}$, then \mathfrak{a} is semisimple. $[\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}] = [\mathfrak{a}, \mathfrak{a}] = \mathfrak{a}$. This shows that $\text{Im}(\text{ad}) = \text{commutant } [\mathfrak{g}, \mathfrak{g}]$.

Example: $\mathfrak{gl}_n(k) = k \cdot I \oplus \mathfrak{sl}_n(k)$.