

Lecture #37

We have seen that Casimir element  $C$  played the key role both in the proof of Whitehead's theorem (Lectures 17-18) as well as Weyl character formula (Lect 31-32). It is thus natural to ask the following question:

Q: Describe  $Z_{\mathfrak{g}} = Z(U_{\mathfrak{g}})$ , the center of universal enveloping for semisimple  $\mathfrak{g}$ .

(As a closely related question, one can also ask if different simple finite dimen.  $\mathfrak{g}$ -modules have different central characters?)

The answer to above Q is provided by the Harish-Chandra theorem. To state the result, consider the triangular decomposition  $\mathfrak{g} = \mathfrak{n}_- \oplus \mathfrak{h} \oplus \mathfrak{n}_+$  which implies by PBW theorem (see [Lecture 11, Corollary 4]) that multiplication map

$$m: U(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes U(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{n}_+) \xrightarrow{\sim} U_{\mathfrak{g}} \text{ is a v. space isom.}$$

Moreover, we have natural "coint" algebra homomorphisms

$$\begin{aligned} \varepsilon_+ : U(\mathfrak{n}_+) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ with } 1 \mapsto 1, e_i \mapsto 0 \\ \varepsilon_- : U(\mathfrak{n}_-) &\rightarrow \mathbb{C} \text{ with } 1 \mapsto 1, f_i \mapsto 0 \end{aligned}$$

This gives us a natural linear map ("HC" stands for Harish-Chandra):

$$HC: U_{\mathfrak{g}} \xrightarrow{m^{-1}} U(\mathfrak{n}_-) \otimes U(\mathfrak{h}) \otimes U(\mathfrak{n}_+) \xrightarrow{\varepsilon_- \otimes \text{id} \otimes \varepsilon_+} U(\mathfrak{h}) = S(\mathfrak{h}) = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]$$

While HC is not an algebra homomorphism, its restriction to  $Z_{\mathfrak{g}} \subseteq U_{\mathfrak{g}}$  gives rise to algebra homom.  $HC: Z_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]$ .

Lemma 1:  $HC: Z_{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]$  is algebra homom

► This follows immediately from the fact that any central element of  $U_{\mathfrak{g}}$  has  $\mathbb{Q}$ -degree 0, so that is a sum of element in  $U(\mathfrak{h})$  and in elements in  $\text{Ker}(\varepsilon_-) \cdot U(\mathfrak{h}) \cdot \text{Ker}(\varepsilon_+)$

Recall the shifted/dot action  $W \curvearrowright \mathfrak{h}^*$  from [Lecture 33, Definition 2]:

$$w \cdot \lambda = w(\lambda + \rho) - \rho. \quad \forall w \in W, \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*$$

This gives rise to  $W \curvearrowright \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]$ .

# Lecture #37

Fix any  $\lambda \in P$  and  $V$  - highest weight  $\mathfrak{g}$ -module of highest weight  $\lambda$ .

Lemma 2:  $\forall z \in \mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{g}$  acts on  $V$  as a multiplication by  $HC(z)(\lambda)$ .

Let  $v_\lambda \in V$  be a highest weight vector, so that  $e_i(v_\lambda) = 0$ ,  $h(v_\lambda) = \lambda(h)v_\lambda$ .

Then  $z(v_\lambda) = HC(z)(v_\lambda) = \underbrace{HC(z)(\lambda)}_{\in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]} \cdot v_\lambda$

On the other hand,  $z$ -central and  $V$  is generated by  $v_\lambda$

$$\Rightarrow z(v) = HC(z)(\lambda) \cdot v \quad \forall v \in V$$

Our next result establishes certain  $W_0$ -symmetry

Proposition 1:  $HC(\mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{W_0}$

While above we use  $W_0$ -action, one can also use the usual  $W$ -action. To do so, define  $\alpha_z \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]$  for  $z \in \mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{g}$  via  $\alpha_z(\lambda + \rho) = HC(z)(\lambda)$ , i.e. we "shift" by  $\rho$ . Then above result is equivalent to

$$\alpha_z \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^W \quad \forall z \in \mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{g}$$

As  $W$  is generated by simple reflections, it suffices to show that

$$HC(z)(\lambda) = HC(z)(s_i \cdot \lambda) \quad \forall \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^*, z \text{-central}, s_i \text{-simple reflection}$$

Moreover, the above suffices to check for  $\lambda \in P_+ = \{ \text{integral dominant weights} \}$  as the latter is Zariski dense set in  $\mathfrak{h}^*$  (essentially, we check equality of polynomials)

However, by Lecture 33, we know that  $\forall \lambda \in P_+$  there is embedding of Verma modules

$$M_{s_i \cdot \lambda} \hookrightarrow M_\lambda$$

and thus  $HC(z)(\lambda) = HC(z)(s_i \cdot \lambda)$  by Lemma 2. This completes the proof

Thus, we obtain the Harish-Chandra algebra homomorphism

$$HC: \mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{W_0} \quad (\text{or after } \rho\text{-shift } \alpha: \mathbb{Z}\mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^W)$$

## Lecture #37

Recall that  $U\mathfrak{g}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -filtered, hence, so is  $Z\mathfrak{g} \subseteq U\mathfrak{g}$ . Likewise,  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -graded, hence, so is  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^W$ , and thus  $\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{W_0}$  is  $\mathbb{Z}$ -filtered. Now we are ready to state the key result (answer to Q on p.1):

### Theorem 1 (Harish-Chandra theorem)

The map  $HC: Z\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{W_0}$  is an isomorphism of filtered algebras

We already know that HC-algebra homomorphism. It's also easy to check that it's compatible with filtrations. Thus, by [Homework 4, Problem 3b], it suffices to verify that  $gr.(HC)$  is an isomorphism, where  $gr.$  denotes the associated graded. Here, we clearly have  $gr.(\mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{W_0}) \simeq \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^W$  usual  $W$ -action.  
On the other hand, by [Lecture 11, Lemma 2, Corollary 2, Corollary 6]:  
 $gr.(Z\mathfrak{g}) \simeq (S\mathfrak{g})^{\mathfrak{h}}$ . Thus, Theorem 1 follows from another classical result:

Theorem 2 (Chevalley theorem): For any  $f \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^{\mathfrak{h}}$  its restriction to  $\mathfrak{h}$  is  $W$ -inv., and furthermore this gives rise to isomorphism of graded algebras  
 $res: \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{g}]^{\mathfrak{h}} \xrightarrow{\sim} \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$

Indeed,  $gr.(HC) = res$  and so  $res$  being isomorphism  $\Rightarrow$  HC-isomorphism. Furthermore, another classical result of Chevalley is:

Theorem 3:  $(S\mathfrak{h})^W = \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W \simeq \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}^*]^{W_0}$  are polynomial algebras in  $r = rk(\mathfrak{g})$  generators

As an immediate corollary of Thm 1 & 3, we get:

Corollary 1: The center  $Z\mathfrak{g}$  of  $U\mathfrak{g}$  for semisimple  $\mathfrak{g}$  is a polynomial algebra in  $r = rk(\mathfrak{g})$  generators (called sometimes "higher order Casimirs")

Lecture #37

We shall conclude with a short proof of Theorem 2 as it uses the ideas that we already encountered over the last week.

Proof of Theorem 2

- Let  $G$  be the connected simply-connected Lie gp with Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$ . Then  $\mathbb{C}[G]^G \simeq \mathbb{C}[G]^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . Evoking [Homework 10, Problem 5], we then see that  $\text{res}(f) \in \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$  is  $W$ -invariant  $\forall f \in \mathbb{C}[G]^{\mathfrak{g}}$ . This shows that the natural restriction map  $\mathbb{C}[G] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]$  gives rise to  $\mathbb{C}[G]^{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$ . It clearly preserves  $\mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ -gradings and is compatible with products.
- We also claim that this map is injective, i.e. if  $f \in \mathbb{C}[G]^{\mathfrak{g}} \simeq \mathbb{C}[G]^G$  and  $f|_{\mathfrak{h}} = 0$  then  $f \equiv 0$ . To this end, we note that by Lectures 21-22, the set of  $\mathfrak{g}^{\text{sr}}$  of strongly regular elements is dense in  $\mathfrak{g}$  and any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}^{\text{sr}}$  is  $G$ -conjugate to some  $h \in \mathfrak{h} \Rightarrow f|_{\mathfrak{g}^{\text{sr}}} \equiv 0 \Rightarrow f|_{\mathfrak{g}} \equiv 0$
- It thus remains to prove that  $\text{res}: \mathbb{C}[G]^{\mathfrak{g}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$  is surjective.

For any  $\lambda \in \mathbb{P}_+$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$ , consider

$$f_{\lambda, n}(x) := \text{tr}_{L_{\lambda}}(x^n) \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}$$

which are clearly  $G$ -invariant. It remains to prove:

$$\text{Claim: } \text{res}(f_{\lambda, n}) \text{ span } (S^n \mathfrak{h}^*)^W = \text{degree } n \text{ component of } \mathbb{C}[\mathfrak{h}]^W$$

Evoking [Lectures 34-36, Proposition 1], we know  $\chi_{\lambda} = \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda \\ \mu \in \mathbb{P}_+}} c_{\lambda}^{\mu} \cdot m_{\mu}$  with  $c_{\lambda}^{\lambda} = 1$ ,  $c_{\lambda}^{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}$ ,  $m_{\mu} = W$ -orbit average  $= \sum_{\nu \in W\mu} e^{\nu}$ .

As the matrix  $(c_{\lambda}^{\mu})$  is upper-triangular, we can revert above by expressing  $m_{\lambda} = \sum_{\substack{\mu \in \Lambda \\ \mu \in \mathbb{P}_+}} \tilde{c}_{\lambda}^{\mu} \cdot \chi_{\mu}$ , with  $\tilde{c}_{\lambda}^{\lambda} = 1$ ,  $\tilde{c}_{\lambda}^{\mu} \in \mathbb{Z}$ . Hence, it suffices to show  $m_{\lambda, n}$  span  $(S^n \mathfrak{h}^*)^W$ , where  $m_{\lambda, n}(h) = \sum_{\nu \in W\lambda} \nu(h)^n$ . However, as  $W$ -averaging gives a surjective map  $S^n \mathfrak{h}^* \rightarrow (S^n \mathfrak{h}^*)^W$  it suffices to show  $\{ \lambda^n \mid \lambda \in \mathbb{P}_+ \}$  span  $S^n \mathfrak{h}^*$ . Again, as  $\mathbb{P}_+$  is Zariski dense in  $\mathfrak{h}^*$ , it suffices to prove  $\{ \lambda^n \mid \lambda \in \mathfrak{h}^* \}$  span  $S^n \mathfrak{h}^*$  but this was already established in [Lec 34-36, Lemma 2].