

Student presentation #1: Proof of Levi theorem.

There are two standard proofs in the literature. The one presented by Ryan in class was cohomological and while it looks simpler it relies on vanishing of  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V) = 0$  when  $\mathfrak{g}$ -semisimple,  $V$ -fin. dim. (cf. Lectures 17-18 for  $H^1(\mathfrak{g}, V) = 0$ ). Below is an alternative shorter proof.  
Let's recall the formulation - see [Lecture #14, Theorem 2]

Thm (Levi thm): Any finite-dimensional Lie algebra  $\mathfrak{g}$  over a field  $k$  of  $\text{char}(k) = 0$  can be written as a direct sum of  $\overset{\text{V space}}{\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})} \oplus \mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}}$  with  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}}$ -semisimple ideal Lie subalgebra

Rmk: a) Recall that by [Lecture 14, Lemma 2], the quotient  $\mathfrak{g}/\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$  is semisimple and by above is isomorphic to  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}}$ , so that as Lie algebras  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}}$  are choice independent. However, there may be different embeddings  $\mathfrak{g}/\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g}) \hookrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$  as above.

b) As noted in the Remark after Levi thm in Lecture 14, the above implies

$$\mathfrak{g} \cong \mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}} \ltimes \text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$$

Proof of thm

To shorten our notations, we shall use  $\tau$  for  $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g})$ , while  $\sigma$  for  $\mathfrak{g}_{\text{ss}}$ .

Case 1:  $\tau = \mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{g})$ , i.e.  $\mathfrak{g}$ -reductive

This case was already treated in [Lectures 17-18, Corollary 2]

Namely the adjoint action of  $\mathfrak{g}$  factorizes through  $\mathfrak{g}/\tau \xrightarrow{\text{ad}} \mathfrak{g}$ , and  $\tau \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  is a subrepresentation. However, as  $\mathfrak{g}/\tau$  is semisimple, we know that any fin. dim. module is completely reducible [Lectures 17-18, Thm 2], hence:  $\mathfrak{g} \cong \tau \oplus \sigma$  as  $\mathfrak{g}/\tau$ -modules. In particular,  $\sigma \cong \mathfrak{g}/\tau$ -semisimple and actually  $\sigma \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$  is not just a subalg. but an ideal. ✓

Case 2:  $\tau \neq \mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{g}) \iff [\mathfrak{g}, \tau] \neq 0$

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} \tau \text{ contains no nonzero proper ideals} \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \left\{ \begin{array}{l} [\mathfrak{g}, \tau] = \tau \text{ as otherwise } [\mathfrak{g}, \tau] \text{ would be an ideal in } \tau \\ [\tau, \tau] = 0 \text{ as } \tau, \tau] \neq \tau \text{ and is an ideal in } \tau \end{array} \right.$$

In this case, instead of considering adjoint action, we consider the following:

$$\mathfrak{g} \xrightarrow{\alpha} \text{End}(\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{g})), \text{ i.e. } \alpha: \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \text{End}(\mathfrak{g}(\mathfrak{g})), \text{ given by } \alpha(x)\varphi = [\text{ad}(x), \varphi] \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}, \varphi \in \text{End}(V)$$

Proof of Levi theorem

We shall now define the following  $\mathfrak{g}$ -invariant subspaces of  $\mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g})$  under  $\mathfrak{x}$ :

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} &:= \{ \varphi \in \mathfrak{gl}(\mathfrak{g}) \mid \varphi(\mathfrak{g}) \subseteq \mathfrak{r}, \varphi|_{\mathfrak{r}} = c \cdot \text{id}_{\mathfrak{r}} \text{ for some } c \in \mathbb{K} \} \\ \mathcal{B} &:= \{ \varphi \in \mathcal{A} \mid \varphi|_{\mathfrak{r}} = 0 \} \\ \mathcal{L} &:= \{ \text{ad}(x) \mid x \in \mathfrak{r} \} \end{aligned}$$

$$\mathcal{A} \supseteq \mathcal{B} \supseteq \mathcal{L}$$

Properties:

1)  $\mathcal{A}, \mathcal{B}, \mathcal{L}$  are indeed  $\mathfrak{x}(\mathfrak{g})$ -invariant

• For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{A}, x \in \mathfrak{g}$ :  
 $(\text{ad}(x)\varphi - \varphi \text{ad}(x))(y) = [x, \varphi(y)] - \varphi([x, y]) \in \mathfrak{r} \quad \forall y \in \mathfrak{g}$   
 if  $y \in \mathfrak{r} \Rightarrow \varphi(y) = cy \Rightarrow (\text{ad}(x)\varphi - \varphi \text{ad}(x))(y) = 0$   
 $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{x}(x)\varphi \in \mathcal{B} \subseteq \mathcal{A} \quad \forall x \in \mathfrak{g}, \varphi \in \mathcal{A}$

- For  $\varphi \in \mathcal{B}$  - same check
- For  $\mathcal{L}$ :  $[\text{ad}(x), \text{ad}(y)] = \text{ad}([x, y])$

2)  $\dim(\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}) = 1$

3)  $\mathfrak{x}(\mathfrak{g})\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{B}$  ← checked above

4)  $\mathfrak{x}(\mathfrak{r})\mathcal{A} \subseteq \mathcal{L}$

•  $\forall x \in \mathfrak{r}, \varphi \in \mathcal{A}, y \in \mathfrak{g}$ :  $(\mathfrak{x}(x)\varphi)(y) = \underbrace{[x, \varphi(y)]}_{\in \mathfrak{r}} - \underbrace{\varphi([x, y])}_{\in \mathfrak{r}} = -\varphi([x, y]) = -c[x, y] = \text{ad}(-cx)y$

Let's now consider the corresponding quotient representations  $\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}, \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{L}$  of  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{r}$ .

We have a natural projection

$$\pi: \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{L} \longrightarrow \underbrace{\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}}_{1\text{-dim } \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{r}\text{-repr.}}$$

$\Rightarrow \text{Ker}(\pi) \cong \mathcal{A}/\mathcal{B}$  is a codim 1  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{r}$ -submodule

But  $\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{r}$ -semisimple  $\Rightarrow$  any f.d.m. module is completely reducible, hence,

$$\mathcal{A}/\mathcal{L} \cong \text{Ker}(\pi) \oplus \underbrace{\mathbb{K}(\varphi_0 + \mathcal{B})}_{1\text{-dim complement to Ker}(\pi)} \text{ as } \mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{r}\text{-modules.}$$

Rescaling  $\varphi_0$  we can assume  $\varphi_0 \in \mathcal{A}$  is s.t.  $\varphi_0|_{\mathfrak{r}} = \text{id}_{\mathfrak{r}}$ .

Exercise (easy): Any 1-dim repr. of a semisimple Lie algebra is trivial!

Hence,  $\mathfrak{x}(\mathfrak{g})\varphi_0 \subseteq \mathcal{L}$ . Here is our choice of  $\mathfrak{o}$  (clearly a subalgebra of  $\mathfrak{g}$ ):

$$\mathfrak{o} := \{ x \in \mathfrak{g} \mid \mathfrak{x}(x)\varphi_0 = 0 \}$$

We shall now check  $\mathfrak{o}$  is as needed.

Proof of Levi theorem  
(Continuation of Step 2)

Properties of  $\sigma$ :

1)  $\sigma \cap \tau = 0$

$\left. \begin{array}{l} \text{If } x \in \tau \Rightarrow \mathfrak{z}(x)\varphi_0 = \text{ad}(-x) \\ \text{If } x \in \sigma \Rightarrow \mathfrak{z}(x)\varphi_0 = 0 \end{array} \right\} \Rightarrow \text{ad}(x) = 0 \text{ for } x \in \sigma \cap \tau.$

Thus, if  $x \neq 0$ , then  $\mathbb{K}x \neq \tau$  is a nonzero proper ideal, contradicting our assumptions.  $\square$

2)  $\mathfrak{g} = \sigma \oplus \tau$

Pick any  $x \in \mathfrak{g}$ . By above:  $\mathfrak{z}(x)\varphi_0 \in \mathfrak{L} \Rightarrow \exists y \in \tau$  s.t.  $\mathfrak{z}(x)\varphi_0 = \text{ad}(y)$  }  $\Rightarrow \mathfrak{z}(x+y)\varphi_0 = 0$ .  
But  $\mathfrak{z}(y)\varphi_0 = \text{ad}(-y)$

Thus:  $x = (x+y) + (-y)$  with  $x+y \in \sigma, -y \in \tau$   
The uniqueness follows from 1) above  $\square$

This establishes the theorem in Case 2.  $\checkmark$

Case 3: general case

(not to get into cases 1-2, can assume  $\dim(\tau) > 1$  and there exists a proper nonzero ideal  $0 \neq \tau' \neq \tau \subseteq \mathfrak{g}$ )

We shall argue by induction on  $\dim(\mathfrak{g})$ .

Exercise (easy):  $\text{rad}(\mathfrak{g}/\tau') = \tau'/\tau'$

As  $\dim(\mathfrak{g}/\tau') < \dim(\mathfrak{g})$ , the induction hypothesis implies

$\mathfrak{g}/\tau' = \tau'/\tau' \oplus \underbrace{\sigma'}_{\text{subalg. in } \mathfrak{g}/\tau'}$

To get back to  $\mathfrak{g}$ , consider the natural quotient map  $\omega: \mathfrak{g} \twoheadrightarrow \mathfrak{g}/\tau'$  and let's look at  $\omega^{-1}(\sigma')$ . As  $\tau'/\tau' \cap \sigma' = 0$ , we see  $\omega^{-1}(\sigma') \cap \tau = \tau'$ . Also:

$\text{rad}(\omega^{-1}(\sigma')) = \tau'$ . Indeed,  $\tau' \subseteq \omega^{-1}(\sigma')$  is solvable but  $\omega^{-1}(\sigma')/\tau' \simeq \sigma'$  - s.s.

Applying the induction hypothesis to  $\omega^{-1}(\sigma')$ , we have (note  $\sigma \simeq \sigma'$  as Lie algebras)

$\omega^{-1}(\sigma') = \tau' \oplus \underbrace{\sigma}_{\text{subalgebra}}$

Hence:  $\mathfrak{g} = \tau \oplus \underbrace{\sigma}_{\text{subalgebra}}$

This completes the induction step, hence also our proof of the theorem.  $\square$