

# Lecture 10: The $T$ -functor of algebras

October 20, 2014

We're talking about the Lannes  $T$ -functor,  $T_V : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$ , with

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(T_V M, N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(M, H^*BV \otimes N)$$

*Example 1.* Let  $M = F(n)$ , the free unstable module on a single generator  $i_n \in F(n)^n$ . For simplicity, take  $p = 2$  and  $V = \mathbb{F}_2$ , so  $H^*BV = H^*\mathbb{R}P^\infty \cong \mathbb{F}_2[u]$  with  $u$  in degree 2. The adjunction formula above is

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(T_V F(n), N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(F(n), \mathbb{F}_2[u] \otimes N) \cong (\mathbb{F}_2[u] \otimes N)^n = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n N^{n-i}.$$

By the Yoneda lemma, we get  $T_V F(n) = \bigoplus_{i=0}^n F(n-i)$ .

Let's suppose we know that  $T_V U(M) \cong U T_V(M)$ , where  $U : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  is the left adjoint to the forgetful functor (in fact,

$$U(M) = \mathrm{Sym}(M)/(\mathrm{Sq}^{|x|}(x) = x^2).$$

By a theorem of Serre,  $H^*K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n) \cong U(F(n))$ . Thus,

$$TH^*K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n) = U\left(\bigoplus_{i=0}^n F(n-i)\right) = \bigotimes_{i=0}^n H^*K(\mathbb{F}_2, n-i).$$

What's  $\mathrm{map}(\mathbb{R}P^\infty, K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n))$ ? Since this is a commutative topological group, it's a product of Eilenberg-Mac Lane spaces. We have

$$\pi_i \mathrm{map}(\mathbb{R}P^\infty, K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n)) = [\mathbb{R}P^\infty, K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n-i)] = H^{n-i}(\mathbb{R}P^\infty, \mathbb{Z}/2) = \begin{cases} \mathbb{Z}/2 & 0 \leq i \leq n \\ 0 & i > n. \end{cases}$$

Thus,

$$\mathrm{map}(\mathbb{R}P^\infty, K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n)) = \prod_{i=0}^n K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n-i),$$

with cohomology

$$H^* \mathrm{map}(\mathbb{R}P^\infty, K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n)) \cong TH^*K(\mathbb{Z}/2, n).$$

Let's get back to technical stuff, including establishing the claim that  $T_V$  commutes with  $U$ . Given  $M \in \mathcal{U}$ ,  $1 : T_V M \rightarrow T_V M$  has an adjoint map

$$\epsilon_M : M \rightarrow H^*BV \otimes T_V M.$$

There's then a map

$$M \otimes N \xrightarrow{\epsilon_M \otimes \epsilon_N} H^*BV \otimes T_V M \otimes H^*BV \otimes T_V N \xrightarrow{\mathrm{swap}} H^*BV \otimes H^*BV \otimes T_V M \otimes T_V N \xrightarrow{m \otimes 1} H^*BV \otimes T_V M \otimes T_V N.$$

This is adjoint to a map

$$T_V(M \otimes N) \rightarrow T_V M \otimes T_V N.$$

**Theorem 2.** *This map is an isomorphism.*

*Proof sketch.* Let  $T = T_{\mathbb{F}_p}$ ; since  $T_V$  is a composition of copies of  $T$ , we can assume  $T_V = T$ . Since  $T$  is exact and preserves sums, we can also assume  $M = F(p)$  and  $N = F(q)$ . The proof is then done by an elaborate multiple induction on  $p, q$ , and the internal degree of an element of the tensor product. This goes by looking at the exact sequences

$$0 \rightarrow F(p) \otimes \Phi F(q) \xrightarrow{1 \otimes \lambda} F(p) \otimes F(q) \rightarrow F(p) \otimes \Sigma \Omega F(q) \rightarrow 0$$

and

$$0 \rightarrow \Phi F(p) \otimes \Phi F(q) \xrightarrow{\lambda \otimes 1} F(p) \otimes \Phi F(q) \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega F(p) \otimes \Phi F(q) \rightarrow 0.$$

We note that  $\Omega F(p) = F(p-1)$ , allowing for the induction, and that  $T$  is exact and commutes with  $\Sigma$  and  $\Phi$ , so we can apply it to the above exact sequences to start the proof.  $\square$

*Remark 3.* That  $T$  commutes with  $\Phi$  implies that

$$TH^*CP^\infty = T\Phi H^*\mathbb{R}P^\infty = \Phi TH^*\mathbb{R}P^\infty = H^*CP^\infty \otimes H^*K(\mathbb{Z}/2, 0).$$

Since  $T_V$  commutes with tensor products,  $T_V K \in \mathcal{K}$  if  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ .

**Theorem 4.**  $T_V$  is also left adjoint to  $H^*BV \otimes \cdot$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ ; that is,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(T_V K, L) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(K, H^*BV \otimes L).$$

(Dylan: a high-falutin reason for this is that  $T_V$  commutes with sifted colimits, which agree in  $\mathcal{K}$  and  $\mathcal{U}$  by monadicity, and with tensor products, which are coproducts in  $\mathcal{K}$ . So it commutes with all colimits in  $\mathcal{K}$  and we can apply the adjoint functor theorem.

PG: the below is an unpacking of this reason.)

**Definition 5.** A reflexive coequalizer diagram is a diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{d_0} & \\ C_1 & \xleftarrow{s_0} & C_0 \\ & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \end{array}$$

where  $d_0 s_0 = d_1 s_0 = 1$ .

**Proposition 6.** *Let*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{d_0} & \\ K_1 & \xleftarrow{s_0} & K_0 \\ & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \end{array}$$

*be a reflexive coequalizer diagram in  $\mathcal{K}$ . Then the coequalizer in  $\mathcal{K}$  is isomorphic to the coequalizer in  $\mathcal{U}$ .*

*Proof.* The coequalizer in  $\mathcal{U}$  is  $K_0/\partial K_1$  where  $\partial = d_1 - d_0$ . If  $a \in K_0$ , then

$$a\partial(y) = a(d_1 y - d_0 y) = d_1(s_0(a)y) - d_0(s_0(a)y) \in \partial K_1,$$

so  $\partial K_1$  is an ideal of  $K_0$ , and thus the coequalizer is canonically an algebra.  $\square$

**Lemma 7.** *If  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , there's a reflexive coequalizer diagram*

$$U(F_1) \begin{array}{ccc} & \xrightarrow{d_0} & \\ \xleftarrow{s_0} & U(F_0) & \longrightarrow K \\ & \xrightarrow{d_1} & \end{array}$$

*where  $F_0$  and  $F_1$  are projective in  $\mathcal{U}$ .*

*Proof.* Recall the chain of adjunctions

$$\mathcal{K} \xrightleftharpoons{U} \mathcal{U} \xrightleftharpoons{F} \text{GradedVectorSpaces}.$$

Let  $G = UF$ . Then if  $K \in \mathcal{K}$ , we have maps  $\epsilon : K \rightarrow G(K)$  of vector spaces and  $d_0 : G(K) \rightarrow K$  of algebras such that  $d_0\epsilon = K$ . We have a coequalizer diagram

$$G^2K \begin{array}{c} \xrightarrow{Gd_0} \\ \xrightarrow{d_0G=d_1} \end{array} G(K) \xrightarrow{d_0} \tilde{K},$$

and  $\epsilon$  induces the inverse of  $d_0 : G(K)/\partial G^2(K) \rightarrow K$ . Thus,  $G\epsilon = s_0$  completes the reflexive coequalizer diagram, and we can take  $F_0 = F(K)$ ,  $F_1 = FG(K)$ . (In fact, the coequalizer diagram extends to a simplicial object in an obvious way, and  $\epsilon$  is a simplicial contraction of this simplicial object.)  $\square$

**Lemma 8.** *The functor  $\mathcal{K} \rightarrow \mathcal{K}$  given by  $L \mapsto H^*BV \otimes L$  has a left adjoint  $\tilde{T}_V$  on  $\mathcal{K}$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $\tilde{T}_V(U(M)) = UT_V(M)$ . Since every  $K$  is a reflexive coequalizer of objects  $U(M)$ , and since reflexive coequalizers are preserved by all functors, this defines  $\tilde{T}_V$  for all  $K$ . To be precise,

$$\tilde{T}_V(K) = \pi_0 \tilde{T}_V(G_0K) := T_V GK / \partial TG^2K.$$

We have

$$\text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(\tilde{T}_V(U(M)), L) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(U(T_V M), L) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(T_V M, L) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(M, H^*BV \otimes L) \cong \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{K}}(U(M), H^*BV \otimes L).$$

Thus, this follows for all  $K$ , for the same reason that reflexive coequalizers are absolute.  $\square$

To prove that  $T_V \cong \tilde{T}_V$ , we now just have to prove:

**Lemma 9.**

$$\tilde{T}_V U(M) = UT_V(M) \cong T_V U(M).$$

*Proof.* There are maps in  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  $M \rightarrow U(M)$  and  $T_V M \rightarrow U(T_V M)$ . The first of these gives a map  $T_V M \rightarrow T_V U(M)$  in  $\mathcal{U}$ , and thus  $U(T_V M) \rightarrow UT_V U(M) \rightarrow T_V U(M)$  in  $\mathcal{K}$ . The second gives

$$M \rightarrow H^*BV \otimes T_V M \rightarrow H^*BV \otimes U(T_V M)$$

in  $\mathcal{U}$ , and thus

$$U(M) \rightarrow H^*BV \otimes U(T_V M)$$

in  $\mathcal{U}$  (forgetting again). Taking the adjunction in  $\mathcal{U}$  gives

$$T_V U(M) \rightarrow U(T_V M)$$

and then one shows that these two maps are inverse in  $\mathcal{U}$ , and thus in  $\mathcal{K}$ .  $\square$