

# Lecture 7: Injectives and adjunctions

October 13, 2014

One version of the Sullivan conjecture, which we'll greatly generalize, is that if  $X$  is a finite CW-complex, then the space of pointed maps  $\text{map}_*(B\mathbb{Z}/p, X)$  is contractible. That is,

$$[\Sigma^t B\mathbb{Z}/p, X]_* = 0$$

for all  $t$ .

As an algebraic warm-up, we can treat this in cohomology instead of homotopy.

**Definition 1.**  $M \in \mathcal{U}$  is **locally finite** if for all  $x \in M$ , the submodule  $\mathcal{A}x \subseteq M$  is a finite set.

(This includes things like infinite sums and products of finite modules.)

**Proposition 2.** *If  $M$  is locally finite and finite type, then  $\text{Ext}_{\mathcal{U}}^s(M, \Sigma^t \tilde{H}^* B\mathbb{Z}/p) = 0$  for all  $s \geq 0$  and all  $t$ .*

Before proving this, let's have a little fun. Let  $\Omega^s = \Omega \circ \cdots \circ \Omega$  be the left adjoint to  $\Sigma^s$  (acting on  $\mathcal{U}$ , so the 'left' and 'right' are switched from how they are in topology). Recall that  $\Omega_t^s$  is the  $t$ th left derived functor of  $\Omega^s$ .

**Proposition 3.** *For all  $s \geq 1$ , there is a short exact sequence*

$$0 \rightarrow \Omega(\Omega_t^s M) \rightarrow \Omega_t^{s+1} M \rightarrow \Omega_1 \Omega_{t-1}^s M \rightarrow 0.$$

(This is a degenerate case of a composition of derived functors spectral sequence.)

*Proof.* (Take  $p = 2$ .) Recall that we have

$$0 \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega_1 M \rightarrow \Phi M \xrightarrow{\lambda} M \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega M \rightarrow 0.$$

Let  $P_\bullet \rightarrow M$  be a projective resolution; we get

$$0 \rightarrow \Phi \Omega^s P_\bullet \rightarrow \Omega^s P_\bullet \rightarrow \Sigma \Omega^{s+1} P_\bullet \rightarrow 0$$

(the first term vanishes since  $\Omega^s P_\bullet$  is projective [since  $\Omega F(k+1) = F(k)$ ], and  $\Omega_1$  vanishes on projectives). As  $\Phi$  is an exact functor, taking the homology of this exact sequence of complexes gives us

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc}
 \cdots & \longrightarrow & \Phi \Omega_t^s M & \longrightarrow & \Omega_t^s M & \longrightarrow & \Sigma \Omega_t^{s+1} M & \longrightarrow & \Phi \Omega_{t-1}^s M & \longrightarrow & \Omega_{t-1}^s M \\
 & & & & \searrow & & \nearrow & & \searrow & & \nearrow \\
 & & & & \Sigma \Omega(\Omega_t^s M) & & \Sigma \Omega_1(\Omega_{t-1}^s M) & & & & \\
 & & \nearrow & & \searrow & & \nearrow & & \searrow & & \\
 0 & & & & 0 & & 0 & & 0 & & 
 \end{array}$$

and this splits into short exact sequences as shown, proving the claim.  $\square$

**Corollary 4.** 1.  $\Omega_t^s M = 0$  if  $t > s$ , and

$$\Omega_s^s M = \underbrace{\Omega_1 \cdots \Omega_1}_s M.$$

2. If  $M$  is locally finite, so is  $\Omega_t^s M$ .

*Proof.* 1. follows from induction on  $s$ , since we know that it's true for  $s = 1$ .

2. If  $M$  is locally finite, then so is  $\Phi(M)$ , and hence so is  $\Omega_1 M$ , and we can use induction. □

*Proof of Proposition 2.* Let  $P_\bullet \rightarrow M$  be a projective resolution. Then

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(P_\bullet, \Sigma^t \tilde{H}^* \mathbb{R}P^\infty) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(\Omega^t P_\bullet, \tilde{H}^* \mathbb{R}P^\infty).$$

Taking cohomology gives

$$H^s \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(P_\bullet, \Sigma^t \tilde{H}^* \mathbb{R}P^\infty) \cong H^s \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(\Omega^t P_\bullet, \tilde{H}^* \mathbb{R}P^\infty) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(H_s \Omega^t P_\bullet, \tilde{H}^* \mathbb{R}P^\infty),$$

the last isomorphism since  $\tilde{H}^* \mathbb{R}P^\infty$  is injective. This, in turn, is isomorphic to  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(\Omega_s^t M, \tilde{H}^* \mathbb{R}P^\infty)$ . Finally,  $\Omega_s^t M$  is locally finite by Corollary 4, so it has no nonzero maps to the injective module  $\tilde{H}^* \mathbb{R}P^\infty$  – any  $x \in \tilde{H}^* \mathbb{R}P^\infty$  has  $\mathcal{A}x \cong \mathcal{A}$ . □

We can generalize the statement that  $\tilde{H}^* B\mathbb{Z}/p$  is injective to the following: for all finite  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -vector spaces  $V$  and all  $n \geq 0$ ,  $J(n) \otimes H^* BV$  is injective.

**Definition 5.** A module  $M \in \mathcal{U}$  is **reduced** if  $\lambda : \Phi M \rightarrow M$  is an injection. (Equivalently, if for  $x \in M^n$ ,  $\mathrm{Sq}^n x \neq 0$ ).

For example,  $\tilde{H}^* \mathbb{R}P^\infty$  and  $F(k)$  are reduced.

**Theorem 6** (Lannes-Zarati). *If  $K$  is a reduced injective and  $J$  is injective, and one of them is finite type, then  $K \otimes J$  is injective.*

The Lannes-Zarati theorem implies that  $J(n) \otimes H^* BV$  is injective for a finite-dimensional vector space  $V$ . Indeed,  $J(0) = \mathbb{F}_p$  is a reduced injective, so  $H^* B\mathbb{F}_p = J(0) \oplus \tilde{H}^* B\mathbb{F}_p$  is a reduced injective, so  $H^* B\mathbb{F}_p^n \cong (H^* B\mathbb{F}_p)^{\otimes n}$  is injective, by Lannes-Zarati, and one easily sees that it's reduced. By Lannes-Zarati again,  $J(n) \otimes H^* B\mathbb{F}_p^n$  is injective.

## Fun with adjoints

We know that if  $F : \mathcal{U}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p\text{-VectorSpaces}$  is a functor that sends sums to products and surjections to injections, then  $F$  is representable, i. e. there's a  $J_F \in \mathcal{U}$  and an isomorphism  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(M, J_F) \cong F(M)$ . (Remember that this is how we got the Brown-Gitler modules. You can explicitly construct  $J_F$  by  $J_F^k = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(F(k), J_F) = F(F(k))$ , and the Steenrod operations are induced by the relevant maps between the  $F(k)$ .)

**Corollary 7.** *If  $\Psi : \mathcal{U} \rightarrow \mathcal{U}$  preserves surjections (i. e. is right exact) and sends sums to sums, then  $\Psi$  has a right adjoint  $\tilde{\Psi}$ .*

*Proof.* Define  $F : \mathcal{U}^{\mathrm{op}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p\text{-VectorSpaces}$  by  $F(M) = \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(\Psi(M), N)$ . This satisfies the conditions above, so we get  $\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(\Psi(M), N) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(M, \tilde{\Psi}(N))$ . We just have to show that  $\tilde{\Psi}$  is a functor, but this follows from the Yoneda lemma. (If you've read Adams's blue book about spectra, this is how he constructs Spanier-Whitehead duality.) □

*Example 8.* As a result,  $\Phi$  has a right adjoint  $\tilde{\Phi}$ . Surprisingly,  $\Sigma$  has a right adjoint  $\tilde{\Sigma}$  as well as its left adjoint  $\Omega$ . Let's look at how these behave.

First, we have

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(M, \tilde{\Phi}(J(2n))) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(\Phi(M), J(2n)) \cong (\Phi(M)^{2n})^* \cong (M^n)^* \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(M, J(n)).$$

So  $\tilde{\Phi}(J(2n)) = J(n)$  (and likewise,  $\tilde{\Phi}(J(2n+1)) = 0$ ).

By the same argument,

$$\mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(M, \tilde{\Sigma}(J(n))) \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(\Sigma M, J(n)) \cong ((\Sigma M)^n)^* \cong (M^{n-1})^* \cong \mathrm{Hom}_{\mathcal{U}}(M, J(n-1)).$$

So  $\tilde{\Sigma}(J(n)) \cong J(n-1)$ .

The adjoint of  $1 : \tilde{\Sigma}M \rightarrow \tilde{\Sigma}M$  is a map  $\Sigma\tilde{\Sigma}M \rightarrow M$ . The adjoint of  $\lambda : \Phi M \rightarrow M$  is a map  $\tilde{\lambda} : M \rightarrow \tilde{\Phi}M$ .

**Proposition 9.** *The sequence  $0 \rightarrow \Sigma\tilde{\Sigma}M \rightarrow M \xrightarrow{\tilde{\lambda}} \tilde{\Phi}M$  is exact.*

(You should check this.)

$\Sigma\tilde{\Sigma}M$  is the largest submodule of  $M$  which is a suspension.

**Corollary 10.** *If  $M \in \mathcal{U}$ , the following are equivalent:*

1.  $M$  is reduced;
2.  $\lambda_M : \Phi M \rightarrow M$  is injective;
3.  $\tilde{\lambda}_M : M \rightarrow \tilde{\Phi}M$  is injective;
4.  $\tilde{\Sigma}M = 0$ .

*Proof.*  $1 \Rightarrow 4$  because the top Steenrod operation vanishes on any suspension.  $4 \Rightarrow 3$  by the exact sequence above. The remaining steps will be left to the next class. We'll then use this to show that  $K(1)$  is injective.  $\square$