A Statistical Dynamical Model to Predict Extreme Events and Anomalous Features in Shallow Water Waves with Abrupt Depth Change

8 Andrew J. Majda^{a,1}, M. N. J. Moore^b, and Di Qi^{a,1}

^aDepartment of Mathematics and Center for Atmosphere and Ocean Science, Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences, New York University, New York, NY 10012; ^bDepartment of Mathematics and Geophysical Fluid Dynamics Institute, Florida State University, Tallahassee, FL 32306

 $12 \ \ \, {\rm This}$ manuscript was compiled on December 18, 2018

5

6

7

9

39

13Understanding and predicting extreme events and their anomalous 14statistics in complex nonlinear systems is a grand challenge in cli-15mate, material, and neuroscience, as well as for engineering design. 16Recent laboratory experiments in weakly turbulent shallow water re-17 veal a remarkable transition from Gaussian to anomalous behavior 18as surface waves cross an abrupt depth change (ADC). Downstream 19 of the ADC, PDFs of surface displacement exhibit strong positive 20skewness, accompanied by an elevated level of extreme events. Here 21 we develop a statistical dynamical model to explain and quantita-22tively predict the above anomalous statistical behavior as experi-23mental control parameters are varied. The first step is to use in-24coming and outgoing truncated Korteweg-de Vries (TKdV) equations 25matched in time at the ADC. The TKdV equation is a Hamiltonian sys-26tem which induces incoming and outgoing statistical Gibbs invariant 27measures. The statistical matching of the known nearly Gaussian in-28coming Gibbs state at the ADC completely determines the predicted 29anomalous outgoing Gibbs state, which can be calculated by a sim-30 ple sampling algorithm, verified by direct numerical simulations, and 31 successfully captures key features of the experiment. There is even 32 an analytic formula for the anomalous outgoing skewness. The strat-33 egy here should be useful for predicting extreme anomalous statisti-34cal behavior in other dispersive media. 35

36
 37 extreme anomalous event | statistical TKdV model | matching Gibbs
 38 measures | surface wave displacement and slope

nderstanding and predicting extreme events and their 40anomalous statistics in complex nonlinear systems is a 41 grand challenge in climate, material and neuroscience as well 42as for engineering design. This is a very active contemporary 43topic in applied mathematics with qualitative and quantitative 44models (1-7) and novel numerical algorithms which overcome 45the curse of dimensionality for extreme event prediction in 46 large complex systems (2, 8-11). The occurrence of Rogue 47 waves as extreme events within different physical settings of 48 deep water (12-16) and shallow water (17-19) is an important 49 practical topic. 50

Recent laboratory experiments in weakly turbulent shallow 51water reveal a remarkable transition from Gaussian to anoma-5253 lous behavior as surface waves cross an abrupt depth change 54 (ADC). A normally-distributed incoming wave train, upstream of the ADC, transitions to a highly non-Gaussian outgoing 55wave train, downstream of the ADC, that exhibits large posi-56 57 tive skewness of the surface height and more frequent extreme events (20). Here we develop a statistical dynamical model 58to explain and quantitatively predict this anomalous behavior 59 as experimental control parameters are varied. The first step 60 is to use incoming and outgoing truncated Korteweg-de Vries 61 (TKdV) equations matched in time at the ADC. The TKdV 62

equation is a Hamiltonian system which induces incoming and outgoing Gibbs invariant measures. The statistical matching of the known nearly Gaussian incoming Gibbs state at the ADC completely determines the predicted anomalous outgoing Gibbs state, which can be calculated by a simple MCMC algorithm, verified by direct numerical simulations, and successfully captures key features of the experiment. There is even an analytic formula for the anomalous outgoing skewness. The strategy here should be useful for predicting extreme anomalous statistical behavior in other dispersive media in different settings (21, 22).

1. Experiments showing anomalous wave statistics induced by an abrupt depth change

Controlled laboratory experiments were carried out in (20) to examine the statistical behavior of surface waves crossing an ADC. In these experiments, nearly unidirectional waves are generated by a paddle wheel and propagate through a long, narrow wave tank. Midway through, the waves encounter a step in the bottom topography, and thus abruptly transition to a shallower depth. The paddle wheel is forced with a pseudo-random signal intended to mimic a Gaussian random sea upstream of the ADC. In particular, the paddle angle is

Significance Statement

Understanding and predicting extreme events and their anomalous statistics in complex nonlinear systems is a grand challenge in applied sciences as well as for engineering design. Recent controlled laboratory experiments in weakly turbulent shallow water with abrupt depth change exhibit a remarkable transition from nearly Gaussian statistics to extreme anomalous statistics with large positive skewness of the surface height. We develop a statistical dynamical model to explain and quantitatively predict the anomalous statistical behavior. The incoming and outgoing waves are modeled by the truncated Korteweg-de Vries equations statistically matched at the depth change. The statistical matching of the known nearly Gaussian incoming Gibbs state completely determines the predicted anomalous outgoing Gibbs state, and successfully captures key features of the experiment.

The authors declare no conflict of interest.

 $^1\mathrm{To}$ whom correspondence should be addressed. E-mail: qidi@cims.nyu.edu, jonjon@cims.nyu.edu 124

A.J.M. designed the research. A.J.M., M.N.J.M., and D.Q. performed the research. A.J.M., 1 M.N.J.M., and D.Q. wrote the paper.

125 specified as

126

127

128

151

182

183

$$\theta(t) = \theta_0 + \Delta \theta \sum_{n=1}^{N} a_n \cos(\omega_n t + \delta_n), \ E \sim (\Delta \theta)^2 \sum_n a_n^2 \omega_n^2$$

129where the weights a_n are Gaussian in spectral space with 130peak frequency ω_p and the phases δ_n are uniformly distributed 131random variables. The peak frequency gives rise to a char-132acteristic wavelength λ_c which can be estimated from the 133dispersion relation. The energy E injected into the system 134is determined by the angle amplitude $\Delta \theta$, which is the main 135control parameter varied in (20). Optical measurements of the 136free surface reveal a number of surprising statistical features: 137

 Distinct statistics are found between the incoming and outgoing wave disturbances: incoming waves display near-Gaussian statistics, while outgoing waves skew strongly towards positive displacement.

The degree of non-Gaussianity present in the outgoing waves depends on the injected energy *E*: larger energies generate stronger skewness in the surface displacement PDFs and more extreme events.

Compared to the incoming wave train, the power spectrum of the outgoing wave field decays more slowly, which indicates that the anomalous behavior is associated with an elevated level of high frequencies.

152 2. Surface wave turbulence modeled by truncated KdV 153 equation with depth dependence

154The Korteweg-de Vries (KdV) equation is a one-dimensional, 155deterministic model capable of describing surface wave tur-156bulence. More specifically, KdV is leading-order approxima-157tion for surface waves governed by a balance of nonlinear 158and dispersive effects, valid in an appropriate far-field limit 159(23). Moreover, KdV has been adapted to describe waves 160propagating over variable depth (23). Here, we consider the 161variable-depth KdV equation truncated at wavenumber Λ 162(with $J = 2\Lambda + 1$ grid points) in order to generate weakly 163turbulent dynamics. The surface displacement is described 164by the state variable $u_{\Lambda}^{\pm}(x,t)$ with superscript '-' for the 165incoming waves and '+' for the outgoing waves. The Galerkin truncated variable $u_{\Lambda} = \sum_{1 \le |k| \le \Lambda} \hat{u}_k(t) e^{ikx}$ is normalized 166 167with zero mean $\hat{u}_0 = 0$ and unit energy $2\pi \sum_{k=1}^{\Lambda} |\hat{u}_k|^2 = 1$, 168which are conserved quantities. Here, $u_{\Lambda} \equiv \overline{\mathcal{P}}_{\Lambda} u$ denotes the subspace projection. The evolution of u_{Λ}^{\pm} is governed by the 169170171truncated KdV equation with depth change D_{\pm}

Equation [1] is non-dimensionalized on the periodic domain $x \in [-\pi, \pi]$. The depth is assumed to be unit $D_{-} = 1$ before the ADC and $D_{+} < 1$ after the ADC. The conserved Hamiltonian can be decomposed as

$$\mathcal{H}_{\Lambda}^{1/9} = D_{\pm}^{-3/2} E_0^{1/2} L_0^{-3/2} H_3 \left(u_{\Lambda}^{\pm} \right) - D_{\pm}^{1/2} L_0^{-3} H_2 \left(u_{\Lambda}^{\pm} \right),$$

$$181 \qquad 1 \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} d^{2} u_{\Lambda}^{\pm} \left(u_{\Lambda}^{\pm} \right) d^{2} u_{\Lambda}^{\pm} \left(u_{\Lambda}^{\pm} \right) d^{2} u_{\Lambda}^{\pm} \left(u_{\Lambda}^{\pm} \right) d^{2} u_{\Lambda}^{\pm}$$

$$H_{3}(u) = \frac{1}{6} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} u^{3} dx, \ H_{2}(u) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left(\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}\right)^{2} dx.$$

184 where we refer to H_3 as the cubic term and H_2 the quadratic 185 term. We introduce parameters (E_0, L_0, Λ) based on the fol-186 lowing assumptions:

2 | www.pnas.org/cgi/doi/10.1073/pnas.XXXXXXXXXX

- The wavenumber truncation Λ is fixed at a moderate 187 value for generating weakly turbulent dynamics. 188
- The state variable u_{Λ}^{\pm} is normalized with zero mean and unit energy, $\mathcal{M}(u_{\Lambda}) = 0, \mathcal{E}(u_{\Lambda}) = 1$, which are conserved during evolution. Meanwhile, E_0 characterizes the total energy injected into the system based on the driving amplitude $\Delta \theta$. 189 190 191 192 193
- The length scale of the system L_0 is chosen so that the resolved scale $\Delta x = 2\pi L_0/J$ is comparable to the the characteristic wave length λ_c from the experiments. 198

Some intuition for how equation [1] produces different dynamics on either side of the ADC can be gained by considering 200 the relative contributions of H_3 and H_2 in the Hamiltonian $\mathcal{H}^{\pm}_{\Lambda}$. The depth change, $D_+ < 1$, increases the weight of H_3 202 and decreases that of H_2 , thereby promoting the effects of 203 nonlinearity over dispersion and creating conditions favorable for extreme events. Since $\frac{\partial u}{\partial x}$ is the slope of the wave height, 205 $H_2(u)$ measures the wave slope energy. 206

A deterministic matching condition is applied to the surface 207 displacement u_{Λ}^{\pm} to link the incoming and outgoing wave trains. 208 Assuming the abrupt depth change is met at $t = T_{ADC}$, the 209 matching condition is given by 210

$$u_{\Lambda}^{-}(x,t) \mid_{t=T_{ADC}^{-}} = u_{\Lambda}^{+}(x,t) \mid_{t=T_{ADC}^{+}},$$
 211
212

Equation [1] is not designed to capture the short scale changes 213 in rapid time. Rather, since we are interested in modeling 214 statistics before and after the ADC, we will examine the longtime dynamics of large-scale structures. 216 217

Interpreting experimental parameters in the dynamical model. 218 The model parameters (E_0, L_0, Λ) in [1] can be directly linked 219to the basic scales from the physical problem. The important 220parameters that characterize the experiments (20) include: 221 $\epsilon = \frac{a}{H_0}$ the wave amplitude *a* to (upstream) water depth H_0 222ratio; $\delta = \frac{H_0}{\lambda_c}$ the water depth to wavelength λ_c ratio; and 223 224 $D_0 = \frac{d}{H_0}$ the depth ratio with upstream value $d = H_0$ and 225downstream value $d < H_0$. By comparing the characteristic 226physical scales, the normalized TKdV equation [1] can be 227linked to these experimental parameters via 228

$$L_0 = 6^{\frac{1}{3}} \left(M \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta^{-1} \right), \ E_0 = \frac{27}{2} \gamma^{-2} \left(M \epsilon^{\frac{1}{2}} \delta^{-1} \right), \qquad [2] \quad \begin{array}{c} 229\\ 230\\ 230 \end{array}$$

where M defines the computational domain size $M\lambda_c$ as M- 231 multiple of the characteristic wavelength λ_c , and $\gamma = \frac{U}{a}$ with 232 U a scaling factor for the state variable u_{Λ} normalizes the 233 total energy of the system to one. 234

Consider a spatial discretization with $J = 2\Lambda + 1$ grid 235 points, so that the smallest resolved scale is comparable to 236 the characteristic wavelength 237

$$\Delta x = \frac{2\pi M \lambda_c}{J} \lesssim \lambda_c \Rightarrow M = \frac{J}{2\pi} \sim 5, \ J = 32.$$
²³⁸
²³⁹
₂₄₀

In the practical numerical simulations, we select M = 5 and 241let γ vary in the range [0.5, 1]. Using reference values from the 242experiments, (20), we find $\epsilon \in [0.0024, 0.024], \delta \sim 0.22$, and 243 D_0 changes from 1 to 0.24 at the ADC. These values yield 244the following ranges for the model parameters: $L_0 \in [2, 6]$ and 245 $E_0 \in [50, 200]$. These are the values we will test in the direct 246numerical simulations. See details about the derivation from 247scale analysis in SI Appendix, A. 248

249 3. Equilibrium statistical mechanics for generating the250 stationary invariant measure

251Since the TKdV equation satisfies the Liouville property, the 252equilibrium invariant measure can be described by a statis-253tical formalism (24-26) based on a Gibbs measure with the 254conserved energy \mathcal{E}_{Λ} and Hamiltonian \mathcal{H}_{Λ} . The equilibrium 255invariant measure is dictated by the conservation laws in the 256TKdV equation. In the case of fixed energy E_0 , this is the 257mixed Gibbs measure with microcanonical energy and canonical 258Hamiltonian ensembles (24)259

259 260 261

$$\mathcal{G}_{\theta}^{\pm}\left(u_{\Lambda}^{\pm}; E_{0}\right) = C_{\theta}^{\pm} \exp\left(-\theta^{\pm} \mathcal{H}\left(u_{\Lambda}^{\pm}\right)\right) \delta\left(\mathcal{E}\left(u_{\Lambda}^{\pm}\right) - E_{0}\right), \quad [3]$$

262where θ represents the "inverse temperature". The distinct 263statistics in the upstream and downstream waves can be con-264trolled with θ . As shown below, we find that negative tem-265perature, $\theta^{\pm} < 0$, is the appropriate regime to predict the 266experiments. In the incoming wave field, θ^- is chosen so that 267 \mathcal{G}_{ρ}^{-} has nearly Gaussian statistics. Using the above invariant 268measures [3], the expectation of any functional F(u) can be 269 computed as 270

271
272
273
$$\langle F \rangle_{\mathcal{G}_{\theta}} \equiv \int F(u) \mathcal{G}_{\theta}(u) du.$$

274 The value of θ in the invariant measure is specified from $\langle H_{\Lambda} \rangle_{\mathcal{G}_{\theta}}$ 275 (24, 26). 276 In addition to producing equilibrium BDFs of ω_{σ} the in

In addition to producing equilibrium PDFs of u_{Λ} , the invariant measure can be used to predict the equilibrium energy spectrum without the direct simulation of TKdV. Direct simulation, however, is required to recover transient statistics of u_{Λ} and time autocorrelations.

282 283 284 285 284 285 286 Statistical matching condition of the invariant measures before and after the abrupt depth change. The Gibbs measures 6 $\mathcal{G}_{\theta}^{\pm}$ are defined based on the different inverse temperatures θ^{\pm} on the two sides of the solutions

287
288
288
289

$$\mu_t^-(u_{\Lambda}^-; D_-), \quad u_{\Lambda} \mid_{t=T_{ADC-}} = u_0, \ t < T_{ADC};$$

 $\mu_t^+(u_{\Lambda}^+; D_+), \quad u_{\Lambda} \mid_{t=T_{ADC+}} = u_0, \ t > T_{ADC},$
290

where u_0 represents the deterministic matching condition between the incoming and outgoing waves. The two distributions, μ_t^-, μ_t^+ should be matched at T_{ADC} giving

$$\begin{array}{c} 294\\ 295 \end{array} \qquad \qquad \mu_{t=T_{\text{ADC}}}^{-}\left(u_{\Lambda}\right) = \mu_{t=T_{\text{ADC}}}^{+}\left(u_{\Lambda}\right) \end{aligned}$$

296 In matching the flow statistics before and after the abrupt 297depth change, we first use the conservation of the determinis-298tic Hamiltonian H^+_{Λ} after the depth change. Then assuming 299ergodicity (24, 25), the statistical expectation for the Hamil-300 tonian $\langle H_{\Lambda}^{+} \rangle$ is conserved in time after the depth change at 301 $t = T_{ADC}$ and should remain at this value as the system ap-302 proaches equilibrium as $t \to \infty$. The final statistical matching 303 condition to get the outgoing flow statistics with parameter 304 θ^+ can be found by 305

306

309 with the outgoing flow Hamiltonian H^+_{Λ} and the Gibbs mea-310 sures $\mathcal{G}^{\pm}_{\theta}$ before and after the abrupt depth change.

4. The nearly Gaussian incoming statistical state

311

363

364

365

366

312For the parameters explored in (20), the incoming wave field 313is always characterized by a near-Gaussian distribution of the 314surface displacement. It is found that a physically consistent 315Gibbs measure should take negative values in the inverse 316temperature parameter $\theta < 0$, where a proper distribution 317function and a decaying energy spectrum are generated (see 318(26) and SI Appendix, B.1 for the explicit simulation results). 319The upstream Gibbs measure \mathcal{G}_{θ}^{-} with $D_{-} = 1$ displays a wide 320 parameter regime in (θ^-, E_0) with near-Gaussian statistics. 321 In the left panel of Figure 1 (a), the inflow skewness κ_3^- 322 varies only slightly with changing values of E_0 and θ^- . The 323incoming flow PDF then can be determined by picking the 324proper parameter value θ^- in the near Gaussian regime with 325small skewness. In contrast, the downstream Gibbs measure 326 \mathcal{G}^+_{θ} with $D_+ = 0.24$ shown in the right panel of Figure 1 (a) 327 generates much larger skewness κ_3^+ as the absolute value of 328 θ^+ and the total energy level E_0 increases. The solid lines in 329Figure 1 (c) offer a further confirmation of the transition from 330near-Gaussian statistics with small κ_3^- to a strongly skewed 331distribution κ_3^+ after the depth change. 332

In the next step, the value of the downstream θ^+ is deter-333 mined based on the matching condition [4]. The expectation 334 $\left\langle H_{\Lambda}^{+}\right\rangle _{\mathcal{G}_{-}^{-}}$ about the incoming flow Gibbs measure can be cal-335 culated according to the predetermined parameter values of 336 337 θ^- as well as E_0 from the previous step. For the direct numerical experiments shown later in Figure 2, we pick proper 338choices of test parameter values as $L_0 = 6, E_0 = 100$ and 339 $\theta^{-} = -0.1, -0.3, -0.5$. More test cases with different system 340energy E_0 can be found in SI Appendix, B.2 where similar 341transition from near Gaussian symmetric PDFs to skewed 342PDFs in the flow state u^{\pm}_{Λ} can always be observed. 343 344

Direct numerical model simulations. Besides the prediction of 345equilibrium statistical measures from the equilibrium statisti-346cal approach, another way to predict the downstream model 347 statistics is through running the dynamical model [1] directly. 348 The TKdV equation is found to be ergodic with proper mixing 349property as measured by the decay of autocorrelations as long 350 as the system starts from a negative inverse temperature state 351as described before. For direct numerical simulations of the 352TKdV equations, a proper symplectic integrator is required to 353guarantee the Hamiltonian and energy are conserved in time. 354It is crucial to use the symplectic scheme to guarantee the 355exact conservation of the energy and Hamiltonian since they 356are playing the central role in generating the invariant measure 357 and the statistical matching. The symplectic schemes used 358 here for the time integration of the equation is the 4th-order 359 midpoint method (27). Details about the mixing properties 360from different initial states and the numerical algorithm are 361 described in SI Appendix, C. 362

5. Predicting extreme anomalous behavior after the ADC by statistical matching

With the inflow statistics well described and the numerical 367 scheme set up, we are able to predict the downstream anomalous statistics starting from the near-Gaussian incoming flow 369 going through the abrupt depth change from $D_{-} = 1$ to 370 $D_{+} = 0.24$. First, we consider the statistical prediction in the 371 downstream equilibrium measure directly from the matching 372



Fig. 1. First row: skewness from the Gibbs measures in incoming and outgoing flow states with different values of total energy E_0 and inverse temperature θ (notice the different scales in the incoming and outgoing flows); Second row: outgoing flow 405 parameter θ^+ as a function of the incoming flow θ^- computed from the statistical matching condition with three energy level E_0 ; Last row: skewness in the outgoing flow with the matched value of θ^+ as a function of the inflow parameter θ^- (the theoretical predictions using [5] are compared).

409

410condition. The downstream parameter value θ^+ is determined 411by solving the nonlinear equation [4] as a function of θ^+ , $F\left(\theta^+\right) = \left\langle H_{\Lambda}^+ \right\rangle_{\mathcal{G}_{\theta}^+} \left(\theta^+\right) - \left\langle H_{\Lambda}^+ \right\rangle_{\mathcal{G}_{\theta}^-} = 0$. In the numerical 412413approach, we adopt a modified secant method avoiding the 414 stiffness in the parameter regime (see the SI Appendix, B.2 415for the algorithm). The fitted solution is plotted in Figure 4161 (b) as a function of the proposed inflow θ^- . A nonlinear 417 $\theta^{-}-\theta^{+}$ relation is discovered from the matching condition. The 418downstream inverse temperature θ^+ will finally saturate at 419some level. The corresponding downstream skewness of the 420wave displacement u_{Λ} predicted from the statistical matching 421of Gibbs measures is plotted in Figure 1 (c). In general, a 422 large positive skewness for outgoing flow κ_3^+ is predicted from 423the theory, while the incoming flow skewness κ_3^- is kept in 424a small value in a wide range of θ^- . Note that with $\theta^- \sim 0$ 425(that is, using the microcanonical ensemble only with energy 426conservation), the outflow statistics are also near Gaussian 427with weak skewness. The skewness in the outflow statistics 428grows as the inflow parameter value θ^{-} increases in amplitude. 429

For a second approach, we can use direct numerical simulations starting from the initial state sampled from the incoming flow Gibbs measure \mathcal{G}_{θ}^{-} and check the transient changes in the model statistics. Figure 2 illustrates the change of statistics as the flow goes through the abrupt depth change. The first row plots the changes in the skewness and kurtosis for the 435 state variable u_{Λ} after the depth change at t = 0. The PDFs 436 in the incoming and outgoing flow states are compared with 437 three different initial inverse temperatures θ^- . After the depth 438 changes to $D_0 = 0.24$ abruptly at t = 0, both the skewness 439 and kurtosis jump to a much larger value in a short time, 440 implying the rapid transition to a highly skewed non-Gaussian 441 statistical regime after the depth change. Further from Figure 442 2, different initial skewness (but all relatively small) is set 443 due to the various values of θ^- . With small $\theta^- = -0.1$, the 444 change in the skewness is not very obvious (see the second row 445 of Figure 2 for the incoming and outgoing PDFs of u_{Λ}). In 446 comparison, if the incoming flow starts from the initial param-447 eter $\theta^- = -0.3$ and $\theta^- = -0.5$, much larger increase in the 448 skewness is induced from the abrupt depth change. Further-449more, in the detailed plots in the third row of Figure 2 for the 450downstream PDFs under logarithmic scale, fat tails towards 451the positive direction can be observed, which represent the 452extreme events in the downstream flow (see also Figure 3 for 453the time-series of u_{Λ}). 454

As a result, the downstream statistics in final equilibrium 455 predicted from the direct numerical simulations here agree with 456 the equilibrium statistical mechanics prediction illustrated in 457 Figure 1. The prediction from these two different approaches 458 confirm each other. 459

6. Analytic formula for the upstream skewness after 461 the ADC 462

$$k_3 = \left\langle u_j^3 \right\rangle_\mu / \left\langle u_j^2 \right\rangle_\mu^{\frac{3}{2}}.$$

$$469$$

$$470$$

460

Now we introduce mild assumptions on the distribution functions: 471472472473

• The upstream equilibrium measure μ_{-} has a relatively 474 small skewness so that 475

$$\langle H_3 \rangle_{\mu_-} = \frac{1}{6} \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left\langle u^3 \right\rangle_{\mu_-} dx \equiv \epsilon; \qquad \qquad \begin{array}{c} 476\\ 477\\ 478 \end{array}$$

• The downstream equilibrium measure μ_+ is homogeneous at each physical grid point, so that the second and third moments are invariant at each grid point 479 480 481 482

$$u_j^2 \rangle_{\mu_+} = \sigma^2 = \pi^{-1}, \quad \left\langle u_j^3 \right\rangle_{\mu_+} = \sigma^3 \kappa_3 = \pi^{-\frac{3}{2}} \kappa_3^+. \qquad \begin{array}{c} 483\\ 484\\ 485 \end{array}$$

Then the skewness of the downstream state variable u_{Λ}^{+} after 486 the ADC is given by the difference between the inflow and 487 outflow wave slope energy of u_x 488

$$\kappa_{3}^{+} = \frac{3}{2}\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}L_{0}^{-\frac{3}{2}}E_{0}^{-\frac{1}{2}}D_{+}^{2}\int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \left[\left\langle u_{x}^{2} \right\rangle_{\mu+} - \left\langle u_{x}^{2} \right\rangle_{\mu-} \right] dx \quad \begin{array}{c} 489\\ 490\\ [5] \quad 491 \end{array}$$

$$3\pi^{\frac{1}{2}}\epsilon$$
. 492

The detailed derivation is shown in *SI Appendix*, *B.2.* In 494 particular, the downstream skewness with near-Gaussian inflow 495 statistics $\epsilon \ll 1$ is positive if and only if the difference of the 496

+

493



Fig. 2. Changes in the statistics of the flow state going through the abrupt depth change. The initial ensemble is set with the incoming flow Gibbs measure with different inverse temperature θ^- . First row: time evolution of the skewness and kurtosis. The abrupt depth change is taking place at t = 0; Second row: inflow and outflow PDFs of u_{Λ} ; Third row: the downstream PDFs fitted with Gamma distributions with consistent variance and skewness (in log coordinate in y); Last row: energy spectra in the incoming and outgoing flows.

incoming and outgoing wave slope energy is positive. This
means that there is more small scale wave slope energy in the
outgoing state. As an evidence, in the last row of Figure 2
in all the weak and strong skewness cases, the outflow energy
spectrum always has a slower decay rate than the inflow energy
spectrum which possesses stronger energy in larger scales and
weaker energy in the smaller scales.

532 In Figure 1 (c), we compare the accuracy of the theoretical 533 estimation [5] with numerical tests. In the regime with small 534 incoming inverse temperature θ^- , the theoretical formula offers 535 a quite accurate approximation of the third-order skewness 536 using only information from the second-order moments of the 537 wave-slope spectrum.

538 539 7. Key features from experiments captured by the sta540 tistical dynamical model

In this final section, we emphasize the crucial features generated by the statistical dynamical model [1] by making comparison with the experimental observations in (20). As from
the scale analysis displayed in Section 2, the theory is set in
the same parameter regime as the experimental setup.

The transition from near-Gaussian to skewed non-547Gaussian distribution as well as the jump in both skewness 548and kurtosis observed in the experiment observations (Fig. 5495501 of (20) can be characterized by the statistical model simulation results (see the first and second row of Figure 5515522). Notice that the difference in the decay of third and 553fourth moments in the far end of the downstream regime from the experimental data is due to the dissipation effect 554in the flow from the wave absorbers that is not mod-555eled in the statistical model here. The model simulation 556time-series plotted in Figure 3 can be compared with 557the observed time sequences from experiments (Fig. 1 of 558

(20)). The downstream simulation generates waves with strong and frequent intermittency towards the positive displacement, while the upstream waves show symmetric displacements in two directions with at most small peaks in slow time. Even in the time-series at a single location x = 0, the long-time variation displays similar structures.

• The downstream PDFs in experimental data are estimated with a Gamma distribution in Fig. 2 of (20). Here in the same way, we can fit the highly skewed outgoing flow PDFs from the numerical results with the Gamma distribution

$$\rho(u; k, \alpha) = \frac{e^{-k} \alpha^{-1}}{\Gamma(k)} \left(k + \alpha^{-1} u\right)^{k-1} e^{-\alpha^{-1} u}.$$

582

583

584

585

586

587

588

589

590

591

592

593

594

595

596

597

598

599

600

604

605

606

607

608

609

610

611

612

613

The parameters (k, α) in the Gamma distribution are fitted according to the measured statistics in skewness and variance, that is, $\sigma^2 = k\alpha^2$, $\kappa_3 = 2/\sqrt{k}$. And the excess kurtosis of the Gamma distribution can be recovered as $\kappa_4 = 6/k$. As shown in the third row of Figure 2, excellent agreement in the PDFs with the Gamma distributions is reached in consistency with the experimental data observations. The accuracy with this approximation increases as the initial inverse temperature θ^- increases in value to generate more skewed distribution functions.

Experimental measurements of the power spectra (Fig. 4 of (20)) reveal the downstream measurements to contain more energy at small scales, i.e. a relatively slower decay rate of the spectrum. This result is also observed in the direct numerical simulations here (detailed results shown in *SI Appendix, C.2*), as the outgoing state contains more energetic high frequencies.



646 8. Concluding discussion

647

659

648 We have developed a statistical dynamical model to explain 649and predict extreme events and anomalous features of shallow 650 water waves crossing an abrupt depth change. The theory is 651based on the dynamical modeling strategy consisting of the 652TKdV equation matched at the abrupt depth change with con-653 servation of energy and Hamiltonian. Predictions can be made 654of the extreme events and anomalous features by matching 655incoming and outgoing statistical Gibbs measures before and 656 after the abrupt depth transition. The statistical matching of 657 the known nearly Gaussian incoming Gibbs state completely 658

- 660 1. Majda AJ, Branicki M (2012) Lessons in uncertainty quantification for turbulent dynamical systems. Discrete & Continuous Dynamical Systems-A 32(9):3133–3221.
- 662
 2. Mohamad MA, Sapsis TP (2018) A sequential sampling strategy for extreme event statistics in nonlinear dynamical systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 115(44):11138–11143.
- G64
 Gi D, Majda AJ (2016) Predicting fat-tailed intermittent probability distributions in passive scalar turbulence with imperfect models through empirical information theory. *Communications in Mathematical Sciences* 14(6):1687–1722.
- 4. Majda AJ, Tong XT (2015) Intermittency in turbulent diffusion models with a mean gradient. Nonlinearity 28(11):4171–4208.
- Majda AJ, Chen N (2018) Model error, information barriers, state estimation and prediction in complex multiscale systems. *Entropy* 20(9):644.
- 669
 6. Majda AJ, Tong XT (2018) Simple nonlinear models with rigorous extreme events and heavy tails. arXiv preprint arXiv:1805.05615.
- Thual S, Majda AJ, Chen N, Stechmann SN (2016) Simple stochastic model for el niño with westerly wind bursts. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences* 113(37):10245– 10250.
- Chen N, Majda AJ (2018) Efficient statistically accurate algorithms for the Fokker–Planck equation in large dimensions. *Journal of Computational Physics* 354:242–268.
- 674 9. Chen N, Majda AJ (2017) Beating the curse of dimension with accurate statistics for the
 675 Fokker-Planck equation in complex turbulent systems. *Proceedings of the National Academy* of Sciences 114(49):12864–12869.
- 676
 10. Chen N, Majda AJ (2018) Conditional Gaussian systems for multiscale nonlinear stochastic systems: Prediction, state estimation and uncertainty quantification. *Entropy* 20(7):509.
- 678
 11. Qi D, Majda AJ (2018) Predicting extreme events for passive scalar turbulence in two-layer baroclinic flows through reduced-order stochastic models. *Communications in Mathematical Sciences* 16(1):17–51.
- Adcock TA, Taylor PH (2014) The physics of anomalous ('rogue') ocean waves. Reports on Progress in Physics 77(10):105901.
- 13. Cousins W, Sapsis TP (2015) Unsteady evolution of localized unidirectional deep-water wave groups. *Physical Review E* 91(6):063204.

determines the predicted anomalous outgoing Gibbs state, which can be calculated by a simple sampling algorithm, verified by direct numerical simulations, and successfully captures key features of the experiment. An analytic formula for the anomalous outgoing skewness is also derived. The strategy here should be useful for predicting extreme statistical events in other dispersive media in different settings. 710 710 711 712 713 714

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS.This research of A. J. M. is partially
supported by the Office of Naval Research through MURI N00014-
16-1-2161. D. Q. is supported as a postdoctoral fellow on the grant.
M.N.J.M would like to acknowledge support from Simons grant
524259.716
717524259.720

- 721722 14. Farazmand M, Sapsis TP (2017) Reduced-order prediction of rogue waves in twodimensional deep-water waves. Journal of Computational Physics 340:418-434. 723Onorato M, Osborne AR, Serio M, Bertone S (2001) Freak waves in random oceanic sea 15. 724 states. Physical Review Letters 86(25):5831-5834. 725Dematteis G, Grafke T, Vanden-Eijnden E (2018) Rogue waves and large deviations in deep 16. sea. Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences 115(5):855-860. 726 17. Sergeeva A, Pelinovsky E, Talipova T (2011) Nonlinear random wave field in shallow wa-727 ter: variable Korteweg-de Vries framework. Natural Hazards and Earth System Sciences 72811(2):323-330. 18. Trulsen K, Zeng H, Gramstad O (2012) Laboratory evidence of freak waves provoked by 729 non-uniform bathymetry. Physics of Fluids 24(9):097101. 730 Viotti C, Dias F (2014) Extreme waves induced by strong depth transitions: Fully nonlinear 19. results. Physics of Fluids 26(5):051705 731Bolles CT, Speer K, Moore MNJ (2018) Anomalous wave statistics induced by abrupt depth 20. 732 change. arXiv preprint arXiv:1808.07958. 733Solli D. Ropers C. Koonath P. Jalali B (2007) Optical roque waves. Nature 450(7172):1054. 21 22. Höhmann R, Kuhl U, Stöckmann HJ, Kaplan L, Heller E (2010) Freak waves in the linear 734 regime: A microwave study. Physical review letters 104(9):093901 735 23. Johnson RS (1997) A modern introduction to the mathematical theory of water waves. (Cam-736 bridge university press) Vol. 19. 24. Abramov RV. Kovačič G. Maida AJ (2003) Hamiltonian structure and statistically relevant 737 conserved quantities for the truncated Burgers-Hopf equation. Communications on Pure and 738 Applied Mathematics: A Journal Issued by the Courant Institute of Mathematical Sciences 73956(1):1-46. Maida A. Wang X (2006) Nonlinear dynamics and statistical theories for basic geophysical 25. 740 flows. (Cambridge University Press) 74126 Bajars J. Frank J. Leimkuhler B (2013) Weakly coupled heat bath models for Gibbs-like invariant states in nonlinear wave equations. Nonlinearity 26(7):1945-1973. 74227 McLachlan R (1993) Symplectic integration of hamiltonian wave equations. Numerische 743
 - Mathematik 66(1):465–492. 743

715