

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Document-ID: 382515

Patron: Santos

Note:

NOTICE:

\*\*\*\*\*  
\*\*\*\*\*

Pages: 11                      Printed: 03-10-04 12:38:26

Sender: Ariel/Windows

Texas A&M University Campus Libraries  
Courier



ILLiad TN: 382515

**Journal Title:** The Journal of Galciology

**Volume:** 26

**Issue:** 94

**Month/Year:** 1980

**Pages:** 245-254

**Article Author:** Johnson, J. B.

**Article Title:** A model for snow-slab failure  
under conditions of dynamic loading

**Call #:** GB2401 .J68

**Location:** evans

**Not Wanted Date:** 09/04/2004

**Status:** Faculty

**Phone:** 2-2716

**E-mail:** santos@isc.tamu.edu

**Name:** juan santos

**Pickup at Evans**

3404 -TAMU  
College Station, TX 77843

## A MODEL FOR SNOW-SLAB FAILURE UNDER CONDITIONS OF DYNAMIC LOADING

By JEROME B. JOHNSON\*

(Oceanographic Services, Inc., P.O. Box 6783, Santa Barbara, California 93111, U.S.A.)

**ABSTRACT.** A stress-wave model is used to describe snow-slab failure under dynamic loading conditions. This model indicates that dilatational waves and shear waves can interact with a thin, weak bedding layer underlying a snow slab causing dilatational and shear stresses in the layer. The magnitude and distribution of stresses in the layer are shown to depend strongly on the height of the loading source above the bedding plane, the difference in impedance between the slab and the loading source, the difference in impedance between the slab and its substratum, and the initial stress magnitude of the loading source. Failure occurs in the bedding layer when the generated stresses exceed the strength of the layer. This causes a loss of shear strength capacity at the bedding plane and a re-distribution of stress in the slab. If the shear capacity is reduced sufficiently the body-force loading of the slab will cause snow-slab failure.

**RÉSUMÉ.** Un modèle pour la rupture de plaque de neige sous les conditions de charge dynamique. On utilise un modèle d'onde de compression pour décrire la fracture d'une plaque de neige sous une charge dynamique. Ce modèle indique que des ondes de dilatation et des ondes de cisaillement peuvent interagir avec une mince couche de base peu cohérente située sous une plaque de neige pour provoquer des efforts de dilatation et de cisaillement dans la couche. On montre que la grandeur et la distribution des efforts dans la couche dépendent beaucoup de la hauteur et de la charge au-dessus du plan de glissement, de la différence entre les impédances de la plaque et de la charge, de la différence entre les impédances de la plaque et de son substrat et de la grandeur initiale de l'effort et de la charge. La rupture se produit dans la couche de base lorsque les contraintes engendrées excèdent la résistance de la couche. Ceci engendre une perte de résistance au cisaillement le long du plan de glissement et une redistribution des efforts dans la plaque. Si la capacité de résistance au cisaillement est suffisamment réduite, le poids de la plaque elle-même provoque la rupture.

**ZUSAMMENFASSUNG.** Ein Modell für den Bruch von Schneebrettern unter dynamischer Belastung. Zur Beschreibung des Bruches von Schneebrettern unter dynamischer Belastung wird ein Druckwellenmodell herangezogen. Es zeigt, dass Dehnungs- und Scherwellen in einer dünnen und schwachen Grundsicht eines Schneebrettes Dehnungs- und Scherspannungen hervorrufen können. Grösse und Verteilung der Spannungen in der Schicht erweisen sich als stark abhängig von der Höhe der Druckquelle über der Auflagefläche, vom Impedanzunterschied zwischen dem Brett und der Druckquelle, vom Impedanzunterschied zwischen dem Brett und seinem Untergrund sowie von der ursprünglichen Grösse der Druckquelle. Ein Bruch in der Grundsicht tritt ein, wenn die erzeugten Spannungen die Festigkeit der Schicht übersteigen. Dies führt zu einem Verlust an Scherfestigkeitskapazität an der Auflagefläche und zu einer Neuverteilung der Spannungen im Brett. Wird die Scherkapazität ausreichend vermindert, so bricht das Brett unter der Last seiner eigenen Schwere.

### INTRODUCTION

Every year a large number of slab avalanches are released by the dynamic loads which result from falling snow cornices, explosive charges, sonic booms, and other sources. The mechanisms by which dynamic loads release avalanches are not well understood at present. Previous explanations for snow slab failure under conditions of dynamic loading are based on field observations and rock-blasting technology. These explanations consider the mechanical fracturing of the slab, caused by surface or interior craters, as being an important slab-failure mechanism. It has also been thought that surface dynamic loads increase briefly the normal and down-slope shear stresses acting on a snow slope and give rise to slab failure. Stress waves propagating within the snow slope have not so far been considered as an important influence (Mellor, 1968, 1973; Perla and Martinelli, 1976); however, recent experimental and theoretical work by the present author indicates that stress waves may be an important triggering mechanism for snow-slab failure.

This paper reviews the important factors which govern snow-slab failure and demonstrates how such failure can be initiated by stress waves. The important features of stress-wave interactions with snow that apply to the slab-failure model are considered, and the response

\* Formerly at: Geophysics Program, University of Washington, Seattle, Washington 98195, U.S.A.

characteristics of snow to stress-wave loading are used to develop a stress-wave failure model for slabs. The theory is then utilized to illustrate important factors which should be considered in avalanche control.

#### GENERAL RESPONSE OF SNOW TO STRESS-WAVE LOADING

There are many interrelated stress-wave propagation phenomena that may be used to show how snow reacts to a dynamic load. The controlling features of stress-wave-snow interaction that are important to snow-slab failure include: the kinds of stress waves, the impedance, cratering, and crack propagation, spherical stress waves, and stress-wave propagation in layered media.

##### *Kinds of stress waves*

The kinds of stress waves in snow resulting from dynamic loads have recently been reviewed by Johnson (unpublished). A model of an elastic porous material filled with fluid was used to describe stress-wave propagation. This model and supporting experimental evidence demonstrated that three kinds of waves may propagate in snow: two dilational waves and a shear wave. In homogeneous, isotropic snow the propagation characteristics of the three waves are decoupled from one another but involve coupled motion between the fluid and solid. The dilational wave of the first kind (fast wave) and a shear wave move through the solid ice frame. They would occur even if the snow were in a vacuum. As fast waves and shear waves propagate in the ice frame they interact with the fluid-filled pores causing a secondary motion in the fluid. The dilational wave of the second kind (slow wave) propagates in the fluid-filled pores and interacts with the ice frame to produce motion in the solid. The slow wave is highly attenuated because of frictional losses and this rapid attenuation results in a rapid loss of energy for slow waves near the dynamic loading surface. Energy transmission by the stress wave over any significant distance must, therefore, be the result of dilational waves of the first kind and shear waves. Slow waves are, therefore, not considered to contribute to slab failure which is stress-wave induced.

##### *Impedance*

The manner in which stress waves propagate, reflect, transmit, and dissipate energy in snow is described by the impedance and propagation constants of the snow.

The snow impedance is defined as a complex ratio of stress to particle velocity at a point. If it is independent of position the impedance is termed the wave impedance  $W$  and it describes the resistance associated with energy dissipation and the reactance which results from the effective mass and stiffness of snow. In snow, impedance is strongly influenced by the air permeability, density, and elastic properties of the sample.

The propagation constant is a complex constant which describes the propagation velocity and energy dissipation of a stress wave at a given frequency. It also describes the rapidity of stress-wave propagation and attenuation within a material, whereas impedance determines the magnitude of transmitted and reflected energy between materials of differing impedance. The impedance of the dynamic loading source and of the snow determines the loading history for a snow slab.

##### *Cratering*

Cratering complicates the loading history for a snow slope during dynamic loading. If a dynamic load is of low enough magnitude and no surface craters form in the snow surface, then classical methods can be used to describe the loading. However, if cratering occurs, the energy exchange between a source and snow becomes much more complicated. As the crater

is being formed, the changes because of energy are lost during material from the crack impedance mismatch and result in an extremely

##### *Crack propagation*

The interaction of crater formation. The small, roughly hemispherical cracks are produced by waves parallel to the wave to grow until the stress level which will cause (Fuchs, 1957; Mellor, as well (Kolsky, 1953; to form concentrically from H. Gubler, 1979

The tensile fracture fractures which occur stress wave loads any from such stress-wave cracks, a large number continuous irregular surface one-third the propagation velocity,  $t$  can be formulated:

where  $C_L$  is the crack and  $\Delta t$  is the period of Since  $C_0$  is a constant for dynamic loads result in propagate throughout to maximize the mechanical blasting, a long-duration fractures can only spread

##### *Spherical stress waves*

As a spherical wave decreases due to viscous propagating through the

$\sigma_z$

$\sigma_z$

is being formed, the impedance mismatch between the source and the snow continually changes because of compaction and fracturing in the snow. Additionally, large amounts of energy are lost during crater formation from viscous damping, melting, fracturing, ejection of material from the crater, and possibly other mechanisms. The continuous change of impedance mismatch and the mechanisms by which energy is dissipated during crater formation result in an extremely non-linear loading history for snow.

### *Crack propagation*

The interaction of stress waves and snow does not end with the transmission of energy or crater formation. The region immediately beyond a crater zone is generally surrounded by a small, roughly hemispherical, region of cracks radiating from the point of loading. These cracks are produced by tensile stresses which are set up by outgoing spherical compression waves parallel to the wave front. The radial cracks extending from the crater region continue to grow until the stress wave passes through the region or its intensity is reduced below the level which will cause tensile failure. These effects have been well documented for snow (Fuchs, 1957; Mellor, 1965, 1968, 1973; Livingston, 1968) and are similar for other materials as well (Kolsky, 1953; Cristescu, 1967; Kolsky and Rader, 1968). Cracks have been observed to form concentrically to the point of loading in low-density snow (personal communication from H. Gubler, 1979).

The tensile fractures caused by high-intensity spherical stress waves differ from the fractures which occur when a specimen is stressed statically. This difference is because the stress wave loads any given point in the snow for a very short time. Any cracks that result from such stress-wave loading do not have time to propagate. Instead of forming long running cracks, a large number of separate fractures form and these sometimes join up to form a continuous irregular surface (Kolsky, 1953). Crack-tip velocities for many materials are about one-third the propagation velocity of compressional stress waves (Kolsky and Rader, 1968). If we assume crack-tip velocities for snow are also one-third that of the compression-wave propagation velocity, then a simple expression relating crack length to the duration of loading can be formulated:

$$C_L = 0.5C_0\Delta t \quad (1)$$

where  $C_L$  is the crack length,  $C_0$  is the propagation velocity for compressional stress waves, and  $\Delta t$  is the period of time during which the stress is greater than the tensile strength of snow. Since  $C_0$  is a constant for a given snow type,  $\Delta t$  must determine the crack length. Short-period dynamic loads result in small crack lengths while static loads (large  $\Delta t$ ) result in cracks which propagate throughout the snow slope. This implies that when a large fracture area is needed to maximize the mechanical damage in a material, as in snow cornice removal or rock blasting, a long-duration dynamic load would be preferred to an extremely rapid load; fractures can only spread in this way.

### *Spherical stress waves*

As a spherical wave continues to propagate radially through the snow, its stress magnitude decreases due to viscous damping and geometrical spreading. The spherical stress waves propagating through the snow can be described in cylindrical coordinates by the equations:

$$\sigma_{zz} = \int_0^{\infty} [A(\psi - q^2/\psi)q + 2N\psi q] J_0(qr) \exp(-\psi z) dq, \quad (2)$$

$$\sigma_{zr} = 2N \int_0^{\infty} J_1(qr) q^2 \exp(-\psi z) dq \quad (3)$$



shear stress along plane  
for snow,  $\psi^2 = q^2 - \delta^2$ ,  
plane sections in snow  
(3) describe the spatial  
is the distance from the  
ws the variation of the  
, and 2.0 from a given  
and  $\delta$  was set equal to  
ane is directly beneath  
the plane as  $r$  increases.  
eases less rapidly with  $r$

than at smaller values of  $z$ . The shear-stress magnitude  $|\sigma_{zr}|$  with  $\delta = 60$  is shown in Figure 3. The shear-stress magnitude is zero directly beneath the loading source, that is at  $r = 0$ , and passes through a maximum as  $r$  increases. The rate of change of  $|\sigma_{zr}|$  as  $r$  increases past the maximum shear stress is determined by the distance of the loading source from the loading plane.





Fig. 3. Shear-stress magnitude on plane layers in an infinite homogeneous snow medium for various loading-source elevations and spherical waves.

#### Anisotropic considerations

In order to complete the description of stress-wave propagation in snow, some consideration must be given to the anisotropic properties of snow. This anisotropy can be fairly accurately described by considering snow to be a layered material. The physical characteristics of the layers may vary from one another but are considered to be homogeneous and isotropic within the layer. Stress-wave propagation, reflection, and transmission in each layer is controlled by the impedance and propagation constants of that layer. The manner in which a compression wave is reflected and refracted at a layer boundary interface is shown in Figure 4. Both shear and dilatational waves are generated at the boundary. The magnitudes of the reflected and refracted stress waves are determined by the impedance difference ( $W_1 - W_2$ ) between the two snow half-spaces. If  $W_1 = W_2$  then no reflection occurs and the stress wave is totally transmitted. When there is an impedance mismatch between the layers the stress magnitude at the interface will be the sum of the incident and reflected dilatational and shear waves. Thus, the stresses are greater along such an interface than would be found in homogeneous snow.

Since stresses are greater along such an interface, we would expect that stress waves could cause a snow slab to fail along a lithological boundary.

ess-wave propagation in snow.



m, for various loading source




Fig. 4. Reflection and refraction of an incident plane compressional wave at a boundary.

#### STRESS-WAVE-INDUCED SNOW-SLAB FAILURE

Any description of snow-slab failure resulting from a dynamic load must be consistent with observed slab failures and take into account the characteristics unique to snow slabs. Recent theories of snow-slab failure emphasize the importance of the bonding strength between a snow slab and its substratum and the resulting shear capacity at the bed of the slab. Field observations tend to support the belief that snow slabs fail along a weakly bonded layer between the slab and substratum (Moore, unpublished; Perla and Martinelli, 1976; Perla, 1977).

Snow slabs have been observed to fail under widely varying dynamic-loading conditions. Snow cornice falls and explosives detonated on the snow surface form craters in the snow and are effective means for releasing snow slabs. Sonic booms and explosives detonated above the snow surface are also effective at releasing slab avalanches but cause little or no cratering (LaChapelle, 1960; Martinelli, 1972; Mellor, 1973; Perla and Martinelli, 1976; Gubler, 1977). These observations suggest that fractures in the crater area are not necessary for the initiation of slab avalanches.

When considering how a dynamically-loaded snow slab will fail, all possible supporting sources for that slab must be accounted for. A snow slab is supported along its bedding plane, crown, stauchwall, and flanks. The importance of these supporting regions in the prevention of slab failure may vary depending on the structure of the slab and type of snow involved. For example, a soft slab may receive a large percentage of its total support strength from the bedding plane. In contrast, a hard slab may have the majority of its support strength in the crown, flanks, and stauchwall regions. The distribution of the total support strength of a slab between its various supporting regions determine the effectiveness of stress-wave-induced slab failures.

The characteristics of stress-wave propagation through snow and the interaction at interfaces indicate that snow slabs with a weak bedding layer and well-defined layers should be most susceptible to dynamic-loading failure. Extremely hard snow slabs that are strongly supported in the crown, flanks, and stauchwall regions are not as readily influenced by stress-

wave loading. In the interaction.

Stress-wave-induced waves into the slab from  $|\sigma_{zz}|$  and  $|\sigma_{zx}|$  in Equ failure will occur in the occur in the bedding l and its substratum, a substratum. The stron enhancement in stress strength capacity of the force stresses in the sl failure can be explain Brown and others, 19 Craters that are forme mechanism by providin

The area of failure capacity of the slab. T magnitude of the load snow surface, the eleva bedding layer, and the

The initial stress m the source and the snow into the snow. If the in source energy is reflecte a model which assume to that of the loading separating the material

where  $W_s$  is the wave The power associated v

where  $P_e$  is the initial s can now be combined snow,  $T_s = \zeta P$ .

This transmitted po To illustrate how the tr examine two explosive gelatin (Table I).

Using the informati "Tetrytol" and straight

This indicates that ap "Tetrytol". "Tetrytol" magnitude more than c

wave loading. In these regions there are no distinct weak boundary layers for stress-wave interaction.

Stress-wave-induced snow-slab failure begins with the transmission of spherical stress waves into the slab from a dynamic-loading source. If the spherical stress waves (given by  $|\sigma_{zz}|$  and  $|\sigma_{zzr}|$  in Equations (2) and (3)) exceed the shear or dilatational strength of snow, failure will occur in that region. Two factors increase the probability that such failure will occur in the bedding layer: a strong discontinuity interface is usually present between a slab and its substratum, and generally there is a weak bedding layer bonding the slab to the substratum. The strong discontinuity interface between the slab and substratum results in an enhancement in stresses at the boundary. Failure in the bedding layer reduces the shear-strength capacity of the bedding plane. If the shear capacity is reduced sufficiently, the body-force stresses in the slab will be re-distributed causing snow slab failure. This subsequent failure can be explained by any number of existing theories (Perla and LaChapelle, 1970; Brown and others, 1972; Lang and others, 1973; Curtis and Smith, 1973; Perla, [1975]). Craters that are formed in the crown region by an explosive may aid the snow-slab failure mechanism by providing stress concentration points from which tensile cracks can propagate.

The area of failure that develops in the bedding plane determines the shear-strength capacity of the slab. This failure area depends on many interrelated factors: the initial stress magnitude of the loading source, the impedance difference between the loading source and snow surface, the elevation of the loading source above the bedding plane, the strength of the bedding layer, and the impedance difference between the slab and its substratum.

The initial stress magnitude of the loading source and the impedance difference between the source and the snow surface determine the magnitude of stress waves that are transmitted into the snow. If the impedance difference between source and snow is large, then most of the source energy is reflected from the snow surface. This concept can be illustrated by considering a model which assumes two semi-infinite materials in contact, snow and a material identical to that of the loading source, and plane waves normally incident to the boundary interface separating the materials. The power transmission across the boundary is determined by:

$$\mathcal{Z} = \frac{4W_e W_s}{(W_e + W_s)^2} \quad (4)$$

where  $W_s$  is the wave impedance of the snow and  $W_e$  is the wave impedance of the source. The power associated with the loading source can be determined from the expression:

$$P = \frac{P_e^2}{2W_e} \quad (5)$$

where  $P_e$  is the initial stress of the source (Kinsler and Frey, 1962). Expressions (4) and (5) can now be combined to find the total power transmitted from the loading source into the snow,  $T_s = \mathcal{Z}P$ .

This transmitted power will ultimately determine the stress loading at the bedding plane. To illustrate how the transmitted power can vary depending on the loading source, we shall examine two explosives typically utilized in avalanche control, "Tetrytol" and straight gelatin (Table I).

Using the information in Table I, we find that the ratio of power transmitted into snow by "Tetrytol" and straight gelatin is

$$\frac{T_T}{T_g} = \frac{\mathcal{Z}_T P_T}{\mathcal{Z}_g P_g} = 0.54 \times 8.3 = 4.5. \quad (6)$$

This indicates that approximately 4.5 times more power is transmitted into the snow by "Tetrytol". "Tetrytol" has a greater impedance difference with snow, but its initial stress magnitude more than compensates for the impedance mismatch. If a porous-medium model



slab, and stress magnitude of the bedding plane. Another problem illustrating these inter-relationships is that of determining the area of failure along the bedding plane for an explosive. It is evident that each of the factors plays a role in determining the area of influence and must be considered.

## CONCLUSIONS

A failure mechanism resulting from the application of a dynamic load, for example, an explosive, is postulated utilizing a stress-wave model for a sloping snow slab overlying a weakly-bonded bedding layer of snow. Calculation of the stresses in the bedding layer demonstrates that stress waves may cause it to fail, resulting in a loss of shear capacity at the bed, a stress re-distribution in the slab, and slab failure.

The stress-wave-induced failure mechanism in slab avalanches implies that the following are important considerations in efficient avalanche control:

1. Isolated explosive charges applied to snow slabs are effective avalanche triggers only when the slab is overlying a weakly bonded layer of snow.
2. The number and placement of explosive charges required to initiate slab failure depend on:
  - (a) the strength of the bedding layer underlying the slab,
  - (b) the strength at the slab boundaries,
  - (c) the body-force loading on the bedding layer and boundaries of the slab,
  - (d) the impedance mismatch between the slab and underlying snow,
  - (e) the height of the charge above the bedding layer,
  - (f) the detonation pressure of the explosive, and
  - (g) the impedance mismatch between the slab and the explosive.
3. The optimum location for an explosive charge is such that the region of failure in the basal layer lies within the slab boundaries. The largest shear-capacity loss and subsequent stress re-distribution in a slab will result when the charge is placed below the crown region toward the middle of the slab.
4. Wet snow slabs are not easily released using explosives due to their strongly sintered structure and limited period of instability. These slabs may be released while in a stable state by placing numerous charges throughout the slab and igniting them either sequentially or simultaneously as described by Kobayashi (1978).

## REFERENCES

- Brekhovskikh, L. M. 1957. *Volny v sloistykh sredakh* [Waves in layered media]. Moscow, Akademiya Nauk SSSR. [English translation by D. Lieberman, edited by R. T. Beyer, New York, Academic Press, 1960.]
- Brown, C. B., and others. 1972. Slab avalanching and the state of stress in fallen snow, [by] C. B. Brown, R. J. Evans, and E. R. LaChapelle. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Vol. 77, No. 24, p. 4570-80.
- Cristescu, N. 1967. *Dynamic plasticity*. Amsterdam, North-Holland Publishing Co.
- Curtis, J. O., and Smith, F. W. 1973. Material property and boundary condition effects of stresses in avalanche snowpacks. *U.S. Dept. of Agriculture. Forest Service. General Technical Report RM-3*, p. 14-23.
- Ewing, W. M., and others. 1957. *Elastic waves in layered media*, by W. M. Ewing, W. S. Jardetzky, and F. Press. New York, McGraw-Hill Book Co., Inc.
- Fuchs, A. 1957. Effects of explosives on snow. *U.S. Snow, Ice and Permafrost Research Establishment. Special Report 23*.
- Gubler, H. 1977. Artificial release of avalanches by explosives. *Journal of Glaciology*, Vol. 19, No. 81, p. 419-29.
- Johnson, J. B. Unpublished. Stress waves in snow. [Ph.D. thesis, University of Washington, 1978.]
- Kinsler, L. E., and Frey, A. R. 1962. *Fundamentals of acoustics*. New York, John Wiley and Sons, Inc.
- Kobayashi, F. 1978. The time delay method of avalanche control. *Canada. National Research Council. Associate Committee on Geotechnical Research. Technical Memorandum No. 120*, p. 50-55.
- Kolsky, H. 1953. *Stress waves in solids*. Oxford, Clarendon Press. [Reprinted, New York, Dover, 1963.]
- Kolsky, H., and Rader, D. 1968. Stress waves and fracture. (In Liebowitz, H., ed. *Fracture: an advanced treatise*. Vol. 1. New York, Academic Press, p. 533-69.)

- LaChapelle, E. R. 1960. Recent progress in North American avalanche forecasting and control. *Journal of Glaciology*, Vol. 3, No. 28, p. 679-85.
- Lang, T. E., and others. 1973. Buckling characteristics of a sloping snow slab, [by] T. E. Lang and R. L. Brown, W. F. St Lawrence and C. C. Bradley. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Vol. 78, No. 2, p. 339-51.
- Livingston, C. W. 1968. Explosions in snow. *U.S. Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory. Technical Report 86*.
- Martinelli, M., jr. 1972. Simulated sonic boom as an avalanche trigger. *U.S. Dept. of Agriculture. Forest Service. Research Note RM-224*.
- Mellor, M. 1965. Explosions and snow. U.S. Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory. *Cold regions science and engineering*. Hanover, N.H., Pt. III, Sect. A3a.
- Mellor, M. 1968. Avalanches. U.S. Cold Regions Research and Engineering Laboratory. *Cold regions science and engineering*. Hanover, N.H., Pt. III, Sect. A3d.
- Mellor, M. 1973. Controlled release of avalanches by explosives. *U.S. Dept. of Agriculture. Forest Service. General Technical Report RM-3*, p. 37-49.
- Moore, M. B. Unpublished. Weather and snowpack developments associated with avalanching in the Washington Cascades. [M.S. thesis, University of Washington, 1975.]
- Perla, R. I. [1975.] Stress and fracture of snow slabs. [*Union Géodésique et Géophysique Internationale. Association Internationale des Sciences Hydrologiques. Commission des Neiges et Glaces.*] Symposium. *Mécanique de la neige. Actes du colloque de Grindelwald, avril 1974*, p. 208-21. (IAHS-AISH Publication No. 114.)
- Perla, R. I. 1977. Slab avalanche measurements. *Canadian Geotechnical Journal*, Vol. 14, No. 2, p. 206-13.
- Perla, R. I. Unpublished. The slab avalanche. [Ph.D. thesis, University of Utah, 1971.]
- Perla, R. I., and LaChapelle, E. R. 1970. A theory of snow slab failure. *Journal of Geophysical Research*, Vol. 75, No. 36, p. 7619-27.
- Perla, R. I., and Martinelli, M., jr. 1976. Avalanche handbook. *U.S. Dept. of Agriculture. Forest Service. Agriculture Handbook 489*.

## TENSIL

(Department of Phys

ABSTRACT. Measur  
the strain-rate  $\dot{\epsilon}$  can be  
 $\dot{\epsilon} \propto \sigma^{3/2}(t - T)^{2/3}$ . It i  
increase of stress or a r  
two methods.

RÉSUMÉ. Contrainte  
contraintes de traction  
du temps écoulé  $t$  et d  
cette expression, qu'un  
soit une élévation de la  
glissement.

ZUSAMMENFASSUNG.  
wurde über mehrere Str  
 $\sigma$ , der verstrichenen Ze  
Dieser Ausdruck zeigt,  
eintreten. Durch beide

## INTRODUCTION

The creep phenom  
 $\dot{\epsilon} \propto \sigma^{\beta} t^{\alpha}$ . The present  
temperature term and  
was finally expressed by

where  $\sigma$  is the stress,  $t$   
The constant of proport

We have also been  
stress or temperature, a  
observed.

These phenomena c  
accumulation of small d  
is brought about by a m

## EXPERIMENTAL MEASURE

The measurements v  
preserved natural snow.  
shown in the figure is cut  
on a piece of waxed paper  
with a thin plate, while,  
condensed snow block.  
minutes. The clamp  $C_1$  i  
passes over a pulley P and