# Milnor-Friedlander's problem for diffeomorphisms

Sam Nariman Universität Münster

June 7, 2018

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Inspiring work of Milnor on Lie groups

Let G be a Lie group and let  $G^{\delta}$  denote the same group with the discrete topology. The natural homomorphism from  $G^{\delta}$  to G induces a continuous mapping  $\eta : BG^{\delta} \to BG$ .

# Inspiring work of Milnor on Lie groups

Let G be a Lie group and let  $G^{\delta}$  denote the same group with the discrete topology. The natural homomorphism from  $G^{\delta}$  to G induces a continuous mapping  $\eta : BG^{\delta} \to BG$ .

#### Conjecture (Isomorphism conjecture)

For a any Lie group G with finitely many connected components, the map  $\eta : BG^{\delta} \to BG$  induces isomorphisms in homology and cohomology with mod p coefficients.

# Inspiring work of Milnor on Lie groups

Let G be a Lie group and let  $G^{\delta}$  denote the same group with the discrete topology. The natural homomorphism from  $G^{\delta}$  to G induces a continuous mapping  $\eta : BG^{\delta} \to BG$ .

#### Conjecture (Isomorphism conjecture)

For a any Lie group G with finitely many connected components, the map  $\eta : BG^{\delta} \to BG$  induces isomorphisms in homology and cohomology with mod p coefficients.

- Friedlander posed a similar conjecture for Lie groups defined over algebraically closed fields.
- Milnor proved the isomorphism conjecture for solvable Lie groups.
- ▶ We can ask a similar question for other topological group G = Diff(M), Homeo(M), Symp(M,  $\omega$ ), Ham(M,  $\omega$ ),...

#### Theorem (Milnor)

For any Lie group with finitely many connected components, the induced maps

$$egin{aligned} &H^*(BG;\mathbb{F}_p) o H^*(BG^{\delta};\mathbb{F}_p),\ &H^*(BG;\mathbb{Z}) o H^*(BG^{\delta};\mathbb{Z}), \end{aligned}$$

are injective.

#### Idea of the proof.

Becker-Gottlieb transfer for the map  $BN \rightarrow BG$  where N is the normalizer of the maximal torus.

▲ロト ▲帰 ト ▲ ヨ ト ▲ ヨ ト ・ ヨ ・ の Q ()

# Stable result for Lie groups

Suslin proved the isomorphism conjecture for  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  where , in the stable range:

Theorem (Suslin)

The natural map

$$BGL_n(\mathbb{C})^{\delta} \to BGL_n(\mathbb{C})$$

induces isomorphisms

$$H_i(BGL_n(\mathbb{C})^{\delta}; \mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_i(BGL_n(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{F}_p).$$

for  $i \leq n$ .

# Stable result for Lie groups

Suslin proved the isomorphism conjecture for  $GL_n(\mathbb{C})$  where , in the stable range:

Theorem (Suslin)

The natural map

$$\mathsf{BGL}_n(\mathbb{C})^\delta o \mathsf{BGL}_n(\mathbb{C})$$

induces isomorphisms

$$H_i(BGL_n(\mathbb{C})^{\delta}; \mathbb{F}_p) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_i(BGL_n(\mathbb{C}); \mathbb{F}_p).$$

for  $i \leq n$ .

#### Question

Does the map  $\eta$  : BDiff<sup> $\delta$ </sup>(M)  $\rightarrow$  BDiff(M) induce an injective map in cohomology? Is there a "range" depending on M that  $\eta$  induces an injective map on cohomology or surjective map in homology?

What is so different about G = Diff(M)?

For a manifold M, the group of diffeomorphisms  $\text{Diff}^{\delta}(M)$  contains "the information" of two very different groups

 $1 \to \operatorname{Diff}_0(M) \to \operatorname{Diff}(M) \to \mathsf{MCG}(M) \to 1$ 

- The group Diff<sub>0</sub>(M) is an interesting object from dynamical system and foliation point of view.
- The group MCG(M) is an interesting object from geometric topology point of view.

 $M = S^1$ 

```
\eta: \mathrm{BDiff}^{\delta}(S^1) \to \mathrm{BDiff}(S^1) \simeq \mathbb{C}P^{\infty}
```

<□ > < @ > < E > < E > E のQ @

 $M = S^1$ 

$$\eta: \mathrm{BDiff}^{\delta}(S^1) \to \mathrm{BDiff}(S^1) \simeq \mathbb{C}P^{\infty}$$

Theorem (Herman)  $H_1(\text{Diff}^{\delta}(S^1);\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ 

$$\eta: \mathrm{BDiff}^{\delta}(S^1) \to \mathrm{BDiff}(S^1) \simeq \mathbb{C}P^{\infty}$$

Theorem (Herman)  $H_1(\text{Diff}^{\delta}(S^1); \mathbb{Z}) = 0$ 

Theorem (Thurston, '72)

There is a surjective map

$$H_2(\mathrm{Diff}^{\delta}(S^1);\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{R}.$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

$$\eta: \mathrm{BDiff}^{\delta}(S^1) \to \mathrm{BDiff}(S^1) \simeq \mathbb{C}P^{\infty}$$

Theorem (Herman)  $H_1(\text{Diff}^{\delta}(S^1);\mathbb{Z}) = 0$ 

Theorem (Thurston, '72)

There is a surjective map

$$H_2(\mathrm{Diff}^{\delta}(S^1);\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{R}.$$

Theorem (Morita, '85) For all  $k \ge 1$ , there is a surjective map

$$H_{2k}(\mathrm{Diff}^{\delta}(S^1);\mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{Z} \oplus S^k_{\mathbb{Q}}\mathbb{R}.$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

### A lost theorem of Thurston

Let  $\operatorname{Diff}^{\omega}(S^1)$  denote the analytic diffeomorphisms of the circle. Thurston claimed that for all flat analytic  $S^1$ -bundle on 6-manifolds the cube of the Euler class is zero. This means that the map

$$H^{6}(\mathbb{C}P^{\infty};\mathbb{Q}) 
ightarrow H^{6}(\mathrm{BDiff}^{\omega,\delta}(S^{1});\mathbb{Q})$$

is zero. However, it is not hard to show that

$$H^6(\mathbb{C}P^\infty;\mathbb{Z}) o H^6(\mathrm{BDiff}^{\omega,\delta}(S^1);\mathbb{Z})$$

is not zero!

### M = surface

Let  $\Sigma_{g,k}$  denote a surface of genus g and k boundary components. We consider the following cases:

$$B\mathrm{Diff}^{\delta}(\Sigma_{g,k},\partial) \to B\mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma_{g,k},\partial)$$
$$B\mathrm{Symp}^{\delta}(\Sigma_{g,k},\partial) \to B\mathrm{Symp}(\Sigma_{g,k},\partial)$$
$$B\mathrm{Diff}^{\delta}(\mathbb{D}^{2} - n \text{ points},\partial) \to B\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{D}^{2} - n \text{ points},\partial)$$

(ロ)、(型)、(E)、(E)、 E) の(の)

#### M = surface

Let  $\Sigma_{g,k}$  denote a surface of genus g and k boundary components. We consider the following cases:

$$B\mathrm{Diff}^{\delta}(\Sigma_{g,k},\partial) \to B\mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma_{g,k},\partial)$$
$$B\mathrm{Symp}^{\delta}(\Sigma_{g,k},\partial) \to B\mathrm{Symp}(\Sigma_{g,k},\partial)$$
$$B\mathrm{Diff}^{\delta}(\mathbb{D}^{2} - n \text{ points},\partial) \to B\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{D}^{2} - n \text{ points},\partial)$$

Remark

$$\begin{split} B\mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma_{g,k},\partial) &\simeq B\mathrm{MCG}(\Sigma_{g,k}) \text{ Earle-Eells Theorem} \\ B\mathrm{Diff}(\Sigma_{g,k},\partial) &\simeq B\mathrm{Symp}(\Sigma_{g,k},\partial) \text{ Moser's Theorem} \\ B\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{D}^2 - n \text{ points},\partial) &\simeq B\mathrm{Br}_n \end{split}$$

#### Main theorems

Theorem (N) The induced maps on cohomology

 $H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}(\Sigma_{g,k},\partial);\mathbb{F}_p) \to H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}^{\delta}(\Sigma_{g,k},\partial);\mathbb{F}_p)$  $H^*(\mathrm{BSymp}(\Sigma_{g,k},\partial);\mathbb{F}_p) \to H^*(\mathrm{BSymp}^{\delta}(\Sigma_{g,k},\partial);\mathbb{F}_p)$ are injective for  $* \leq (2g-2)/3$ .

### Main theorems

Theorem (N) The induced maps on cohomology

 $H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}(\Sigma_{g,k},\partial);\mathbb{F}_p) \to H^*(\mathrm{BDiff}^{\delta}(\Sigma_{g,k},\partial);\mathbb{F}_p)$  $H^*(\mathrm{BSymp}(\Sigma_{g,k},\partial);\mathbb{F}_p) \to H^*(\mathrm{BSymp}^{\delta}(\Sigma_{g,k},\partial);\mathbb{F}_p)$ are injective for  $* \leq (2g-2)/3$ .

Theorem (N) In the case of punctured disk, we have

 $H^*(B\mathrm{Diff}(\mathbb{D}^2 - n \text{ points}, \partial); \mathbb{Z}) \to H^*(B\mathrm{Diff}^{\delta}(\mathbb{D}^2 - n \text{ points}, \partial); \mathbb{Z})$ 

is injective in all degrees.

The idea:  $\coprod_n BBr_n$  is a free  $E_2$ -algebra!

# Idea of the proof

**Step 1:** We know that  $BDiff(\Sigma_{g,k}, \partial)$  exhibits homological stability (Harer). So we showed that  $BDiff^{\delta}(\Sigma_{g,k}, \partial)$  is also homologically stable (Morita's problem).

# Idea of the proof

**Step 1:** We know that  $BDiff(\Sigma_{g,k}, \partial)$  exhibits homological stability (Harer). So we showed that  $BDiff^{\delta}(\Sigma_{g,k}, \partial)$  is also homologically stable (Morita's problem). **Step 2:** Let  $G = Diff(\Sigma_{\infty,k}, \partial)$ ,



where the righthand vertical map is a homology isomorphism (Madsen-Weiss Theorem). Recall MTSO(2) is the Thom spectrum of the virtual bundle  $-\gamma$ , where  $\gamma$  is the tautological bundle over  $BGL_2^+(\mathbb{R})$ .

# Haefliger spaces

#### Definition

Let  $\Gamma_2$  denote the topological groupoid whose **objects are**  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and whose **morphisms are germs** of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms (with sheaf topology). The classifying space of this groupoid is the Haefliger space of oriented codimension two foliations.

There is a map

$$\nu: B\Gamma_2 \to BGL_2^+(\mathbb{R}).$$

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

# Haefliger spaces

#### Definition

Let  $\Gamma_2$  denote the topological groupoid whose **objects are**  $\mathbb{R}^2$  and whose **morphisms are germs** of orientation preserving diffeomorphisms (with sheaf topology). The classifying space of this groupoid is the Haefliger space of oriented codimension two foliations.

There is a map

$$\nu: B\Gamma_2 \to BGL_2^+(\mathbb{R}).$$

#### Definition

Let MT $\nu$  be the Thom spectrum of the virtual bundle  $\nu^*(-\gamma)$ . We showed that there is a map

$$BG^{\delta} 
ightarrow \Omega_0^{\infty} \mathsf{MT} \nu$$

which induces a homology isomorphism.

# Idea of the proof continued

Step 3: One can use maps of groupoids

$$S^{1^{\delta}} 
ightarrow \mathsf{GL}_2^+(\mathbb{R}),$$

to show that the natural map

$$\Omega_0^{\infty} \mathsf{MT} \nu \to \Omega_0^{\infty} \mathsf{MTSO}(2)$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

has a section after *p*-completion.

#### MMM-classes

One can use the main theorem to show that the map

$$\mathbb{Z}[\kappa_1,\kappa_2,\ldots] \to H^*(BG^{\delta};\mathbb{Z})$$

is injective. However, Morita showed that  $\kappa_i$  in  $H^*(BG^{\delta}; \mathbb{Q})$  is zero for i > 2. This implies that the natural map

$$H^*(BG^{\delta};\mathbb{Z})\otimes\mathbb{Q}
ightarrow H^*(BG^{\delta};\mathbb{Q})$$

has a huge kernel. Also for i > 2 one can use Cheeger-Simons theory to define MMM-characters

$$\hat{\kappa}_i: H_{2i-1}(BG^{\delta}; \mathbb{Z}) \to \mathbb{R}/\mathbb{Z}$$

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

which maps to  $\kappa_i$  via the Bockstein map.

#### Other characteristic classes

 Secondary characteristic classes: One can use these methods to show that

$$H_3(\mathrm{BDiff}^{\delta}(D^2,\partial);\mathbb{Q}) \to H_3(BG^{\delta};\mathbb{Q})$$

is surjective.

Morita and Kotschick showed that there is a surjective map

$$H_{2k}(\operatorname{Symp}^{\delta}(\Sigma,\partial);\mathbb{Q}) \to \mathbb{Q} \oplus S^2\mathbb{R} \oplus \cdots \oplus S^k(S^2\mathbb{R}).$$
  
for  $g(\Sigma) \ge 3k + 1.$ 

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆三▶ ◆三▶ 三三 のへぐ

We can give a more direct proof of the Morita-Kotschick theorem by using the universal space BΓ<sub>2</sub><sup>vol</sup>. We used these methods to show that

$$H_2(\operatorname{Symp}^{\delta}(\Sigma, \partial); \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{6}]) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_4(B\Gamma_2^{\mathsf{vol}}; \mathbb{Z}[\frac{1}{6}]),$$

and it is not hard to see that  $H_4(B\Gamma_2^{\text{vol}};\mathbb{Z})$  surjects to  $\mathbb{Z}\oplus S^2_{\mathbb{D}}\mathbb{R}$ .

We can give a more direct proof of the Morita-Kotschick theorem by using the universal space BΓ<sub>2</sub><sup>vol</sup>. We used these methods to show that

$$H_2(\operatorname{Symp}^{\delta}(\Sigma,\partial); \mathbb{Z}[rac{1}{6}]) \xrightarrow{\sim} H_4(B\Gamma_2^{\mathsf{vol}}; \mathbb{Z}[rac{1}{6}]),$$

and it is not hard to see that  $H_4(B\Gamma_2^{\text{vol}};\mathbb{Z})$  surjects to  $\mathbb{Z}\oplus S^2_{\mathbb{D}}\mathbb{R}$ .

There is a short exact sequence

 $1 \to \widetilde{\operatorname{Ham}}^{\delta}(\Sigma, \partial) \to \operatorname{Symp}^{\delta}(\Sigma, \partial) \to H^1(\Sigma; \mathbb{R}) \to 1.$ Bowden observed that all  $\kappa_i \in H^*(\widetilde{\operatorname{Ham}}^{\delta}(\Sigma, \partial); \mathbb{Q})$  are zero.

# Haefliger's conjecture

On a manifold *M* all plane fields of dim  $\leq \lfloor (\dim(M) + 1)/2 \rfloor$  are integrable up to homotopy.

◆□▶ ◆□▶ ◆臣▶ ◆臣▶ 臣 のへぐ

# Haefliger's conjecture

On a manifold *M* all plane fields of dim  $\leq \lfloor (\dim(M) + 1)/2 \rfloor$  are integrable up to homotopy.

Conjecture

The map

 $H_*(\mathrm{BDiff}^\delta(M);\mathbb{Z})\to H_*(\mathrm{BDiff}(M);\mathbb{Z})$ 

< □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > < □ > <

is an isomorphism for  $* \leq \dim(M)$ .

• For  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ , it is implied by a theorem of Segal.

# Haefliger's conjecture

On a manifold *M* all plane fields of dim  $\leq \lfloor (\dim(M) + 1)/2 \rfloor$  are integrable up to homotopy.

Conjecture

The map

 $H_*(\mathrm{BDiff}^{\delta}(M);\mathbb{Z}) \to H_*(\mathrm{BDiff}(M);\mathbb{Z})$ 

is an isomorphism for  $* \leq \dim(M)$ .

- For  $M = \mathbb{R}^n$ , it is implied by a theorem of Segal.
- ▶ Thurston proved the case \* = 1.
- Thurston proved the bound is optimum for C<sup>2</sup> diffeomorphisms of M = S<sup>n</sup>.
- Thurston proved that the map

$$BHomeo^{\delta}(M) \rightarrow BHomeo(M)$$

induces a homology isomorphism in all degrees!

# Happy birthday dear Michael! 😳