# Linearized internal functionals for anisotropic conductivities

# Chenxi Guo

# joint work with Guillaume Bal and François Monard

Dept. of Applied Physics and Applied Mathematics, Columbia University.

June 20th, 2012

UC Irvine Conference in honor of Gunther Uhlmann

1.<u>Background</u>

$$-\nabla \cdot (\gamma \nabla u) \equiv -\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} \partial_{i} (\gamma^{ij} \partial_{j} u) = 0 \qquad (\Omega) \qquad \mathcal{U}|_{\partial \Omega} = \mathcal{G}$$

 $\gamma$  is a real-valued symmetric positive definite tensor with bounded coefficients, satisfying a uniform ellipticity condition for some  $\kappa \ge 1$ 

$$\kappa^{-1} \left| \xi \right|^2 \leq \xi \cdot \gamma(x) \xi \leq \kappa \left| \xi \right| \quad \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n \qquad x \in \Omega$$

 Conductivity equation: rules the equilibrium distribution of the electrostatic potential u inside the domain Ω in response to a prescribed boundary voltage g. Electrical Impedance Tomography(EIT).

 $\gamma \nabla u \cdot v |_{\partial \Omega} \rightarrow \text{Calderon's problem}$ 

Internal measurement

power density of a solution u  $H_{\gamma}[g](x) \coloneqq \nabla u(x) \cdot \gamma(x) \nabla u(x)$ 

Application: Hybrid imaging How to construct power densities: Ammari et al. (2008), Kuchment-Kunyansky (2010)

$$-\nabla \cdot (\gamma \nabla u) = 0 \qquad (\Omega) \qquad u|_{\partial \Omega} = g \qquad H_{\gamma}[g](x) \coloneqq \nabla u(x) \cdot \gamma(x) \nabla u(x)$$

History (non-linear case):

• Isotropic case  $\gamma = \sigma I_n$  from only one measurement  $H = \sigma |\nabla u|^2$ 

$$\nabla \cdot \left(\frac{H}{\left|\nabla u\right|^{2}} \nabla u\right) = 0 \quad (\Omega) \quad u|_{\partial\Omega} = g$$

- Newton-based method see (Gebauer and Scherzer (2009))
- Theoretically, by Bal (2012).

The conductivity equation

$$\nabla \cdot \left( \begin{array}{c} \gamma' & \nabla u \end{array} \right) = 0 \qquad (\Omega), \qquad \qquad \mathcal{U} \Big|_{\partial \Omega} = \underbrace{g}_{known} \qquad (1)$$

Fixing a few boundary conditions  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  with  $u_i$  solving (1) with  $g \equiv g_i$ Measurement operator :  $H: \gamma' \rightarrow H(\gamma') = H_{ij}(\gamma') = \gamma' \nabla u_j \cdot \nabla u_j$   $1 \le i, j \le m$ Problem: recover  $\gamma'$  from H

- Isotropic, 2 dimensional setting, see (Capdeboscq et al. (2009))
- Explicit reconstruction using a large number of functionals in an isotropic case in dimension 3. see (Bal,Monard, Bonnetier and Triki)

• Generalized to dimension n, isotropic tensor with more general type of measurements  $\sigma^{2\alpha} |\nabla u|^2$ 

```
where \alpha not necessary \frac{1}{2}
```

```
see Monard and Bal (2012).
```

 reconstruction formulas for the anisotropic two-dimensional problem see Monard and Bal (2012).

#### Linearization of the problem

The partial differential equation

$$\nabla \cdot \left( \underbrace{\gamma'}_{unknown} \nabla u \right) = 0 \qquad (\Omega), \qquad \mathcal{U}\Big|_{\partial\Omega} = \underbrace{g}_{known} \qquad (1)$$

Fix a few boundary conditions  $g_1, \dots, g_m$  with  $u_i$  solving (1) with  $g \equiv g_i$ Measurement operator :  $H: \gamma' \to H(\gamma') = H_{ij}(\gamma') = \gamma' \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_j$   $1 \le i, j \le m$ Non-linear problem: recover  $\gamma'$  from H

Fréchet derivative: 
$$\gamma' = \gamma_0 + \epsilon \gamma + o(\epsilon^2)$$
  
 $u'_i = u_i + \epsilon v_i + o(\epsilon^2)$ 

PDE (1) of order 
$$\mathcal{A}(1)$$
 and  $\mathcal{A}(\mathcal{E})$   
 $-\nabla \cdot (\gamma_0 \nabla \underbrace{u_i}_{known}) = 0 \quad (\Omega), \quad u_i |_{\partial\Omega} = \underbrace{g_i}_{known}$   
 $-\nabla \cdot (\gamma_0 \nabla \underbrace{v_i}_{v_i}) = \nabla \cdot (\gamma \nabla u_i) \quad (\Omega), \quad v_i |_{\partial\Omega} = 0$ 

The measurements look like  $H_{ij} = \gamma_0 \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_j + \varepsilon (\gamma \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_j + \gamma_0 \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla v_j + \gamma_0 \nabla u_j \cdot \nabla v_i) + o(\varepsilon^2)$ Linearized measurements  $dH_{ij} = \gamma \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_j + \gamma_0 \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla v_j + \gamma_0 \nabla u_j \cdot \nabla v_i$ 

Linearized problem: recover  $\gamma$  from  $dH_{ii}$ 

#### References on the linearized problem

- isotropic case in dimension 2 and 3 with numerical implementation see (Kuchment and Kunyansky (2011))
- isotropic case, studied using pseudo-differential calculus, inversion modulo a compact operator. see (Kuchment and Steinhauer (2011))

## 2.Microlocal inversion

Study of the principal symbol  $M_{ij}(x,\xi)$  of  $dH_{ij}$ 

Recall the equations and measurements:

$$-\nabla \cdot (\gamma_{0} \nabla \underbrace{u_{i}}_{known}) = 0 \quad (\Omega), \qquad u_{i}|_{\partial\Omega} = \underbrace{g_{i}}_{known}$$
$$-\nabla \cdot (\gamma_{0} \nabla \underbrace{v_{i}}_{unknown}) = \nabla \cdot (\gamma \nabla u_{i}) \quad (\Omega), \quad v_{i}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0$$
$$\underbrace{dH_{ij}}_{known} = \gamma \nabla u_{i} \cdot \nabla u_{j} + \gamma_{0} \nabla u_{i} \cdot \nabla v_{j} + \gamma_{0} \nabla u_{j} \cdot \nabla v_{i}$$
$$\underbrace{dH_{ij}}_{known} = -\nabla \cdot (\gamma_{0} \nabla) \qquad v_{i} = \mathcal{L}_{0}^{-1} (\nabla \cdot (\gamma \nabla u_{i}))$$
suppose  $\gamma$  compactly supported inside  $\Omega$ 

Insert  $v_i = L_0^{-1} (\nabla \cdot (\gamma \nabla u_i))$  into  $dH_{ij}$  and express as a pseudo-DO

$$dH_{ij}(\gamma, x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \iint_{R^n \times R^n} e^{i\xi \cdot (x-y)} (M_{ij}(x,\xi) + M_{ij}|_{-1}(x,\xi)) : \gamma(y) d\xi dy$$
  
$$M_{ij}(x,\xi) = o(|\xi|^0) \qquad M_{ij}|_{-1}(x,\xi) = o(|\xi|^{-1})$$
  
$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$

pricipal symbol

the symbol of order -1

$$dH_{ij}(\gamma, x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \iint_{\mathbb{R}^n \times \mathbb{R}^n} e^{i\xi \cdot (x-\gamma)} (M_{ij}(x,\xi) + M_{ij}|_{-1}(x,\xi)) : \gamma(\gamma) d\xi d\gamma$$

$$M_{ij}(x,\xi) = o(|\xi|^0) \qquad \qquad M_{ij}|_{-1}(x,\xi) = o(|\xi|^{-1})$$

$$\downarrow \qquad \qquad \downarrow$$
pricipal symbol the symbol of order -1

Goal: determine under which conditions the operator  $dH = \{dH_{ij}\}_{1 \le i, j \le m}$ is an elliptic pseudo-differential operator

Conclusion: with only principal symbols  $M_{ij}(x,\xi)$ ,  $dH = \{dH_{ij}\}_{1 \le i,j \le m}$  will never be elliptic, no matter how large m

Define  $A_0 = (\gamma_0)^{\frac{1}{2}} \xi_0 := A_0 \xi$  and  $V_i := A_0 \nabla u_i$  rewrite the principal symbol  $M_{ij}(x,\xi)$ 

$$\widetilde{M}_{ij}(x,\xi) = A_0 M_{ij}(x,\xi) A_0$$
$$= V_i \odot V_j - (\xi_0 \cdot V_j) \xi_0 \odot V_j - (\xi_0 \cdot V_j) \xi_0 \odot V_i$$

Notation:  $U \odot V = \frac{1}{2} (U \otimes V + V \otimes U)$ 

#### Lemma:

For any *i*, *j* and vector fields  $V_i, V_j$  defined as above  $V_i := A_0 \nabla u_i$  the symbol  $\tilde{M}_{ij}(x,\xi)$  satisfies

 $\widetilde{M}_{ij}(x,\xi)$ :  $\xi_0 \odot \eta = 0$  for all  $\eta \in S^{n-1}$  and  $\eta \perp \xi_0$ 

**Conclusion**: the  $M_{ij}$  can never control a subspace of  $S_n(R)$  of dimension n-1

**Basic Hypothesis:** the gradients  $\{\nabla u_i\}_{i=1}^n$  form a frame in  $\mathbb{R}^n$ 

Lemma:

Suppose that the vector fields  $\{V_i\}_{i=1}^n$  form a basis of  $\mathbb{R}^n$  If a matrix  $P \in S_n(\mathbb{R})$ 

 $M_{ij}(x,\xi): P=0$   $1 \le i \le j \le n$ 

Then *P* is of the form  $P = \xi_0 \odot \eta$  for some vector  $\eta$  satisfying  $\eta \perp \xi_0$ 

Conclusion: the **only** directions that are not controlled by the principal symbols are  $P = \xi_0 \odot \eta \qquad \eta \perp \xi_0$  The second term of  $dH_{ii}$ 

$$dH_{ij}(\gamma, x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \iint_{R'' \times R''} e^{i\xi \cdot (x-y)} (M_{ij}(x,\xi) + M_{ij}|_{-1}(x,\xi)) : \gamma(y) d\xi dy$$

Goal: invert  $dH = \{dH_{ij}\}_{1 \le i, j \le m}$  microlocally from  $M_{ij}(x,\xi) + M_{ij}|_{-1}(x,\xi)$ 

• case  $\gamma_0$  is constant  $M_{ij}|_{-1}(x,\xi) = A_0 M_{ij}|_{-1}(x,\xi)A_0$ 

$$= \|A_{0}\xi\|^{-1} \sqrt{-1} [H_{i}((\xi_{0} \cdot V_{j})(I_{n} - 2\xi_{0} \otimes \xi_{0}) + V_{j} \otimes \xi_{0}) + H_{j}((\xi_{0} \cdot V_{i})(I_{n} - 2\xi_{0} \otimes \xi_{0}) + V_{i} \otimes \xi_{0}]^{sym}$$

where  $H_i = A_0 \nabla^2 u_i A_0$ 

#### Lemma

Suppose  $\gamma_0$  is constant, pick  $u_i = x_i$   $1 \le i \le n$  and add an additional solution denoted by  $u_{n+1}$  with full-rank Hessian  $\nabla^2 u_{n+1}$  If there exist  $P, Q \in S_n(R)$  such that for all  $1 \le i, j \le n$ 

$$(M_{ij} + M_{ij} \mid_{-1})(x,\xi) : (P + \sqrt{-1}Q) = 0$$
  
$$(\tilde{M}_{i,n+1} + \tilde{M}_{i,n+1} \mid_{-1})(x,\xi) : (P + \sqrt{-1}Q) = 0$$

then P = Q = 0

10

• case γ<sub>0</sub> is not constant

#### Basic Hypotheses

• 
$$(\nabla u_1, \dots, \nabla u_n)$$
 form a frame  $-\nabla \cdot (\gamma_0 \nabla \underbrace{u_i}_{known}) = 0$   $u_i|_{\partial \Omega} = \underbrace{g_i}_{known}$ 

• Add one addition 
$$u_{n+1}$$
 such that  $\sum_{j=1}^{n} \mu_j \nabla u_j + \nabla u_{n+1} = 0$ 

we construct the matrix  $Z = [\nabla \mu_1, \dots, \nabla \mu_n]$  assume *z* to be invertible Remark: in the case  $\gamma_0$  constant, the above hypothesis is automatically satisfied, by choosing

$$u_{i} = x_{i} \qquad 1 \le i \le n$$

$$u_{n+1} = \frac{1}{2} x^{t} Q x \quad Q \quad \text{invertible} \quad tr(Q) = 0$$

$$(2) = \int_{-\pi}^{\pi} \int_{0}^{\pi} \frac{i^{\pi} (x-y)}{2} (1 + (x-y)) = 0$$

**Recall**  $dH_{ij}(\gamma, x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \iint_{R^n \times R^n} e^{i\xi \cdot (x-y)} (M_{ij}(x,\xi) + M_{ij}|_{-1}(x,\xi)) \colon \gamma(y) d\xi dy$ 

Goal: under the above hypotheses,  $\mathcal{M}_{\mathcal{Y}}|_{-1}$  can control all bad directions  $\xi_0 \odot \eta$ 

Recall:  

$$\frac{dH_{ij}}{dH_{ij}} = \gamma \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_j + \gamma_0 \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla v_j + \gamma_0 \nabla u_j \cdot \nabla v_i$$

$$v_i = L_0^{-1} (\nabla \cdot (\gamma \nabla u_i))$$

$$dH_{ij}(\gamma, x) = (2\pi)^{-n} \iint_{R'' \times R''} e^{i\xi \cdot (x-y)} (M_{ij}(x,\xi) + M_{ij}|_{-1}(x,\xi)) : \gamma(y) d\xi dy$$

#### <u>Lemma</u>

Assume that  $Z = [\nabla \mu_1, \dots, \nabla \mu_n]$  is invertible, if  $\tilde{M}_{ij} \mid_{-1}^{nc} : \xi_0 \odot \eta = 0$  and  $\tilde{M}_{i,n+1} \mid_{-1}^{nc} : \xi_0 \odot \eta = 0$ for any  $1 \le i, j \le n$  and  $\xi_0 \perp \eta$  then  $\eta = 0$ 

#### **Theorem**

Suppose that we have measurements  $\{dH_{ij}\}_{1 \le i,j \le n}$  and  $\{dH_{i,n+1}\}_{1 \le i \le n}$ such that  $Z = [\nabla \mu_1, \dots, \nabla \mu_n]$  is invertible and  $(\nabla \mu_1, \dots \nabla \mu_n)$  form a frame. we denote the operator dH as follows  $dH := (dH_{ij}, \dots, dH_{i,n+1})_{1 \le i,j \le n}$ Then the operator  $G \circ dH : \{L^2(\Omega')\}^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}} \Rightarrow \{L^2(\Omega')\}^{\frac{n(n+1)}{2}+n}$ 

is semi-Fredholm, where *G* is the restriction operator to  $L^2(\Omega')$ 

<u>Proof</u>: construct a parametrix Q such that  $Q \circ dH - Id$  is of order -1

12

### **3.Explicit reconstruction**

$$-\nabla \cdot (\gamma_{0} \nabla \underbrace{u_{i}}_{known}) = 0 \quad (\Omega), \quad u_{i}|_{\partial\Omega} = \underbrace{g_{i}}_{known} \quad (*)$$

$$\left( \begin{array}{c} -\nabla \cdot (\gamma_{0} \nabla \underbrace{v_{i}}_{unknown}) = \nabla \cdot (\gamma \nabla u_{i}) \quad (\Omega), \quad v_{i}|_{\partial\Omega} = 0 \\ \underbrace{dH_{ij}}_{known} = \gamma \nabla u_{i} \cdot \nabla u_{j} + \gamma_{0} \nabla u_{i} \cdot \nabla v_{j} + \gamma_{0} \nabla u_{j} \cdot \nabla v_{i} \end{array} \right)$$

Let us now add another solution of (\*) u with corresponding v at order  $\varepsilon$  $u' = u + \varepsilon v + o(\varepsilon^2)$ 

 $\nabla u$  may be expressed in the basis  $\nabla u_1, \dots \nabla u_n$ 

$$\sum_{j}^{n} \mu_{j} \nabla u_{j} + \nabla u = 0$$

Suppose  $[\nabla U] = [\nabla u_1 | \cdots | \nabla u_n]$  and  $Z = [Z_1 | \cdots | Z_n]$  be invertible, where  $Z_i = \nabla \mu_i$ 

In the end, we obtain the strongly coupled elliptic system

$$-\nabla \cdot (\gamma_0 \nabla v_i) + \sum_{j=1}^n W_{ij} \cdot \nabla v_j = f_i, \quad v_i|_{\partial \Omega} = 0 \qquad 1 \le i \le n$$

where  $W_{ii}$  depends on  $\gamma_0$  and  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ 

 $f_i$  depends on  $dH_{pq}$  and their derivatives

With  $L_0 := -\nabla \cdot (\gamma_0 \nabla)$  define  $L_0^{-1} : f \in \hat{\mathcal{L}} \mapsto u \in H_0^{\dagger}(\Omega)$  where u solves  $-\nabla \cdot (\gamma_0 \nabla u) = f$  $u|_{\partial \Omega} = 0$ 

By Lax-Milgram theorem, and by Rellich imbedding,  $\mathcal{L}_0^{-1}: \mathcal{L}^2(\Omega) \mapsto \mathcal{H}_0^{\mathsf{t}}(\Omega)$  is compact. Applying  $\mathcal{L}_0^{-1}$  to the elliptic system yields  $v_i + \sum_{i=1}^n \mathcal{L}_0^{-1}(\mathcal{W}_{ij} \cdot \nabla v_j) = h_i := \mathcal{L}_0^{-1} f_i$ 

 $P_{ij}: H_0^1(\Omega) \ni v \to P_{ij}v := L_0^{-1}(W_{ij} \cdot \nabla v) \in H_0^1(\Omega)$  compact if  $W_{ij}$  bounded.

we obtain (I+P)v=h (\*) where  $v=(v_1,\dots,v_n)$ ,  $h=(h_1,\dots,h_n)$ , and *P* compact (\*) satisfies a Fredholm alternative, if -1 is not an eigenvalue of *P* and we obtain the stability:  $\|v_i\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \leq C \|dH\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$ 

14

once  $(v_1, \cdots v_n)$  are reconstructed

the relations

$$\underbrace{dH_{ij}}_{known} = \gamma \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_j + \gamma_0 \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla v_j + \gamma_0 \nabla u_j \cdot \nabla v_i$$

can be inverted for  $\gamma$ 

$$\gamma = \gamma_0 (dH_{ip} (H^{pq} \nabla u_q \otimes H^{ij} \nabla u_j) - 2H^{ij} \nabla v_i \odot \nabla u_j) \gamma_0$$

and we get the stability

$$\left\|\gamma\right\|_{L^{2}(\Omega)} \leq C \left\|dH\right\|_{H^{1}(\Omega)}$$

• case  $\gamma_0$  is constant

$$-\nabla \cdot (\gamma_0 \nabla \underbrace{u_i}_{known}) = 0 \quad (\Omega), \quad u_i \mid_{\partial \Omega} = \underbrace{g_i}_{known} \quad (\star)$$
$$-\nabla \cdot (\gamma_0 \nabla \underbrace{v_i}_{unknown}) = \nabla \cdot (\gamma \nabla u_i) \quad (\Omega), \quad v_i \mid_{\partial \Omega} = 0$$
$$\underbrace{dH_{ij}}_{known} = \gamma \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_j + \gamma_0 \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla v_j + \gamma_0 \nabla u_j \cdot \nabla v_i$$

Taking  $\gamma_0 = Id$  using harmonic polynomials as solutions of (  $\star$  )

$$u_i = x_i \qquad 1 \le i \le n$$

$$u' = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{p=1}^n a_p x_p^2 \qquad a_p \ne 0 \qquad \sum_p a_p = 0$$

Then we get n additional measurements

$$dH_i = \gamma : \nabla u_i \odot \nabla u' + \nabla u_i \odot \nabla v' + \nabla v_i \cdot \nabla u' \qquad 1 \le i \le n$$

Together with

$$dH_{ij} = \gamma \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_j + \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla v_j + \nabla u_j \cdot \nabla v_i \qquad 1 \le i, j \le n$$

$$\frac{1}{2}n(n+1)+n \qquad \begin{cases} dH_i = \gamma : \nabla u_i \odot \nabla u' + \nabla u_i \odot \nabla v' + \nabla v_i \cdot \nabla u' & 1 \le i \le n \\ dH_{ij} = \gamma \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla u_j + \nabla u_i \cdot \nabla v_j + \nabla u_j \cdot \nabla v_i & 1 \le i, j \le n \end{cases}$$

For this choice of  $(u_1, \dots, u_n)$ , the elliptic system is decoupled, hence solvable.

we obtain a standard estimate for  $v_i$  notice that the RHS is a linear combination of  $dH_{ij}, dH_i$  and their first derivatives

<u>stability</u>:  $\|v_i\|_{H^1(\Omega)} \le C \|dH\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$  then  $\|\gamma_{ij}\|_{L^2(\Omega)} \le C \|dH\|_{H^1(\Omega)}$  $\Rightarrow$  we lose one derivative

 $\gamma_{ij} = dH_{ij} - \partial_j v_i - \partial_i v_j$