

Wiener process in a filtration

Definition 14

Let

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbf{P})$ stochastic basis
- $\{W_t; t \geq 0\}$ stochastic process with values in $\mathbb{R}^n$

We say that W is a Wiener process

with respect to $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, (\mathcal{F}_t)_{t \geq 0}, \mathbf{P})$ if:

- 1 $W_0 = 0$ almost surely, and $W_t \in \mathcal{F}_t$
- 2 Let $0 \leq s < t$. Then $\delta W_{st} \perp\!\!\!\perp \mathcal{F}_s$.
- 3 For $0 \leq s < t$ we have $\delta W_{st} \sim \mathcal{N}(0, (t-s)\text{Id}_{\mathbb{R}^n})$
- 4 W has continuous paths almost surely

Remark: A Wiener process according to Definition 13 is a Wiener process in its natural filtration.

Rmk : If X r.v $X: (\Omega, \mathcal{F}) \rightarrow (\mathbb{R}, \mathcal{B})$

$\mathcal{G}$ σ -algebra

we say that $X \perp\!\!\!\perp \mathcal{G}$ if

$$\sigma(X) \perp\!\!\!\perp \mathcal{G}$$

Otherwise stated: For $\begin{cases} G \in \mathcal{G} \\ B \in \mathcal{B} \end{cases}$,

$$\mathbb{P}(X \in B \cap G) = \mathbb{P}(X \in B) \mathbb{P}(G)$$

Brown

Some facts about Brown:

- Scottish, Lived 1773-1858
- Pioneer in the use of microscope
- Detailed description of cell nucleus
- Observed 2-d Brownian motion
 ↪ Pollen particles in water



Outline

- 1 Stochastic processes
- 2 Definition and construction of the Wiener process
- 3 First properties**
- 4 Martingale property
- 5 Markov property
- 6 Pathwise properties

3 desirable properties for stock process

Gaussian

Martingale

Markov

BM	is	all	3 !
----	----	-----	-----

Gaussian processes

Definition 15

Let

- $(\Omega, \mathcal{F}, \mathbf{P})$ probability space
- $\{X_t; t \geq 0\}$ stochastic process, with values in $\mathbb{R}$

We say that X is a Gaussian process if
for all $0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_n$ we have:

$(X_{t_1}, \dots, X_{t_n})$ Gaussian vector.

Def Let $Y = (Y^1, \dots, Y^n)$ be a random vector. We say that Y is a Gaussian vector if

$Y^1, Y^2, \dots, Y^n$ are all Gaussian

AND $\forall d_1, \dots, d_n \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$\sum_{i=1}^n d_i Y^i$ is Gaussian

Facts about Gauss. vectors

(1) It is easy to construct

$$Y = (Y^1, Y^2)$$

with $Y^1 \sim \mathcal{U}(0,1)$, $Y^2 \sim \mathcal{U}(0,1)$
but

Y not Gauss. vector

Take

$$\mathbb{P}(\varepsilon = \pm 1) = \frac{1}{2}$$



$$Y^1 \sim \mathcal{U}(0,1)$$

$\varepsilon \sim \text{Rademacher}$

$\varepsilon \perp Y^1$. Then $Y^2 \equiv \varepsilon Y^1$ check that $Y = (Y^1, Y^2)$ not Gauss. vector

(2) Basic example of Gaussian vector:

$$Y = \begin{pmatrix} Y^1 \\ \vdots \\ Y^n \end{pmatrix} \quad \text{with } Y^i \text{ iid } \mathcal{N}(0,1)$$

Then any Gaussian vector in $\mathbb{R}^n$
can be written (in distribution)
as

$$Z = MY + b$$

with $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$, $b \in \mathbb{R}^n$

↳ Gaussian vectors are good friends
of linear algebra

(3) A Gauss. vector Y is characterized (in distribution) by

$$m = \mathbb{E}[Y]$$

$$\Gamma = \mathbb{E}[(Y-m)(Y-m)^t]$$

$$\text{or } \Gamma^{ij} = \text{cov}(Y^i, Y^j)$$

$$\hookrightarrow Y \sim \mathcal{N}(m, \Gamma)$$

(4) Characteristic function of

$$Y \sim W(m, \Gamma)$$

is given by $(\lambda \in \mathbb{R}^n)$

$$E[e^{i \langle \lambda, Y \rangle}]$$

$$= e^{i \langle \lambda, m \rangle} \exp\left(-\frac{1}{2} \lambda^T \Gamma \lambda\right)$$

Gaussian property

Theorem 16

Let W be a real valued Brownian motion.

Then W is a Gaussian process, with covariance

$$\mathbf{E}[W_s W_t] = s \wedge t, \quad \text{for all } s, t \geq 0.$$

$$= \min(s, t)$$

↪ Brownian motion in the sense of Definition 4.

↪ Gaussian process in the sense of Definition 15.

Step 1 We have to prove, for

$$0 \leq t_1 < t_2 \dots < t_n$$

that

$Y \equiv (W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n})$ is a Gauss. vector

Note

We already know that $Y^1, \dots, Y^n$
are all Gaussian r.v.

Easy to check: Gauss + II

$$z = (\delta W_{0c_1}, \delta W_{c_1 c_2}, \dots, \delta W_{c_{n-1} c_n})$$

is a Gauss. vector

Then one can write

$$Y = M z$$

Ex: find proper M

for a matrix $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n \times n}$.

Thus Y is also a Gauss. vector.

Ex: $W_{t_2} = W_{c_1} + \delta W_{t_1 t_2}$

Conclusion of Step 1: W is a
Gaussian process. $W_s \sim \mathcal{N}(0, s)$

Step 2. Mean & covariance function.

Mean: $\mathbb{E}[W_t] = 0 \equiv \mu_t$

Cov function: for $s \leq t$

$$\mathcal{J}_{s,t} \equiv \text{Cov}(W_s, W_t) = \mathbb{E}[W_s W_t]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[W_s (W_s + dW_{st})]$$

$$= \mathbb{E}[W_s^2] + \mathbb{E}[W_s \overset{\perp}{dW_{st}}] = s + 0$$

We have obtained: If $s \leq t$

$$f_{st} = s = s \wedge t$$

Thus

$$f_{st} = s \wedge t$$

Proof

Notation: For $0 = t_0 \leq t_1 < \dots < t_n$ we set

- $X_n = (W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n})$
- $Y_n = (\delta W_{t_0 t_1}, \dots, \delta W_{t_{n-1} t_n})$

Vector Y_n : Thanks to independence of increments of W
 $\hookrightarrow Y_n$ is a Gaussian vector.

Vector X_n : There exists $M \in \mathbb{R}^{n,n}$ such that $X_n = MY_n$
 $\hookrightarrow X_n$ Gaussian vector

Covariance matrix: We have $\mathbf{E}[W_s W_t] = s \wedge t$. Thus

$$(W_{t_1}, \dots, W_{t_n}) \sim \mathcal{N}(0, \Gamma_n), \quad \text{with} \quad \Gamma_n^{ij} = t_i \wedge t_j.$$

Consequence of Gaussian property

Characterization of a Gaussian process:

Let X Gaussian process. The law of X is characterized by:

$$\mu_t = \mathbf{E}[X_t], \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{s,t} = \mathbf{Cov}(X_s, X_t).$$

Another characterization of Brownian motion:

Let W real-valued Gaussian process with

$$\mu_t = 0, \quad \text{and} \quad \rho_{s,t} = s \wedge t.$$

Then W is a Brownian motion.

Brownian scaling

Proposition 17

Let

- W real-valued Brownian motion.
- A constant $a > 0$.

We define a process W^a by:

$$W_t^a = a^{-1/2} W_{at}, \quad \text{for } t \geq 0.$$

Then W^a is a Brownian motion.

Proof:

Gaussian characterization of Brownian motion.

$$W_t^a = a^{-1/2} W_{at}$$

Consider the process W^a . Then

(i) W^a is a Gaussian process:

$(W_{t_1}^a, \dots, W_{t_n}^a)$ Gauss. vector ↗ check!

(ii) $\boxed{\mu_t} \equiv \mathbb{E}[W_t^a]$

$$= \mathbb{E}[a^{-1/2} W_{at}]$$

$$= a^{-1/2} \mathbb{E}[W_{at}]$$

$$\boxed{= 0}$$

(iii)

$s \leq t$

$a > 0$

$$\begin{aligned}\boxed{f_{st}} &= \mathbb{E} [a^{-\frac{1}{2}} W_{as} \cdot a^{-\frac{1}{2}} W_{at}] \\&= \frac{1}{a} \mathbb{E} [W_{as} W_{at}] \\&= \frac{1}{a} \min(a s, a t) \\&= \frac{a s}{a} \\&= s \quad \boxed{= s \wedge t}\end{aligned}$$

$\Rightarrow \{W_t^a ; t \geq 0\}$ is a Wiener process

Canonical space

Aim: define the $\mathcal{L}(W)$
as a prob. measure on a
functional space

Lemma 18

Let $E = C([0, \infty); \mathbb{R}^n)$. We set:

$\|f\|_{\infty} = \infty$ for
 $f = W$

$$d(f, g) = \sum_{k \geq 1} \frac{\|f - g\|_{\infty, k}}{2^k (1 + \|f - g\|_{\infty, k})}$$

where

$$\|f - g\|_{\infty, k} = \sup \{|f_t - g_t|; t \in [0, k]\}.$$

Then E is a separable complete metric space.

Rmk: This distance quantifies "convergence on compact intervals")

Question : Can we consider

$$X = \{X_t ; t \in T\} \text{ at}$$

$$X \in \mathbb{R}^T ?$$

Then question 2:

(1) σ -algebra ?

(2) Is $\|X\|_\infty$ a r.v. ?

(3) Convergence of stock processes ?