

Recall: Have proved $K \otimes_{K_0} B_{\text{crys}} \hookrightarrow B_{\text{dR}}$.

For today, aim to prove $u = \ln\left(\frac{[P]}{P}\right)$ is transcendental
/ $C_{\text{crys}} = \text{Frac}(B_{\text{crys}})$.

If aim can be proved then $K \otimes_{K_0} B_{\text{st}} \simeq (K \otimes_{K_0} B_{\text{crys}})[u]$
injects to B_{dR} . To see this, ^{Note} $\text{Frac}(K \otimes_{K_0} B_{\text{st}})$ is algebraic
over C_{crys} . The aim shows that u is transcendental
 $\therefore K \otimes_{K_0} B_{\text{st}} \hookrightarrow B_{\text{dR}}$. ~~$K \otimes_{K_0} B_{\text{st}}$~~

Corollary: $K_0 = (B_{\text{st}})^{G_K} = (B_{\text{crys}})^{G_K}$.

Lemma 1: $u \notin C_{\text{crys}}$.

Indeed Lemma 1 implies aim.

proof of this implication:

$$\forall g \in G_K, \quad g[P] = [P][\underline{\varepsilon}^{\sigma(g)}], \quad \underline{\varepsilon} = (\varepsilon_{p^n})_{n \geq 0} \\ \text{where } \underline{\varepsilon}^{\sigma(g)} \in H^1(G_K, R^\times), \quad \varepsilon_p \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}^\times = R$$

$$\therefore g(u) = \ln\left(\frac{[\underline{\varepsilon}]^{\sigma(g)} [P]}{P}\right) = u + \sigma(g) \ln([\underline{\varepsilon}]) \\ = u + \sigma(g) t.$$

pick $f(X) \in C_{\text{crys}}[X]$ the monic poly. s.t. $f(u) = 0$.

$$\text{write } f(X) = \sum_{i=0}^d c_i X^i, \quad \text{with } c_i \in C_{\text{crys}}.$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 0 = g(f(u)) &= \sum_{i=0}^d g(c_i) (g(u))^i \\
 &= \sum_{i=0}^d g(c_i) (u + \sigma(g)t)^i \\
 &= f(u) = \sum_{i=0}^d c_i u^i
 \end{aligned}$$

WLOG, we may assume that f is minimal. & $c_d = 1$.

$$\therefore d \sigma(g)t + g(c_{d-1}) = c_{d-1}.$$

$$\therefore g(c_{d-1} + du) = c_{d-1} + du \quad \forall g \in G_k.$$

$$c_{d-1} + du \in (\text{Bar})^{G_k} = K. \quad \text{If we pick } k = \mathbb{Q}_p.$$

$\therefore c_{d-1} + du \in \text{Bar}$ & $u \in \text{Conz}$. Contradiction. \square .

It suffices to show Lemma 1:

Let $\beta = -\frac{\xi}{p}$. Then both $\xi, \beta \in \text{Fil}^1 \text{Bar} \setminus \text{Fil}^2 \text{Bar}$.

* $u = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{\beta^n}{n} \in \text{Bar}^+$. Set $S = W(R)[[\beta]] \subseteq \text{Bar}^+$

check $\text{Acnz} \subseteq S$. So $\text{Conz} \subseteq \text{Frac}(S)$. To prove $u \notin \text{Conz}$, it suffices to show $\forall \alpha \in S, \alpha \neq 0, \alpha u \notin S$.

WLOG, we assume $\alpha \notin pS$ & $p^r \alpha u \in S$, try to prove a contradiction.

For $a \in W(R)$, if $\theta(a) \in pO_{\mathbb{Q}_p}$, then $a = pb + c\xi$ with $b, c \in W(R)$. $\therefore a = p(b + c\beta) \in pS$.

So if $\alpha \notin pS$ then $\exists i \geq 0$ s.t

$$\alpha = \underbrace{p \left(\sum_{0 \leq n < i} b_n \beta^n \right)}_A + \underbrace{\sum_{n \geq i} b_n \beta^n}_B, \quad b_n \in W(R)$$

$$A = pA'$$

& $0(b_n) \notin pO_{\mathbb{C}_p}$.

Pick $j > r$ s.t. $p^j > i$ & $j-1 > r \Rightarrow \alpha p^{j-1} (u = \sum \frac{\beta^n}{n})$

Note that $p^{j-1} \sum_{n < p^j} \frac{\beta^n}{n} \in S$, because $\frac{p^{j-1}}{n} \in \mathbb{Z}_p$ when $n < p^j$ ∈ S.

$$\therefore \alpha p^{j-1} \sum_{n \geq p^j} \frac{\beta^n}{n} \in S.$$

$$(A+B) \left(\frac{p^{j-1} \beta^{p^j}}{p^j} + \sum_{n > p^j} \frac{p^{j-1} \beta^n}{n} \right) \in S.$$

Since $A = pA'$, $A \left(\sum_{p^i \leq n < p^{j+1}} \frac{p^{j-1} \beta^n}{n} \right) \in S.$

$$\therefore \underbrace{B \frac{\beta^{p^j}}{p}}_{\parallel} + A \sum_{n \geq p^{j+1}} \frac{p^{j-1} \beta^n}{n} + B \sum_{n \geq p^j} \in S.$$

$$b_i \frac{\beta^{p^{j+i}}}{p} + \sum_{n \geq i+1} \frac{\beta^{p^j}}{p} b_n \beta^n$$

$$\therefore b_i \frac{\beta^{p^{j+i}}}{p} \in S + \text{Fil}^{p^{j+1}} B_{dR} + \text{Fil}^{p^{j+i+1}} B_{dR}.$$

$$\cap S + \text{Fil}^{p^{j+i+1}} B_{dR}$$

Note $\alpha \in S \cap \text{Fil}^m B_{dR}, \Rightarrow \alpha = \sum_{n \geq m} a_n \beta^n, a_n \in W(R).$

Note define $\mathcal{O}_m: \text{Fill}^m \text{Bak}^+ \rightarrow \mathbb{C}_p$

$$x \mapsto \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{x}{\beta^m}\right).$$

Let $m = p^j + i$, we have $b_i \frac{\beta^{p^j+i}}{p} = \alpha + \beta$

with $\alpha \in S \cap \text{Fill}^m \text{Bak}$, & $\beta \in \text{Fill}^{m+1} \text{Bak}$.

$$\therefore \mathcal{O}_m(\alpha) = \mathcal{O}(\alpha_m) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}, \quad \mathcal{O}_m(\beta) = 0.$$

$$\therefore \mathcal{O}_m\left(b_i \frac{\beta^m}{p}\right) \in \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}.$$

$$\text{but } \mathcal{O}_m\left(\frac{b_i \beta^m}{p}\right) = \mathcal{O}\left(\frac{b_i}{p}\right) \notin \mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{C}_p}.$$

Contradiction.