

Lesson 3

Thm: Let φ be an outer measure on an arbitrary set X . Then

(i) $\varphi(E) = 0 \Rightarrow E$ is φ -measurable

(ii) \emptyset and X are φ -measurable

(iii) If E_1, E_2 are φ -measurable $\Rightarrow E_1 \setminus E_2$ is φ -measurable

(iv) Let $\{E_i\}$ be a countable collection of disjoint φ -measurable sets, then $\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ is φ -measurable and

$$\varphi\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi(E_i)$$

More generally, if $A \subset X$ is an arbitrary set, then:

$$\varphi(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi(A \cap E_i) + \varphi(A \cap S^c),$$

where

$$S = \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$$

Proof

3.2

(i) Assume $\psi(E) = 0$

Let $P, Q, P \subset E, Q \subset E^c$

By subadditivity:

$$\psi(P \cup Q) \leq \psi(P) + \psi(Q)$$

We only need to show the reverse inequality.

Since $P \subset E$, by monotonicity:

$$\psi(P) \leq \psi(E) = 0$$

$$\therefore \psi(P) = 0 \rightarrow (1)$$

Since $Q \subset P \cup Q$, by monotonicity,

$$\psi(Q) \leq \psi(P \cup Q) \rightarrow (2)$$

From (1) & (2):

$$\psi(P) + \psi(Q) \leq \psi(P \cup Q). \quad \square$$

(ii) Let $P \subset \emptyset, Q \subset X$

$$\Rightarrow \psi(P) \leq \psi(\emptyset) = 0.$$

Since $Q \subset P \cup Q$, then $\psi(Q) \leq \psi(P \cup Q)$

$$\therefore \psi(P) + \psi(Q) \leq \psi(P \cup Q) \quad \square$$

Let $P \subset X$, $Q \subset X^c = \emptyset$

(3.3)

$$\therefore \psi(Q) = 0$$

Since $P \subset P \cup Q$, then $\psi(P) \leq \psi(P \cup Q)$

Hence:

$$\psi(Q) + \psi(P) \leq \psi(P \cup Q). \quad \square$$

(iii) Let E_1, E_2 ψ -measurable

Let $P \subset E_1 \setminus E_2$, $Q \subset (E_1 \setminus E_2)^c$

Note: $(E_1 \setminus E_2)^c = (E_1 \cap E_2^c)^c = E_1^c \cup E_2$

Then:

$$\psi(P) + \psi(Q) = \psi(P) + \underbrace{\psi(Q \cap E_2) + \psi(Q \cap E_2^c)}_{\psi(Q); \text{ since } E_2 \text{ is } \psi\text{-measurable}}$$

$$= \underbrace{\psi(P) + \psi(Q \cap E_2^c)}_{\psi(P \cup (Q \cap E_2^c))} + \psi(Q \cap E_2)$$

$\psi(P \cup (Q \cap E_2^c))$; since E_1 is ψ -measurable
because $[P \cup (Q \cap E_2^c)] \cap E_1 = (P \cap E_1) \cup [(Q \cap E_2^c) \cap E_1]$
 $= P \cup \emptyset = P$

$$[P \cup (Q \cap E_2^c)] \cap E_1^c = (P \cap E_1^c) \cup [(Q \cap E_2^c) \cap E_1^c]$$
$$= \emptyset \cup (Q \cap E_2) = Q \cap E_2$$

Hence:

3.4

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(P) + \psi(Q) &= \psi(P \cup (Q \cap E_2)) + \psi(Q \cap E_2) \\ &= \psi(P \cup (Q \cap E_2^c)) + \psi(Q \cap E_2)\end{aligned}$$

Since $Q \cap E_2 \subset E_2$ and $P \cup (Q \cap E_2^c) \subset E_2^c$,
the measurability of E_2 yields:

$$\begin{aligned}\psi(P) + \psi(Q) &= \psi[(P \cup (Q \cap E_2^c)) \cup (Q \cap E_2)] \\ &= \psi[P \cup \underbrace{(Q \cap E_2^c) \cup (Q \cap E_2)}_{= Q}] \\ &= \psi(P \cup Q).\end{aligned}$$

We conclude:

$$\psi(P \cup Q) = \psi(P) + \psi(Q). \quad \square$$

(iv) $\{E_i\}$ countable collection
of disjoint \mathcal{F} -measurable sets.

3.5

Define:

$$S_k := \bigcup_{i=1}^k E_i$$

Let $A \subset X$ be an arbitrary set.

We proceed by induction:

- The result is true for $k=1$, since:

$$E_1 \text{ is } \mathcal{F}\text{-meas. and } \mathcal{P}(A) \geq \mathcal{P}(A \cap E_1) + \mathcal{P}(A \cap E_1^c)$$

- We assume that the result is true for k ;
that is, we assume:

$$S_k \text{ is } \mathcal{F}\text{-meas. } \& \mathcal{P}(A) \geq \sum_{i=1}^k \mathcal{P}(A \cap E_i) + \mathcal{P}(A \cap S_k^c)$$

- We need to show that the result
is true for $k+1$:

We have:

$$\mathcal{P}(A) = \mathcal{P}(A \cap E_{k+1}) + \mathcal{P}(A \cap E_{k+1}^c); \text{ Since } E_{k+1} \text{ is } \mathcal{F}\text{-measurable}$$

$$= \mathcal{P}(A \cap E_{k+1}) + \mathcal{P}(A \cap E_{k+1}^c \cap S_k)$$

$$+ \mathcal{P}(A \cap E_{k+1}^c \cap S_k^c); \text{ Since } S_k \text{ is } \mathcal{F}\text{-meas.}$$

(3.6)

$$\psi(A) = \psi(A \cap E_{k+1}) + \psi(A \cap S_k) \\ + \psi\left(A \cap \left(\bigcap_{i=1}^{k+1} E_i^c\right)\right); \text{ Since } S_k \subset E_{k+1}^c$$

$$= \psi(A \cap E_{k+1}) + \psi(A \cap S_k) \\ + \psi\left(A \cap S_{k+1}^c\right); \text{ Since } S_{k+1}^c = \left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{k+1} E_i\right)^c \\ = \bigcap_{i=1}^{k+1} E_i^c$$

$$\geq \psi(A \cap E_{k+1}) + \psi(A \cap S_{k+1}^c)$$

$$+ \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^k \psi(A \cap S_k \cap E_i) + \psi((A \cap S_k) \cap S_k^c)}_{\text{From the hypothesis of induction}}$$

$$= \psi(A \cap E_{k+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^k \psi(A \cap E_i)$$

$$+ \psi(A \cap S_{k+1}^c)$$

$$\boxed{\psi(A) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \psi(A \cap E_i) + \psi(A \cap S_{k+1}^c)} \quad (3)$$

(3) \Rightarrow Result is true for $k+1$.

3.7

The subadditivity property and (3) give:

$$\psi(A) \geq \psi(A \cap S_{k+1}) + \psi(A \cap S_{k+1}^c) \quad (4)$$

(4) says that:

$$S_{k+1} \text{ is } \psi\text{-measurable.}$$

Since $S^c \subset S_k^c, \forall k$, then from (3) and monotonicity:

$$\psi(A) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} \psi(A \cap E_i) + \psi(A \cap S^c), \forall k$$

$$\therefore \psi(A) \geq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \psi(A \cap E_i) + \psi(A \cap S^c) \quad (5)$$

By (5) and subadditivity:

$$\psi(A) \geq \psi(A \cap S) + \psi(A \cap S^c) \quad (6)$$

By (6) we conclude:

(3.8)

$$\boxed{S \text{ is } \varphi\text{-measurable}}$$

Hence:

$$\varphi(A) = \varphi(A \cap S) + \varphi(A \cap S^c)$$

$$= \varphi\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} (A \cap E_i)\right) + \varphi(A \cap S^c)$$

$$\leq \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi(A \cap E_i) + \varphi(A \cap S^c); \text{ by subadditivity}$$

Hence, (5) yields:

$$\boxed{\varphi(A) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi(A \cap E_i) + \varphi(A \cap S^c)} \quad (7)$$

Putting $A := \bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i$ in (7) yields:

$$\varphi\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi\left[\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) \cap E_i\right] + \varphi\left(S \cap S^c\right)$$

$$\Rightarrow \boxed{\varphi\left(\bigcup_{i=1}^{\infty} E_i\right) = \sum_{i=1}^{\infty} \varphi(E_i)} \quad \blacksquare$$