# MA 34100 Fall 2016, HW 4

#### September 28, 2016

### **1** 2.4.5 $\cdots$ [2 *pts*]

Show that Definition 2.6 is equivalent to the following slight modification:

We write  $\lim_{n\to\infty} s_n = L$  provided that for every positive integer m there is a real number N so that  $|s_n - L| < \frac{1}{m}$  whenever  $n \ge N$ .

#### Proof.

1), $\Rightarrow$ : By the modified definition ,  $\forall m \in N$ , there is an integer  $N_m$  such that if  $n \ge N_m$ , then  $|s_n - L| < \frac{1}{m}$ . Then  $\forall \epsilon > 0$ , by Archimedean theorem,  $\exists m \text{ s.t.}, \frac{1}{m} < \epsilon$ . Then  $\forall n > N_m, |s_n - L| < \frac{1}{m} < \epsilon$ , satisfies the condition of definition 2.6.

 $\frac{1}{m} < \epsilon$ , satisfies the condition of definition 2.0. 2), $\Leftarrow$ : For any integer m, choose  $\epsilon = \frac{1}{m}$ , then by definition of 2.6,  $\exists N_1 \in N$ , if  $n > N_1$ , we get  $|s_n - L| < \epsilon = \frac{1}{m}$ , satisfies the condition of the modified definition.

## **2** 2.4.14 $\cdots$ [2 *pts*]

Show that the statement " $\{s_n\}$  converges to L" is false if and only if there is a positive number c so that the inequality  $|s_n - L| > c$  holds for infinitely many values of n.

#### Proof.

⇒:if  $\exists c > 0$ , there are infinitely many values of n makes  $|s_n - L| > c$ , then if suppose  $\{s_n\}$  converges to L, means for  $c, \exists N_1 \in N, \forall n > N_1, |s_n - L| < c$ , since  $N_1$  is finite, it is impossible to have infinity many values of n makes  $|s_n - L| > c$ .

 $\Leftarrow:$  if  $\{s_n\}$  does not converge to L, then it means  $\exists c > 0$ , for  $\forall N_1 \in N$ ,  $\exists n > N_1$ , such that  $|s_n - L| > c$ . Then if suppose only finite many values of  $\{n_i\}$  makes  $|s_{n_i} - L| > c$ , then let  $N_1 = \max\{n_i\}$ , then  $\forall n > N_1, |s_n - L| < c$ , it contradictive with  $\{s_n\}$  does not converge to L.

### **3** 2.7.5 $\cdots$ [3.5 *pts*]

Which statements are true?

(a) If  $\{s_n\}$  and  $\{t_n\}$  are both divergent then so is  $\{s_n+t_n\}$ .[False]. For example  $s_n = (-1)^n$ ,  $t_n = (-1)^{n+1}$ ,  $s_n + t_n = 0$ .

(b) If  $\{s_n\}$  and  $\{t_n\}$  are both divergent then so is  $\{s_nt_n\}$ .[False]. For example  $s_n = t_n = (-1)^n$ ,  $s_nt_n = 1$ .

(c) If  $\{s_n\}$  and  $\{s_n + t_n\}$  are both convergent then so is  $\{t_n\}$ .[True].

(d) If  $\{s_n\}$  and  $\{s_nt_n\}$  are both convergent then so is  $\{t_n\}$ .[False]. For example  $s_n = \frac{1}{n}, t_n = (-1)^n$ .

(e) If  $\{s_n\}$  is convergent so too is  $\{\frac{1}{s_n}\}$ .[False]. For example  $s_n = \frac{1}{n}$ .

(f) If  $\{s_n\}$  is convergent so too is  $\{s_n^2\}$ . [True]. (g) If  $\{s_n^2\}$  is convergent so too is  $\{s_n\}$ .[False]. For example  $s_n = (-1)^n$ .

## **4** $2.11.28 \cdots [2 \ pts]$

Let  $\{x_n\}$  be a bounded sequence that diverges. Show that there is a pair of convergent subsequences  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  and  $\{x_{m_k}\}$  so that  $\lim_{k\to\infty} |x_{n_k} - x_{m_k}| > 0$ .

Proof. Since  $\{x_n\}$  is a bounded sequence, by Bolzano-Weierstrass, there exists a convergent subsequence, let it be  $\{x_{n_k}\}$  and assume it converges to L. Since  $\{x_n\}$  does not converge to L, by problem 2.4.14,  $\exists c > 0$  there are infinity number n, such that  $|x_n - L| > c$ , let them be  $\{x_n\}$ , since  $\{x_n\}$  are bounded and infinity number, thus by Bolzano-Weierstrass, there exists a convergent subsequence  $\{x_{m_k}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  and  $|x_{m_k} - L| > c$ . Thus  $c < |x_{m_k} - L| \le |x_{m_k} - x_{n_k}| + |x_{n_k} - L| \Rightarrow |x_{m_k} - x_{n_k}| \ge c - |x_{n_k} - L|$ . Then  $\lim_{n \to \infty} |x_{m_k} - x_{n_k}| \ge c - \lim_{n \to \infty} |x_{n_k} - L| \ge c$ .