

## HONORS ALGEBRA: SOLUTIONS TO HOMEWORK 10

- 4.1, Q1. These are all of the integers  $a$  with  $0 \leq a \leq 23$  and  $(a, 24) = 1$ , for if  $(a, 24) = d > 1$ , then it is impossible that there is an integer  $b$  with  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{24}$ . Thus, since in  $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$  one has  $b^2 = 1$  for all  $b$  with  $(b, 24) = 1$ , the invertible elements in  $\mathbb{Z}_{24}$  are 1, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17, 19, 23 (there are  $8 = \varphi(24)$  elements here).
- 4.1, Q2. A field  $F$  is a ring which is a commutative division ring, so in particular, for each  $a \in F \setminus \{0\}$ , there exists  $a^{-1} \in F$  such that  $a^{-1}a = 1$ . Consequently, if  $ab = 0$  and  $a \neq 0$ , then  $b = a^{-1}ab = a^{-1}0 = 0$ . So whenever  $ab = 0$ , one has either  $a = 0$  or  $b = 0$ , and hence every field  $F$  is an integral domain
- 4.1, Q3. If  $n$  is not prime, say  $n = ab$  with  $a \geq b \geq 2$ , then  $ab = n \equiv 0 \pmod{n}$ . Thus, in  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  one has  $ab = 0$ , so that  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  has zero divisors and is not a field. If, meanwhile, one has that  $n$  is prime, then given an integer  $a$  with  $1 \leq a < n$  one has  $(a, n) = 1$ . Thus, there exist integers  $u$  and  $v$  with  $au + nv = (a, n) = 1$ , whence  $au \equiv 1 \pmod{n}$ . It follows that whenever  $a \in \mathbb{Z}_n \setminus \{0\}$ , then there is an element  $a^{-1}$  (the integer congruent to  $u$  modulo  $n$  with  $1 \leq u < n$ ) having the property that  $a^{-1}a = 1$ . But then, since  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a commutative ring with 1, and a division ring, when  $p$  is prime this ring is a field. Thus  $\mathbb{Z}_n$  is a field if and only if  $n$  is prime.
- 4.1, Q13. (a) One has  $(i + j)(i - j) = i^2 + ji - ij - j^2 = -1 - k - k - (-1) = -2k$ .  
 (b) One has  $(1 - i + 2j - 2k)(1 + 2i - 4j + 6k) = (1 + 2i - 4j + 6k) - (i - 2 - 4k - 6j) + 2(j - 2k + 4 + 6i) - 2(k + 2j + 4i - 6) = 23 + 5i + 4k$ .  
 (c) One has  $(2i - 3j + 4k)^2 = 2(-2 - 3k - 4j) - 3(-2k + 3 + 4i) + 4(2j + 3i - 4) = -29$ .  
 (d) One has  $i(\alpha_0 + \alpha_1i + \alpha_2j + \alpha_3k) - (\alpha_0 + \alpha_1i + \alpha_2j + \alpha_3k)i = -2\alpha_3j + 2\alpha_2k$ .
- 4.1, Q19. Let  $n$  be any natural number, and put  $a_n = 1/\sqrt{n^2 + 1}$  and  $b_n = n/\sqrt{n^2 + 1}$ . Then we see that  $(a_ni + b_nj)^2 = a_n^2i^2 + a_nb_nij + b_na_nji + b_n^2j^2 = -(a_n^2 + b_n^2) = -(1 + n^2)/(1 + n^2) = -1$ . Thus, over the quaternions we see that the equation  $a^2 + b^2 = 1$  has a solution  $a = a_n$ ,  $b = b_n$  for every natural number  $n$ , and hence infinitely many solutions.
- 4.1, Q20. (a) The closure axiom follows from observing that  $ij = -ji = k$ ,  $jk = -kj = i$  and  $ki = -ik = j$ . The identity element is 1. Also, every element has an inverse, since  $(\pm\alpha)^{-1} = \mp\alpha$  for  $\alpha \in \{i, j, k\}$ , while  $(\pm 1)^{-1} = \pm 1$ . Associativity requires checking associativity relations amongst  $1, i, j, k$ , since the coefficients  $\pm 1$  are harmless. Any combination  $(\alpha)((\beta)(\gamma))$  with any term equal to 1 or all terms equal is easily checked, and if two terms precisely are equal, then by symmetry it suffices to check that  $(ii)j = -j = ik = i(ij)$  and  $i(ji) = i(-k) = -ik = j = ki = (ij)i$ . Also by symmetry, in the situation in which  $\alpha, \beta$  and  $\gamma$  are all distinct it suffices to check that  $(ij)k = k^2 = -1 = i^2 = i(jk)$ . Thus  $G$  satisfies the group axioms and is a group.  
 (b) There are the obvious subgroups  $\{1\}$ ,  $\{\pm 1\}$ ,  $\{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ ,  $\{\pm 1, \pm j\}$  and  $\{\pm 1, \pm k\}$ . Notice here that as soon as a subgroup contains  $i$ , then it contains  $-1 = i^2$  and also  $-i = i^3$ , with similar comments for  $-i$ , and  $\pm j, \pm k$ . Meanwhile, if  $i$  and  $j$  lie in a given subgroup, then that subgroup also contains  $ij = k$ , and by the above comments, the whole group  $G$ , and similar comments apply for  $j$  and  $k$ , and for  $k$  and  $i$ , as well as combinations modulo  $\pm$ . Thus we have already listed all subgroups of  $G$ , namely the trivial group  $\{1\}$ , the whole group  $G$ , the groups  $\{\pm 1\}$  of order 2, and the 3 subgroups of order 4.

(c) Since  $ij = k \neq k = ji$ , we find that neither  $\pm i$  nor  $\pm j$  can lie in the center of  $G$ , and one may conclude similarly for  $\pm k$  since  $jk = i \neq -i = kj$ . Meanwhile, one has  $(\pm 1)\alpha = \alpha(\pm 1)$  for all  $\alpha \in G$ . Thus  $Z(G) = \{\pm 1\}$ .

(d) Since  $ij \neq ji$ , the group  $G$  is of course nonabelian. The subgroups  $G$  and  $\{1\}$  are trivially normal, and since  $\{\pm 1\} = Z(G)$ , this too is a normal subgroup of  $G$ . This leaves us to consider the 3 subgroups of order 4. Observe that  $j^{-1}ij = (-j)i(j) = -jk = -i$  and  $k^{-1}ik = -ki(k) = -k(-j) = -i$ . From these relations one deduces that whenever  $g \in G$ , one has  $g^{-1}(\pm i)g \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ , and even more easily that  $g^{-1}(\pm 1)g \in \{\pm 1, \pm i\}$ . Thus  $\{\pm 1, \pm i\} \triangleleft G$ . The conclusion is similar when  $j$  or  $k$  replaces  $i$  in this argument. Thus  $G$  is indeed a nonabelian group all of whose subgroups are normal.

4.1, Q31. If  $ad - bc \neq 0$ , then as an integer  $ad - bc$  is coprime to  $p$  and hence is invertible, say  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p$  satisfies  $u(ad - bc) = 1$ . But then

$$\begin{pmatrix} du & -bu \\ -cu & au \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u(ad - bc) & 0 \\ 0 & u(ad - bc) \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and so  $\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}$  is indeed invertible over  $R$ .

4.2, Q1. Suppose first that  $n, m \in \mathbb{N}$ . We have  $na = \sum_{i=1}^n a$  and  $mb = \sum_{j=1}^m b$ , and hence the distributive law shows that

$$(na)(mb) = \left(\sum_{i=1}^n a\right)\left(\sum_{j=1}^m b\right) = \sum_{i=1}^n \sum_{j=1}^m ab = (nm)(ab).$$

When  $n = 0$ , we have instead  $(0a)(mb) = 0(mb) = 0 = 0(ab) = (0m)(ab)$ , with a similar conclusion when  $m = 0$ . Meanwhile, when  $n$  is negative, say  $n = -k$ , we have  $(na)(mb) = (-ka)(mb) = (k(-a))(mb) = (km)((-a)b) = (km)(-(ab)) = -(km)(ab) = (-km)(ab) = ((-k)m)(ab) = (nm)(ab)$ . Again, the situation with  $m$  negative is similar, and when  $n$  and  $m$  are both negative, say  $n = -k$  and  $m = -l$ , we have  $(na)(mb) = (-ka)(-lb) = (k(-a))(l(-b)) = (kl)((-a)(-b)) = (kl)(-(a(-b))) = (kl)(-(-(ab))) = (kl)(ab) = (nm)(ab)$ . This completes the proof.

4.2, Q2. If  $ab = ac$  then  $ab - ac = 0$ , whence  $a(b - c) = 0$ . But  $R$  is an integral domain, so either  $a = 0$  or  $b - c = 0$ . The former case is excluded, so  $b - c = 0$  and hence  $b = c$ .

4.2, Q3. Suppose  $R$  is a finite integral domain, so  $R$  is a commutative ring with no zero divisors. Given  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ , one has  $a^n \neq 0$  for all  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . For otherwise, if  $h$  is the least positive integer with  $a^h = 0$ , we have  $a \neq 0$  and  $a^{h-1}a = 0$ , and the integral domain property of  $R$  implies that  $a^{h-1} = 0$ , contradicting the minimality of  $h$ . Next, since  $R$  is finite, the elements  $a^n$  cannot all be distinct for  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Suppose temporarily that  $R$  contains a 1. Then there are positive integers  $m$  and  $n$  with  $a^m(a^n - 1) = a^{m+n} - a^m = 0$ . Since  $a^m \neq 0$ , the integral domain property of  $R$  shows that  $a^n - 1 = 0$ , and hence  $a \cdot a^{n-1} = 1$ . Thus, for all  $a \in R \setminus \{0\}$ , there exists  $b \in R$  with  $ab = 1$ , namely  $b = a^{n-1}$ . Then  $R$  is a division ring that is commutative with 1, and hence a field.

Note that some authors insist that an integral domain contains a 1, and this avoids the last step of proving that  $R$  contains a 1. When  $a \in R \setminus 0$ , and  $b$  and  $c$  are distinct elements of  $R$ , then  $ab \neq ac$ . Thus, since  $R$  is finite, the map  $x \mapsto ax$  is a permutation of the elements of  $R$ . In particular, there exists an element  $e \in R$  with  $a = ae$  and then also  $a = ea$ . This element  $e$  is a multiplicative identity on  $R$ , for given  $b \in R$ , there exists  $c \in R$  with  $b = ac$ , and then  $eb = eac = ac = b$ , whence  $eb = be = b$  for all  $b \in R$ . Thus  $R$  does indeed have a 1, namely  $e$ .