## HONORS ALGEBRA: SOLUTIONS TO HOMEWORK 3

- 2.4, Q8. If every right coset of H in G is a left coset of H in G, then for each  $a \in G$  there is an  $b \in G$  such that Ha = bH. Since  $e \in H$ , one therefore sees that for some  $h \in H$  one has b = ha. Thus  $H = b^{-1}Ha = (ha)^{-1}Ha = a^{-1}h^{-1}Ha = a^{-1}Ha$ , whence  $aHa^{-1} = H$ . Since this relation holds for all  $a \in G$ , we have established the required relation.
- 2.4, Q13. The elements of  $U_{18}$  are integers a with  $1 \le a < 18$  for which a is coprime to both 2 and 3. Thus  $U_{18} = \{1, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17\}$ . Of course, the element 1 has order 1. One can check that

$$\langle 5 \rangle = \langle 11 \rangle = \{1, 5, 7, 11, 13, 17\}, \quad \langle 7 \rangle = \langle 13 \rangle = \{1, 7, 13\}, \quad \langle 17 \rangle = \{1, 17\},$$

and so

$$o(1) = 1$$
,  $o(17) = 2$ ,  $o(7) = o(13) = 3$ ,  $o(5) = o(11) = 6$ .

In particular, we see that  $U_{18}$  is cyclic, because  $U_{18} = \langle 5 \rangle$ .

2.4, Q16. If  $G = \{a_1, \ldots, a_n\}$  is an abelian group, we can pair each element a with its inverse  $a^{-1}$ . Since  $(a^{-1})^{-1} = a$ , then we can partition G into subsets  $\{a, a^{-1}\}$ . Possibly, one or more of these disjoint sets might have the property that  $a = a^{-1}$ . By relabeling the elements of G, we may suppose that  $a_{2i-1} = a_{2i}^{-1}$  for  $1 \le i \le r$ , and that  $a_j = a_j^{-1}$  for  $2r + 1 \le j \le n$ . Thus, if we write  $x = a_1 \cdots a_n$ , then we have

$$x^{2} = \left(\prod_{i=1}^{r} a_{2i-1}a_{2i}\right)^{2} \prod_{j=2r+1}^{n} a_{j}^{2}.$$

But  $a_{2i-1}a_{2i} = e$  for  $1 \le i \le r$ , and  $a_j^2 = e$  for  $2r + 1 \le j \le n$ , so all terms in both products are equal to e. Hence  $x^2 = e$ , as required.

- 2.4, Q18. We apply the method of problem 16 in the case  $G = U_p$ . The problem itself shows that  $((p-1)!)^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ . However, if  $x^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$ , we have  $(x-1)(x+1) \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ , and thus  $x-1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$  or  $x+1 \equiv 0 \pmod{p}$ . Thus  $x \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ . Hence  $(p-1)! \equiv \pm 1 \pmod{p}$ . To distinguish the choice of sign, observe that 1 and p-1 are the only self-inverse elements of  $U_p$ . Thus, when p is odd, the elements  $2, 3, \ldots, p-2$  can be partitioned into pairs a, b, where  $ab \equiv 1 \pmod{p}$  and  $a \neq b$ . Thus  $(p-1)! = (p-1) \cdot ((p-2) \cdot (p-3) \cdots 2) \equiv p-1 \equiv -1 \pmod{p}$ .
- 2.4, Q27. We may assume that whenever aH = bH, one has Ha = Hb, in G. Given  $a \in G$  and  $h \in H$ , observe that aH = ahH, whence the hypothesis shows that Ha = Hah. From the latter, there exists  $h' \in H$  so that ah = h'a and hence  $aha^{-1} = h' \in H$ . Since this relation holds for all  $h \in H$ , it follows that  $aHa^{-1} \subseteq H$ . Again, this holds for all  $a \in G$ , so replacing a by  $a^{-1}$  we obtain  $a^{-1}Ha \subseteq H$ , and thus  $H \subseteq aHa^{-1}$ . We therefore conclude that  $aHa^{-1} = H$  for all  $a \in G$ , as required.
- 2.4, Q31. Use the division algorithm to write s = qm + r, where  $q \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $0 \le r < m$ . Then we have  $e = a^s = a^{qm+r} = (a^m)^q a^r$ . But o(a) = m, so  $a^m = e$ , whence  $e = a^r$ . But  $0 \le r < m$ , so the hypothesis that o(a) = m implies that r = 0, and hence s = qm. Thus m|s.

- 2.4, Q37. Suppose that G is a finite cyclic group of order n, so that  $G = \langle a \rangle$  for some element  $a \in G$  having order n. The elements of G are the elements  $e, a, a^2, \ldots, a^{n-1}$ . Suppose that  $a^r$  has order m. Since  $e = (a^r)^m = a^{rm}$ , we must have n | rm, so that r is a multiple of n/m, say r = ln/m for some integer l with  $0 \leq l < m$ . But  $a^{ln/m}$  has order m if and only if the smallest positive integer k for which  $(a^{ln/m})^k = e$  is m. However, this holds if and only if the smallest positive integer k for which lk/m is an integer is m. Thus  $a^r$  has order m if and only if (l,m) = 1. Thus the number of elements of G having order m is given by the number of integers l with  $0 \leq l < m$  and (l,m) = 1, namely  $\varphi(m)$ .
- 2.5, Q2. (a) The identity mapping id :  $G_1 \to G_1$  with  $g \mapsto g$  gives a trivial isomorphism from  $G_1$  to  $G_1$ , whence  $G_1 \cong G_1$ .

(b) If  $G_1 \cong G_2$ , then there is a bijective homomorphism  $\varphi : G_1 \to G_2$ . Since  $\varphi$  is bijective, it has an inverse mapping  $\varphi^{-1} : G_2 \to G_1$  which is also bijective. Moreover, since  $\varphi$  is surjective, whenever  $g_2, h_2 \in G_2$ , there exist  $g_1, h_1 \in G_1$  with  $\varphi(g_1) = g_2$  and  $\varphi(h_1) = h_2$ . Hence, using the homomorphism property of  $\varphi$ , we obtain

$$\varphi^{-1}(g_2)\varphi^{-1}(h_2) = (\varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi(g_1))(\varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi(h_1)) = g_1h_1$$
  
=  $\varphi^{-1} \circ \varphi(g_1h_1) = \varphi^{-1}(\varphi(g_1)\varphi(h_1)) = \varphi^{-1}(g_2h_2).$ 

Since this relation holds for all  $g_2, h_2 \in G_2$ , we see that  $\varphi^{-1}$  is a homomorphism as well as being bijective, and hence  $\varphi^{-1}: G_2 \to G_1$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $G_2 \cong G_1$ .

(c) If  $G_1 \cong G_2$  and  $G_2 \cong G_3$ , then there exist bijective homomorphisms  $\varphi : G_1 \to G_2$ and  $\psi : G_2 \to G_3$ . Consider the map  $\psi \circ \varphi : G_1 \to G_3$ . Since  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$  are each bijective, we have that  $\psi \circ \varphi$  is also bijective. Moreover, for each  $g, h \in G_1$ , if we use the homomorphism properties of  $\varphi$  and  $\psi$ , we obtain

$$\psi \circ \varphi(gh) = \psi(\varphi(g)\varphi(h)) = \psi(\varphi(g))\psi(\varphi(h)) = (\psi \circ \varphi(g))(\psi \circ \varphi(h)).$$

Since this relation holds for all  $g, h \in G_1$ , we see that  $\psi \circ \varphi$  is a homomorphism as well as being bijective, and hence  $\psi \circ \varphi : G_1 \to G_3$  is an isomorphism. Thus  $G_1 \cong G_3$ .

2.5, Q6. We show that when  $\varphi : G \to G'$  is a homomorphism of groups, then  $\varphi(G) \leq G'$ . To confirm this, observe first that  $\varphi(e) = e'$ , where e and e' are the respective identities of G and G'. For we have  $\varphi(x) = \varphi(xe) = \varphi(x)\varphi(e)$ , whence  $\varphi(e) = e'$  by cancellation. Hence, also, for every  $a \in G$  one has  $e' = \varphi(e) = \varphi(aa^{-1}) = \varphi(a)\varphi(a^{-1})$ , whence  $\varphi(a^{-1}) = \varphi(a)^{-1}$ . Finally, whenever  $a, b \in G$ , we have

$$\varphi(a)\varphi(b)^{-1} = \varphi(a)\varphi(b^{-1}) = \varphi(ab^{-1}) \in \varphi(G).$$

Thus, for all  $g, h \in \varphi(G)$ , we have  $gh^{-1} \in \varphi(G)$ , so  $\varphi(G) \leq G'$  by the subgroup criterion.

2.5, Q7. We show that  $\varphi : G \to G'$  is a monomorphism of groups if and only if  $\ker(\varphi) = \{e\}$ . First, plainly, if  $\ker(\varphi) \neq \{e\}$ , then there exists  $g \in \ker(\varphi) \setminus \{e\}$ , and so  $\varphi$  cannot be a monomorphism. To see this note that  $\varphi(g) = e' = \varphi(e)$  whilst  $g \neq e$ . So  $\ker(\varphi)$  must be trivial if  $\varphi$  is to be a monomorphism. On the other hand, if  $\ker(\varphi)$  is trivial, then whenever  $\varphi(g_1) = \varphi(g_2)$ , one has  $g_1 = g_2$ . If this were not the case, and for some  $g_1 \neq g_2$  one has  $\varphi(g_1) = \varphi(g_2)$ , then  $\varphi(g_1g_2^{-1}) = \varphi(g_1)\varphi(g_2)^{-1} = \varphi(g_1)\varphi(g_1)^{-1} = e'$ , so  $g_1g_2^{-1} = e$  whilst  $g_1 \neq g_2$ , yielding a contradiction. When  $\ker(\varphi)$  is trivial, therefore, we see that  $\varphi$  is injective, and hence a monomorphism.