## HONORS ALGEBRA: SOLUTIONS TO HOMEWORK 5

2.6, Q16. Let G be an abelian group of order  $p^n m$ , where p is a prime with  $p \nmid m$ , and put  $P = \{a \in G : a^{p^k} = e \text{ for some } k \text{ depending on } a\}.$ 

(a) The set P is non-empty, and if  $a, b \in P$ , then for some integers k and h one has  $a^{p^k} = b^{p^h} = e$ , whence  $(ab^{-1})^{p^{k+h}} = (a^{p^k})^{p^h}(b^{p^h})^{-p^k} = e^{p^h}e^{-p^k} = e$ . The latter implies that  $ab^{-1} \in P$ . Hence  $P \leq G$ , as a consequence of the subgroup criterion.

(b) Since G is abelian, the subgroup P of G is normal. Suppose that  $Px \in G/P$  has order p. Then we have  $P = (Px)^p = Px^p$ , so that  $x^p \in P$ . But then the definition of p implies that for some k depending on x, one has  $e = (x^p)^{p^k} = x^{p^{k+1}}$ , whence  $x \in P$ . We are therefore forced to conclude that Px = P, and the latter coset has order 1 in G/P, yielding a contradiction. There is therefore no element in G/P having order p.

(c) Suppose that |P| = t. By Lagrange's theorem, the order of P divides that of G, and so  $t|(p^nm)$ . Thus, if  $p^n \nmid t$ , one has that p divides  $(p^nm)/t = |G|/|P| = |G/P|$ . However, Cauchy's theorem shows that when p divides |G/P|, then G/P has an element of order p, and we have shown in part (b) that this is not the case. We must therefore conclude that  $p^n|t$ . Suppose, if possible, that  $t \neq p^n$ , so that t is divisible by a prime q different from p. In such circumstances, Cauchy's theorem shows that P has an element a of order q. But the definition of P ensures that the order of a divides  $p^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , and this is impossible since  $q \nmid p^k$ . Thus we deduce that  $t = p^n$ , and hence  $|P| = p^n$ .

2.6, Q18. (a) In order to confirm that the set  $T = \{a \in G : a^m = e \text{ for some } m > 1 \text{ depending on } a\}$ is a subgroup of G, observe first that  $e \in T$ , so that T is non-empty. Next, when  $a, b \in T$ , there exist integers n > 1 and m > 1 with  $a^n = b^m = e$ , and thus (since G is abelian) one has  $(ab^{-1})^{nm} = (a^n)^m (b^m)^{-n} = e^m e^{-n} = e$ . Hence  $ab^{-1} \in T$ , and so  $T \leq G$  by the subgroup criterion.

(b) Since G is abelian, the subgroup T of G must be normal. Suppose that G/T has an element Tx of finite order, say  $e = (Tx)^k = Tx^k$  for some  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ . Then  $x^k \in T$ , so that for some  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  one has  $e = (x^k)^n = x^{kn}$ . But the latter implies that  $x \in T$ , whence Tx = T. Then G/T has no element, other than the identity element T, of finite order.

- 2.7, Q4. (a) Define ψ : G → G<sub>2</sub> by putting ψ((a,b)) = b. This map is well-defined, and for all (a<sub>1</sub>,b<sub>1</sub>) and (a<sub>2</sub>,b<sub>2</sub>) in G, one has ψ((a<sub>1</sub>,b<sub>1</sub>)(a<sub>2</sub>,b<sub>2</sub>)) = ψ((a<sub>1</sub>a<sub>2</sub>,b<sub>1</sub>b<sub>2</sub>)) = b<sub>1</sub>b<sub>2</sub> = ψ((a<sub>1</sub>,b<sub>1</sub>))ψ((a<sub>2</sub>,b<sub>2</sub>)), so ψ is a homomorphism. Moreover, we have ker(ψ) = {(a,b) ∈ G : ψ((a,b)) = e<sub>2</sub>} = {(a,e<sub>2</sub>) : a ∈ G<sub>1</sub>} = N. Since ker(ψ) ⊲ G, we have N ⊲ G.
  (b) We construct an isomorphism φ : N → G<sub>1</sub> by defining φ((a,e<sub>2</sub>)) = a. This map is well-defined, and for all (a, e<sub>2</sub>) and (b, e<sub>2</sub>) in N, one has φ((a, e<sub>2</sub>)(b, e<sub>2</sub>)) = φ(ab, e<sub>2</sub>) = ab = φ((a, e<sub>2</sub>))φ((b, e<sub>2</sub>)), so that φ is a homomorphism. If φ((a, e<sub>2</sub>)) = φ((b, e<sub>2</sub>)), then a = b, whence (a, e<sub>2</sub>) = (b, e<sub>2</sub>), and so φ is injective. Moreover, whenever a ∈ G<sub>1</sub>, one has φ((a, e<sub>2</sub>)) = a, and (a, e<sub>2</sub>) ∈ N, so that φ is surjective. Then φ is an injective and surjective homomorphism from N to G<sub>1</sub>, and hence an isomorphism, whence N ≅ G<sub>1</sub>.
  (c) The map ψ from part (a) is plainly surjective, and so it follows from the First Homomorphism Theorem that G/N = G/ker(ψ) ≅ G<sub>2</sub>.
- 2.7, Q6. Define  $\varphi: G \to G/N$  to be the canonical homomorphism, and suppose  $a \in G$  has finite order n = o(a). Then since  $(Na)^n = \varphi(a)^n = \varphi(a^n) = \varphi(e) = N$ , it follows that the order of Na in G/N has order m dividing n, which is to say that m|o(a).

- 2.7, Q7. Suppose that  $\varphi : G \to G'$  is a surjective homomorphism. If  $N \triangleleft G$ , then we know that  $\varphi(N) \leq G'$  (Lemma 2.5.3). Moreover, since  $\varphi$  is surjective, whenever  $g' \in G'$  there exists  $g \in G$  with  $\varphi(g) = g'$ , and hence  $(g')^{-1}\varphi(N)g' = \varphi(g)^{-1}\varphi(N)\varphi(g) = \varphi(g^{-1}Ng)$ . But  $g^{-1}Ng \subseteq N$  by the normality of N in G, and hence, for all  $g' \in G'$  one has  $(g')^{-1}\varphi(N)g' \subseteq \varphi(N)$ . Thus  $\varphi(N) \triangleleft G'$ , as required.
- 3.2, Q3. (a) Since  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 8 & 9 \\ 3 & 1 & 4 & 2 & 7 & 6 & 9 & 8 & 5 \end{pmatrix} = (1,3,4,2)(5,7,9)$ , the permutation in question is a product of a disjoint 4-cycle and 3-cycle, and hence has order lcm(3,4) = 12. (b) Since  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 7 & 6 & 5 & 4 & 3 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = (1,7)(2,6)(3,5)$ , the permutation in question is a product of 3 disjoint 2-cycles, and hence has order lcm(2,2,2) = 2. (c) Since  $\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 7 & 6 & 5 & 3 & 4 & 2 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 2 & 3 & 1 & 5 & 6 & 7 & 4 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 2 & 3 & 4 & 5 & 6 & 7 \\ 6 & 5 & 7 & 4 & 2 & 1 & 3 \end{pmatrix} = (1,6)(2,5)(3,7)$ , the permutation in question is a product of 3 disjoint 2-cycles, and hence has order lcm(2,2,2) = 2.
- 3.2, Q9. We obtain  $\sigma$  by applying a transposition that switches 2 and 3, thereby obtaining (1,3) from (1,2). Thus (2,3)  $(1,2)(2,3)^{-1} = (2,3)(1,2)(2,3) = (1,3)$ , and we take  $\sigma = (2,3)$ .
- 3.2, Q11. We need to switch 1 with 4, 2 with 5, and 3 with 6, so try  $\sigma = (1, 4) (2, 5) (3, 6)$ . We have  $\sigma(1, 2, 3)\sigma^{-1} = (1, 4) (2, 5) (3, 6) (1, 2, 3) (1, 4) (2, 5) (3, 6) = (4, 5, 6)$ .
- 3.2, Q14. Let  $\tau$  be a transposition, say  $\tau = (a, b)$  with  $a \neq b$ . If  $\sigma$  is another permutation and n is an element with  $\sigma^{-1}(n)$  different from a and b, then  $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}(n) = \sigma\sigma^{-1}(n) = n$ . On the other hand, if  $\sigma^{-1}(n) = a$ , we have  $\tau\sigma^{-1}(n) = \tau(a) = b$ , whence  $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}(n) = \sigma(b)$ , and similarly when  $\sigma^{-1}(n) = b$  we obtain  $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1}(n) = \sigma(a)$ . Thus  $\sigma\tau\sigma^{-1} = (\sigma(a), \sigma(b))$ , which is again a transposition.
- 3.2, Q17. We begin by showing that all transpositions (1, a) with  $1 \le a \le n$  are contained in any subgroup H containing (1, 2) and  $\sigma = (1, 2, ..., n)$ . For by Q14, whenever  $(1, a) \in H$ with  $2 \le a < n$ , the closure of H implies that we have  $\sigma^{-1}(1, a)\sigma = (\sigma(1), \sigma(a)) =$  $(2, a + 1) \in H$ , and hence  $(2, a + 1)^{-1}(1, 2)(2, a + 1) = (1, a + 1) \in H$ . Thus  $(1, a) \in H$ for all  $2 \le a \le n$ , whence  $(1, b)^{-1}(1, a)(1, b) = (a, b) \in H$  for all  $a \ne b$ . Then H contains all transpositions. Since every element of  $S_n$  is a product of transpositions, and Hcontains all transpositions, we conclude by the closure of H that  $H = S_n$ , as required.
- 3.2, Q20. Suppose that  $\tau_1$  and  $\tau_2$  are distinct transpositions. By relabelling elements, we may suppose that  $\tau_1 = (1, 2)$  and  $\tau_2$  is either (1, 3) or (3, 4). In the former case  $\tau_1 \tau_2 = (1, 2)(1, 3) = (1, 3, 2)$  has order 3, and in the latter case  $\tau_1 \tau_2 = (1, 2)(3, 4)$  has order 2.
- 3.2, Q23. If  $\nu = (a_1, a_2, \ldots, a_k)$  is a k-cycle and  $\rho \in S_n$ , then in a similar manner as in the discussion of Q14, one has  $\rho\nu\rho^{-1} = (\rho(a_1), \rho(a_2), \ldots, \rho(a_k))$ . Let the  $m_j$ -cycles in  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be respectively  $(a_1, \ldots, a_m)$  and  $(b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ , where  $m = m_j$ . Then any permutation with  $\rho(a_h) = b_h$  for  $1 \leq h \leq m$  has the property that  $\rho(a_1, \ldots, a_m)\rho^{-1} = (b_1, \ldots, b_m)$ . This determines the action of the permutation  $\rho$  on the elements in the  $m_j$ -cycle. But the elements in each cycle comprising  $\sigma$  are disjoint, and so  $\rho$  is completely determined by its action on all of these cycles (including the trivial 1-cycles). Notice that since the cycles comprising  $\tau$  are likewise disjoint, the action of  $\rho$  determined in this way does indeed define a permutation, since the action is injective. Let the cycles of length  $m_1, \ldots, m_k$  in  $\sigma$  and  $\tau$  be respectively  $\sigma_1, \ldots, \sigma_k$  and  $\tau_1, \ldots, \tau_k$ . Then we have  $\rho\sigma_j\rho^{-1} = \tau_j$  for  $1 \leq j \leq k$ , and hence

$$\rho\sigma\rho^{-1} = \rho\sigma_1\sigma_2\ldots\sigma_k\rho^{-1} = (\rho\sigma_1\rho^{-1})(\rho\sigma_2\rho^{-1})\ldots(\rho\sigma_k\rho^{-1}) = \tau_1\tau_2\ldots\tau_k = \tau.$$