

GALOIS THEORY: SOLUTIONS TO HOMEWORK 7

1. Suppose that \overline{K} is an algebraic closure of K , and assume that $K \subseteq \overline{K}$. Take $\alpha \in \overline{K}$ and suppose that $\sigma : K \rightarrow \overline{K}$ is a homomorphism.

(a) Show that σ can be extended to a homomorphism $\tau : \overline{K} \rightarrow \overline{K}$.

(b) Prove that the number of distinct roots of $m_\alpha(K)$ in \overline{K} is equal to the number of distinct roots of $\sigma(m_\alpha(K))$ in \overline{K} .

Solution: (a) Since \overline{K} is an algebraic extension of K with $K \subseteq \overline{K}$, and $\sigma : K \rightarrow \overline{K}$ is a homomorphism, Theorem 4.6 shows that σ extends to a homomorphism $\tau : \overline{K} \rightarrow \overline{K}$.

(b) In $\overline{K}[t]$, we have $m_\alpha(K) = \prod_{i=1}^d (t - \gamma_i)^{r_i}$, where $\gamma_1, \dots, \gamma_d$ are distinct, and $r_1, \dots, r_d \in \mathbb{N}$. By part (a) there is a homomorphism $\tau : \overline{K} \rightarrow \overline{K}$ extending σ . Recall that τ is necessarily injective. Then $\sigma(m_\alpha(K)) = \tau(m_\alpha(K)) = \prod_{i=1}^d (t - \tau(\gamma_i))^{r_i}$. Since τ is injective, one has that $\tau(\gamma_1), \dots, \tau(\gamma_d)$ are distinct, and the conclusion follows.

2. Suppose that $L : K$ is an algebraic extension of fields.

(a) Show that \overline{L} is an algebraic closure of K , and hence $\overline{L} \simeq \overline{K}$.

(b) Suppose that $K \subseteq L \subseteq \overline{L}$. Show that one may take $\overline{K} = \overline{L}$.

Solution: (a) Consider $L : K$ as an extension relative to the embedding φ , and $\overline{L} : L$ as an extension relative to the embedding ψ . Then $\overline{L} : K$ is an extension of fields relative to the embedding $\psi \circ \varphi$, and since \overline{L} is algebraically closed, then \overline{L} is an algebraic closure of K . Thus Proposition 4.9 shows that, since \overline{K} is also an algebraic closure of K , then $\overline{L} \simeq \overline{K}$.

(b) Suppose that there is a smaller algebraic closure \overline{K} of K than \overline{L} . We may suppose that \overline{K} is an algebraic extension of K with $K \subseteq \overline{K}$. We have that \overline{L} is an algebraic closure of K and $K \subseteq \overline{L}$. Take $\varphi : K \rightarrow \overline{L}$ to be the inclusion mapping. Theorem 4.6 shows that φ can be extended to a homomorphism from \overline{K} into \overline{L} . Thus $\overline{L} : \overline{K}$ is a field extension with $[\overline{L} : \overline{K}] > 1$ (since \overline{K} is smaller than \overline{L}). But this contradicts the fact that \overline{K} is algebraically closed. Thus we may take $\overline{K} = \overline{L}$, as claimed.

3. For each of the following polynomials, construct a splitting field L over \mathbb{Q} and compute the degree $[L : \mathbb{Q}]$.

(a) $t^3 - 1$

(b) $t^7 - 1$

Solution: (a) One has $t^3 - 1 = (t - 1)(t - \omega)(t - \omega^2)$, where $\omega = e^{2\pi i/3} = \frac{1}{2}(-1 + \sqrt{-3})$. So $\mathbb{Q}(\omega) : \mathbb{Q}$ is a splitting field extension for $t^3 - 1$. We see that $(t^3 - 1)/(t - 1) = t^2 + t + 1$ is monic, and it is easy to check that this polynomial has no linear factor and hence is irreducible. Hence $m_\omega(\mathbb{Q}) = t^2 + t + 1$, and $[\mathbb{Q}(\omega) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$.

(b) One has $t^7 - 1 = (t - 1)(t - \zeta)(t - \zeta^2) \cdots (t - \zeta^6)$, where $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/7}$. So $\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q}$ is a splitting field extension for $t^7 - 1$. We see that $(t^7 - 1)/(t - 1) = t^6 + \dots + t + 1$ is monic, and we have seen that $(t^p - 1)/(t - 1)$ is irreducible over \mathbb{Q} when p is prime. Hence $m_\zeta(\mathbb{Q}) = t^6 + \dots + t + 1$, and $[\mathbb{Q}(\zeta) : \mathbb{Q}] = 6$.

4. For each of the following polynomials, construct a splitting field L over \mathbb{Q} and compute the degree $[L : \mathbb{Q}]$.

(a) $t^4 + t^2 - 6$

(b) $t^8 - 16$

Solution: (a) We have $t^4 + t^2 - 6 = (t^2 - 2)(t^2 + 3) = (t + \sqrt{2})(t - \sqrt{2})(t + \sqrt{-3})(t - \sqrt{-3})$. Then with $L = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-3})$, we have that $L : \mathbb{Q}$ is a splitting field extension for $t^4 + t^2 - 6$. The polynomial $t^2 - 2$ has $\sqrt{2}$ as a root, and $t^2 - 2$ is irreducible by Eisenstein's criterion using the prime 2. Thus $m_{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbb{Q}) = t^2 - 2$ and $[\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = \deg m_{\sqrt{2}}(\mathbb{Q}) = 2$. Put $K = \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})$, and note that $\sqrt{-3}$ is a root of the polynomial $t^2 + 3$. This polynomial is irreducible over $K[t]$, since $\sqrt{-3}$ is not real, and yet $K \subset \mathbb{R}$. Thus $m_{\sqrt{-3}}(K) = t^2 + 3$ and $[K(\sqrt{-3}) : K] = \deg m_{\sqrt{-3}}(K) = 2$. The tower law thus yields

$$[L : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}, \sqrt{-3}) : \mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2})][\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{2}) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2 \cdot 2 = 4.$$

(b) We have $t^8 - 16 = t^8 - 2^4 = (t - \alpha)(t - \zeta\alpha) \cdots (t - \zeta^7\alpha)$, where $\alpha = \sqrt[8]{16} = \sqrt{2} \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $\zeta = e^{2\pi i/8}$. Thus, with $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \zeta\alpha, \zeta^2\alpha, \dots, \zeta^7\alpha)$, we see that $L : \mathbb{Q}$ is a splitting field extension for $t^8 - 16$. Note that $\zeta = (\zeta\alpha)/\alpha \in L$, and hence $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \zeta) \subseteq L$. Also, for $k \in \mathbb{N}$, one has $\zeta^k\alpha \in \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \zeta)$, and so $L \subseteq \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \zeta)$. We therefore conclude that $L = \mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \zeta)$. Next, noting that $m_\alpha(\mathbb{Q}) = t^2 - 2$, we see that $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = 2$. Also, we have $\zeta = (1 + i)/\alpha$, so $\alpha\zeta - 1$ is a root of the polynomial $t^2 + 1$, whence ζ is a root of the polynomial $\alpha^2 t^2 - 2\alpha t + 2 = 2t^2 - 2\alpha t + 2$. But $\zeta \notin \mathbb{R}$, and so this polynomial is irreducible over $\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)$. Thus $m_\zeta(\mathbb{Q}(\alpha)) = t^2 - \alpha t + 1$, and $[\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \zeta) : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)] = 2$. It therefore follows from the tower law that $[L : \mathbb{Q}] = [\mathbb{Q}(\alpha, \zeta) : \mathbb{Q}(\alpha)][\mathbb{Q}(\alpha) : \mathbb{Q}] = 4$.

5. Suppose that $L : K$ is a splitting field extension for the polynomial $f \in K[t] \setminus K$.

(a) Prove that $[L : K] \leq (\deg f)!$.

(b) Prove that $[L : K]$ divides $(\deg f)!$.

Solution: (a) The conclusion in part (a) follows of course from that of part (b), but we nonetheless provide the slightly simpler argument available in this case. We use induction on $n = \deg(f)$. In the base case $n = 1$, we have $[L : K] = 1$, so the conclusion holds. Suppose now that $n > 1$ and that the desired conclusion holds for all polynomials of degree smaller than n . Let $\alpha \in L$ be any root of f . Then f factors as $(t - \alpha)g$ for some polynomial $g \in K(\alpha)[t]$ of degree $n - 1$. Moreover, we have that L is a splitting field for g over $K(\alpha)$. By induction, we therefore see that $[L : K(\alpha)] \leq (n - 1)!$. Since $[K(\alpha) : K] = n$, the Tower Law shows that $[L : K] \leq n \cdot (n - 1)! = n!$. This confirms the inductive step, and the desired conclusion follows.

(b) In the second case we again proceed by induction on $n = \deg(f)$, and again the case $n = 1$ is immediate. Now, when $n > 1$, we split the argument according to whether f is reducible or not over K . If f is irreducible, let $\alpha \in L$ be any root of f . Then f again factors as $(t - \alpha)g$ for some other polynomial $g \in K(\alpha)[t]$ of degree $n - 1$. Moreover, we have that L is a splitting field for g over $K(\alpha)$. By induction, we therefore see that $[L : K(\alpha)]$ divides $(n - 1)!$. Since $[K(\alpha) : K] = n$, the Tower Law shows that $[L : K]$ divides $n \cdot (n - 1)! = n!$.

On the other hand, if $f = gh$ is reducible, let M be the subfield of L generated by K and the roots of g . Then M is a splitting field for g over K and L is a splitting field for h over M . By induction, we have that $[M : K]$ divides $r!$ and $[L : M]$ divides $(n - r)!$, where $r = \deg(g)$. Hence $[L : K] = [L : M][M : K]$ divides $r!(n - r)!$, which in turn divides $n!$ (with quotient equal to the binomial coefficient $\binom{n}{r}$).

We confirm the inductive step in both cases, and the desired conclusion follows by induction.