GALOIS THEORY: SOLUTIONS TO HOMEWORK 9

- 1. Suppose that E: K and F: K are finite extensions having the property that K, E and F are contained in a field L.
 - (a) Show that EF : K is a finite extension;
 - **Solution:** Since E: K and F: K are both finite extensions, then for some natural number n there exist elements $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in E$, all algebraic over K, such that $E = K(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$. Thus $EF = F(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$, and it follows from the tower law that $[EF:F] \leq \prod_{i=1}^{n} [F(\alpha_i):F] < \infty$. But then, again by the tower law, one has $[EF:K] = [EF:F][F:K] < \infty$, and so EF:F is a finite extension.
 - (b) Show that when E: K and F: K are both normal, then $E \cap F: K$ is a normal extension;

Solution: For any $\alpha \in E \cap F$, one sees that since E is algebraic over K, then α is algebraic over K. Hence $E \cap F : K$ is algebraic. Suppose next that $f \in K[t] \setminus K$ has the property that f is irreducible over K, and $f(\alpha) = 0$ for some $\alpha \in E \cap F$. Thus f splits over E and over F, and so f splits over $E \cap F$. Hence $E \cap F : K$ is a normal extension.

(c) Show that when E: K and F: K are both normal, then $EF: E \cap F$ is a normal extension.

Solution: Theorem 6.7 shows that EF : K is normal. Since $EF : E \cap F : K$ is a tower of field extensions with EF : K normal, it follows from Proposition 6.3 that $EF : E \cap F$ is also normal.

2. Suppose that L: M is an algebraic extension with $M \subseteq L$. Show that when $\alpha \in L$ and $\sigma: M \to \overline{M}$ is a homomorphism, then $\sigma(m_{\alpha}(M))$ is separable over $\sigma(M)$ if and only if $m_{\alpha}(M)$ is separable over M.

Solution: Suppose that $\alpha \in L$ and $\sigma : M \to \overline{M}$ is a homomorphism. This homomorphism may be extended to a homomorphism $\sigma : \overline{M} \to \overline{M}$. Since L : M is algebraic, we know that $m_{\alpha}(M)$ exists. Over \overline{M} , we have

$$m_{\alpha}(M) = (t - \alpha_1)^{r_1} \cdots (t - \alpha_d)^{r_d},$$

where $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_d$ are distinct and $r_1, \ldots, r_d \in \mathbb{N}$. Then

$$\sigma(m_{\alpha}(M)) = (t - \sigma(\alpha_1))^{r_1} \cdots (t - \sigma(\alpha_d))^{r_d},$$

and since σ is necessarily injective, we know that $\sigma(\alpha_1), \ldots, \sigma(\alpha_d)$ are distinct. Thus $m_{\alpha}(M)$ has multiple roots if and only if $\sigma(m_{\alpha}(M))$ has multiple roots. We know that $\sigma(m_{\alpha}(M))$ is irreducible over $\sigma(M)$ since $m_{\alpha}(M)$ is irreducible over M. Hence $m_{\alpha}(M)$ is separable over M if and only if $\sigma(m_{\alpha}(M))$ is separable over $\sigma(M)$.

3. (a) Suppose that $f \in K[t]$ is separable over K and that L : K is a splitting field extension for f. Show that L : K is separable. **Solution:** Assume that $K \subseteq L$. Since L : K is a splitting field extension for f, we have that $L = K(\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n)$, where $\alpha_1, \ldots, \alpha_n \in L$ are the roots of f. For each i with $1 \leq i \leq n$, we have that $m_{\alpha_i}(K)$ divides f, and since f is separable over K and $m_{\alpha_i}(K)$ is irreducible over K, we know by definition that $m_{\alpha_i}(K)$ is separable over K for each i, and hence by Theorem 7.4, the field extension L : K is separable.

- (b) Suppose that L: K is a splitting field extension for $S \subseteq K[t]$ where each $f \in S$ is separable over K. Show that L: K is a separable extension.
 - **Solution:** Let $\alpha \in L$. Then by Proposition 1.9, we have that $\alpha \in D$, where D is some finite subset of $A = \{\beta \in L : g(\beta) = 0 \text{ for some } g \in S\}$. For each $\beta \in D$, choose $g_{\beta} \in S$ in such a manner that β is a root of g_{β} . Put $h = \prod_{\beta \in D} g_{\beta}$, and let M : K be a splitting field extension for h. We may assume here that $K \subseteq M \subseteq L$. Since g_{β} is separable over K for each $\beta \in D$, we deduce that h is separable over K. Thus, by part (a), we conclude that M : K is separable. But $\alpha \in K(D) \subseteq M$, and so α is separable over K. Finally, since this argument holds for all $\alpha \in L$, we find that L : K is separable.
- 4. Let p be a prime number, let \mathbb{F}_p denote the finite field of p elements, and let $K = \mathbb{F}_p(t)$. Suppose that L: K is a field extension, and $s \in L$ is transcendental over K.
 - (a) Write J = K(s), and let E denote a splitting field for the polynomial $x^p t \in J[x]$. Show that for some $\xi \in E$, one has $x^p - t = (x - \xi)^p$, and deduce that [E : J] = p. **Solution:** Let E denote a splitting field for $x^p - t$ over J. Write $h(x) = x^p - t$. Since E is a splitting field for h, there exists some $\xi \in E$ with $h(\xi) = 0$. In particular, one has $\xi^p = t$. But since the binomial coefficients $\binom{p}{r}$ are divisible by p, and hence zero in \mathbb{F}_p for $1 \leq r < p$, we have $(x - \xi)^p = x^p - \xi^p = x^p - t$, as desired.

We next show that h is irreducible over J. If $(x-\xi)^p = x^p - t = fg$, with $f, g \in J[x]$ monic polynomials of degree at least one, then since E[x] is a UFD, one finds that $f = (x - \xi)^u$ and $g = (x - \xi)^{p-u}$ for some integer u with $1 \le u \le p - 1$. Since p and u are coprime, so too are p - u and u, and hence there exist $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$ with au + b(p - u) = 1. Thus $x - \xi = f^a g^b \in J[x]$, whence $\xi \in J$. But then there exist $c, d \in \mathbb{F}_q[s, t] \setminus \{0\}$ with $\xi = c/d$. Hence $t = \xi^p = c^p/d^p$, so that $c^p = td^p$. The degree of the polynomial on the left hand side of the last relation is divisible by p, while on the right hand side the degree is congruent to 1 modulo p, a contradiction. Thus, the hypothesised factorisation does not exist, and so h is irreducible over J. Finally, since h is irreducible over J[x], one has $h = m_{\xi}(J)$. Since $E = J(\xi)$, we deduce that $[E:J] = \deg(m_{\xi}(J)) = p$, as desired.

(b) Let U: J be a splitting field extension for the polynomial $(x^p - t)(x^p - s)$. By considering a splitting field extension F for the polynomial $x^p - s \in E[x]$, show that $[U:J] = p^2$.

Solution: We have $E = J(\xi) \subseteq \mathbb{F}_p(\xi, s)$. The same argument as in part (a), in all essentials, shows that [F : E] = p. For some $\eta \in U$ we have $x^p - s = (x - \eta)^p$. Were $x^p - s$ to fail to be irreducible over E[x], then for some integer v with $1 \leq v \leq p-1$, we would have $\eta^v = s$. But then we deduce as before that $\eta \in E$. Then the relation $\eta^p = s$ implies the existence of polynomials $c', d' \in \mathbb{F}_p(\xi)[s]$ with $(c')^p = s(d')^p$, leading to a contradiction (on considering the degrees of left and right hand sides as polynomials in s). Then $x^p - s$ is irreducible over E[x]. Since $F = E(\eta)$, we obtain $[F : E] = \deg(m_\eta(E)) = p$, as required. Finally, by the Tower Law, we have $[F : J] = [F : E][E : J] = p^2$. But $E \subsetneq U \subseteq F$. Then by the Tower Law we see that [U : J] is a divisor of p^2 exceeding p, which is to say that $[U : J] = p^2$.

- 5. With the same notation as in the previous question:
 - (a) Show that if $\gamma \in U$, then $\gamma^p \in J$.

Solution: The field U contains elements ξ and η with $\xi^p = t$ and $\eta^p = s$, and one has $(x^p - t)(x^p - s) = (x - \xi)^p (x - \eta)^p$, so that $U = J(\xi, \eta)$. Then if $\gamma \in U$, we may find non-zero polynomials $q, r \in J[x_1, x_2]$ for which $\gamma = q(\xi, \eta)/r(\xi, \eta)$. But then by our earlier observation concerning *p*th powers, one finds that $\gamma^p = q(\xi^p, \eta^p)/r(\xi^p, \eta^p) = q(t, s)/r(t, s) \in J.$

- (b) What is the degree of the field extension J(γ) : J? Explain. Solution: Let δ = γ^p ∈ J. Then the minimal polynomial of γ over J divides t^p − δ, hence has degree at most p. In particular, one has 1 ≤ [J(γ) : J] ≤ p. On the other hand, since J ⊆ J(γ) ⊆ U, it follows from the Tower Law that [J(γ) : J] divides [U : J] = p². Thus we conclude that [J(γ) : J] = 1 or p.
- (c) Deduce that U : J is a finite field extension which is not simple. Solution: Suppose that U : J is a simple extension, so that for some element γ ∈ U, one has U = J(γ). Then from part (b) we have [U : J] = [J(γ) : J] = 1 or p, yet from 4(b) we must have [U : J] = p². This yields a contradiction, and so the finite field extension U : J is not simple.

©Trevor D. Wooley, Purdue University 2024. This material is copyright of Trevor D. Wooley at Purdue University unless explicitly stated otherwise. It is provided exclusively for educational purposes at Purdue University, and is to be downloaded or copied for your private study only.