

# MEAN VALUE ESTIMATES FOR ODD CUBIC WEYL SUMS

TREVOR D. WOOLEY

ABSTRACT. We establish an essentially optimal estimate for the ninth moment of the exponential sum having argument  $\alpha x^3 + \beta x$ . The first substantial advance in this topic for over 60 years, this leads to improvements in Heath-Brown's variant of Weyl's inequality, and other applications of Diophantine type.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

This memoir concerns the mean values

$$I_s(X) = \int_0^1 \int_0^1 \left| \sum_{1 \leq x \leq X} e(\alpha x^3 + \beta x) \right|^s d\alpha d\beta,$$

where  $e(z) = e^{2\pi iz}$ . Estimates for  $I_s(X)$  make an appearance in the literature as early as 1947, when L.-K. Hua [5, Lemma 4.3 and Theorem 6] showed that

$$I_6(X) \ll X^3(\log 2X)^9 \quad \text{and} \quad I_{10}(X) \ll_\varepsilon X^{6+\varepsilon}. \quad (1.1)$$

These mean values have more recently been applied to obtain improvements in Weyl's inequality and Waring's problem (see [1, 4]), and also in investigations concerning the integral solubility of diagonal cubic equations subject to a linear slice (see [3]). Presumably, one should in general have the upper bound  $I_s(X) \ll X^{s/2} + X^{s-4}$ , but hitherto, the best available estimates for  $I_s(X)$  are little better than those obtained from Hua's bounds (1.1) via Hölder's inequality. By applying the cubic case of the main conjecture in Vinogradov's mean value theorem, recently established in [10], we are now able to obtain estimates for  $I_s(X)$  substantially sharper than these earlier bounds.

**Theorem 1.1.** *For each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one has  $I_8(X) \ll X^{13/3+\varepsilon}$  and  $I_9(X) \ll X^{5+\varepsilon}$ .*

By orthogonality, the mean value  $I_6(X)$  counts the number of integral solutions of the system

$$\sum_{i=1}^3 (x_i^3 - y_i^3) = \sum_{i=1}^3 (x_i - y_i) = 0,$$

with  $1 \leq x_i, y_i \leq X$  ( $1 \leq i \leq 3$ ). These simultaneous equations, defining the so-called Segre cubic (see [6]) has been the focus of vigorous investigation in recent years. Vaughan and Wooley [8] showed that

$$I_6(X) = 6X^3 + U(X), \quad (1.2)$$

---

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 11L15, 11L07, 11P55.

*Key words and phrases.* Exponential sums, Hardy-Littlewood method.

where  $U(X) \asymp X^2(\log X)^5$ , and de la Bretèche [2] has obtained an asymptotic formula for  $U(X)$  of the shape  $U(X) \sim CX^2(\log X)^5$ , for a suitable positive constant  $C$ . By interpolating between (1.2) and the 10<sup>th</sup>-moment of Brüdern and Robert [3, Theorem 2], one would obtain the estimates

$$I_8(X) \ll X^{9/2}(\log X)^{-1} \quad \text{and} \quad I_9(X) \ll X^{21/4}(\log X)^{-3/2}.$$

These estimates are sharper by a factor  $X^\varepsilon$  than the estimates that would stem from Hua's bounds (1.1), whereas our new estimates save  $X^{1/6-\varepsilon}$  and  $X^{1/4-\varepsilon}$  in the respective cases. Indeed, our new bound  $I_9(X) \ll X^{5+\varepsilon}$  falls short of the best possible bound  $I_9(X) \ll X^5$  only by a factor  $X^\varepsilon$ .

The estimates recorded in Theorem 1.1 are consequences of a minor arc bound that will likely be of greater utility than the former in applications of the Hardy-Littlewood method. In order to describe bounds of this type, we must introduce some additional notation. When  $Q$  is a real number with  $1 \leq Q \leq X^{3/2}$ , we define the major arcs  $\mathfrak{M}(Q)$  to be the union of the intervals

$$\mathfrak{M}(q, a) = \{\alpha \in [0, 1) : |q\alpha - a| \leq QX^{-3}\},$$

with  $0 \leq a \leq q \leq Q$  and  $(a, q) = 1$ . We then define the complementary set of minor arcs  $\mathfrak{m}(Q)$  by putting  $\mathfrak{m}(Q) = [0, 1) \setminus \mathfrak{M}(Q)$ . Finally, we define the exponential sum  $g(\alpha, \beta) = g(\alpha, \beta; X)$  by

$$g(\alpha, \beta; X) = \sum_{1 \leq x \leq X} e(\alpha x^3 + \beta x), \quad (1.3)$$

and define  $I_s^*(X; Q)$  for  $s \in \mathbb{N}$  by putting

$$I_s^*(X; Q) = \int_0^1 \int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)} |g(\alpha, \beta)|^s d\alpha d\beta. \quad (1.4)$$

**Theorem 1.2.** *Let  $Q$  be a real number with  $1 \leq Q \leq X$ . Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one has the estimates*

$$I_{10}^*(X; Q) \ll X^{6+\varepsilon}Q^{-1/3} \quad \text{and} \quad I_{12}^*(X; Q) \ll X^{8+\varepsilon}Q^{-1}.$$

When  $X^{3/4} \leq Q \leq X^{4/5}$ , one finds from Brüdern and Robert [3, Theorem 2] that  $I_{10}^*(X; Q) \ll X^6(\log X)^{-2}$ , which saves a factor  $(\log X)^2$  over the lower bound of order  $X^6$  for the corresponding major arc estimate. Theorem 1.2, meanwhile, would save a power of  $X$ . Indeed, since  $I_9(X) \gg X^5$ , the bound  $I_{12}^*(X; X) \ll X^{7+\varepsilon}$ , that stems from Theorem 1.2, can be construed as supplying a Weyl estimate  $g(\alpha, \beta) \ll X^{2/3+\varepsilon}$  on average for  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}(X)$ . A direct application of Weyl's inequality (see [7, Lemma 2.4]) would show only that  $g(\alpha, \beta) \ll X^{3/4+\varepsilon}$ .

We would argue that the progress represented in our improved estimates for moments of  $g(\alpha, \beta)$  justifies an account based on its merit alone. However, we take this opportunity to record an application of Theorem 1.2 to Heath-Brown's variant of Weyl's inequality. In this context, when  $k$  is a natural number, we consider the exponential sum  $f(\alpha) = f_k(\alpha; X)$  defined by

$$f_k(\alpha; X) = \sum_{1 \leq x \leq X} e(\alpha x^k).$$

**Theorem 1.3.** *Let  $k \geq 6$ , and suppose that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfy  $(a, q) = 1$  and  $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$ . Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one has*

$$f_k(\alpha; X) \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} \Theta^{2^{-k}} + X^{1+\varepsilon} (\Theta/X)^{\frac{2}{3}2^{-k}},$$

where  $\Theta = q^{-1} + X^{-3} + qX^{-k}$ .

The conclusion of [4, Theorem 1] delivers a bound analogous to that of Theorem 1.3 of the shape

$$f_k(\alpha; X) \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} (X\Theta)^{\frac{4}{3}2^{-k}}. \quad (1.5)$$

We note that Boklan [1] has applied Hooley  $\Delta$ -functions to replace the factor  $X^\varepsilon$  here by a power of  $\log X$ . A comparison between these estimates is perhaps not so transparent. Suppose then that  $\theta$  is a real number with  $0 \leq \theta \leq k/2$ , and that  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfy  $(a, q) = 1$  and  $q + X^k |q\alpha - a| \asymp X^\theta$ . It is a consequence of Dirichlet's theorem on Diophantine approximation that, given  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ , one can choose  $a$  and  $q$  in such a manner for some  $\theta \leq k/2$ . One finds that the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 has strength equal to that of Heath-Brown's bound for  $3 \leq \theta \leq k/2$ . When  $2 < \theta < 3$ , meanwhile, Theorem 1.3 delivers the bound  $f_k(\alpha; X) \ll X^{1+\varepsilon - \frac{2}{3}(1+\theta)2^{-k}}$ , which is superior both to the bound  $f_k(\alpha; X) \ll X^{1+\varepsilon - \frac{4}{3}(\theta-1)2^{-k}}$  stemming from Heath-Brown's bound (1.5), and also to the classical version of Weyl's inequality, which yields  $f_k(\alpha; X) \ll X^{1+\varepsilon - 2^{1-k}}$  (see [7, Lemma 2.4]). Both Theorem 1.3 and (1.5) are weaker than the classical version of Weyl's inequality for  $0 < \theta < 2$ , though Theorem 1.3 remains non-trivial throughout this range.

By a standard transference principle (see Exercise 2 of [7, §2.8]), the conclusion of Theorem 1.3 may be extended to a superficially more general conclusion which improves the first assertion of [4, Theorem 1] for ranges of parameters analogous to those discussed above.

**Corollary 1.4.** *Let  $k \geq 6$ , and suppose that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfy  $(a, q) = 1$ . Then one has*

$$f_k(\alpha; X) \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} \Phi^{2^{-k}} + X^{1+\varepsilon} (\Phi/X)^{\frac{2}{3}2^{-k}},$$

where

$$\Phi = (q + X^k |q\alpha - a|)^{-1} + X^{-3} + (q + X^k |q\alpha - a|) X^{-k}.$$

We finish by directing the reader to a couple of immediate applications of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2, the proofs of which, amounting to routine applications of the circle method, we omit. First we consider the solubility of diagonal cubic equations constrained by a linear slice. When  $s \in \mathbb{N}$ , consider fixed integers  $a_j, b_j$  ( $1 \leq j \leq s$ ). Define  $N(B) = N(B; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$  to be the number of integral solutions of the simultaneous equations

$$\sum_{j=1}^s a_j x_j^3 = \sum_{j=1}^s b_j x_j = 0, \quad (1.6)$$

with  $|x_j| \leq B$  ( $1 \leq j \leq s$ ). Then by incorporating the 10<sup>th</sup>-moment estimate of Theorem 1.2 into the methods described in Brüdern and Robert [3, §8], one obtains the following conclusion.

**Theorem 1.5.** *Let  $s \geq 10$  and suppose that  $a_j \neq 0$  ( $1 \leq j \leq s$ ). Suppose in addition that the pair of equations (1.6) has non-singular solutions both in  $\mathbb{R}$  and in  $\mathbb{Q}_p$  for each prime number  $p$ . Then there are positive numbers  $\mathcal{C}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})$  and  $\delta$  for which*

$$N(B; \mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b}) = \mathcal{C}(\mathbf{a}, \mathbf{b})B^{s-4} + O(B^{s-4-\delta}).$$

Brüdern and Robert [3, Theorem 1] establish precisely this conclusion as the cubic case of a more general result, though with the error term  $O(B^{s-4-\delta})$  replaced by  $O(B^{s-4}(\log B)^{-2})$ . We offer no details of the proof of Theorem 1.5, since the first estimate of Theorem 1.2 may be substituted for [3, Theorem 2] in the argument of [3, §8], without complication<sup>1</sup>.

Next, consider a fixed natural number  $k$ , and fixed coefficients  $a_0, \dots, a_s \in \mathbb{Z} \setminus \{0\}$  and  $b_1, \dots, b_s \in \mathbb{Z}$ . By more fully exploiting the potential of the 9<sup>th</sup> moment estimate of Theorem 1.1, it would be possible to apply the circle method to the problem of representing large positive integers  $n$  in the shape

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_s) + w^k = n, \quad (1.7)$$

for the class of non-degenerate cubic forms  $F$  of the shape

$$F(\mathbf{x}) = a_0(b_1x_1 + \dots + b_sx_s)^3 + a_1x_1^3 + \dots + a_sx_s^3.$$

Thus, provided only that  $s \geq 8$ , for any  $k \geq 1$ , one can show that all sufficiently large natural numbers  $n$  subject to the necessary congruence conditions are represented in the form (1.7).

The strategy for proving this assertion is to replace (1.7) by the equivalent system of equations

$$\left. \begin{aligned} a_0x_0^3 + a_1x_1^3 + \dots + a_sx_s^3 &= n - w^k \\ x_0 - b_1x_1 - \dots - b_sx_s &= 0 \end{aligned} \right\}.$$

The analysis of this system is achieved by Hölderising the associated exponential sums in order to utilise the mean value estimate

$$\int_0^1 \int_0^1 |g(\alpha, \beta)|^{s+1} d\alpha d\beta \ll X^{s-3+\varepsilon},$$

valid for  $s \geq 8$ , together with a pedestrian application of Weyl's inequality for the exponential sum over the  $k$ th power  $w^k$ . A routine treatment of the major arc contribution completes the analysis.

Throughout this paper, whenever  $\varepsilon$  appears in a statement, we assert that the statement holds for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Implicit constants in Vinogradov's notation  $\ll$  and  $\gg$  may depend on  $\varepsilon$ , and other ambient exponents such as  $k$ , but not on the main parameter  $X$ . Finally, we write  $\|\theta\|$  for  $\min_{m \in \mathbb{Z}} |\theta - m|$ .

<sup>1</sup>The author is very grateful to Jörg Brüdern and Olivier Robert for supplying an advance copy of their joint paper [3], reference to which provides an excellent framework for the proof of this result.

2. THE BASIC MEAN VALUE ESTIMATE

Our starting point for the proof of Theorems 1.1 and 1.2 is the mean value estimate supplied by the cubic case of the main conjecture in Vinogradov's mean value theorem, established in our very recent work [10, Theorem 1.1]. When  $k$  and  $s$  are natural numbers, and  $X$  is a large real number, denote by  $J_{s,k}(X)$  the number of integral solutions of the system

$$x_1^j + \dots + x_s^j = y_1^j + \dots + y_s^j \quad (1 \leq j \leq k),$$

with  $1 \leq x_i, y_i \leq X$  ( $1 \leq i \leq s$ ). Then [10, Theorem 1.1] shows that

$$J_{s,3}(X) \ll X^\varepsilon (X^s + X^{2s-6}). \tag{2.1}$$

We transform this estimate into a bound for the 12-th moment of  $g(\alpha, \beta)$  restricted to the set of minor arcs  $\mathfrak{m}(Q)$  defined in the preamble to the statement of Theorem 1.2. In this section we prove a number of mean value estimates for the exponential sum  $g(\alpha, \beta)$  defined in (1.3), beginning with a mean value of the type (1.4).

**Theorem 2.1.** *Suppose that  $Q$  is a positive number with  $Q \asymp X$ . Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one has  $I_{12}^*(X; Q) \ll X^{7+\varepsilon}$ .*

*Proof.* When  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , write

$$f(\boldsymbol{\alpha}) = \sum_{1 \leq x \leq X} e(\alpha_1 x + \dots + \alpha_k x^k)$$

and

$$F(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \theta) = \sum_{1 \leq x \leq X} e(\beta_1 x + \dots + \beta_{k-2} x^{k-2} + \theta x^k).$$

Then it follows from orthogonality that

$$J_{s,k}(X) = \int_{[0,1]^k} |f(\boldsymbol{\alpha})|^{2s} d\boldsymbol{\alpha}.$$

In addition, write  $\mathfrak{n}_k(Q)$  for the set of real numbers  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  having the property that, whenever  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  and  $\|q\alpha\| \leq QX^{-k}$ , then  $q > Q$ . Then the argument of the proof of [9, Theorem 2.1] leading to the penultimate display of that proof yields the estimate

$$\int_{\mathfrak{n}_k(Q)} \int_{[0,1]^{k-2}} |F(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \theta)|^{2s} d\boldsymbol{\beta} d\theta \ll X^{k-2} (\log X)^{2s+1} J_{s,k}(2X). \tag{2.2}$$

By specialising to the case  $k = 3$  and  $s = 6$ , we therefore deduce from (2.1) that

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\mathfrak{m}(Q)} |g(\alpha, \beta)|^{12} d\alpha d\beta \ll X (\log X)^{13} J_{6,3}(2X) \ll X^{7+\varepsilon}.$$

This completes the proof of the lemma. □

We remark that a more careful analysis of the proof of [9, Theorem 2.1] would reveal that, without restriction on  $Q$ , one may replace the estimate (2.2) by the bound

$$\int_{\mathfrak{n}_k(Q)} \int_{[0,1]^{k-2}} |F(\boldsymbol{\beta}, \theta)|^{2s} d\boldsymbol{\beta} d\theta \ll X^{k-1+\varepsilon} (Q^{-1} + X^{-1} + QX^{-k}) J_{s,k}(2X).$$

Such an estimate would suffice to establish the bound  $I_{12}^*(X; Q) \ll X^{8+\varepsilon} Q^{-1}$ . We will recover this estimate from Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 below in a manner that will likely prove more transparent for the reader.

By way of comparison, it follows from [5, Theorem 6] that  $I_{10}(X) \ll X^{6+\varepsilon}$ . Applying this estimate in combination with Weyl's inequality (see [7, Lemma 2.4]) when  $Q \asymp X$ , one would obtain the upper bound

$$I_{12}^*(X; Q) \ll \left( \sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{m}(Q)} |g(\alpha, \beta)| \right)^2 I_{10}(X) \ll X^{15/2+\varepsilon},$$

in place of the conclusion of Theorem 2.1. The superiority of our new estimate is clear.

We next establish some auxiliary major arc estimates. It is useful in this context to introduce some additional notation. We define the function  $\Psi(\alpha)$  for  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$  by putting

$$\Psi(\alpha) = (q + X^3|q\alpha - a|)^{-1},$$

when  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}(q, a) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(\frac{1}{2}X^{3/2})$ , and otherwise by taking  $\Psi(\alpha) = 0$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $Q$  be a positive number with  $Q \asymp X$ , and suppose that  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}(Q)$ . Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one has*

$$\int_0^1 |g(\alpha, \beta)|^4 d\beta \ll X^{3+\varepsilon} \Psi(\alpha).$$

*Proof.* By orthogonality, one has

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |g(\alpha, \beta)|^4 d\beta &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4 \leq X \\ x_1 + x_2 = x_3 + x_4}} e((x_1^3 + x_2^3 - x_3^3 - x_4^3)\alpha) \\ &= \sum_{\substack{1 \leq x_1, x_2, x_3 \leq X \\ 1 \leq x_1 + x_2 - x_3 \leq X}} e(-3(x_1 + x_2)(x_1 - x_3)(x_2 - x_3)\alpha). \end{aligned}$$

The change of variables

$$u_1 = x_2 - x_3, \quad u_2 = x_1 - x_3, \quad u_3 = x_1 + x_2$$

therefore reveals that

$$\int_0^1 |g(\alpha, \beta)|^4 d\beta = \sum_{-X < u_1, u_2, u_3 \leq 2X} e(-3u_1 u_2 u_3 \alpha),$$

in which the summation over  $\mathbf{u}$  is subject to the condition that each of

$$u_2 + u_3 - u_1, \quad u_3 + u_1 - u_2, \quad u_3 - u_1 - u_2 \quad \text{and} \quad u_1 + u_2 + u_3$$

is even, and lies in the interval  $[1, 2X]$ . For a fixed choice of  $u_1$  and  $u_2$ , the sum over  $u_3$  consequently amounts either to an empty sum, or else to a sum over an arithmetic progression modulo 2 lying in an interval of length at most  $3X$ . Thus we deduce that

$$\int_0^1 |g(\alpha, \beta)|^4 d\beta \ll \sum_{1 \leq u_1, u_2 \leq 2X} \min\{X, \|6\alpha u_1 u_2\|^{-1}\}. \quad (2.3)$$

Suppose that  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfy the conditions  $(a, q) = 1$  and  $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$ . That such a rational approximation exists is a consequence of Dirichlet's theorem. Then by making use of a divisor function estimate together with a standard reciprocal sums lemma (see, for example [7, Lemma 2.2]), one deduces from (2.3) that

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 |g(\alpha, \beta)|^4 &\ll X^\varepsilon \sum_{1 \leq y \leq 24X^2} \min\{X^3/y, \|\alpha y\|^{-1}\} \\ &\ll X^{3+\varepsilon}(q^{-1} + X^{-1} + qX^{-3}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence, by a standard transference principle (see Exercise 2 of [7, §2.8]), one finds that whenever  $\alpha \in [0, 1)$ ,  $b \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $r \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfy  $(b, r) = 1$ , then

$$\int_0^1 |g(\alpha, \beta)|^4 d\beta \ll X^{3+\varepsilon}(\lambda^{-1} + X^{-1} + \lambda X^{-3}), \quad (2.4)$$

where  $\lambda = r + X^3|r\alpha - b|$ .

Suppose now that  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}(q, a) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}$ . Then we have

$$q + X^3|q\alpha - a| \ll X,$$

and thus it follows from (2.4) that

$$\int_0^1 |g(\alpha, \beta)|^4 d\beta \ll X^{3+\varepsilon}(X^{-1} + \Psi(\alpha)) \ll X^{3+\varepsilon}\Psi(\alpha).$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.3.** *Suppose that  $Q$  is a positive number with  $Q \asymp X$ . Then for each  $\varepsilon > 0$ , one has*

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\mathfrak{M}(Q)} |g(\alpha, \beta)|^8 d\alpha d\beta \ll X^{4+\varepsilon}.$$

*Proof.* Suppose that  $(\alpha, \beta) \in [0, 1)^2$ , and that  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfy  $(a, q) = 1$  and  $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$ . Then it follows from Weyl's inequality (see [7, Lemma 2.4]) that

$$|g(\alpha, \beta)| \ll X^{1+\varepsilon}(q^{-1} + X^{-1} + qX^{-3})^{1/4}.$$

By applying the same transference principle that delivered (2.4), we therefore deduce that when  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}(q, a) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(Q)$ , one has

$$|g(\alpha, \beta)|^4 \ll X^{4+\varepsilon}\Psi(\alpha). \quad (2.5)$$

By combining this estimate with the conclusion of Lemma 2.2, therefore, we find that

$$\int_0^1 |g(\alpha, \beta)|^8 d\beta \ll X^{4+\varepsilon} \Psi(\alpha) \int_0^1 |g(\alpha, \beta)|^4 d\beta \ll X^{7+2\varepsilon} \Psi(\alpha)^2.$$

Consequently, we obtain the estimate

$$\begin{aligned} \int_0^1 \int_{\mathfrak{M}(Q)} |g(\alpha, \beta)|^8 d\alpha d\beta &\ll X^{7+\varepsilon} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} \sum_{a=1}^q q^{-2} \int_{-1/2}^{1/2} (1 + X^3 |\gamma|)^{-2} d\gamma \\ &\ll X^{4+\varepsilon} \sum_{1 \leq q \leq Q} q^{-1} \ll X^{4+2\varepsilon}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of the lemma.  $\square$

By utilising the conclusions of Lemma 2.3 and Theorem 2.1, we obtain the mean value estimates recorded in Theorem 1.1.

*The proof of Theorem 1.1.* The estimate  $I_6(X) \ll X^{3+\varepsilon}$  is essentially classical (see [5, Lemma 5.2]). By combining this estimate with Theorem 2.1 via Schwarz's inequality, one finds that

$$I_9^*(X; X) \leq (I_{12}^*(X; X))^{1/2} (I_6(X))^{1/2} \ll (X^{7+\varepsilon})^{1/2} (X^{3+\varepsilon})^{1/2} = X^{5+\varepsilon}. \quad (2.6)$$

Meanwhile, the trivial estimate  $|g(\alpha, \beta)| \leq X$  combines with Lemma 2.3 to deliver the bound

$$\int_0^1 \int_{\mathfrak{M}(X)} |g(\alpha, \beta)|^9 d\alpha d\beta \leq X \int_0^1 \int_{\mathfrak{M}(X)} |g(\alpha, \beta)|^8 d\alpha d\beta \ll X^{5+\varepsilon}. \quad (2.7)$$

Since  $[0, 1)$  is the union of  $\mathfrak{M}(X)$  and  $\mathfrak{m}(X)$ , the upper bound  $I_9(X) \ll X^{5+\varepsilon}$  follows by combining (2.6) and (2.7). Finally, by interpolating between the bound just obtained and Hua's estimate  $I_6(X) \ll X^{3+\varepsilon}$  via Hölder's inequality, one obtains

$$I_8(X) \leq (I_6(X))^{1/3} (I_9(X))^{2/3} \ll (X^{3+\varepsilon})^{1/3} (X^{5+\varepsilon})^{2/3} = X^{13/3+\varepsilon}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.1.  $\square$

Our last task in this section is that of establishing the minor arc bounds recorded in Theorem 1.2.

*The proof of Theorem 1.2.* Suppose that  $Q$  is a real number with  $1 \leq Q \leq X$ , and write  $\mathfrak{K}(Q) = \mathfrak{M}(X) \setminus \mathfrak{M}(Q)$ . Then since  $\mathfrak{m}(Q)$  is the union of  $\mathfrak{m}(X)$  and  $\mathfrak{K}(Q)$ , one finds that

$$I_{12}^*(X; Q) \leq I_{12}^*(X; X) + \left( \sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{K}(Q)} |g(\alpha, \beta)| \right)^4 \int_0^1 \int_{\mathfrak{M}(X)} |g(\alpha, \beta)|^8 d\alpha d\beta.$$

When  $\alpha \in \mathfrak{M}(q, a) \cap \mathfrak{K}(Q)$ , it follows that  $q + X^3 |q\alpha - a| > Q$ . Thus we deduce from (2.5) that

$$\sup_{\alpha \in \mathfrak{K}(Q)} |g(\alpha, \beta)| \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} (Q^{-1} + X^{-1})^{1/4} \ll X^{1+\varepsilon} Q^{-1/4}.$$



Consequently, we find from Theorem 2.1 and Lemma 2.3 that

$$I_{12}^*(X; Q) \ll X^{7+\varepsilon} + (X^{4+\varepsilon}Q^{-1})(X^{4+\varepsilon}) \ll X^{8+2\varepsilon}Q^{-1}.$$

This confirms the second estimate recorded in Theorem 1.2. For the first, we apply Hölder's inequality to interpolate between the bound just obtained, and the second estimate asserted by Theorem 1.1. Thus one has

$$\begin{aligned} I_{10}^*(X; Q) &\leq (I_9(X))^{2/3}(I_{12}^*(X; Q))^{1/3} \\ &\ll (X^{5+\varepsilon})^{2/3}(X^{8+\varepsilon}Q^{-1})^{1/3} = X^{6+\varepsilon}Q^{-1/3}. \end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1.2.  $\square$

### 3. A VARIANT OF WEYL'S INEQUALITY

We turn in this section to the proof of Theorem 1.3, and begin by recalling the key elements of the work of Heath-Brown [4] concerning a hybrid of the methods of Weyl and of Vinogradov. For the present, suppose that  $k \geq 4$ , and consider the exponential sum  $f(\alpha) = f_k(\alpha; X)$ . For each integer  $m$ , let  $\mathfrak{J}(m)$  denote the real interval  $[mX^{-3}, (m+1)X^{-3})$ . Given a real number  $x$ , we then denote by  $m = m(x)$  the integer for which  $x \in \mathfrak{J}(m)$ , and we put  $\mathcal{I}(x) = \mathfrak{J}(m(x))$ . Finally, we define

$$T(x) = \max_{I \subseteq [1, X]} \sup_{\alpha \in \mathcal{I}(x)} \max_{\beta \in [0, 1]} \left| \sum_{n \in I} e(\alpha n^3 + \beta n) \right|,$$

in which the first maximum is taken over subintervals of  $[1, X]$ .

Write  $\kappa = \frac{1}{6}k!2^{k-3}$ . Then [4, Lemma 1] asserts that

$$|f(\alpha)|^{2^{k-3}} \ll X^{2^{k-3}-1} + X^{2^{k-3}-k+2+\varepsilon} \sum_{h=1}^{\kappa X^{k-3}} T(\alpha h). \quad (3.1)$$

Moreover, the discussion of [4] leading just beyond [4, Lemma 4] reveals that for some real number  $\beta = \beta(x)$ , one has

$$T(x) \ll (\log X) \sum_{l=0}^4 X^{4-l} \int_{\mathcal{I}(x)} \int_{\beta}^{\beta+X^{-1}} \left| \sum_{1 \leq n \leq X} n^l e(\xi n^3 + \eta n) \right| d\eta d\xi. \quad (3.2)$$

The relation (3.2) is the starting point for the main discussion of this section. For ease of discussion, and without loss of generality, we may suppose that  $X$  is an integer. Our first step is to remove the weight  $n^l$  from the innermost sum of (3.2). On recalling (1.3), we find by applying partial summation that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq X} n^l e(\xi n^3 + \eta n) &= \sum_{1 \leq n \leq X} n^l (g(\xi, \eta; n) - g(\xi, \eta; n-1)) \\ &= X^l g(\xi, \eta; X) - \sum_{1 \leq n \leq X-1} ((n+1)^l - n^l) g(\xi, \eta; n). \end{aligned}$$

On substituting this relation into (3.2), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} T(x) &\ll (\log X) \sum_{l=0}^4 X^{4-l} \sum_{1 \leq n \leq X} n^{l-1} \int_{\mathcal{I}(x)} \int_{\beta}^{\beta+X^{-1}} |g(\xi, \eta; n)| \, d\eta \, d\xi \\ &\quad + (\log X) \sum_{l=0}^4 X^4 \int_{\mathcal{I}(x)} \int_{\beta}^{\beta+X^{-1}} |g(\xi, \eta; X)| \, d\eta \, d\xi, \end{aligned}$$

and hence

$$\begin{aligned} T(x) &\ll X^{3+\varepsilon} \sum_{1 \leq P \leq X} \int_{\mathcal{I}(x)} \int_{\beta}^{\beta+X^{-1}} |g(\xi, \eta; P)| \, d\eta \, d\xi \\ &\quad + X^{4+\varepsilon} \int_{\mathcal{I}(x)} \int_{\beta}^{\beta+X^{-1}} |g(\xi, \eta; X)| \, d\eta \, d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

Thus we conclude that

$$\sum_{h=1}^{\kappa X^{k-3}} T(\alpha h) \ll X^{4+\varepsilon} \max_{1 \leq P \leq X} \sum_{h=1}^{\kappa X^{k-3}} \tilde{T}(\alpha h; P), \quad (3.3)$$

where

$$\tilde{T}(x; P) = \int_{\mathcal{I}(x)} \int_{\beta}^{\beta+X^{-1}} |g(\xi, \eta; P)| \, d\eta \, d\xi.$$

We must now consider the double integral  $\tilde{T}(x; P)$ , though we pause first to discuss some basic properties of the set  $\mathcal{I}(x)$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ , and suppose that  $\mathcal{I}(x)$  contains a point  $\xi$  lying in  $\mathfrak{M}(\frac{1}{12}P)$ . Then there exists  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  with  $0 \leq a \leq q \leq \frac{1}{12}P$ ,  $(a, q) = 1$  and  $|q\xi - a| \leq \frac{1}{12}P^{-2}$ . For all other points  $\xi' \in \mathcal{I}(x)$ , one has

$$|q\xi' - a| \leq q|\xi' - \xi| + \frac{1}{12}P^{-2} \leq qX^{-3} + \frac{1}{12}P^{-2} \leq \frac{1}{6}P^{-2}.$$

Hence we obtain the relation  $\mathcal{I}(x) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(\frac{1}{6}P)$ . We record for future reference also the bound

$$\int_{\mathcal{I}(x)} \int_{\beta}^{\beta+X^{-1}} d\eta \, d\xi \ll X^{-4}. \quad (3.4)$$

Denote by  $\mathfrak{A}(P)$  the set of integers  $m$  with  $1 \leq m \leq X^3$  for which one has  $\mathcal{I}(mX^{-3}) \cap \mathfrak{M}(\frac{1}{12}P) \neq \emptyset$ , and define

$$G_m(\beta; P) = \int_{\mathfrak{J}(m)} \int_{\beta}^{\beta+X^{-1}} |g(\xi, \eta; P)| \, d\eta \, d\xi.$$

Thus, we have

$$G_m(\beta; P) = \tilde{T}(mX^{-3}; P). \quad (3.5)$$

Then on recalling (3.4), we find that an application of Hölder's inequality delivers the bound

$$\sum_{m \in \mathfrak{A}(P)} G_m(\beta; P)^8 \ll X^{-28} \sum_{m \in \mathfrak{A}(P)} \int_{\mathfrak{J}(m)} \int_{\beta}^{\beta+X^{-1}} |g(\xi, \eta; P)|^8 \, d\eta \, d\xi.$$

But  $\mathcal{I}(mX^{-3}) \subseteq \mathfrak{M}(\frac{1}{6}P)$  whenever  $m \in \mathfrak{A}(P)$ , and hence we obtain the relation

$$\sum_{m \in \mathfrak{A}(P)} G_m(\beta; P)^8 \ll X^{-28} \int_{\mathfrak{M}(\frac{1}{6}P)} \int_0^1 |g(\xi, \eta; P)|^8 d\eta d\xi.$$

We thus conclude from Lemma 2.3 and (3.5) that

$$\sum_{m \in \mathfrak{A}(P)} \tilde{T}(mX^{-3}; P)^8 = \sum_{m \in \mathfrak{A}(P)} G_m(\beta; P)^8 \ll X^{\varepsilon-24}. \quad (3.6)$$

Meanwhile, when  $\mathcal{I}(mX^{-3}) \cap \mathfrak{M}(\frac{1}{12}P) = \emptyset$ , one has  $\mathcal{I}(mX^{-3}) \subseteq \mathfrak{m}(\frac{1}{12}P)$ . Then we find in a similar manner that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq X^3 \\ m \notin \mathfrak{A}(P)}} G_m(\beta; P)^{12} &\ll X^{-44} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq X^3 \\ m \notin \mathfrak{A}(P)}} \int_{\mathcal{J}(m)} \int_{\beta}^{\beta+X^{-1}} |g(\xi, \eta; P)|^{12} d\eta d\xi \\ &\ll X^{-44} \int_{\mathfrak{m}(\frac{1}{12}P)} \int_0^1 |g(\xi, \eta; P)|^{12} d\eta d\xi. \end{aligned}$$

We thus conclude from Theorem 2.1 and (3.5) that

$$\sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq X^3 \\ m \notin \mathfrak{A}(P)}} \tilde{T}(mX^{-3}; P)^{12} = \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq X^3 \\ m \notin \mathfrak{A}(P)}} G_m(\beta; P)^{12} \ll X^{\varepsilon-37}. \quad (3.7)$$

Next, define

$$\mathcal{T}(m) = \bigcup_{l=-\infty}^{\infty} \mathcal{J}(m + X^3 l),$$

write  $\mathcal{S}(m)$  for the set of integers  $h$  with  $1 \leq h \leq \kappa X^{k-3}$  for which one has  $\alpha h \in \mathcal{T}(m)$ , and denote by  $K(m)$  the cardinality of  $\mathcal{S}(m)$ . We then take  $\mathcal{S}_1(P)$  to be the union of the sets  $\mathcal{S}(m)$  over integers  $m$  with  $1 \leq m \leq X^3$  satisfying  $\mathcal{I}(mX^{-3}) \cap \mathfrak{M}(\frac{1}{12}P) \neq \emptyset$ , and  $\mathcal{S}_2(P)$  the corresponding union where instead  $m$  satisfies  $\mathcal{I}(mX^{-3}) \cap \mathfrak{M}(\frac{1}{12}P) = \emptyset$ .

An application of Hölder's inequality reveals that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{h \in \mathcal{S}_1(P)} \tilde{T}(\alpha h; P) \right)^8 &\ll (X^{k-3})^7 \sum_{h \in \mathcal{S}_1(P)} \tilde{T}(\alpha h; P)^8 \\ &\ll X^{7k-21} \sum_{m \in \mathfrak{A}(P)} K(m) \tilde{T}(mX^{-3}; P)^8. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (3.6), we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{h \in \mathcal{S}_1(P)} \tilde{T}(\alpha h; P) \right)^8 &\ll X^{7k-21} \left( \max_{1 \leq m \leq X^3} K(m) \right) \sum_{m \in \mathfrak{A}(P)} \tilde{T}(mX^{-3}; P)^8 \\ &\ll X^{7k-45+\varepsilon} \max_{1 \leq m \leq X^3} K(m), \end{aligned}$$

whence

$$\sum_{h \in \mathcal{S}_1(P)} \tilde{T}(\alpha h; P) \ll \left( X^{7k-45+\varepsilon} \max_{1 \leq m \leq X^3} K(m) \right)^{1/8}. \quad (3.8)$$

Similarly, one finds that

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{h \in \mathcal{S}_2(P)} \tilde{T}(\alpha h; P) \right)^{12} &\ll (X^{k-3})^{11} \sum_{h \in \mathcal{S}_2(P)} \tilde{T}(\alpha h; P)^{12} \\ &\ll X^{11k-33} \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq X^3 \\ m \notin \mathfrak{A}(P)}} K(m) \tilde{T}(mX^{-3}; P)^{12}. \end{aligned}$$

Thus, by (3.7), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} \left( \sum_{h \in \mathcal{S}_2(P)} \tilde{T}(\alpha h; P) \right)^{12} &\ll X^{11k-33} \left( \max_{1 \leq m \leq X^3} K(m) \right) \sum_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq X^3 \\ m \notin \mathfrak{A}(P)}} \tilde{T}(mX^{-3}; P)^{12} \\ &\ll X^{11k-70+\varepsilon} \max_{1 \leq m \leq X^3} K(m), \end{aligned}$$

so that

$$\sum_{h \in \mathcal{S}_2(P)} \tilde{T}(\alpha h; P) \ll \left( X^{11k-70+\varepsilon} \max_{1 \leq m \leq X^3} K(m) \right)^{1/12}. \quad (3.9)$$

Suppose now that  $k \geq 6$ , and that  $\alpha \in \mathbb{R}$ ,  $a \in \mathbb{Z}$  and  $q \in \mathbb{N}$  satisfy  $(a, q) = 1$  and  $|\alpha - a/q| \leq q^{-2}$ . Then one finds from [4, Lemma 6] that  $K(m) \ll \Theta X^{k-3}$ , where  $\Theta = q^{-1} + X^{-3} + qX^{-k}$ . Thus, on combining (3.3), (3.8) and (3.9), we deduce that

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{h=1}^{\kappa X^{k-3}} T(\alpha h) &\ll X^{4+\varepsilon} \left( (X^{8k-48} \Theta)^{1/8} + (X^{12k-73} \Theta)^{1/12} \right) \\ &\ll X^{k-2+\varepsilon} \left( \Theta^{1/8} + (\Theta/X)^{1/12} \right). \end{aligned}$$

Finally, on substituting this estimate into (3.1), we conclude that

$$f(\alpha) \ll X^{1-2^{3-k}} + X^{1+\varepsilon} \left( \Theta^{2^{-k}} + (\Theta/X)^{\frac{2}{3}2^{-k}} \right).$$

Since  $\Theta \geq X^{-3}$ , the conclusion of Theorem 1.2 now follows.

## REFERENCES

- [1] K. D. Boklan, *The asymptotic formula in Waring's problem*, *Mathematika* **41** (1994), no. 2, 329–347.
- [2] R. de la Bretèche, *Répartition des points rationnels sur la cubique de Segre*, *Proc. London Math. Soc.* (3) **95** (2007), no. 1, 69–155.
- [3] J. Brüdern and O. Robert, *Rational points on linear slices of diagonal hypersurfaces*, submitted.
- [4] D. R. Heath-Brown, *Weyl's inequality, Hua's inequality, and Waring's problem*, *J. London Math. Soc.* (2) **38** (1988), no. 2, 216–230.
- [5] L.-K. Hua, *The additive prime number theory*, *Trav. Inst. Math. Stekloff*, **22**, Acad. Sci. USSR, Moscow-Leningrad, 1947.

- [6] C. Segre, *Sulla varietà cubica con dieci punti doppi dello spazio a quattro dimensioni*, Atti R. Acc. Sci. Torino **22** (1887), 791–801.
- [7] R. C. Vaughan, *The Hardy-Littlewood method*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1997.
- [8] R. C. Vaughan and T. D. Wooley, *On a certain nonary cubic form and related equations*, Duke Math. J. **80** (1995), no. 3, 669–735.
- [9] T. D. Wooley, *The asymptotic formula in Waring's problem*, Internat. Math. Res. Notices (2012), no. 7, 1485–1504.
- [10] T. D. Wooley, *The cubic case of the main conjecture in Vinogradov's mean value theorem*, submitted; arXiv:1401.3150.

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF BRISTOL, UNIVERSITY WALK, CLIFTON,  
BRISTOL BS8 1TW, UNITED KINGDOM

*E-mail address:* matdw@bristol.ac.uk