GLOBAL WELL-POSEDNESS OF THE LANDAU-LIFSHITZ-GILBERT EQUATION FOR INITIAL DATA IN MORREY SPACE

JUNYU LIN, BAISHUN LAI, AND CHANGYOU WANG

ABSTRACT. We establish the global well-posedness of the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation in $\mathbb{R}^n$ for any initial data $\mathbf{m}_0 \in H^1_*(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^2)$ whose gradient belongs to the Morrey space $M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ with small norm $\|\nabla \mathbf{m}_0\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$. The method is based on priori estimates of a dissipative Schrödinger equation of Ginzburg-Landau types obtained from the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation by the moving frame technique.

1. INTRODUCTION

The Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation, originally introduced by Landau and Lifshitz [14] [8], serves as the basic evolution equation for the spin fields in the continuum theory of ferromagnetism. Let $\mathbf{m} : \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{S}^2$ denote the spin director field with values in the unit sphere $\mathbb{S}^2 \subset \mathbb{R}^3$. The Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation (LLG) is given by

$$\begin{cases} \partial_t \mathbf{m} = -\mathbf{m} \times \triangle \mathbf{m} - \lambda \mathbf{m} \times (\mathbf{m} \times \triangle \mathbf{m}) & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty), \\ \mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}_0 & \text{in } \mathbb{R}^n \times \{0\}, \end{cases} \tag{1.1}$$

where $\lambda > 0$ is a Gilbert damping parameter, $\times$ is the cross product in $\mathbb{R}^3$, and $\mathbf{m}_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{S}^2$ is an initial data. Note that $-\mathbf{m} \times \mathbf{m} \times \Delta \mathbf{m} = \Delta \mathbf{m} + |\nabla \mathbf{m}|^2 \mathbf{m}$ is the tension field of $\mathbf{m} : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{S}^2$. Hence LLG (1.1) is a hybrid of the heat flow and the Schrödinger flow of harmonic maps to $\mathbb{S}^2$ and is an evolution equation of parabolic types. A Liapunov functional of (1.1) is given by the Dirichlet energy

$$E(\mathbf{m}) = \frac{1}{2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \mathbf{m}|^2 \, dx.$$

Since LLG (1.1) is energy critical when $n = 2$, the global existence of weak solutions with at most finitely many singularities and the uniqueness among energy non-increasing solutions has been established by [9] and [10, 11], which is based on arguments similar to Struwe's approach to the heat flow of harmonic maps [24]. We would like to point out that the existence of finite time singularity of (1.1) in dimension $n = 2$ has not been shown yet.

When $n \geq 3$, LLG (1.1) is super-critical with respect to the Dirichlet energy. Although one can show the global existence of weak solutions, the question of regularity and uniqueness of weak solutions becomes a delicate issue. In dimensions $n = 3, 4$, partial regularity for suitable weak solutions to (1.1) has been obtained by Moser [18, 19], while the existence of partially regular weak solutions to (1.1) has been established by Melcher [15] and Wang [26]. However, since the arguments in [19, 15, 26] are perturbation methods based on energy monotonicity inequalities and elliptic estimates on generic time slices, they fail to work for $n \geq 5$. It may be worthy to point out that neither the Bochner formula nor Struwe's parabolic energy monotonicity formula for the heat flow of harmonic maps (or approximated harmonic maps) [25, 4] seems to be available for (1.1). We would also like to point out that the existence of finite time singularity of LLG (1.1) in dimensions $n = 3, 4$ has been shown by [5].

Very recently, Melcher [16] investigated the global well-posedness of LLG (1.1) in dimensions $n \geq 3$ for initial data $\mathbf{m}_0$ in the scaling invariant homogeneous $\dot{W}^{1,n}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ space with small $\dot{W}^{1,n}$-norm, see also [21] and [17] for related works. The idea in [16] involves a transformation of LLG (1.1) by the technique of moving frame to a dissipative Schrödinger equation of complex Ginzburg-Landau type nonlinearities. It is natural to establish the global well-posedness of (1.1) for initial data $\mathbf{m}_0$ in larger classes of function spaces.

Indeed, we are able to prove the global well-posedness of (1.1) for initial data whose gradient is in certain Morrey spaces with small Marrey norm.

In order to state our main results, we first recall

Definition 1.1. *For $1 \leq p < +\infty$, $0 \leq q \leq n$, the Morrey space $M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is defined by*

$$M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) := \left\{ f \in L^p_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n) : \ \|f\|^p_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, 0 < r < +\infty} r^{q-n} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y)|^p \, dy < +\infty \right\}. \tag{1.2}$$

Observe that $M^{p,n}(\mathbb{R}^n) = L^p(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and from the point of view of scalings, $M^{p,p}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ behaves like $L^n(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Now we are ready to state our main theorem.

Theorem 1.2. *For $\lambda > 0$ and $n \geq 2$, there exist $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $c_0 > 0$ depending only on n and λ with the following properties:*

(i) *(global existence) If $\mathbf{m}_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{S}^2$ satisfies $\mathbf{m}_0 - \mathbf{m}_\infty \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $\mathbf{m}_\infty \in \mathbb{S}^2$, with $\nabla \mathbf{m}_0 \in M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfying*

$$\|\nabla \mathbf{m}_0\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \epsilon_0, \tag{1.3}$$

then there exists a global solution $\mathbf{m} : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{S}^2$ to LLG (1.1) such that

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \|\nabla \mathbf{m}\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_0 \|\nabla \mathbf{m}_0\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{1.4}$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{m} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty), \mathbb{S}^2)$ and satisfies

$$\sup_{t > 0} t^{\frac{k}{2}} \left\| \nabla^k \mathbf{m}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c(n, k) \|\nabla \mathbf{m}_0\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \ \forall k \geq 1, \tag{1.5}$$

and $\mathbf{m}(t) \to \mathbf{m}_0$ in $H^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^2)$ as $t \to 0^+$.

(ii) *(uniqueness) If, in addition, $\nabla \mathbf{m}_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then the global solution $\mathbf{m}$ also satisfies that $\mathbf{m} \in C([0, +\infty), H^1_*(\mathbb{R}^n))$ with $\partial_t \mathbf{m} \in L^2([0, +\infty), L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, and the energy inequality:*

$$E(\mathbf{m}(t)) + \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda^2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_t \mathbf{m}|^2 \, dx dt \leq E(\mathbf{m}_0), \ \forall \ t > 0. \tag{1.6}$$

Moreover, $\mathbf{m}$ is unique in its own class.

Before we proceed with the presentation, we would like to make a few remarks concerning Theorem 1.2.

Remark 1.3. For LLG (1.1), we have the following comments.

(i) Since Hölder's inequality implies that $L^n(\mathbb{R}^n) \subset M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, Theorem 1.2 improves the main result of Melcher [16] and Seo [21].

(ii) Without the smallness condition (1.3), the short time smooth solution of (1.1) can develop singularity at finite time for $n \geq 3$. In fact, the initial data $\mathbf{m}_0$ in the example of finite time singularity constructed by Ding-Wang [5] has small Dirichlet energy $E(\mathbf{m}_0)$ and finite $\|\nabla \mathbf{m}_0\|_{M^{2,2}}$.

(iii) Motivated by the well-posedness result on the heat flow of harmonic maps by [27], it seems reasonable to conjecture that LLG (1.1) is globally well-posed for any initial data $\mathbf{m}_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{S}^2$ having small BMO norm $[\mathbf{m}_0]_{\text{BMO}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$.

We briefly discuss some of the ideas in the proof. The first crucial step is to utilize a canonical choice of coordinates on the tangent bundle $T\mathbb{S}^2$, called moving frames, to convert (1.1) into a covariant version of LLG, which is a (nonlocal) semilinear complex valued Schrödinger equation with cubic nonlinearity. Then, by choosing a Coulomb gauge frame, one can get the desired control of nonlocal terms. Finally, using estimates of the dissipative Schrödinger semigroup $S = S(t)$, generated by $(\lambda - i)\Delta$, between Morrey spaces, one can get the desired priori bounds for the short time approximate solutions to (1.1) under the smallness assumption (1.3). It seems that the estimates of $S(t)$ between Morrey spaces may have its own interests, with potential applications to other types of equations.

The paper is written as follows. In section 2, we will establish some basic estimates of $S(t)$ between Morrey spaces. In section 3, we review the derivation of covariant complex Ginzburg-Landau type equation. In section 4, we derive all the needed nonlinear estimates of $S(t)$ between Morrey spaces. In section 5, we will prove Theorem 1.2.

2. Linear estimates for Schrödinger semigroup in Morrey spaces

Throughout this paper, let $S(t)$ denote the semigroup generated by the dissipative Schrödinger operator $(\lambda - i)\triangle$. In this section, we will establish some basic estimates of $S(t)f = S_t * f$ in Morrey spaces when $f \in M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Recall that the Fourier transform of the associated kernel S_t of $S(t)$ is given by

$$\hat{S}_t(\xi) = e^{(i-\lambda)|\xi|^2 t}, \ \xi \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

so that the kernel S_t can be written as

$$S_t(x) = t^{-\frac{n}{2}} S(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where

$$S(y) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} e^{(i-\lambda)|y|^2} e^{iy \cdot \eta} \, d\eta, \ y \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

is a radial Schwartz function in $\mathbb{R}^n$, i.e., $S(y) = S(|y|)$ for $y \in \mathbb{R}^n$.

For $f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, the Hardy-Littlewood maximal function of f is defined by (see, e.g. [22])

$$\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{HL}}(f)(x) := \sup_{r>0} \frac{1}{|B_r(x)|} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y)| \, dy, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

We will use $A \lesssim B$ to denote $A \leq CB$ for some universal positive constant C. Now we have

Lemma 2.1. *For $1 < p < +\infty$ and $0 \leq q \leq n$, if $f \in M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $S(t)f \in M^{p(n+1),q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and*

$$\left\| S(t)f \right\|_{M^{p(n+1),q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{nq}{2p(n+1)}} \left\| f \right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.1}$$

Proof. It follows from the definition of $S(t)f$ that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$
\begin{aligned}
(S(t)f)(x) &= (S_t * f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} S(\frac{x-y}{\sqrt{t}}) f(y) \, dy \\
&= \Big(\int_{B_{\sqrt{t}\epsilon}(x)} + \int_{\mathbb{R}^n \backslash B_{\sqrt{t}\epsilon}(x)} \Big) t^{-\frac{n}{2}} S(\frac{x-y}{\sqrt{t}}) f(y) \, dy \\
&= I(x) + II(x).
\end{aligned}
$$

For $I(x)$, we have

$$\left| I(x) \right| \leq \epsilon^n (\sqrt{t}\epsilon)^{-n} \int_{B_{\sqrt{t}\epsilon}(x)} |f(y)| \, dy \lesssim \epsilon^n \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{HL}}(f)(x). \tag{2.2}$$

To estimate $II(x)$, we proceed as follows.

$$
\begin{aligned}
|II(x)| &\leq \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} t^{-\frac{n}{2}} \int_{B_{(k+1)\sqrt{t}\epsilon}(x) \backslash B_{k\sqrt{t}\epsilon}(x)} |S(\frac{x-y}{\sqrt{t}})| |f(y)| \, dy \\
&\leq t^{-\frac{q}{2p}} \Big[\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k\epsilon)^{n-\frac{q}{p}} S^*(k\epsilon) \Big] \Big[\sup_{r>0} r^{\frac{q}{p}-n} \int_{B_r(x)} |f(y)| \, dy \Big],
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$S^*(k\epsilon) := \max \Big\{ |S(y)| : \ y \in B_{(k+1)\epsilon} \backslash B_{k\epsilon} \Big\}.$$

Since S is a Schwartz function, one sees that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} (k\epsilon)^{n-\frac{q}{p}} S^*(k\epsilon) \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon} \int_0^{\infty} t^{n-\frac{q}{p}} |S(t)| \, dt \lesssim \frac{1}{\epsilon}.$$

This implies

$$|II(x)| \lesssim t^{-\frac{q}{2p}} \epsilon^{-1} \left\| f \right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \tag{2.3}$$

where we have used in the last step the inequality:

$$\left\| f \right\|_{M^{1,\frac{q}{p}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \left\| f \right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Putting (2.2) and (2.3) together yields

$$\left|(S(t)f)(x)\right| \lesssim \epsilon^n \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{HL}}(f)(x) + t^{-\frac{q}{2p}}\epsilon^{-1}\left\|f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Choose $\epsilon > 0$ such that

$$\epsilon^n \mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{HL}}(f)(x) = t^{-\frac{q}{2p}}\epsilon^{-1}\left\|f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

or,

$$\epsilon = \left\{\frac{\left\|f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}}{t^{\frac{q}{2p}}\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{HL}}(f)(x)}\right\}^{\frac{1}{n+1}}.$$

Then we have

$$\left|S(t)f(x)\right| \lesssim t^{-\frac{nq}{2p(n+1)}}\left\|f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{n}{n+1}}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{HL}}(f)(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Since $\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{HL}} : M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a bounded linear operator (see [1] and [12]), we have that $S(t)f \in M^{p(n+1),q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|S(t)f\right\|_{M^{p(n+1),q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim t^{-\frac{nq}{2p(n+1)}}\left\|f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{n}{n+1}}\left\|f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\frac{1}{n+1}}\\ &\lesssim t^{-\frac{nq}{2p(n+1)}}\left\|f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

This completes the proof of Lemma 2.1. $\square$

Lemma 2.2. *For $1 < p < +\infty$ and $0 \le q \le n$, if $f \in M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $S(t)f \in M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and*

$$\left\|S(t)f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \left\|f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.4}$$

Proof. This follows from the Young inequality for convolution operators. In fact,

$$(S(t)f)(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} S_t(z-y)f(y)\,dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} S_t(y)f(z-y)\,dy,$$

we have

$$\left\|S(t)f\right\|_{L^p(B_R(x))}^p \le \Big(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|S_t(y)\right|dy\Big)^p\left\|f\right\|_{L^p(B_R(x))}^p \lesssim \int_{B_R(x)}\left|f(y)\right|^p dy,$$

so that

$$R^{q-n}\int_{B_R(x)}\left|S(t)f(z)\right|^p dz \lesssim R^{q-n}\int_{B_R(x)}\left|f(z)\right|^p dz. \tag{2.5}$$

Taking supremum over all $B_R(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$ in (2.5) yields (2.4). Here we have used the fact that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|S_t(y)\right|dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n}\left|S(y)\right|dy \lesssim 1.$$

This completes the proof. $\square$

Lemma 2.3. (Interpolation lemma) *For $1 < p < +\infty$ and $0 \le q \le n$, if $f \in M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $S(t)f \in M^{\widetilde{p},q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $p \le \widetilde{p} \le p(n+1)$, and*

$$\left\|S(t)f\right\|_{M^{\widetilde{p},q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{q}{2}(\frac{1}{p}-\frac{1}{\widetilde{p}})}\left\|f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.6}$$

Proof. For any $\widetilde{p} \in [p, p(n+1)]$, write

$$\frac{1}{\widetilde{p}} = \frac{\theta}{p} + \frac{1-\theta}{p(n+1)}.$$

Then by Hölder's inequality, Lemma 2.1 and Lemma 2.2, we have

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|S(t)f\right\|_{M^{\widetilde{p},q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \left\|S(t)f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\theta}\left\|S(t)f\right\|_{M^{p(n+1),q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\theta}\\ &\lesssim t^{-\frac{nq(1-\theta)}{2p(n+1)}}\left\|f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{\theta}\left\|f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^{1-\theta}\\ &\lesssim t^{-\frac{nq(1-\theta)}{2p(n+1)}}\left\|f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

Since
$$1 - \theta = \frac{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\widetilde{p}}}{\frac{n}{p(n+1)}},$$
we have $\frac{n(1-\theta)}{p(n+1)} = \frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\widetilde{p}}$ and hence
$$\left\|S(t)f\right\|_{M^{\widetilde{p},q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq t^{-\frac{q}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\widetilde{p}})}\left\|f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$
This proves Lemma 2.3. $\qquad\square$

We also need to estimate $\nabla(S(t)f)$ in Morrey spaces. More precisely, we have

Lemma 2.4. *If $f \in M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $\nabla(S(t)f) \in M^{p(n+1),q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and*
$$\left\|\nabla(S(t)f)\right\|_{M^{p(n+1),q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{qn}{2p(n+1)}}\left\|f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.7}$$

Proof. Since
$$\nabla S_t(x) = \nabla\left[(t^{-\frac{n}{2}}S(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}})\right] = t^{-\frac{n+1}{2}}\nabla S(\frac{x}{\sqrt{t}}),$$
and ∇S is also a Schwartz function on $\mathbb{R}^n$, we can apply the same argument as in Lemma 2.1 to get that
$$t^{\frac{1}{2}}\left|\nabla(S(t)f)(x)\right| \lesssim t^{-\frac{qn}{2p(n+1)}}\left\|f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\left(\mathrm{M}_{\mathrm{HL}}(f)(x)\right)^{\frac{1}{n+1}}$$
so that
$$t^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\nabla(S(t)f)\right\|_{M^{p(n+1),q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{qn}{2p(n+1)}}\left\|f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$
This yields (2.7). $\qquad\square$

Lemma 2.5. *If $f \in M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ then $\nabla(S(t)f) \in M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and*
$$\left\|\nabla(S(t)f)\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.8}$$

Proof. It is similar to Lemma 2.2. Since
$$\nabla(S(t)f)(z) = t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} t^{-\frac{n}{2}}(\nabla S)(\frac{y}{\sqrt{t}})f(z-y)\,dy,$$
the Young inequality implies that
$$\left\|\nabla(S(t)f)\right\|_{L^p(B_R(x))}^p \leq t^{-\frac{p}{2}}\left(\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\nabla S(y)|\,dy\right)^p\left\|f\right\|_{L^p(B_R(x))}^p \lesssim t^{-\frac{p}{2}}\int_{B_R(x)}|f(y)|^p\,dy,$$
which, after taking supremum over all $B_R(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^n$, yields (2.8). Here we have used the fact that
$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n}|\nabla S(y)|\,dy \lesssim 1.$$
This completes the proof. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 2.6. (Interpolation lemma) *If $f \in M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then $\nabla(S(t)f) \in M^{\widetilde{p},q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for any $p \leq \widetilde{p} \leq p(n+1)$, and*
$$\left\|\nabla(S(t)f)\right\|_{M^{\widetilde{p},q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq t^{-\frac{1}{2} - \frac{q}{2}(\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{\widetilde{p}})}\left\|f\right\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{2.9}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.5, Lemma 2.6, and Hölder's inequality, (2.9) can be proved exactly as in Lemma 2.3. We omit the detail here. $\qquad\square$

3. Covariant Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation

The moving frame technique was first successfully applied to the study of harmonic maps by Hélein [7], and subsequently used in the study of wave maps (see [6] and [23]) and Schrödinger maps (see [2], [3] and [20]). The basic idea is to use orthonormal frames on the tangent bundle of the target manifold under the Coulomb gauge to rewrite the equation. It turns out that such a technique has been used by Melcher [16] to derive another version of LLG (1.1), which was called as the covariant LLG. Now we briefly review such a construction and refer the readers to [16] for more details.

3.1. **Moving frames.** Fix a point $\mathbf{m}_\infty \in \mathbb{S}^2$, we define, for $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, the homogeneous Sobolev space

$$H_*^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^2) = \left\{\mathbf{m}: \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{S}^2 : \ \mathbf{m} - \mathbf{m}_\infty \in H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^3)\right\},$$

and

$$H_*^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^2) = \bigcap_{\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_+} H_*^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^2).$$

For $0 < T < +\infty$, we consider

$$\mathbf{m} \in C^0\big([0,T], H_*^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^2)\big) \text{ with } \partial_t\mathbf{m} \in C^0\big([0,T], H_*^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^3)\big).$$

Since the pull-back tangent bundle $\mathbf{m}^{-1}T\mathbb{S}^2$ is a trivial bundle on $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T]$, there exists a global orthonormal frame on $\mathbf{m}^{-1}T\mathbb{S}^2$: there are smooth tangent vector fields $X, Y \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T], T_\mathbf{m}\mathbb{S}^2)$ along $\mathbf{m}$ such that

$$|X| = |Y| = 1, \ \langle X, Y \rangle = 0, \text{ and } X \times Y = \mathbf{m}.$$

We use $\alpha, \beta \in \{0, 1, \cdots n\}$ to denote the space-time components, where $\alpha = 0$ is the time index so that $\partial_0 = \partial_t$. Let $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ be the inner product on $\mathbb{R}^3$. Denote the associated connection coefficient

$$\mathbf{a} = (a_0, a_1, \cdots, a_n) = \big(\langle \partial_0 X, Y \rangle, \langle \partial_1 X, Y \rangle, \cdots, \langle \partial_n X, Y \rangle\big) \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T], \mathbb{R}^{n+1}).$$

This gives the covariant derivative $D_\alpha = \partial_\alpha + ia_\alpha$, $0 \leq \alpha \leq n$. Write

$$\mathbf{u} := (u_0, u) = (u_0, (u_1, \cdots, u_n)) = \big(\langle \partial_\alpha \mathbf{m}, X \rangle + i\langle \partial_\alpha \mathbf{m}, Y \rangle\big)_{0 \leq \alpha \leq n} : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T] \to \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \qquad (3.1)$$

for the coefficient of space-time gradient of $\mathbf{m}$ in terms of $X + iY$. Then we have

$$\partial_\alpha \mathbf{m} = \mathrm{Re}(u_\alpha)X + \mathrm{Im}(u_\alpha)Y.$$

By the relations

$$\partial_\alpha X = -\mathrm{Re}(u_\alpha)\mathbf{m} + a_\alpha Y, \quad \partial_\alpha Y = -\mathrm{Im}(u_\alpha)\mathbf{m} - a_\alpha X,$$

we have the zero torsion identity:

$$D_\alpha u_\beta = D_\beta u_\alpha, \qquad (3.2)$$

and the curvature identity:

$$R_{\alpha\beta} := [D_\alpha, D_\beta] = i\mathrm{Im}(u_\alpha u_\beta). \qquad (3.3)$$

3.2. **Covariant LLG.** Direct calculations imply

$$\Delta\mathbf{m} + |\nabla\mathbf{m}|^2\mathbf{m} = \sum_{k=1}^n (\partial_k\mathrm{Re}(u_k) - a_k\mathrm{Im}(u_k))X + \sum_{k=1}^n (\partial_k\mathrm{Im}(u_k) + a_k\mathrm{Re}(u_k))Y \text{ in } \mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T]. \qquad (3.4)$$

By direct calculations, we will have the following result (see [16] Proposition 2).

Theorem 3.1. *For* $\mathbf{m}_0 \in H_*^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^2)$, *let* $\mathbf{m} \in C^0\big([0,T], H_*^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^3)\big)$, *with* $\partial_t\mathbf{m} \in C^0\big([0,T], H_*^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^3)\big)$, *solve the LLG (1.1) with initial data* $\mathbf{m}_0$, *for some* $T > 0$. *Then*

$$(\mathbf{u}, \mathbf{a}) \in C^0([0,T], H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{R}^{n+1}))$$

solves the covariant LLG:

$$\begin{cases} u_0 = (\lambda - i) \sum\limits_{k=1}^n D_k u_k, \\ D_\alpha u_\beta = D_\beta u_\alpha, \ 0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n, \\ \partial_\alpha a_\beta - \partial_\beta a_\alpha = \mathrm{Im}(u_\alpha \overline{u_\beta}), \ 0 \leq \alpha, \beta \leq n. \end{cases} \qquad (3.5)$$

Moreover, $u = (u_1, \cdots, u_n)$ *solves the covariant complex Ginzburg-Landau equation:*

$$\begin{cases} D_0 u_l = (\lambda - i) \sum\limits_{k=1}^n (D_k D_k u_l + R_{lk} u_k), \quad 1 \leq l \leq n, \\ u(0) = \langle \nabla\mathbf{m}_0, X \rangle + i\langle \nabla\mathbf{m}_0, Y \rangle. \end{cases} \qquad (3.6)$$

3.3. **Gauge invariance and Coulomb gauges.** Since (3.5) is invariant under the gauge transformation:

$$\mathbf{u} \mapsto \widetilde{\mathbf{u}} = e^{-i\theta}\mathbf{u} \quad \text{and} \quad a_\alpha \mapsto \widetilde{a}_\alpha = a_\alpha + \partial_\alpha\theta, \ 0 \le \alpha \le n, \tag{3.7}$$

for any $\theta \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times [0,T])$. A canonical choice is the Coulomb gauge which ensures that $\widetilde{a} = (\widetilde{a}_1, \cdots, \widetilde{a}_n)$ is divergence free:

$$\text{div } \widetilde{a} = \sum_{k=1}^n \partial_k \widetilde{a}_k = 0 \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^n. \tag{3.8}$$

This amounts to solve, for $t \in [0,T]$,

$$-\triangle\theta(t) = \text{div}(a(t)) \quad \text{in} \quad \mathbb{R}^n, \tag{3.9}$$

whose solution is given by

$$\theta(x,t) = (-\Delta)^{-1}(\text{div}a)(x,t) = c_n \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \frac{x-y}{|x-y|^n} \cdot a(y,t)\,dy.$$

Thus

$$\nabla_l\theta(t) = \nabla_l(-\triangle)^{-1}\text{div}(a(t)) = \sum_{j=1}^n \mathbf{R}_l\mathbf{R}_j a_j(t), \ 1 \le l \le n,$$

where $\mathbf{R}_l = \nabla_l(-\triangle)^{\frac{1}{2}}$ is the lth-Riesz transform (see [22]). Since $a, \partial_t a \in C^0\big([0,T], H_*^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\big)$, we conclude, by the standard elliptic theory, that $\nabla\theta, \partial_t\theta \in C^0\big([0,T], H_*^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)\big)$.

3.4. **Estimates of a in Morrey spaces.**

Lemma 3.2. *For $p > 2$ and $\frac{p}{2} < q \le n$, if $u(t) \in M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\nabla u(t) \in M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for $0 < t \le T$, then we have, under the Coulomb gauge, that*

$$\Big\|a(t)\Big\|_{M^{\widetilde{p},q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \Big\|u(t)\Big\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2, \ 0 < t \le T, \tag{3.10}$$

where $\widetilde{p} = \dfrac{pq}{2q-p}$. If, in addition, $q < \frac{2p}{4-p}$, then there is a decomposition $a_0(t) = a_0^{(1)}(t) + a_0^{(2)}(t)$ such that

$$\Big\|a_0^{(1)}(t)\Big\|_{M^{\frac{2p(p+q)}{(p+2)q}, \frac{2(p+q)}{p+2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \Big\|u(t)\Big\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \Big\|\nabla u(t)\Big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}, \ 0 < t \le T, \tag{3.11}$$

and

$$\Big\|a_0^{(2)}(t)\Big\|_{M^{\frac{\widetilde{p}}{2},q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \Big\|u(t)\Big\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^4, \ 0 < t \le T. \tag{3.12}$$

Proof. Since a is a Coulomb gauge and

$$\partial_\alpha a_\beta(t) - \partial_\beta a_\alpha(t) = \text{Im}\big(u_\alpha\overline{u}_\beta\big)(t) \ \text{in} \ \mathbb{R}^n, \ 0 \le \alpha, \beta \le n,$$

we have, after taking ∂_α of the above equation and summing over $1 \le \alpha \le n$, that

$$-\triangle a_\beta(t) = \text{div}(\text{Im}\big(u_\beta\overline{u}\big))(t) \ \text{in} \ \mathbb{R}^n, \ \ 0 \le \beta \le n. \tag{3.13}$$

It is readily seen that a can be represented by

$$a_\beta(x,t) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} G(x-y)\text{div}(\text{Im}(u_\beta\overline{u}))(y,t)\,dy = -\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \nabla G(x-y)\cdot\text{Im}(u_\beta\overline{u})(y,t)\,dy, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

for $0 \le \beta \le n$, where G is the fundamental solution of $(-\Delta)$ in $\mathbb{R}^n$. Therefore we have

$$\big|a(x,t)\big| \lesssim \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-y|^{1-n}|u(t)|^2(y)\,dy := \mathbf{I}_1(|u(t)|^2)(x), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

where

$$\mathbf{I}_1(f)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |x-y|^{1-n}f(y)\,dy, \ f \in L^1_{\text{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

is the Riesz potential of f of order 1.

Since $\mathbf{I}_1 : M^{\frac{p}{2},q}(\mathbb{R}^n) \to M^{\widetilde{p},q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ is a bounded linear operator (see [12]), we have that $a(t) \in M^{\widetilde{p},q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\Big\|a(t)\Big\|_{M^{\widetilde{p},q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \Big\||u(t)|^2\Big\|_{M^{\frac{p}{2},q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \Big\|u(t)\Big\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.$$

This implies (3.10).

For a_0, we have, by (3.5),

$$
\begin{aligned}
-\Delta a_0(t) &= \operatorname{div}\big(\operatorname{Im}(\overline{u}u_0)(t)\big) = \operatorname{div}\big[\operatorname{Im}((\lambda - i)\overline{u}D_l u_l)(t)\big] \\
&= \operatorname{div}\big[\operatorname{Im}((\lambda - i)\overline{u}\operatorname{div}u)(t) + \operatorname{Re}((\lambda - i)(a \cdot u)\overline{u})(t)\big].
\end{aligned}
\tag{3.14}
$$

We define $a_0^{(1)}$ and $a_0^{(2)}$ by

$$
\begin{cases}
-\Delta a_0^{(1)}(t) = \operatorname{div}\big[\lambda\operatorname{Im}(\overline{u}\operatorname{div}u)(t) - \operatorname{Re}(\overline{u}\operatorname{div}u)(t)\big], \\
-\Delta a_0^{(2)}(t) = \operatorname{div}\big[\lambda\operatorname{Re}((a \cdot u)\overline{u})(t) + \operatorname{Im}((a \cdot u)\overline{u})(t)\big].
\end{cases}
\tag{3.15}
$$

It is clear that $a_0(t) = a_0^{(1)}(t) + a_0^{(2)}(t)$. Direct calculations give

$$
\big|a_0^{(1)}(x,t)\big| \lesssim \mathbf{I}_1\big(|u||\nabla u|\big)(x,t), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n,
$$

and

$$
\big|a_0^{(2)}(x,t)\big| \lesssim \mathbf{I}_1\big(|a||u|^2\big)(x,t), \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.
$$

As above, we can show that $a_0^{(1)}(t) \in M^{\frac{2p(p+q)}{(p+2)q}, \frac{2(p+q)}{p+2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$, and

$$
\big\|a_0^{(1)}(t)\big\|_{M^{\frac{2p(p+q)}{(p+2)q}, \frac{2(p+q)}{p+2}}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \big\|u(t)\big\|_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big\|\nabla u(t)\big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
$$

This yields (3.11). Similarly, we can show that $a_0^{(2)}(t) \in M^{\frac{\widetilde{p}}{2},q}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$
\big\|a_0^{(2)}(t)\|_{M^{\frac{\widetilde{p}}{2},q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \big\|a(t)\big\|_{M^{\widetilde{p},q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\big\|u(t)\big\|^2_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \big\|u(t)\big\|^4_{M^{p,q}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.
$$

This yields (3.12). This completes the proof. $\qquad\qquad\square$

4. Estimates in Morrey spaces for the Covariant Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation

In this section, we consider a solution $u \in C^0([0,T], H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n))$ of the covariant complex Ginzburg-Landau equation (3.6) under the Coulomb gauge, and derive the necessary estimates in suitable Morrey spaces.

4.1. **Nonlinear estimates in Morrey spaces.** First recall that under the Coulomb gauge, the equation (3.6) can be written as

$$
\partial_t u = (\lambda - i)\Delta u + F(\mathbf{a}, u) \ \text{ in } \ \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, T],
\tag{4.1}
$$

where the nonlinearity $F = (F_1, \cdots, F_n)$ is given by

$$
F_l(\mathbf{a}, u) = (\lambda - i)\Big[i\sum_{k=1}^{n}\big(\operatorname{Im}(u_l\overline{u}_k)u_k\big) + 2i(a \cdot \nabla)u_l - |a|^2 u_l\Big] - i\big(a_0^{(1)} + a_0^{(2)}\big)u_l, \ \ 1 \le l \le n.
\tag{4.2}
$$

F can be written as

$$
F = f^{(1)} + f^{(2)} + f^{(3)},
$$

where

$$
\begin{cases}
f^{(1)}(u) = (\lambda - i)i\sum_{k=1}^{n}\operatorname{Im}(u\overline{u}_k)u_k, \\
f^{(2)}(u) = (\lambda - i)2i(a \cdot \nabla)u - ia_0^{(1)}u, \\
f^{(3)}(u) = -(\lambda - i)|a|^2 u - ia_0^{(2)}u.
\end{cases}
\tag{4.3}
$$

For $p > 2$ to be determined later, let X_T^p be the function space defined by

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{X}_T^p \ := \ \Big\{u : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T) \to \mathbb{C}^n \ \Big| \ \|u\|_{\mathbf{X}_T^p} &\equiv \sup_{0 < t < T}\Big(t^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}\|u(t)\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + t^{\frac{1}{2}}\|\nabla u(t)\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\Big) \\
&+ \sup_{0 \le t < T}\big\|u(t)\big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} < +\infty\Big\}.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.4}
$$

For $u \in X_T^p$ and $0 < \tau \le T$, set

$$
\mathcal{R}_1(\tau) = \sup_{0 \le t \le \tau} t^{\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p}}\big\|u(t)\big\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)},
$$

$$
\mathcal{R}_2(\tau) = \sup_{0 \le t \le \tau} t^{\frac{1}{2}}\big\|\nabla u(t)\big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)},
$$

and

$$\mathcal{R}_3(\tau) = \sup_{0 \le t \le \tau} \|u(t)\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Then it holds

$$\|u\|_{X_T^p} = \mathcal{R}_1(T) + \mathcal{R}_2(T) + \mathcal{R}_3(T).$$

For $\delta_1, \delta_2 > 0$, set

$$\mathbb{B}[\delta_1, \delta_2] := \int_0^1 (1 - t)^{-\delta_1} t^{-\delta_2}\, dt.$$

Observe that $\mathbb{B}[\delta_1, \delta_2] < +\infty$ when $\delta_1, \delta_2 < 1$.

4.1.1. **Morrey space estimates related to $f^{(1)}(u)$.** Now we have

Lemma 4.1. *For $p \in (3, 6)$ and $u \in X_T^p$, we have that for $0 \le t \le T$,*

$$t^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} \Big\| \int_0^t S(t - s) f^{(1)}(u(s))\, ds \Big\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \mathcal{R}_1^3(t). \tag{4.5}$$

Proof. Since $|f^{(1)}(u)| \lesssim |u|^3$, by Minkowski's inequality and Lemma 2.3 we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Big\| \int_0^t S(t - s) f^{(1)}(u(s))\, ds \Big\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \int_0^t \Big\| S(t - s) f^{(1)}(u(s)) \Big\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\, ds \\
&\lesssim \Big(\int_0^t (t - s)^{-\frac{2}{p}} s^{-\frac{3}{2}(1 - \frac{2}{p})}\, ds \Big) \mathcal{R}_1^3(t) \\
&\lesssim \mathbb{B}\big[\tfrac{2}{p}, \tfrac{3}{2}(1 - \tfrac{2}{p}) \big] t^{1 - \frac{2}{p} - \frac{3}{2}(1 - \frac{2}{p})} \mathcal{R}_1^3(t) \\
&\lesssim t^{\frac{1}{p} - \frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{R}_1^3(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\mathbb{B}\big[\tfrac{2}{p}, \tfrac{3}{2}(1 - \tfrac{2}{p}) \big] < +\infty$ when $p \in (3, 6)$. Hence Lemma 4.1 is proved. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 4.2. *For $p \in (3, 6)$ and $u \in X_T^p$, we have that for $0 \le t \le T$,*

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big\| \nabla \int_0^t S(t - s) f^{(1)}(u(s))\, ds \Big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \mathcal{R}_1^3(t). \tag{4.6}$$

Proof. By Minkowski's inequality and Lemma 2.6, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\Big\| \nabla \int_0^t S(t - s) f^{(1)}(u(s))\, ds \Big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \int_0^t \Big\| \nabla(S(t - s) f^{(1)}(u(s))) \Big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\, ds \\
&\lesssim \int_0^t (t - s)^{-\frac{1}{2} - (\frac{3}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} \Big\| |u(s)|^3 \Big\|_{M^{\frac{p}{3}, 2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\, ds \\
&\lesssim \int_0^t (t - s)^{-\frac{3}{p}} \Big\| u(s) \Big\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^3\, ds \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{R}_1^3(t) \int_0^t (t - s)^{-\frac{3}{p}} s^{-\frac{3}{2}(1 - \frac{1}{p})}\, ds \\
&\lesssim \mathbb{B}\big[\tfrac{3}{p}, \tfrac{3}{2}(1 - \tfrac{2}{p}) \big] t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{R}_1^3(t) \\
&\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{R}_1^3(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\mathbb{B}\big[\tfrac{3}{p}, \tfrac{3}{2}(1 - \tfrac{2}{p}) \big] < +\infty$ when $3 < p < 6$. This implies (4.6). Hence Lemma 4.2 is proved. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 4.3. *For $p \in (3, 6)$ and $u \in X_T^p$, we have that for $0 \le t \le T$,*

$$\Big\| \int_0^t S(t - s) f^{(1)}(u(s))\, ds \Big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \mathcal{R}_1^3(t). \tag{4.7}$$

Proof. By Minkowski's inequality and Lemma 2.3. we have

$$\left\| \int_0^t S(t-s) f^{(1)}(u(s)) \, ds \right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \int_0^t \left\| S(t-s) f^{(1)}(u(s)) \right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \, ds$$

$$\lesssim \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\frac{3}{p}-\frac{1}{2})} \left\| |u(s)|^3 \right\|_{M^{\frac{p}{3},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \, ds$$

$$\lesssim \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\frac{3}{p}-\frac{1}{2})} \left\| u(s) \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^3 \, ds$$

$$\lesssim \mathbb{B}\left[\frac{3}{p} - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}(1-\frac{2}{p}) \right] \mathcal{R}_1^3(t)$$

$$\lesssim \mathcal{R}_1^3(t),$$

since $\mathbb{B}\left[\frac{3}{p} - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2}(1-\frac{2}{p}) \right] < +\infty$ when $3 < p < 6$. Hence Lemma 4.3 is proved. $\square$

4.1.2. **Morrey space estimates related to $f^{(2)}(u)$.** Observe that

$$\left| f^{(2)}(u) \right| \lesssim |a| |\nabla u| + |a_0^{(1)}| |u|.$$

Now we have

Lemma 4.4. *For $p \in (2,4)$ and $u \in X_T^p$, we have that for $0 \le t \le T$,*

$$\left\| \int_0^t S(t-s) f^{(2)}(u(s)) \, ds \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim t^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} \mathcal{R}_1^2(t) \mathcal{R}_2(t). \tag{4.8}$$

Proof. It follows from Lemma 3.2 that $a(t) \in M^{\frac{2p}{4-p},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $a_0^{(1)}(t) \in M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Moreover,

$$\left\| a(t) \right\|_{M^{\frac{2p}{4-p},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left\| u(t) \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2,$$

and

$$\left\| a_0^{(1)}(t) \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left\| u(t) \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left\| \nabla u(t) \right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By Hölder's inequality, we have that $f^{(2)}(u)(t) \in M^{\frac{p}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\left\| f^{(2)}(u)(t) \right\|_{M^{\frac{p}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left\| a(t) \right\|_{M^{\frac{2p}{4-p},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left\| \nabla u(t) \right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\| a_0^{(1)}(t) \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left\| u(t) \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}$$

$$\lesssim \left\| u(t) \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \left\| \nabla u(t) \right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{4.9}$$

Applying Minkowski's inequality and Lemma 2.3, we then have

$$\left\| \int_0^t S(t-s) f^{(2)}(u(s)) \, ds \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \int_0^t \left\| S(t-s) f^{(2)}(u(s)) \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \, ds$$

$$\lesssim \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left\| f^{(2)}(u(s)) \right\|_{M^{\frac{p}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \, ds$$

$$\lesssim \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{p}} \left\| u(s) \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \left\| \nabla u(s) \right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \, ds$$

$$\lesssim \mathcal{R}_1^2(t) \mathcal{R}_2(t) \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{p}} s^{-(\frac{3}{2}-\frac{2}{p})} \, ds$$

$$\lesssim \mathbb{B}\left[\frac{1}{p}, \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{p} \right] t^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} \mathcal{R}_1^2(t) \mathcal{R}_2(t)$$

$$\lesssim t^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})} \mathcal{R}_1^2(t) \mathcal{R}_2(t),$$

since $\mathbb{B}\left[\frac{1}{p}, \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{p} \right] < +\infty$ when $2 < p < 4$. This implies (4.8). Hence Lemma 4.4 is proved. $\square$

Lemma 4.5. *For $p \in (2, 4)$ and $u \in X_T^p$, we have that for any $0 \le t \le T$,*

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}} \Big\| \nabla \int_0^t S(t-s) f^{(2)}(u(s)) \, ds \Big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \mathcal{R}_1^2(t) \mathcal{R}_2(t). \tag{4.10}$$

Proof. Applying Minkowski's inequality, (4.9), and Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\Big\| \nabla \int_0^t S(t-s) f^{(2)}(u(s)) \, ds \Big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \int_0^t \Big\| \nabla (S(t-s) f^{(2)}(u(s))) \Big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \, ds$$

$$\lesssim \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2} - (\frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} \Big\| f^{(2)}(u(s)) \Big\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \, ds$$

$$\lesssim \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{2}{p}} \Big\| u(s) \Big\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \Big\| \nabla u(s) \Big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \, ds$$

$$\lesssim \mathcal{R}_1^2(t) \mathcal{R}_2(t) \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{2}{p}} s^{-2(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}) - \frac{1}{2}} \, ds$$

$$\lesssim \mathbb{B}\Big[\frac{2}{p}, \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{p} \Big] t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{R}_1^2(t) \mathcal{R}_2(t)$$

$$\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \mathcal{R}_1^2(t) \mathcal{R}_2(t),$$

since $\mathbb{B}\Big[\frac{2}{p}, \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{p} \Big] < +\infty$ when $2 < p < 4$. This proves Lemma 4.5. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 4.6. *For $p \in (2, 4)$ and $u \in X_T^p$, we have that for $0 \le t \le T$,*

$$\Big\| \int_0^t S(t-s) f^{(2)}(u(s)) \, ds \Big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \mathcal{R}_1^2(t) \mathcal{R}_2(t). \tag{4.11}$$

Proof. Applying Minkowski's inequality, (4.9), and Lemma 2.6, we have

$$\Big\| \int_0^t S(t-s) f^{(2)}(u(s)) \, ds \Big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \int_0^t \Big\| S(t-s) f^{(2)}(u(s)) \Big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \, ds$$

$$\lesssim \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} \Big\| f^{(2)}(u(s)) \Big\|_{M^{\frac{p}{2},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \, ds$$

$$\lesssim \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} \Big\| u(s) \Big\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \Big\| \nabla u(s) \Big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \, ds$$

$$\lesssim \mathcal{R}_1^2(t) \mathcal{R}_2(t) \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{2})} s^{-(\frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{p})} \, ds$$

$$= \mathbb{B}\Big[\frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{p} \Big] \mathcal{R}_1^2(t) \mathcal{R}_2(t)$$

$$\lesssim \mathcal{R}_1^2(t) \mathcal{R}_2(t),$$

since $\mathbb{B}\Big[\frac{2}{p} - \frac{1}{2}, \frac{3}{2} - \frac{2}{p} \Big] < +\infty$ when $2 < p < 4$. This proves Lemma 4.6. $\qquad\square$

4.1.3. **Morrey space estimates related to $f^{(3)}(u)$.** Observe that

$$\big| f^{(3)}(u) \big| \lesssim |a|^2 |u| + |a_0^{(2)}| |u|.$$

Now we have

Lemma 4.7. *For $p \in (2, \frac{10}{3})$ and $u \in X_T^p$, we have that for $0 \le t \le T$,*

$$t^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}} \Big\| \int_0^t S(t-s) f^{(3)}(u(s)) \, ds \Big\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \mathcal{R}_1^5(t). \tag{4.12}$$

Proof. By Lemma 3.2, we know that $a(t) \in M^{\frac{2p}{4-p}, 2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $a_0^{(2)}(t) \in M^{\frac{p}{4-p}, 2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$\big\| a(t) \big\|_{M^{\frac{2p}{4-p}, 2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \big\| u(t) \big\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2,$$

$$\left\|a_0^{(2)}(t)\right\|_{M^{\frac{p}{4-p},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \|u(t)\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^4.$$

Thus by Hölder's inequality we obtain that $f^{(3)}(u) \in M^{\frac{p}{5-p},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|f^{(3)}(u(t))\right\|_{M^{\frac{p}{5-p},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \left(\left\||a(t)|^2\right\|_{M^{\frac{p}{4-p},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\|a_0^{(2)}(t)\right\|_{M^{\frac{p}{4-p},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right)\|u(t)\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \\
&\lesssim \|u(t)\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^5.
\end{aligned}
\tag{4.13}
$$

Applying Minkowski's inequality, (4.13), and Lemma 2.3, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\int_0^t S(t-s)f^{(3)}(u(s))\,ds\right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \int_0^t \left\|S(t-s)f^{(3)}(u(s))\right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\,ds \\
&\lesssim \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{4-p}{p}}\left\|f^{(3)}(u(s))\right\|_{M^{\frac{p}{5-p},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\,ds \lesssim \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{4-p}{p}}\|u\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^5\,ds \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{R}_1^5(t)\int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{4-p}{p}}s^{-5(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}\,ds \\
&\lesssim \mathbb{B}\left[\frac{4-p}{p},\frac{5}{2}-\frac{5}{p}\right]t^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}\mathcal{R}_1^5(t) \\
&\lesssim t^{-(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}\mathcal{R}_1^5(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\mathbb{B}\left[\frac{4-p}{p},\frac{5}{2}-\frac{5}{p}\right] < +\infty$ when $2 < p < \frac{10}{3}$. This completes the proof. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 4.8. *For $p \in (\frac{5}{2},\frac{10}{3})$ and $u \in X_T^p$, we have that for $0 \le t \le T$,*

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\nabla\int_0^t S(t-s)f^{(3)}(u(s))\,ds\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \mathcal{R}_1^5(t). \tag{4.14}$$

Proof. Applying Minkowski's inequality, (4.13), and Lemma 2.6, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\nabla\int_0^t S(t-s)f^{(3)}(u(s))\,ds\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \int_0^t \left\|\nabla(S(t-s)f^{(3)}(u(s)))\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\,ds \\
&\lesssim \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{1}{2}-(\frac{5-p}{p}-\frac{1}{2})}\left\|f^{(3)}(u(s))\right\|_{M^{\frac{p}{5-p},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\,ds \\
&\lesssim \int_0^t (t-s)^{-\frac{5-p}{p}}s^{5(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}s^{-5(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}\|u(s)\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^5\,ds \\
&\lesssim \mathbb{B}\left[\frac{5-p}{p},\frac{5}{2}-\frac{5}{p}\right]t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{R}_1^5(t) \\
&\lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\mathcal{R}_1^5(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\mathbb{B}\left[\frac{5-p}{p},\frac{5}{2}-\frac{5}{p}\right] < +\infty$ when $\frac{5}{2} < p < \frac{10}{3}$. Hence Lemma 4.8 is proved. $\qquad\square$

Lemma 4.9. *For $p \in (2,\frac{10}{3})$ and $u \in X_T^p$, we have that for $0 \le t \le T$,*

$$\left\|\int_0^t S(t-s)f^{(3)}(u(s))\,ds\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \mathcal{R}_1^5(t). \tag{4.15}$$

Proof. Applying Minkowski's inequality, (4.13), and Lemma 2.6, we have

$$
\begin{aligned}
\left\|\int_0^t S(t-s)f^{(3)}(u(s))\,ds\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} &\lesssim \int_0^t \left\|S(t-s)f^{(3)}(u(s))\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\,ds \\
&\lesssim \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\frac{5-p}{p}-\frac{1}{2})}\left\|f^{(3)}(u(s))\right\|_{M^{\frac{p}{5-p},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\,ds \\
&\lesssim \int_0^t (t-s)^{-(\frac{5-p}{p}-\frac{1}{2})}s^{5(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}s^{-5(\frac{1}{2}-\frac{1}{p})}\|u(s)\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^5\,ds \\
&\lesssim \mathbb{B}\left[\frac{5}{p}-\frac{3}{2},\frac{5}{2}-\frac{5}{p}\right]\mathcal{R}_1^5(t) \\
&\lesssim \mathcal{R}_1^5(t),
\end{aligned}
$$

since $\mathbb{B}\left[\frac{5}{p} - \frac{3}{2}, \frac{5}{2} - \frac{5}{p}\right] < +\infty$ when $2 < p < \frac{10}{3}$. This proves Lemma 4.9. $\qquad\square$

4.2. **Duhamel's principle and Morrey space estimates of solutions to (3.6).** Assume that

$$u \in C^0\left([0,T], H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)\right)$$

solves the covariant complex Ginzburg-Landau equation (3.6). By Duhamel's formula, we have that for $0 \le t \le T$,

$$u(t) = S(t)u_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{3}(S * f^{(l)}(u))(t), \tag{4.16}$$

where

$$S(t)u_0 = S_t * u_0 := \widetilde{u_0}(t) \tag{4.17}$$

is, as before, the convolution in space, and

$$(S * f^{(l)}(u))(t) = \int_0^t S(t-s)(f^{(l)}(u(s)))\, ds := u^{(l)}(t), \ 1 \le l \le 3. \tag{4.18}$$

For $\widetilde{u_0}$, we can apply Lemma 2.2, Lemma 2.3 and Lemma 2.6 to get

Lemma 4.10. *For any $2 < p < +\infty$, there exists $C > 0$ depending only on n, p, λ such that for any $0 \le t < +\infty$,*

$$\left\|\widetilde{u_0}\right\|_{X_t^p} \le C\left\|u_0\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{4.19}$$

Proof. By Lemma 2.3, we have that for $0 \le t \le T$,

$$\left\|\widetilde{u_0}(t)\right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left\|S(t)u_0\right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim t^{-\left(\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}\right)}\left\|u_0\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By Lemma 2.6, we have that for $0 \le t \le T$,

$$\left\|\nabla\widetilde{u_0}(t)\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left\|\nabla(S(t)u_0)\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim t^{-\frac{1}{2}}\left\|u_0\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

By Lemma 2.2, we have that for $0 \le t \le T$,

$$\left\|\widetilde{u_0}(t)\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \left\|S(t)u_0\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left\|u_0\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Combining these estimates together yields

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\widetilde{u_0}\right\|_{X_t^p} &= \sup_{0 \le \tau \le t}\left[\tau^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}\left\|\widetilde{u_0}(\tau)\right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \tau^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\nabla\widetilde{u_0}(\tau)\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\|\widetilde{u_0}(\tau)\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right] \\ &\lesssim \left\|u_0\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.\end{aligned}$$

This proves (4.19). $\qquad\square$

We also introduce another norm of $u \in X_T^p$:

$$\left\|u\right\|_{Y_t^p} := \sup_{0 < \tau < t}\left(\tau^{\frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{p}}\left\|u(\tau)\right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \tau^{\frac{1}{2}}\left\|\nabla u(\tau)\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}\right), \ 0 \le t \le T.$$

Note that

$$\left\|u\right\|_{Y_t^p} = \mathcal{R}_1(t) + \mathcal{R}_2(t), \ \left\|u\right\|_{X_t^p} = \left\|u\right\|_{Y_t^p} + \mathcal{R}_3(t)\left(\ge \left\|u\right\|_{Y_t^p}\right), \ 0 \le t \le T.$$

Now we can combine all these Lemmas together to obtain the following key estimate.

Theorem 4.11. *For any $p \in \left(3, \frac{10}{3}\right)$ and $0 < T \le +\infty$, there exists a constant $C > 0$ depending only on n, p, λ such that if $u \in C^0\left([0,T], H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n)\right)$ solves the covariant Ginzburg-Landau equation (3.6). Then*

$$\left\|u\right\|_{X_t^p} \le \left\|\widetilde{u_0}\right\|_{X_t^p} + C\left(\left\|u\right\|_{Y_t^p}^3 + \left\|u\right\|_{Y_t^p}^5\right), \ \forall\, 0 \le t \le T. \tag{4.20}$$

Furthermore, there exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ depending on n, p, λ such that if

$$\left\|u_0\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \le \epsilon_0, \tag{4.21}$$

then for any $0 \le t \le T$,

$$\left\|u\right\|_{X_t^p} \le 2\left\|\widetilde{u_0}\right\|_{X_t^p} \le C\left\|u_0\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{4.22}$$

Proof. By the Duhamel formula (4.16), we have

$$\left\|u\right\|_{X_t^p} \le \left\|\widetilde{u_0}\right\|_{X_t^p} + \sum_{l=1}^{3}\left\|u^{(l)}\right\|_{X_t^p}.$$

For $u^{(1)}$, since Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2, and Lemma 4.3 hold for $p \in (3, \frac{10}{3})$, we have

$$\left\|u^{(1)}\right\|_{X_t^p} \le C\mathcal{R}_1^3(t) \le C\left\|u\right\|_{Y_t^p}^3.$$

For $u^{(2)}$, since Lemma 4.4, Lemma 4.5, and Lemma 4.6 hold for $p \in (3, \frac{10}{3})$, we have

$$\left\|u^{(2)}\right\|_{X_t^p} \le C\mathcal{R}_1^2(t)\mathcal{R}_2(t) \le C\left\|u\right\|_{Y_t^p}^3.$$

For $u^{(3)}$, since Lemma 4.7, Lemma 4.8. and Lemma 4.9 hold for $p \in (3, \frac{10}{3})$, we have

$$\left\|u^{(3)}\right\|_{X_t^p} \le C\mathcal{R}_1^5(t) \le C\left\|u\right\|_{Y_t^p}^5.$$

Putting these estimates together yields (4.20).

To show (4.22), first observe that

$$\lim_{t\downarrow 0^+}\left\|u\right\|_{Y_t^p} = 0. \tag{4.23}$$

In fact, by Sobolev's embedding theorem we have the following estimates: For some large $\sigma \in \mathbb{Z}_+$,

$$\left\|u(t)\right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}\left\|u(t)\right\|_{L^\infty(B_1(x))} + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, R>1}\left(R^{2-n}\int_{B_R(x)}|u(t)|^p\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \lesssim \left\|u(t)\right\|_{H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

and

$$\left\|\nabla u(t)\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n}\left\|\nabla u(t)\right\|_{L^\infty(B_1)} + \sup_{x \in \mathbb{R}^n, R>1}\left(R^{2-n}\int_{B_R(x)}|\nabla u(t)|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \lesssim \left\|u(t)\right\|_{H^\sigma(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

This, combined with $u \in C^0([0,T], H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{C}^n))$, implies (4.23).

It follows from (4.23) and (4.20) that $\left\|u\right\|_{X_t^p} < 2\left\|\widetilde{u_0}\right\|_{X_t^p}$ for sufficiently small $t > 0$. Now, assume that there exists $t_* \in (0,T)$ such that $\left\|u\right\|_{X_{t_*}^p} = 2\left\|\widetilde{u_0}\right\|_{X_{t_*}^p} \ne 0$. This, combined with $\left\|u\right\|_{Y_{t_*}^p} \le \left\|u\right\|_{X_{t_*}^p}$ and (4.20), implies that

$$\begin{aligned} 2\left\|\widetilde{u_0}\right\|_{X_{t_*}^p} &= \left\|u\right\|_{X_{t_*}^p} \le \left\|\widetilde{u_0}\right\|_{X_{t_*}^p} + C\left(\left\|u\right\|_{Y_{t_*}^p}^3 + \left\|u\right\|_{Y_{t_*}^p}^5\right) \\ &= \left\|\widetilde{u_0}\right\|_{X_{t_*}^p} + C\left(8\left\|\widetilde{u_0}\right\|_{X_{t_*}^p}^2 + 32\left\|\widetilde{u_0}\right\|_{X_{t_*}^p}^4\right)\left\|\widetilde{u_0}\right\|_{X_{t_*}^p}. \end{aligned}$$

Hence we obtain

$$1 \le 8C\left\|\widetilde{u_0}\right\|_{X_{t_*}^p}^2 + 32C\left\|\widetilde{u_0}\right\|_{X_{t_*}^p}^4.$$

This and Lemma 4.10 imply

$$1 \le 8C^3\left\|u_0\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 + 32C^5\left\|u_0\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^4 \le 8C^3\epsilon_0^2 + 32C^5\epsilon_0^4,$$

which is impossible, provided that $\epsilon_0 > 0$ is chosen sufficiently small. Hence (4.22) holds and the proof is complete. □

5. Global well-posedness of LLG (1.1) and proof of Theorem 1.2

In this section, we will give a proof of Theorem 1.2. The rough idea is to (i) approximate the initial data $\mathbf{m}_0$ by $\mathbf{m}_0^k$ in $H_*^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^2)$ and consider the local smooth solutions $\mathbf{m}^k : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, T^k] \to \mathbb{S}^2$ of (1.1) with initial data $\mathbf{m}_0^k$; (ii) apply Theorem 3.1 and Theorem 4.11 to obtain uniform bounds on $\left\|\nabla\mathbf{m}^k\right\|_{X_{T^k}^p}$; (iii) employ the ϵ-regularity theory of LLG (1.1) to obtain $T^k = +\infty$ and uniform upper bounds on $\left\|\mathbf{m}^k\right\|_{C^l(\mathbb{R}^n \times [\delta, +\infty))}$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and $\delta > 0$; and (iv) show that the limit map $\mathbf{m}$ of $\mathbf{m}^k$ is the desired solution.

5.1. Approximation of initial data.
For an initial data $\mathbf{m}_0$ given by Theorem 1.2, we have

Lemma 5.1. *There exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ such that if $\mathbf{m}_0 : \mathbb{R}^n \to \mathbb{S}^2$ satisfies $\mathbf{m}_0 - \mathbf{m}_\infty \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for some $\mathbf{m}_\infty \in \mathbb{S}^2$, and*

$$\left\|\nabla\mathbf{m}_0\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \epsilon_0, \tag{5.1}$$

then there exist a sequence of maps $\{\mathbf{m}_0^k\} \subset H_*^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^2)$ such that

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} \left\|\nabla\mathbf{m}_0^k\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\left\|\nabla\mathbf{m}_0\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\epsilon_0, \tag{5.2}$$

and

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left(\left\|\mathbf{m}_0^k - \mathbf{m}_0\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\|\nabla(\mathbf{m}_0^k - \mathbf{m}_0)\right\|_{L^2(B_R)} \right) = 0, \ \forall R > 0. \tag{5.3}$$

If, in addition, $\nabla\mathbf{m}_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, then

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \left\|\nabla(\mathbf{m}_0^k - \mathbf{m}_0)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0. \tag{5.4}$$

Proof. Let $\phi \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}_+)$ be a standard mollifier, with supp $(\phi) \subset B_1$ and $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(y)\,dy = 1$. Set $\phi_k(x) = k^n\phi(kx)$ and define

$$\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_0^k(x) = (\phi_k * \mathbf{m}_0)(x) = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi_k(x - y)\mathbf{m}_0(y)\,dy = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \phi(y)\mathbf{m}_0(x - \tfrac{1}{k}y)\,dy, \ x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Applying a modified Poincaré inequality, we have that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $k \geq 1$,

$$\int_{B_1(x)} \left|\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_0^k(x) - \mathbf{m}_0(x - \tfrac{1}{k}y)\right|^2 dy \ \lesssim \ \int_{B_1(x)} |\nabla\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_0^k|^2$$

$$\lesssim \ 2^{2-n} \int_{B_2(x)} |\nabla\mathbf{m}_0|^2 \leq C\left\|\nabla\mathbf{m}_0\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2.$$

Therefore we have that for any $x \in \mathbb{R}^n$ and $k \geq 1$,

$$\mathrm{dist}^2(\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_0^k(x), \mathbb{S}^2) \leq C \int_{B_1(x)} \left|\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_0^k(x) - \mathbf{m}_0(x - \tfrac{1}{k}y)\right|^2 dy \leq C\left\|\nabla\mathbf{m}_0\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}^2 \leq C\epsilon_0^2.$$

By choosing $\epsilon_0 > 0$ sufficiently small, we have that

$$\frac{3}{4} \leq |\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_0^k(x)| \leq 1, \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Since $\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_0^k - \mathbf{m}_\infty = \phi_k * (\mathbf{m}_0 - \mathbf{m}_\infty)$, it is not hard to see that

$$\lim_{k \to +\infty} \left\|\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_0^k - \mathbf{m}_0\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = \lim_{k \to \infty} \left\|\phi_k * (\mathbf{m}_0 - \mathbf{m}_\infty) - (\mathbf{m}_0 - \mathbf{m}_\infty)\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0.$$

It is easy to check that for any $k \geq 1$,

$$R^{2-n} \int_{B_R(x)} \left|\nabla\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_0^k\right|^2 \leq R^{2-n} \int_{B_{R+1}(x)} |\nabla\mathbf{m}_0|^2, \ \forall B_R(x) \subset \mathbb{R}^n,$$

so that

$$\left\|\nabla\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_0^k\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\left\|\nabla\mathbf{m}_0\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Set

$$\Pi(y) = \frac{y}{|y|} : \left\{ y \in \mathbb{R}^3 \mid \frac{3}{4} \leq |y| \leq 1 \right\} \to \mathbb{S}^2,$$

and define

$$\mathbf{m}_0^k(x) := \Pi(\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_0^k)(x)\big(=\frac{\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_0^k(x)}{|\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_0^k(x)|}\big), \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n.$$

Since

$$\left\|\nabla\Pi\right\|_{L^\infty(\{y\in\mathbb{R}^3 \ | \ \frac{3}{4}\leq|y|\leq 1\})} \leq 4,$$

it is easy to check that $\mathbf{m}_0^k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^2)$ satisfies (5.2). Since

$$\mathbf{m}_0^k(x) - \mathbf{m}_0(x) = \Pi(\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_0^k(x)) - \Pi(\mathbf{m}_0(x)), \ \forall x \in \mathbb{R}^n,$$

it is also easy to see that

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\left\|\mathbf{m}_0^k - \mathbf{m}_0\right\|_{L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)} = 0,$$

and

$$\lim_{k\to\infty}\left\|\nabla(\mathbf{m}_0^k - \mathbf{m}_0)\right\|_{L^2(B_R)} = 0$$

for any $0 < R < +\infty$. In particular, we have that $\mathbf{m}_0^k - \mathbf{m}_\infty \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. For any $l \geq 1$, since $\nabla^l\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_0^k = \nabla^l(\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_0^k - \mathbf{m}_\infty) = \nabla^l(\phi_k*(\mathbf{m}_0-\mathbf{m}_\infty)) \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$ and $\nabla^l\mathbf{m}_0^k = \nabla^l(\Pi(\widetilde{\mathbf{m}}_0^k))$, we conclude that $\nabla^l\mathbf{m}_0^k \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. Thus $\mathbf{m}_0^k \in H_*^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^2)$.

It is clear that when $\nabla\mathbf{m}_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, $\nabla(\mathbf{m}_0^k - \mathbf{m}_0) \to 0$ in $L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. The proof is complete. $\qquad\square$

5.2. Uniform estimates of approximate solutions of (1.1).
For $\mathbf{m}_0$ given by Theorem 1.2, let $\mathbf{m}_0^k$ be the approximated initial data given by Lemma 5.1. Then it is known (see [16] proposition 1) that there exist a maximal time interval $T^k > 0$ and a solution

$$\mathbf{m}^k \in C^0([0, T^k], H_*^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^2)), \ \text{with} \ \partial_t\mathbf{m}^k \in C^0([0, T^k], H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)),$$

to the LLG (1.1), with initial data $\mathbf{m}_0^k$. Now let $(\mathbf{a}^k, \mathbf{u}^k) \in C^0([0, T^k], H^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{R}^{n+1} \times \mathbb{C}^{n+1}))$ be the corresponding solution of the covariant LLG equation and the covariant Ginzburg-Landau equation given by Theorem 3.1, under the Coulomb gauge. Since

$$\left\|u_0^k\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \approx \left\|\nabla\mathbf{m}_0^k\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \epsilon_0,$$

we can apply Theorem 4.11 to conclude that for $p \in (3, \frac{10}{3})$, $u^k \in X_{T^k}^p$ and satisfies

$$\left\|u^k\right\|_{X_{T^k}^p} \leq C\left\|u_0^k\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\epsilon_0. \tag{5.5}$$

By virtue of the relation between u^k and $\mathbf{m}^k$, this implies

$$\sup_{0\leq t\leq T_k}\left\|\nabla\mathbf{m}^k(t)\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \left\|u^k\right\|_{X_{T_k}^p} \leq C\left\|u_0^k\right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C\epsilon_0. \tag{5.6}$$

5.3. ϵ_0-regularity of LLG (1.1).
To show that (5.6) yields $T^k = +\infty$ and $\mathbf{m}^k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty))$ with uniformly bounded C^l-norms in $\mathbb{R}^n \times [\delta, +\infty)$ for any $\delta > 0$, we need the following regularity theorem for LLG (1.1). For $z_0 = (x_0, t_0) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty)$ and $0 < r_0 < \sqrt{t_0}$, let $P_{r_0}(z_0) = B_r(x_0) \times [t_0 - r_0^2, t_0]$ denote the parabolic ball with center z_0 and radius r_0. Set

$$H^1(P_{r_0}(z_0), \mathbb{S}^2) = \Big\{\mathbf{m} : P_{r_0}(z_0) \to \mathbb{S}^2 \ \Big| \ \nabla\mathbf{m} \in L^2(P_{r_0}(z_0)), \ \partial_t\mathbf{m} \in L^2(P_{r_0}(z_0))\Big\}.$$

Lemma 5.2. *There exists $\epsilon_0 > 0$ depending only on λ and n with the following property: If $\mathbf{m} \in H^1(P_{r_0}(z_0), \mathbb{S}^2)$, with $\nabla^2\mathbf{m} \in L^2(P_{r_0}(z_0))$, is a weak solution of the LLG (1.1)[1] satisfying*

$$\left\|\nabla\mathbf{m}\right\|_{M^{2,2}(P_{r_0}(z_0))} := \sup_{P_r(z)\subset P_{r_0}(z_0)}\Big(r^{2-(n+2)}\int_{P_r(z)}|\nabla\mathbf{m}(y,s)|^2\,dyds\Big)^{\frac{1}{2}} \leq \epsilon_0, \tag{5.7}$$

then $\mathbf{m} \in C^\infty(P_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0), \mathbb{S}^2)$, and for all $l \geq 0$,

$$\left\|\mathbf{m}\right\|_{C^l(P_{\frac{r_0}{2}}(z_0))} \leq C(\lambda, l, \epsilon_0, r_0). \tag{5.8}$$

---

[1]$\mathbf{m}$ satisfies (1.1) in the sense of distributions, if, for any $\Phi \in C_0^\infty(P_{r_0}(z_0), \mathbb{S}^2)$, the following holds:

$$\int_{P_{r_0}(z_0)}\big[\langle\partial_t\mathbf{m}, \Phi\rangle + \lambda\langle\nabla\mathbf{m}, \nabla\Phi\rangle - \langle\mathbf{m} \times \nabla\mathbf{m}, \nabla\Phi\rangle - \lambda\langle|\nabla\mathbf{m}|^2\mathbf{m}, \Phi\rangle\big]\,dyds = 0.$$

Proof. By rescaling, we may assume $r_0 = 2$ and $z_0 = (0, 4)$. Since $\nabla^2 \mathbf{m} \in L^2(P_2(0, 4))$, it is known that (1.1) is equivalent to

$$\lambda \partial_t \mathbf{m} + \mathbf{m} \times \partial_t \mathbf{m} = (1 + \lambda^2)(\Delta \mathbf{m} + |\nabla \mathbf{m}|^2 \mathbf{m}). \tag{5.9}$$

For any $\phi \in C_0^\infty(B_2)$, we can multiply (5.9) by $\partial_t \mathbf{m} \phi^2$ and integrate the resulting equation over $B_2 \times [t_1, t_2]$, $0 < t_1 < t_2 \leq 4$, to obtain the following local energy inequality:

$$\lambda \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_4} |\partial_t \mathbf{m}|^2 \phi^2 + (1 + \lambda^2) \int_{B_2} |\nabla \mathbf{m}(t_2)|^2 \phi^2 \leq (1 + \lambda^2) \int_{B_2} |\nabla \mathbf{m}(t_1)|^2 \phi^2 + C(\lambda) \int_{t_1}^{t_2} \int_{B_2} |\nabla \mathbf{m}|^2 |\nabla \phi|^2. \tag{5.10}$$

By choosing suitable ϕ and applying Fubini's theorem, (5.10) implies that

$$\int_{P_{\frac{r}{2}}(z)} |\partial_t \mathbf{m}|^2 \leq C(\lambda) r^{-2} \int_{P_r(z)} |\nabla \mathbf{m}|^2, \ \forall P_r(z) \subset P_2(0, 4). \tag{5.11}$$

This, combined with (5.7), implies the smallness of renormalized total energy of $\mathbf{m}$:

$$r^{-n} \int_{P_r(z)} (|\nabla \mathbf{m}|^2 + r^2 |\partial_t \mathbf{m}|^2) \leq C \epsilon_0^2, \ \forall P_r(z) \subset P_{\frac{3}{2}}(0, 4). \tag{5.12}$$

Now we can perform a blow-up argument, similar to that by Moser [19], Melcher [15], and Ding-Wang [5], to conclude that there exists $\theta_0 \in (0, \frac{1}{4})$ such that

$$(\theta_0 r)^{-n} \int_{P_{\theta_0 r}(z)} (|\nabla \mathbf{m}|^2 + (\theta_0 r)^2 |\partial_t \mathbf{m}|^2) \leq \frac{1}{2} r^{-n} \int_{P_r(z)} (|\nabla \mathbf{m}|^2 + r^2 |\partial_t \mathbf{m}|^2), \ \forall P_r(z) \subset P_{\frac{3}{2}}(0, 4). \tag{5.13}$$

It is standard that by iterations, (5.13) implies that there exists $\alpha_0 \in (0, 1)$ depending only on n, λ such that

$$r^{-n} \int_{P_r(z)} (|\nabla \mathbf{m}|^2 + r^2 |\partial_t \mathbf{m}|^2) \leq C(\lambda) r^{2\alpha_0} \int_{P_2(0,4)} |\nabla \mathbf{m}|^2 \leq C(\lambda) \epsilon_0^2 r^{2\alpha_0}, \ \forall P_r(z) \subset P_{\frac{3}{2}}(0, 4). \tag{5.14}$$

This, combined with Morrey's decay lemma, yields that $\mathbf{m} \in C^{\alpha_0}(P_{\frac{3}{2}}(0, 4), \mathbb{S}^2)$ and

$$\Big[\mathbf{m} \Big]_{C^{\alpha_0}(P_{\frac{3}{2}}(0,4))} \leq C(\lambda) \big\| \nabla \mathbf{m} \big\|_{M^{2,2}(P_2(0,4))}. \tag{5.15}$$

By higher order regularity theorem of LLG (1.1) and (5.15), we conclude that $\mathbf{m} \in C^\infty(P_{\frac{3}{2}}(0, 4))$ and satisfies the estimate (5.8). This completes the proof. $\square$

Now we return to the proof of Theorem 1.2. By Lemma 5.2 and the estimate (5.6), we want to show

Claim. $T^k = +\infty$, and $\sup_{k \geq 1} \big\| \mathbf{m}^k \big\|_{C^l(\mathbb{R}^n \times [\delta, +\infty))}$ is uniformly bounded for all $l \geq 1$ and $\delta > 0$.

For, otherwise, $T^k < +\infty$. Then we must have that

$$\lim_{t \uparrow T_k} \big\| \nabla \mathbf{m}^k(t) \big\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} = +\infty.$$

On the other hand, by (5.6), we have

$$\sup_{t \in [\frac{T_k}{2}, T_k]} \big\| \nabla \mathbf{m}^k \big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \epsilon_0. \tag{5.16}$$

This clearly implies that for any $x_0 \in \mathbb{R}^n$,

$$\big\| \nabla \mathbf{m}^k \big\|_{M^{2,2}\big(P_{\frac{\sqrt{T_k}}{2}}(x_0, T_k)\big)} \leq C \epsilon_0. \tag{5.17}$$

Since $\mathbf{m}^k \in C^0([0, T^k], H_*^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n))$, $\nabla^2 \mathbf{m}^k \in L_{\text{loc}}^2(\mathbb{R}^n \times [\frac{T_k}{2}, T_k])$. Thus we can apply Lemma 5.2 to conclude that for any k, $\|\nabla \mathbf{m}^k(t)\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)}$ remains to be bounded, as t tends to T_k. We reach a contradiction. Thus $T_k = +\infty$. Now by applying Lemma 5.2 again, we would conclude that $\mathbf{m}^k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty))$. Moreover, for any $\delta > 0$,

$$\sup_{k \geq 1} \big\| \mathbf{m}^k \big\|_{C^l\big(\mathbb{R}^n \times [\delta, +\infty)\big)} \leq C(l, \delta, \epsilon_0). \tag{5.18}$$

This proves the claim.

After taking possible subsequences, we may assume that there exists a $\mathbf{m} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty), \mathbb{S}^2)$ such that $\mathbf{m}^k$ converges to $\mathbf{m}$ in $C^l_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty))$. It is not hard to see that $\mathbf{m}|_{t=0} = \mathbf{m}_0$ in the sense of trace. By the lower semicontinuity and (5.6), we also have

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \left\| \nabla \mathbf{m} \right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \left\| u(\mathbf{m}) \right\|_{X^p_\infty} \leq \liminf_{k \to \infty} \left\| u(\mathbf{m}^k) \right\|_{X^p_\infty} \leq C \left\| \nabla \mathbf{m}_0 \right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq C \epsilon_0.$$

Moreover, by the ϵ-regularity Lemma 5.2, we conclude that $\mathbf{m}$ also satisfies the derivative estimates (1.5).

Finally, if $\mathbf{m}_0 \in H^1_*(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^2)$, then we have

$$\lim_{k \to \infty} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla(\mathbf{m}_0^k - \mathbf{m}_0)|^2 \, dx = 0.$$

Since $\mathbf{m}^k \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty))$ satisfies the equation (5.9) in $\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty)$, we can multiply (5.9) by $\partial_t \mathbf{m}^k$ and integrate the resulting equation on $\mathbb{R}^n$ to get the global energy equality:

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \mathbf{m}^k(t)|^2 \, dx = -\frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda^2} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_t \mathbf{m}^k(t)|^2 \, dx. \tag{5.19}$$

Integrating (5.19) over $[0, t]$ yields

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \mathbf{m}^k(t)|^2 \, dx + \frac{\lambda}{1 + \lambda^2} \int_0^t \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_t \mathbf{m}^k|^2 \, dx dt = \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \mathbf{m}_0^k|^2 \, dx. \tag{5.20}$$

Sending k to ∞ in (5.20) and applying the lower semicontinuity, we conclude that $\mathbf{m}$ satisfies the energy inequality (1.6).

Thus the proof of Theorem 1.2 would be complete, if we have shown the uniqueness of $\mathbf{m}$ in its class when $\nabla \mathbf{m}_0 \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$. This part follows from the lemma below.

5.4. Uniqueness of LLG (1.1).
Motivated by the uniqueness theorem on the heat flow of harmonic maps established by Huang-Wang [13], we will establish that LLG (1.1) enjoys the following uniqueness property for initial data in $M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$.

Lemma 5.3. *There exist $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $c_0 > 0$ depending on n, λ such that for $\mathbf{m}_0 \in H^1_*(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^2)$ and $i = 1, 2$, if $\mathbf{m}^{(i)} : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{S}^2$ are weak solutions of LLG (1.1), under the initial data $\mathbf{m}_0$, satisfying*
(i) $\mathbf{m}^{(i)} \in C^0([0, +\infty), H^1_*(\mathbb{R}^n, \mathbb{S}^2))$, $\partial_t \mathbf{m}^{(i)} \in L^2([0, +\infty), L^2(\mathbb{R}^n))$, and $\nabla^2 \mathbf{m}^{(i)} \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n \times [\delta, +\infty))$ for $\delta > 0$; and
(ii)

$$\sup_{t \geq 0} \left\| \nabla \mathbf{m}^{(i)}(t) \right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_0 \epsilon_0, \tag{5.21}$$

then $\mathbf{m}^{(1)} \equiv \mathbf{m}^{(2)}$ in $\mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty)$.

Proof. The idea is originally due to Huang-Wang [13] on the heat flow of harmonic maps. Here we adapt it to LLG (1.1). Applying Lemma 5.2 to $\mathbf{m}^{(i)}$, we see that $\mathbf{m}^{(i)} \in C^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n \times (0, +\infty))$ and

$$t^{\frac{1}{2}} \left\| \nabla \mathbf{m}^{(i)}(t) \right\|_{L^\infty(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \sup_{\tau \geq 0} \left\| \nabla \mathbf{m}^{(i)}(\tau) \right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq c_0 \epsilon_0, \ \forall t > 0. \tag{5.22}$$

Set $\mathbf{m} = \mathbf{m}^{(1)} - \mathbf{m}^{(2)}$. Then we have

$$\partial_t \mathbf{m} = \lambda \Delta \mathbf{m} + \lambda \left(|\nabla \mathbf{m}^{(1)}|^2 \mathbf{m}^{(1)} - |\nabla \mathbf{m}^{(2)}|^2 \mathbf{m}^{(2)} \right) - \left(\mathbf{m} \times \Delta \mathbf{m}^{(1)} + \mathbf{m}^{(2)} \times \Delta \mathbf{m} \right). \tag{5.23}$$

Since $\Delta \mathbf{m}^{(i)}(t), \Delta \mathbf{m}(t) \in L^2_{\mathrm{loc}}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ for a.e. $t > 0$ and $\mathbf{m} \in L^2(\mathbb{R}^n)$, we can multiply (5.23) by $\mathbf{m}$ and integrate the resulting equation over $\mathbb{R}^n$ to obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathbf{m}|^2 + 2\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \mathbf{m}|^2 &= 2\lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(|\nabla \mathbf{m}^{(1)}|^2 \mathbf{m}^{(1)} - |\nabla \mathbf{m}^{(2)}|^2 \mathbf{m}^{(2)} \right) \cdot \mathbf{m} + 2 \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(\nabla \mathbf{m}^{(2)} \times \nabla \mathbf{m} \right) \cdot \mathbf{m} \\
&= I + II.
\end{aligned} \tag{5.24}$$

Observe that

$$\mathbf{m}^1 \cdot \mathbf{m} = \frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{m}|^2, \ \mathbf{m}^2 \cdot \mathbf{m} = -\frac{1}{2} |\mathbf{m}|^2.$$

This and (5.22) imply

$$I = \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} \left(|\nabla \mathbf{m}^{(1)}|^2 + |\nabla \mathbf{m}^{(2)}|^2 \right) |\mathbf{m}|^2 \leq \frac{C \epsilon_0^2}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathbf{m}|^2.$$

By Hölder's inequality and (5.22), we have

$$|II| \leq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \mathbf{m}|^2 + \lambda^{-1} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \mathbf{m}^{(2)}|^2 |\mathbf{m}|^2 \leq \lambda \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\nabla \mathbf{m}|^2 + \frac{C\epsilon_0^2}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathbf{m}|^2.$$

Putting these estimates into (5.24) yields

$$\frac{d}{dt} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathbf{m}(t)|^2 \leq \frac{C\epsilon_0^2}{t} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathbf{m}(t)|^2.$$

Hence we have

$$\frac{d}{dt} \Big(t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathbf{m}(t)|^2 \Big) \leq \frac{C\epsilon_0^2 - \frac{1}{2}}{t^{\frac{3}{2}}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathbf{m}(t)|^2 \leq 0.$$

This yields

$$t^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathbf{m}(t)|^2 \leq \lim_{s \downarrow 0} s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathbf{m}(s)|^2.$$

On the other hand, since $\mathbf{m}(0) = 0$, we have that $\mathbf{m}(x, \tau) = \int_0^\tau \partial_t \mathbf{m}(x, t) \, dt$, a.e. $x \in \mathbb{R}^n, \tau > 0$. By Hölder's inequality, we have

$$s^{-\frac{1}{2}} \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\mathbf{m}(x, s)|^2 \, dx \leq s^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_0^s \int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |\partial_t \mathbf{m}(x, t)|^2 \, dx dt \leq C s^{\frac{1}{2}} \to 0, \text{ as } s \downarrow 0.$$

Therefore $\mathbf{m}(x, t) = 0$ for a.e. $(x, t) \in \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty)$. This completes the proof. □

It is clear that the global solution $\mathbf{m}$ obtained in Theorem 1.2 satisfies all the conditions in Lemma 5.3. Hence $\mathbf{m}$ is unique in its own class. The proof of Theorem 1.2 is now complete.

5.5. **Stability of the covariant LLG(3.5) and the covariant GL(3.6).** In this final section, we will show that the covariant LLG equation (3.5) and (3.6), under the Coulomb gauge, enjoy the following stability property in the space X_∞^p. This may have its own interest.

Lemma 5.4. *There exist $\epsilon_0 > 0$ and $c_0, c_1 > 0$ depending only on n, λ, p with the following property: For $i = 1, 2$, if $v_0^{(i)} \in M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)$ satisfies*

$$\big\| v_0^{(i)} \big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \leq \epsilon_0, \tag{5.25}$$

and $\mathbf{u}^{(i)} = (u_0^{(i)}, u^{(i)}) : \mathbb{R}^n \times [0, +\infty) \to \mathbb{C}^{n+1}$ is a solution of (3.5) and (3.6) given by the Duhamel formula (4.16), with initial data $v_0^{(i)}$ and the Coulomb gauge $a^{(i)}$, that satisfies

$$\big\| u^{(i)} \big\|_{X_\infty^p} \leq c_0 \epsilon_0, \tag{5.26}$$

for some $p \in (3, \frac{10}{3})$. Then

$$\Big\| u^{(1)} - u^{(2)} \Big\|_{X_\infty^p} \leq c_1 \Big\| v_0^{(1)} - v_0^{(2)} \Big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{5.27}$$

Proof. To emphasize the dependence of the Coulomb gauge $a^{(i)}$ on $u^{(i)}$, set $a(u^i) = a^{(i)}$ as the Coulomb gauge associated with $u^{(i)}$. Also set $a(u) = a(u^{(1)}) - a(u^{(2)})$, $a_0^{(1)}(u) = a_0^{(1)}(u^{(1)}) - a_0^{(1)}(u^{(2)})$, $a_0^{(2)}(u) = a_0^{(2)}(u^{(1)}) - a_0^{(2)}(u^{(2)})$, $u = u^{(1)} - u^{(2)}$, and $v_0 = v_0^{(1)} - v_0^{(2)}$. By Duhamel's formula (4.16), we have

$$u(t) = S(t)v_0 + \sum_{l=1}^{3} S * \big(f^{(l)}(u^{(1)}) - f^{(l)}(u^{(2)}) \big)(t). \tag{5.28}$$

It is clear that Lemma 3.2 yields

$$\Big\| S(t)v_0 \Big\|_{X_\infty^p} \leq c_1 \big\| v_0 \big\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}. \tag{5.29}$$

Observe that

$$\Big| f^{(1)}(u^{(1)}) - f^{(1)}(u^{(2)}) \Big| \lesssim \big(|u^{(1)}|^2 + |u^{(2)}|^2 \big) |u|,$$

so that we can apply Lemma 4.1, Lemma 4.2, and Lemma 4.3, and (5.26) to get

$$\Big\| S * \big(f^{(l)}(u^{(1)}) - f^{(l)}(u^{(2)}) \big) \Big\|_{X_\infty^p} \lesssim \Big(\big\| u^{(1)} \big\|_{X_\infty^p}^2 + \big\| u^{(2)} \big\|_{X_\infty^p}^2 \Big) \|u\|_{X_\infty^p} \lesssim \epsilon_0^2 \|u\|_{X_\infty^p}. \tag{5.30}$$

Observe

$$\left| f^{(2}(u^{(1)}) - f^{(2)}(u^{(2)}) \right| \lesssim |a(u^{(1)})||\nabla u| + |a(u)||\nabla u^{(2)}| + |a_0^{(1)}(u^{(1)})||u| + |a_0^{(1)}(u)||u^{(2)}|.$$

Applying Lemma 3.2, we find that

$$a(u^{(i)}), a(u) \in M^{\frac{2p}{4-p},2}(\mathbb{R}^n),\ a_0^{(1)}(u^{(1)}), a_0^{(1)}(u) \in M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n),\ \text{and}\ a_0^{(2)}(u^{(1)}), a_0^{(2)}(u) \in M^{\frac{p}{4-p},2}(\mathbb{R}^n),$$

along with the estimates:

$$\left\| a(u^{(i)}) \right\|_{M^{\frac{2p}{4-p},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left\| u^{(i)} \right\|^2_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)},\ i = 1,2,$$

$$\left\| a(u) \right\|_{M^{\frac{2p}{4-p},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left(\left\| u^{(1)} \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\| u^{(2)} \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \left\| u \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

$$\left\| a_0^{(1)}(u^{(1)}) \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left\| u^{(1)} \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left\| \nabla u^{(1)} \right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

$$\left\| a_0^{(1)}(u) \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left(\left\| u \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left\| \nabla u^{(1)} \right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\| u^{(2)} \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \left\| \nabla u \right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right),$$

$$\left\| a_0^{(2)}(u^{(1)}) \right\|_{M^{\frac{p}{4-p},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left\| u^{(1)} \right\|^4_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)},$$

and

$$\left\| a_0^{(2)}(u) \right\|_{M^{\frac{p}{4-p},2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \lesssim \left(\left\| u^{(1)} \right\|^3_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + \left\| u^{(2)} \right\|^3_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} \right) \left\| u \right\|_{M^{p,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)}.$$

Thus by Lemma 4.4, Lemma 4.5, and Lemma 4.6 we have

$$\left\| S * \left(f^{(2}(u^{(1)}) - f^{(2)}(u^{(2)}) \right) \right\|_{X^p_\infty} \lesssim \left(\left\| u^{(1)} \right\|^2_{X^p_\infty} + \left\| u^{(2)} \right\|^2_{X^p_\infty} \right) \left\| u \right\|_{X^p_\infty} \le C\epsilon_0^2 \left\| u \right\|_{X^p_\infty}. \tag{5.31}$$

Observe

$$\left| f^{(3}(u^{(1)}) - f^{(3)}(u^{(2)}) \right| \lesssim |a(u^{(1)})|^2 |u| + |a(u)| \left[|a(u^{(1)})| + |a(u^{(2)})| \right] |u^{(2)}| + |a_0^{(2)}(u^{(1)})||u| + |a_0^{(2)}(u)||u^{(2)}|.$$

Thus by Lemma 4.7, Lemma 4.8, and Lemma 4.9 we have

$$\left\| S * \left(f^{(3}(u^{(1)}) - f^{(3)}(u^{(2)}) \right) \right\|_{X^p_\infty} \lesssim \left(\left\| u^{(1)} \right\|^4_{X^p_\infty} + \left\| u^{(2)} \right\|^4_{X^p_\infty} \right) \left\| u \right\|_{X^p_\infty} \le C\epsilon_0^4 \left\| u \right\|_{X^p_\infty}. \tag{5.32}$$

Substituting (5.30), (5.31), and (5.32) into (5.28), we arrive at

$$\left\| u \right\|_{X^p_\infty} \le \frac{c_1}{2} \left\| v_0 \right\|_{M^{2,2}(\mathbb{R}^n)} + C \left(\epsilon_0^2 + \epsilon_0^4 \right) \left\| u \right\|_{X^p_\infty}. \tag{5.33}$$

This implies (5.27), provided that $\epsilon_0 > 0$ is chosen to be sufficiently small. The proof is complete. □

References

[1] D. Adams, *A note on Riesz potentials*. Duke Math. J. **42** (1975), no. 4, 765-778.

[2] I. Bejenaru, A. Ionescu, C. Kenig, *Global existence and uniqueness of Schrödinger maps in dimensions $d \ge 4$*. Adv. Math. **215** (2007), no. 1, 263-291.

[3] I. Bejenaru, A. Ionescu, C. Kenig, D. Tataru, *Global Schrödinger maps in dimensions $d \ge 2$: small data in the critical Sobolev spaces*. Ann. of Math. (2) **173** (2011), no. 3, 1443-1506.

[4] Y. M. Chen, M. Struwe, *Existence and Partial Regularity for the Heat flow for Harmonic maps*. Math. Z. **201** (1989), 83-103.

[5] S. J. Ding, C. Y. Wang, *Finite time singularity of the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation*. Internat. Math. Res. Notices, 2007 (2007), rnm012.

[6] A. Freire, S. Müller, M. Struwe, *Weak convergence of wave maps from (1+2)-dimensional Minkowski space to Riemannian manifolds*. Invent. Math. **130** (1997), no. 3, 589-617.

[7] F. Hélein, Harmonic maps, conservation laws and moving frames. Translated from the 1996 French original. With a foreword by James Eells. Second edition. Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics, 150. Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2002. xxvi+264 pp.

[8] T. Gilbert, *A phenomenological theory of damping in ferromagnetic materials.* IEEE Trans. Magn., **40** (2004), 3443-3449.

[9] B. L. Guo, M. C. Hong, *The Landau-Lifshitz equation of the ferromagnetic spin chain and harmonic maps.* Calc. Var. Partial Dierential Equations, **1** (1993), 311-334.

[10] P. Harpes, *Uniqueness and bubbling of the 2-dimensional Landau-Lifshitz flow.* Calc. Var. Partial Differential Equations **20** (2004), no. 2, 213-229.

[11] P. Harpes, *Bubbling of approximations for the 2-D Landau-Lifshitz flow.* Comm. Partial Differential Equations **31** (2006), no. 1-3, 1-20.

[12] T. Huang, C. Y. Wang, *Notes on the regularity of harmonic map system.* Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **138**(6) (2010), 2015-2023.

[13] T. Huang, C. Y. Wang, *On uniqueness of heat flow of harmonic maps.* Preprint, arXiv:1208.1470.

[14] L. Landau, E. Lifshitz, *On the theory of the dispersion of magnetic permeability in ferromagnetic bodies.* Phys. Z. Sowjetunion, **8** (1935), pp. 153-169.

[15] C. Melcher, *Existence of partially regular solutions for Landau-Lifshitz equations in $\mathbb{R}^3$.* Comm. Partial Dierential Equations, **30** (2005), pp. 567-587.

[16] C. Melcher, *Global solvability of the Cauchy problem for the Landau-Lifshitz-Gilbert equation in higher dimensions.* Indiana Univ. Math. J., to appear.

[17] C. Melcher, M. Ptashnyk, *Landau-Lifshitz-Slonczewski equations: global weak and classical solutions.* SIAM J. Math. Anal. **45** (2013), no. 1, 407-429.

[18] R. Moser, *Partial Regularity for Landau-Lifshitz Equations.* Max-Planck-Institute for Mathematics in the Sciences **26**, Preprint series (2003).

[19] R. Moser, Partial Regularity for Harmonic Maps and Related Problems. World Scientic, Hackensack, NJ, 2005.

[20] A. Nahmod, A. Stefanov, K. Uhlenbeck, *On Schrödinger maps.* Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **56** (2003), no. 1, 114-151.

[21] S. Seo, Regularity of Landau Lifshitz Equations. Ph.D. thesis, University of Minnesota (2003).

[22] E. Stein, Singular integrals and differentiability properties of functions. Princeton Mathematical Series, No. 30 Princeton University Press, Princeton, N.J. 1970

[23] J. Shatah, M. Struwe, *The Cauchy problem for wave maps.* Int. Math. Res. Not. 2002, no. 11, 555-571.

[24] M. Struwe, *On the evolution of harmonic maps of Riemannian surfaces.* Comment. Math. Helvetici. **60** (1985), 558-581.

[25] M. Struwe, *On the evolution of harmonic maps in higher dimension.* J. Diff. Geom. **28** (1988), 485-502.

[26] C. Y. Wang, *On Landau-Lifshitz equation in dimensions at most four.* Indiana Univ. Math. J., **55** (2006), pp. 1615-1644.

[27] C. Y. Wang, *Well-posedness for the heat flow of harmonic maps and the liquid crystal flow with rough initial data.* Arch. Ration. Mech. Anal. **200** (2011), no. 1, 1-19.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH CHINA UNIVERSITY OF TECHNOLOGY, GUANGZHOU, GUANGDONG 510640, CHINA

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND INFORMATION SCIENCE, HENAN UNIVERSITY, KAIFENG 475004, HENAN, CHINA

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF KENTUCKY, LEXINGTON, KY 40506
E-mail address: scjylin@scut.edu.cn, laibaishun@gmail.com, cywang@ms.uky.edu