Rationality and Stable Rationality Vilony Zhang May 4. 2021 C Introduction: A smooth projective variety X is called <u>rational</u> of These is a birational map X----> 112" for n= diax It is called universional if there is a dominant vortional map IPV - --> X. U is called stably rational if XXIP is rational for some r. In drink()= 2 case . these concepts are the same. But starting from dno(x)=3. the following Mph' cations are strice: Vational => stably vational => Unirutional. Today we will: 1: Review Clemens - Griffiths " criterion on varionality for 3-folds. and show cubic 3-fuld is unimutional, but not rational 2. Go through some stable birutionality prvariants, and sketch the proof of very general guartic double solid with \$7 nodes is univertional but not study varional. Rady's remark: . Iskouskih and Manin showed that quartic 3-told is not radional using birarlional outomorphism group. • Artin and Mumperd showed Tor $H^{2}(X, 2)$ is a Stably bustimed invariant and constructed certain nodal darble I. Clemens - Griffiths Critlerion . Solid which is not stably rational · Cubic Let $X = \{f_{3}, Ch_{3}, X_{1}, \dots, X_{4}\} = 0\} \leq \|P^{4}\|$ be a (smooth) Cubic 3-fold. then its Hodge number in degree 3 is 1:0550 "One way to look at it is through Cariff. The resulue: HP, n-P smooth hypersurface can be represented by residues of rational forms on projective space with poles along \times to order p. Res $\frac{Q \ SL}{sp}$ where $SL = \frac{1}{s} c^{-1} J^{*} X^{*} dx_{0} A^{*} - A dx_{n+1}$

Q homogenes, deg Q + 1+2 = p. deg(f) To our case. degff)=3_ n=3. $\frac{Q\Omega}{f}$ is not possible. => $h^{3,0}=0$. $\int \frac{Qn}{f^2} \implies Q is a linear form \implies h^{2.1} = 5.$ Recull that H³(X, c) = FH³ (F) F²H³ so the composite Eas N-vector space H³CX zn -> H³CX, IR) -> H³CX, R) -> F²H² 15 of maximal runk so we define the intermedirate Jacobian (Lecture 18 in Radu's class) $J(x) \simeq \frac{\overline{F^2 H^3}(x, \omega)}{H^3(x, \omega)} \cong \frac{F^2 H^3(x, \omega)}{H_3(x, 2\omega)} \cong \frac{H^{2,1}(x)}{H_3(x, 2\omega)}$

Since $\langle \cdot, \cdot, \cdot \rangle$ on $H^{3}(X.2)$ is unincubular. T(X) is principally polarized. So T(X) is an abelian variety with theta divisor Θ with $h^{\circ}(\Theta) = 1$. T(X) = J(X) = S P, P.a.V.

Let F be the variety of lines of X. i.e. $F \subseteq Gr(2,5)$ is the cloved subvariety consisting of lines in 112^{44} that are contained in X.

F is a smooth surface of general type. and A/bF 2 J(x) We have Abel-Jaobi mup:

P= 3 chain such

that 2 = 40 - Ly

G: L×F ----> Jux) $(p.q) \longrightarrow \int_{\zeta_{a}}^{\zeta_{p}} = \int_{P}$

Theorem Colonens - Griffins, 72) Let X be a smooth projerive 3-fold
then X is varianal
$$\Rightarrow$$
 $J(X) \simeq J(C, 20 \cdots 0 J(C_N)$
 $C_{1} \sim C_N c comes.$
Provid: If $||^2 \cdots > X$ is birational, then thremaka.
there is a requerie of blomps $P = Y^m \cdot Y^{m-3} \cdots \cdot Y' - s||^2$
along smooth certers. such that $P \rightarrow ||^2 \cdot -> X$ is a mapping
so we have P that $P \to ||^2 \cdot -> X$ is a birational morphom
 $P \to ||^2 \cdots -> X$ is a birational morphom
 $P \to ||^2 \cdots -> X$ is a birational morphom
 $P \to ||^2 \cdots -> X$ is a birational morphom
 $P \to ||^2 \cdots -> X$ is a birational morphom
 $P \to ||^2 \cdots -> X$
Now smooth certers on 3-tolds are points or curves
blowup a point $p : H^3(B/pY) = H^3(Y) \cdot (reverse a sign)$
 $blowup a point $p : H^3(B/pY) = H^3(Y) \cdot (reverse a sign)$
 $blowup a curve $C : H^3(B/2Y) = H^3(Y) = H^3(Y)$
 $Apply to sequence $\tilde{P} \to \cdots \to p^3$ and pass to Junbrow. we have
 $J \in \tilde{P} = J(C \cap O \ J R \cup O \cup \cdots \to H^3(\tilde{P}, Z)$
We have plashforword $Y_n : H^3(\tilde{P}, Z) \to H^3(X, Z)$
Since both maps respects Analye structures. Interservice pairys.
 $and Y_n : H^3(X, Z_1) \to h^3(X, Z_2)$
 $and Y_n : Y^n = Id clue to deg(N) = 1$. we have Y^n
includes $H^3(X, Z_2)$ as a direct factor of $H^3(\tilde{P}, Z_2)$, so$$$

 $J(K) \oplus A \cong J(p) = J(c) \oplus \cdots \oplus J(k),$ Use the fair that decomposition of p. p. a.v. is unique, we conclude $(\mathcal{N}) = \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}_{i},) \oplus \cdots \oplus \mathcal{J}(\mathcal{C}_{i_{p}}) \qquad \mathbb{D}$ Theorem (Clemens-Griffiths, Beauville) Let X be a smooth cubic 3-fold. then X is irrational. sketch - the proof By Clemens-Griffith criterion. we need to show JCXI is not a product of Jacobian Recall we have Abel Just map dim F=2 J(X) (P.9.) (P.9.) dim =5 (P.9.) (P * I contracts the diagonal Δ to $0 \in \Theta$ which is an isolated singularity. and the tangent cone $TC_0\Theta$ is isomorphic to the affine cone of X. (This recovers Torelli theorem) · Benuille shoned & is mechacitle and () sing = 10). Compare to the Riemann singularity theorem $\dim \Theta_{J(c)} = \int g^{-4} c \operatorname{non-hyperely$ chm25 rs are lenser <u>1</u>.

Remark: [1]. In face X is univational : Fix L S X a line. Consorter IP (TX12) which is varional variety, and un varional f: IP (TX12) ----> X (r,v) ~ > Lx,v nX x/ where L_{XrV} is the line through x in the direction V. Conversely take UEX. IP = Spon < 4, L> 15 a plane, which Mersents × residually at a conie C. CUL= 2 points=f-1u) (2). Muniford showed that (JCX), O) is a frym Variety. Actually all p.p.u.v. of din=5 is a frym var. $F \times F \xrightarrow{\psi} \Theta$ $F \times F \xrightarrow{\psi} \Theta$ (Z. 2021) showed that $B/\Delta(F \times F)$ is a branched clouble cover of Hilbert. schemes of sken lives H(x), which is an ineclusive component of Hilbert (X). CAltavilla-Petkovik-Rota, 19, Bayer et.al. 20) showed that Blot is a Bridgeland stable moduli space. [with stable ofen in] Ku(X)/ Y has certain modular interpretation.

(4). Let (A, 3) be a p.p. u.v. of dime g then Or (g-1)! EH2CA, Zo is called the minimal class. When A=J(C) is a Jambian of a curve C, minul class is represented by C Aber Jub Jug Conversely (Martsusaka's theorem) The minimal class is represented by an \mathbb{O} effective 1-cycle $(A.O) \simeq J(C.1.0) \cdots OJ(C.c)$. Therefore, for J(X). where X= cubil 3-pin Then the minimal class is not the chars of a curre. Voissin showed that if X is a varional connected 3-fold.
 X is study varional => minimal class of X is algebraic.
 Ind necessary effering Open Problem (Voisih): Is the minimal class of a cubic 3-fold algebraic? Is cubic 3-fold stably rational? II. Classical Stable birutional invariants Recall that X is stubly birartional to X iff X×1pⁿ is birectional to Y×1p^m for some m, p so typically a birational invariant is not a stably bratial the

Here are some classical study binetional maniants (for Smoth grogerive varioties):

+ $H^{\circ}(X, (\Omega')^{\otimes k})$

· Tor H'(X.2): Artin-Munford Manamt. which coincides with Br (X), when X is rotionally connected. Let's prove the 3rd one is invariant under $X \xrightarrow{f}_{sta.mr.}$ This relationship, on the set of all most projective varieties is generated by $\partial X \sim X \times 10^7$ and $\nabla X \sim Y$ if X is birutional to Y. For (): By kunnerth formula. borsingle Tor H'(X × 1p², 2) = H(X,2) + H'(X,2) By Universal coefficient thm. H(X,20) = Ext (Ho(X,20).2) tornonfree. For (2) · if X · · · > Y biratud. by Hiromako. X - · · · X blowup along smooth conters and X ____ Y is a morphism, But Too H³(Bl₂ X) = H²(X)⊕H(2)

So Too H²(Y, Z) ~ Tor H²(X.Z) = Tor H²(X,Z) torsion free We also have inclusion from the other direction, So isomorphim IX In particular, if X is stably rational. $TI_1(X)=0$. $H^{\circ}(X, (\Sigma')^{\otimes x})=0$. and $Tor H^{\circ}(X, Z)=0$ Def: A quantic double solid is a variety which is 2:1 to $1P^{\circ}$ branched along a quartic surface (Artin-Mintford, 72) constructed a double solid X. with 10 nodes. and showed its resolution of singularity Σ has nontrivial Tor $H^{\circ}(X, Z)$, so X_{\circ} is not study Techonal.

The construction can be done via the following: Take a General 3-duit linear substem TT of IP(H°CIP, O(2))) Puremeterizing guadric surfaces in 1p³. SII 1p9 Then genearal member of TT is smooth. and there is a quartic surface $S \subseteq TT$ whose smooth point parameterizes noclal quadrics. and $S^{sing} = f(o \text{ products})$ parameterizes SST Constiller the mildence variety: $\int - \{(Q, L) \in T \times Gr(2, 4) \mid L \subseteq Q \}.$ The first projection admits stein fuctorizations T ~ X. ~ TT, where X. is 1:1 to TI

branched along S, so Xo has co nodes

(!) It is the fact that I Xo admits no notional section, this 1p? bundle defines a nontrivial element in $-\frac{Br(X, Sm) = \prod_{r \in IN} Proj_r(X, Sm)}{r \in IN}$ Question(A): Is there a 3-fold. Which is not stubly rartional, and Artin-Munford invariant vanish? III. Voisin's Stable birational invariants 1. Universal codimension 2 cycle Let X be a vartionally connected variety, then H'IXIZO. and J³(X) is an abelian varien Moreover, due to Bloch and Srinivas. f_{χ} : $CH^{2}(\chi)_{hom} \longrightarrow J^{3}(\chi)$ is an isomorphism $In_{E_{i}} - C_{i} \longrightarrow 2n_{i} \int_{C_{i}}^{E_{i}} C_{i}$, E_{i} curves It is also "regular" in the sense that for any Smooth algebrair var B. and ZE (H2(BXX) Such that Bt is homologous to 2000, UtGB, then $t \longrightarrow \underline{\phi}_{\chi}(\boldsymbol{B}_{\tau})$

Remark: This is a stably bradional property! Question (3): let X be a smooth rectionally connected variery. Does there exist ce codimension 2 cycle ZeCH²(Jix) ×X) such that Zt. te Jix). are homologous to zero, and the morphism Is the identity? Remark For colmension one cylles map to Pic(X) this is true (Can think of formane bundle The following theorem answered both Question (A) and (B): Main Theorem (Voisin .2015) (1) The desingularization of the very general quartic double solid with KE7 nodes in general position is not Stuby ravivral. (Morever. Artin-Munford Mvariant =0) (2) The desingularization of the very general quartic double solid with 7 nodes in general position does not admit Universal codimension two cycle on J'(X)XX. Why <7 (or 7) nodes? Given KE 7 general politis

in 10°, there is a linear space of dimension 34-4K of quartic homogeneous polynomials having zero differential at

there k points. Thus there is an ineutile variety parameterzing quartie double solids with k nodes in general Josition. As for part 12). For smoth quartic double solid h"= 10. The number drop by 1 as appearance of each node (just like curve case). When there are 7 nodes J(x) is p. p. a. v of dis=3. which is a Jacobian of a genus 3 curve so the minimal (Eq.) 15 a lyebraic.

The vert is devoted to the proof of Voisin's theorem. We need to introduce another invariant: $\dim x = n$ Definition: Let X be a smooth profective variety. (1) We say X has a Chow decomposition of diagonal if $\Delta x = X \times 4x^{4} + 2$ in $(H^{2}(X \times X))$ where x e X is a point and Z is a cycle supported on DXX, for some proper closed algebrair subsor DZX. (2) We say X has a cohomological decomposition of diagonal "+ [Dx] = [X x /xy]+[z] in H"(XxX, z) where Z is as above. integral Clearly Chow DOD = the Holye conjecture on XXX to guarantee (chow DOD = application to know to [22] gives you (cohomological DO) application to know to [22] gives you application to homological DOD. and may also

worny about the indition ZCDXX. esumple: p^m admits Chow DDD: (H*(1p"×1p") has busis pr, "h', pr h' Recull So △Ipm= N/J Pr, A ·· Pr, A h-2' r=0 $\sum_{n=1}^{n} |P_{x}^{n}(x) + \sum_{n=1}^{n} P_{r,h}^{n} \cdot P_{r,h}^{n-1}$ supported on $H \subseteq IP^{n}$ Fact: existence of Chow (cohomological) DOD is a stably brutional property of smooth proj var. In particular, stably vational varieties culmit DOD (A Degeneration the on DOD) Let $\pi: X \rightarrow B$ be a flare projective morphism of relative dimension n732. where B 15 a smooth curve. Asome Xe smooth to. and Xo has are morst ordinary double points. Then (1) If for general tEB, Xe admits Chow DOD then the same is true for any smooth projutue model x. st yo.

(2) virth additional assumption. that $H^{2*}(\bar{X}_{3}, z_{2})$ is algebraic, same statement for cohomologizal DOD.

To prove Main Theorem (1). We degenerate the double Foilid with k nodes to the Artin-Muniford double solid. then use Too +13(x0,2) = and Degeneration theorem. For Main Theorem (2), we need to relate all obstruction to stuble varionality: Voisin showed for varionally connected 3-folds, the LHS is equivallent to RHL: (1) Tor H³(X,Z)= 0 admit Cohomslogical DOD cz) existence of universal Lodin 22 cycle on Jixi xX (3) The milimal class of J(x) is algebraic For a general grantic solid with 7 nodes,

So we proved the non-existence of the universal couldness (yile. However, Voisin stand there need more uncleroranding of this result.

Appendix 1
A remark on admitting cohomological DOD
$$\Longrightarrow$$
 (ii):
Ty we have $[\Delta_X] = [X * 1x] + t Z]$ in $H^6(XX, Z)$.
We can act on $H^3(X, Z)$ via correspondence (just like the
correspondence on chan group level that we learned in Lertune 19
of Raclu's class). So $\alpha \in H^3(X, Z)$
 $IA_X). \alpha = [X * 1x]. \alpha + 12]. \alpha$
 $IA_X). \alpha = [X * 1x]. \alpha + 12]. \alpha$
 $IA_X = [X * 1x]. \alpha + 12]. \alpha$
 $IA_X = [X * 1x]. \alpha + 12]. \alpha$
 $IA_X = [X * 1x]. \alpha + 12]. \alpha$
 $IA_X = [X * 1x]. \alpha + 12]. \alpha$
 $IA_X = [X * 1x]. \alpha + 12]. \alpha$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1x + 1x] = 0$
 $A = [X = [X] + 1$

NONZION

We have a diagram:

$$CH^{2}(X)_{hom} \xrightarrow{Z^{*}} CH'(D)_{hom} \xrightarrow{J_{*}} CH'(X)_{hom}$$

 $\int \frac{1}{2}x \qquad \int \frac{1}{2}p \qquad \int \frac{1}{2}x$
 $J(X) \qquad \frac{1}{2} \int J'(D) \xrightarrow{J_{*}} J(X)$
 $I(D) \xrightarrow{J_{*}} J(X)$

Let W be the universal cycle on
$$\mathcal{J}'(\mathcal{D}) \times \mathcal{D}$$
, one
get codim=2 cycle on $\mathcal{J}^{2}(X) \times X$ via
 $\left(\mathcal{J}_{d} \mathcal{J}^{2}_{(X)}, \mathcal{J}\right)_{X} \left(\left(\mathcal{Z} \mathcal{J}^{*}, \mathcal{I}_{d}\right)^{*} W \right)$

Alternature by (Benur'lle. 81) has an interpretation of

FX7 -> JX

In terms of Pryn varieties, where the theta divisor is characterized as set of divisors of certain degree with h^ozo One reduce to an algebra problem. This can replace step 2. but not step 1.

References. [1] Clemens, Griffith, The intermediate Janbium of the Cubic 3-fold 1972. Ann. Marth

- [1] Azurville. Les Singularitres Du Diviseur & De La Jumbineme Intermediaire De Mypersurfare Cubique Dans IP4. 1981, CIn a Springer volume)
- (3) Voisin, Stable brutional invariants and the Lurons problems (The best survey for stable intrincity)
- [4] Vaisin, Universional 3-folds with no universal codm=2 cycle. 2015. Invent. math.
- (5). Altervilla. Petkovi, Rota Modulispace of the Kortenetor Component of Fano 3-folds of index 2 Larxiv. 2019]
- 167 Zhung. Hillsert schemes of shew lines on cubic 3-filds. 2021 (to appear on urXiv soon)